w 9365

THE WORKS

SIR THOMAS BROWNE.

EDITED BY

SIMON WILKIN, F.L.S.

VOLUME I

CONTAINING FOUR BOOKS OF

VULGAR ERROR

LONDON:

HENRY G. BOHN, YORK STREET, COVENT GARDEN.

MDCCCLIL.

PRINTED BY COX (BROTHERS) AND WYMAN, GREAT QUEEN STRRET, LINCOLN'S-INN FIELDS.

CONTENTS TO VOL. I.

PREFACE. DR. JOHNSON'S LIFE OF SIR THOMAS BROWNE . ix SUPPLEMENTARY MEMOIR BY THE EDITOR
(The Author) to the Reader
THE FIRST BOOK; containing the general part.
Chap. 1. Of the first cause of common errors; the common infirmity of human nature
disposition of the people
hension and fallacy, or false deduction 26 Chap. 5. Of other more immediate causes of error : viz. credulity
and supinity
Chap. 7. Of another of the more immediate causes of error: viz. adherence unto authority
Chap. 8. Of authors who have most promoted popular conceit
endeavours of Satan
THE SECOND BOOK; beginning the particular part. Of popular and received tenets concerning mineral and vegetable bodies.
Chap. 1. That crystal is nothing else but ice strongly congealed . 94 Chap. 2. Concerning the loadstone; of things particularly spoken
thereof, evidently or probably true a

CONTENTS

				PAGŁ
Ch	ap	3	Concerning the loadstone a rejection of sundry common	
			opinions and relations thereof natural, medical, his	
			torical magical	133
$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{b}$	ap	4	Of bodies electrical .	157
Ch		5	Compendiously of sundry other common tenets concern	
		•	ing minerals and terreous bodies which, examined,	
			prove either false or dubious That a diamond is	
			softened or broken by the blood of a goat that glass	
			15 poison and that it is malleable of the cordial	
			quality of gold that a pot full of ashes will contain	
			as much water as it would without them of white	
			powder that kills without report that coral is soft	
			under water but hardeneth in the air that porcelain	
			hes under the earth an hundred years in preparation,	
			that a carbuncle gives a light in the dark, of the eagle	
			stone of fury stones with some o hers	166
\mathbf{Ch}	ap	ť	Of sundry tenets concerning vegetables or plants, which,	
	-		examined prove either false or dubious. Of man	
			drakes that cinnamon ginger, cloves, mace are but	
			the parts or fruits of the same tree that miseltoe is	
			bred upon trees from seeds which birds let fall thereon,	
			of the rose of Jericho that flowereth every year upon	
			Christmas Eve of Glastonbury thorn that Sferra	
			(wallo both a power to break or loosen iron, that	
			bays preserve from the mischief of lightning and	
			thunder, that bitter almonds are preservatives against	
			cbriety	192
Ch	120	7	Of some insects and the properties of several plants	194
Ch	ap	•	Of the death witch the presides drawn from oak	
			apple insects whether all plants have seeds, whether	
			the sap of trees runs to the ground in winter, of the	91Δ
			effects of camphor with many others	210
				,
Тн	E I	HIE	ED BOOK the particular part continued Of popular	and
			received tenets concerning animals	
Ch		1	That an alcohort both no rounts to	220
Ch			That the heres hath we call	232
	ap		That the horse hath no gall	235
Ch			That a pigeon hath no gall	259
Ch	ap	4	That a beaver, to escape the hunter, bites off his testicles	010
~1		_	or stones	240
Ch	ap	9	That a badger hath the legs of one side shorter than	
			of the other	245
Ch		6	That a bear brings forth her cubs informous or unshaped	
Ch		7	Of the basilisk	250
Ch	ap	8	That a wolf first seeing a man begets a dumbness in him	261
Ch			Of the long life of the deer	262
Ch	ap	10	That a kinchisher hanged by the bill, showeth where the	
	-		wind lay	270
Cha	ap	11	Of griffins	273

CONTENTS.

Chap. 12. Of the phœnix	276
Chap. 13. Of frogs, toads, and toad-stone	284
Chap. 12. Of the phenix	291
Chap. 15. Of the amphisbæna	294
Chap. 16. That young viners force their way through the bowels of	
their dam	297
their dam	305
Chap. 18. That moles are blind	312
Chap. 18. That moles are blind	316
Chap. 20. That snails have no eyes	318
Chap. 20. That snails have no eyes	321
Chap. 22. That the ostrich digesteth iron	334
Chap. 23. Of the unicorn's horn	337
Chap. 24. That all animals of the land are in their kind in the sea	344
Chap. 25. Concerning the common course of our diet, in making	
choice of some animals and abstaining from eating	
others	346
others	353
Chap. 27. Compendiously, of the musical note of swans before their	•
death; that the flesh of peacocks corrupteth not;	
that they are ashamed of their legs: that storks will	
only live in republicks and free states; of the noise of	•
a bittern by putting the bill in a reed; that whelps are	;
blind nine days; of the antipathy between a toad	
and a spider, a lion and a cock; that an earwig hath	
no wings; of worms; that flies make that humming	•
noise by their mouths or wings; of the tainct or small	
red spider; of the glow-worm; of the providence of	
pismires in biting off the ends of corn	357
Chap. 28. That the chicken is made out of the yolk of the egg; that	;
snakes sting; of the tarantula; the lamb of Tartary	;
the swiftness of tigers; with sundry queries	373
THE FOURTH BOOK; the particular part continued. Of many popu-	•
lar and received tenets concerning man.	
Chap. 1. That only man hath an erect figure	. 379
Chap. 2. That the heart is on the left side	. 383
Chap. 2. That the heart is on the left side	. 385
Chap. 4. Of the ring finger	. 386
Chap. 5. Of the right and left hand	. 391
Chap. 6. On swimming and floating	402
Chap. 6. On swimming and floating	9
meat than after	. 405
Chap. 8. That there are several passages for meat and drink.	. 408
Chap. 9. On saluting upon sneezing	. 410
Chap. 9. On saluting upon sneezing	. 418
Chap. 11. Of pigmies	. 421
Chap. 11. Of pigmies	425
Chap. 13. Of the canicular or dog-days	4 4 6

PREFACE

Prefixed to the Edition published in 1836.

NEARLY twelve years have elapsed since the present edition was undertaken, and it affords me no small gratification to have at length accomplished, however imperfectly, a task which has been attended by a degree of labour proportioned to the difficulty of the work, and the competency of the workman. The delay, though not my own, and incurred in the hope of securing a corresponding advantage to my readers, cannot, I fear, be justified—and, when I consider how often plans have been defeated, assurances forfeited, and character thus sacrificed, by a spirit of procrastination, I cannot but rejoice that my own intentions have survived that which threatened their frustration, and that I have been permitted, though late, to redeem my pledge by the publication of these volumes.

Respecting the Works of Sir Thomas Browne, I need say the less here, because explanatory prefaces accompany the principal Religio Medici, Pseudodoxia Epidemica, and the volume containing Hydriotaphia and the Garden of Cyrus, were published by himself, after his decease, and in consonance no doubt with his understood intentions, appeared the Miscellany Tracts, Letter to a Friend, Posthumous Works, and Christian The last of these, we are informed by his daughter,' was "a continuation of his Religio Medici, drawn up in his elder years," and seems to have been left in readiness for the press. Of his lesser pieces he had probably intended to make a complete collection, and either to publish, or leave them for publication in a revised form, for he has informed us himself that he had "some miscellaneous tracts which might be published." The collection which was brought out by Abp Tenison does not appear to me to have been so complete or so revised and arranged, as the author would have left it

It will be expected that I should say a few words respecting

¹ See last page of Supplementary Memoir, and Archdeacon Jeffery's Preface to the Christian Morals.

vi PREFACE.

the Life and Correspondence. The only original and authentic biographical materials which exist respecting Browne are, first, his own brief notice sent to Aubrey for the use of Anthony Wood; secondly, the "Minutes," drawn up at the request of his widow, by the Rev. John Whitefoot, M.A.; thirdly, some additional information given by Mrs. Lyttleton to Bp. Kennet. The first life which appeared accompanied the Posthumous Works, in 1712, and included the Minutes. In 1736 a second was prefixed to the 13th edition of Religio Medici: and in 1756 Dr. Johnson wrote his biography for the 2nd edition of the Christian Morals. I am not aware of any other distinct Life of Browne; but he is noticed more or less copiously in the principal biographical collections foreign as well as English: especially the Biographia Britannica. Aikin, Chalmers, Biographie Universelle, Bayle, Jöcher, Niceron, &c. I have reprinted Dr.

² He was but five years younger than Sir Thomas, and for thirty years his intimate friend. Bp. Hall, in 1652, instituted him to the rectory of Heigham, Norwich, which he resigned in 1682 to his son, the minister of St. Peter's Mancroft, whose portrait is in the vestry of that church. The Biographia Britannica mentions a letter from Mr. Whitefoot to Lady Browne, respecting his proposed life, but I have not been able to trace it. He died in 1699, aged 89, and was buried in St. Gregory's, Norwich. The greater part of the Minutes was included by Dr. Johnson, in his life; and the remaining paragraphs will be found in this edition, at the foot of pp. xxvi. xxvii. xxix. He probably intended to write a much fuller life, and it was to this design that Abp. Tenison alluded in his preface to the Miscellany Tracts.

³ The article in the *Biographia* by Kippis is far more copious than any other. It contains references to, and translations of, many criti-

cisms on Browne, and an original letter by him.

Dr. Aikin, in a letter to the Rev. R. Barbauld, in 1775 (inserted in Miss Aikin's memoir of the doctor), says, "I have lately been writing the life of a very extraordinary man, Sir Thomas Browne, &c." Miss Aikin, in reply to an inquiry what had become of this Life, says, "It was not printed in the Biographical Memoirs of Medicine, the only work of my father's on medical biography, because that work comes no lower than Harvey: but he inserted it, I apprehend, in an abridged form, in the General Biography."

I forgot to notice, in my Preface to the Pseudodoxia, that M. du Petir Thouars (who wrote the article in Biographie Universelle) ascribes the

French translation of that work to the Abbé Souchay.

I must not omit to remark that some of his biographers have attributed to Browne works which he did not write. "In the Lift prefixed to Religio Medici. 1736, it is asserted that he wrote a treatise entitled De Lucis Causa et Origine, in a letter to Isaac Vossius, with whom he had a dispute upon that subject (printed at Amsterdam is 1643, and criticising Vossius's work, De Natura et Proprietate Lucis) wherein he strongly maintains Descartes's hypothesis. He also wrot

PREFACE. VII

Johnson's Life, adding here and there a note, corrective or explanatory; -but reserving such additional information, as I have been able to collect from preceding biographies and other sources. for a separate and Supplementary Memoir. Here I have collected all the information in my power respecting the family of Sir Thomas, his literary and scientific pursuits and habits, his correspondents, his works, and the various criticisms they met with both abroad and at home. Respecting the MSS, which he left, I have drawn up (by the help of a catalogue in the Bodleian Library) rather a full notice, partly in order to prove that I have left nothing unpublished, and partly to point out, that the far greater part of the collection is still preserved. in about 100 volumes, extending from No. 1824 to 1924 in the Sloanian MSS. of the British Museum. My account of the family of Sir Thomas is considerably fuller than those hitherto given :—and if in this memoir I have been reluctantly compelled to leave many points of interest in obscurity, I must console myself with Dr. Johnson's reflection "that in all sublunary things, there is something to be wished which we must wish in vain.

But no part of the work has cost me more perplexity and labour than the selection and arrangement of the CORRESPONDENCE. The family letters, extending through a period of twenty years, were almost all without date of the year, though bearing that of month and day: and they were bound up without any kind of order. To supply the omission was no easy affair. Some of

an Apology for the Cartesian Philosophy, in opposition to a divine, named Voyelsang." It may be conjectured that the writer had inadvertently applied to Sir T. B. the following account given by M. Bayle, of a very different person. "Jean de Bruyn, Professeur à Utrecht en Physique et en Mathématique, né à Gorcum, 1620, mort à Leyde, 1675; écrivit à Isaac Vossius une lettre de 68 pages in 4to. De Lucis Causis et Origine, qui fut imprimée à Amsterdam, 1668. Il a fait aussi une Apologie de la Philosophie Cartésianne, contre un Théolo-

gien nommé Vogelsang."

Jocher, in his Allycmeines Gelehrten Lexicon, erroneously attributes to him two other works, viz. The History of the Life and Reign of the famous Princesse Elizabeth,—which is Camden's tomus after et idem; or, the History of the Life and Reign of the famous Princesse Elizabeth, by Tho. Brown, D.D. Lond. 1629, 4to., and Jani Philadelphi Consultatio desultoria de optima Christianorum Secta, et Vitiis Pontificiorum. Prodromus Religionis Medici, small 8vo. Patav. 1688. Jocher asserts that Janus Philadelphus was an assumed name:—it might be so; and, though Sir Thomas died 1682, the book might have appeared posthumously, like several other of his works,—but in the course of it, the author refers to Aris aux RR. PP. Jésuites, du 2me Mai, l'an 1685.—this is concluive against our author's claims, who died three years before.

viii PREFACE.

the letters indeed contained incidents which determined the year, and in a few the day of the week was mentioned, but in the great majority I was compelled to judge by the connection of their subjects with those which I had already dated. It was in short a process of approximation, which, after all, has left many very doubtfully, and several, I fear, wrongly arranged. Some of little interest I rejected, from utter inability to place them;—and, could I have foreseen the bulk of the volume, the rejections would have been more unsparing.

A copious INDEX closes the whole.

The portrait at the head of this work has been engraved from White's, in the folio of 1686, compared with a copy taken, by Dr. Bandinell's kind permission, from the original picture in the schools at Oxford,—a decidedly better picture than that presented by Dr. Howman to the vestry of St. Peter's, Norwich,—and, I

believe, than that which is in the College of Physicians.

Nothing now remains but to express my sense of obligation to the kindness of numerous friends who have rendered me advice. assistance, and encouragement. To enumerate them, were it possible to do so without omission after such a lapse of yearsmight have rather the appearance of parade than of gratitude: while a solitary omission would expose me to the mortifying and undescrived imputation of ingratitude. I shall therefore name but one,—to whom indeed both the first and the last place may be fairly said to belong:—and through whose introduction the far greater number of my other literary obligations have been incurred. I mean my kind friend Thomas Amyot, Esq., who from the very commencement of my undertaking to its completion, has rendered me, in every possible way, and with an unsparing munificence of time, labour, and patience, his own various aid, and has ever been prompt to obtain for me, among his extensive acquaintance, the help of others. To him, and to every other individual from whom I have received the smallest particle of assistance, I beg to offer my most cordial thanks.

And here I close my labours:—content to be peak for them the favourable reception of the public in the quaint language of one of old:—"If I have done well, it was that which I desired; and if slenderly and meanly, it was that which I could attain

unto."

DR. JOHNSON'S LIFE

SIR THOMAS BROWNE.

Though the writer of the following Essays* seems to have had the fortune common among men of letters, of raising little curiosity after his private life, and has, therefore, few memorials preserved of his felicities or misfortunes; yet, because an edition of a posthumous work appears imperfect and neglected, without some account of the author, it was thought necessary to attempt the gratification of that curiosity, which naturally inquires by what peculiarities of nature or fortune eminent men have been distinguished, how uncommon attainments have been gained, and what influence learning has had on its possessors, or virtue on its teachers.

Sir Thomas Browne was born at London, in the parish of St. Michael in Cheapside, on the 19th of October, 1605.* His

- * Life of Sir Thomas Browne, prefixed to the Antiquities of Norwich.
- a the following Essays.] It will be recollected that this life was written in 1756, not for an entire edition of Browne's works, but for a second impression of his Christian Morals, originally published by Archdeacon Jeffery in 1716, and reprinted by Payne in 1756.
- b St. Michael in Cheapside.] St. Michael's Cheap, as it was formerly called, or St. Michael-le-Quern, probably a corruption of the translation of St. Michael ad Bladum, or "at the Corn:" the church having been originally erected, about the reign of Edward III., on the site of a corn market. The church was taken down and rebuilt in 1430, in the eighth of Henry VI. In the great fire of London it was destroyed, and not subsequently rebuilt, the parish being united to that of St. Vedast, in Foster-lane. The registers have all perished.

VOL. I.

father was a merchant of an ancient family at Upton in Cheshire Of the name or family of his mother, I find no account of

Of his childhood or youth, there is little known, except that he lost his father very early, that he was, according to the common fate of orphans,* defrauded by one of his guardians, and that he was placed for his education at the school of Winchester'

His mother, having taken three thousand pounds,† as the third pair of her husbands property, left her son by consequence, six thousand, a large fortune for a man destined to learning at that time when commerce had not yet filled the nation with nominal riches. But it happened to him as to many others, to be made poorer by opulence, for his mother soon married Sir Thomas Dutton, probably by the inducement of her fortune, and he was left to the rapacity of his guardian, deprived now of both his parents, and therefore helpless and unprotected.

He was removed in the beginning of the year 1623 from Winchester to Oxford, ‡ and entered a gentleman-commoner of Broadgate Hall, which was soon afterwards endowed, and took the name of Pembroke College, from the Earl of Pembroke, then Chancellor of the University He was admitted to the degree of bachelor of arts, Januaryh 31, 1626-7, being, as Wood remarks, the first man of eminence graduated from the new college, to which the zeal or gratitude of those that love it most, can wish little better, than that it may long proceed as it began

Having afterwards taken his degree of master of arts,1 he

* Whitefoot's Character of Sir Thomas Browne, in a marginal note + Life, &r # Wood's Athenæ Oconunses

T Life, Ar # Wood's Athenæ Oconunses

" His father] Whom Blomfield erroneously names John dearnethant] Mrs. Lyttelton (as we are informed by Bishop Kennet) says that her father was "a tradesman, a mercer, but a gentleman of good family in Cheshire—Europ Mag xl p 89

e no account] From a pedigree in the College of Arms (which I have printed), it appears that his mother was Ann, the daughter of

Paul Gurraway of Lewes, in Sussex He mentions his grandfather in a letter

the school de] Wykeham's school, near Winchester — Posth Life is left her son de] This would be correct, had he been an only child but he had a brother and two sisters

h January] June of 162(half a year earlier, says Wood -Fasti, 1 426 ed Blas.

1 master of a t] June 11 1629 - Wood's Fast

turned his studies to physick, and practised it for some time in Oxfordshire,* but soon afterwards, either induced by curiosity, or invited by promises, he quitted his settlement, and accompanied his father in-law,† who had some employment in Ireland, in a visitation of the forts and castles, which the state of Ireland then made necessary

He that has once prevailed on himself to break his connexions of acquaintance, and begin a wandering life, very easily continues it Ireland had, at that time, very little to offer to the observation of a man of letters he therefore passed into France and Italy , made some stay at Montpellier and Padua, which were then the celebrated schools of physick, and returning home through Holland, procured himself to be created doctor of

physick at Leyden k

When he began his travels, or when he concluded them, there is no certain account, nor do there remain any observations made by him in his passage through those countries which he visited. To consider, therefore, what pleasure or instruction might have been received from the remarks of a man so curious and diligent, would be voluntarily to indulge a painful reflection, and load the imagination with a wish, which, while it is formed, is known to be vain. It is, however, to be lamented, that those who are most capable of improving mankind, very frequently neglect to communicate their knowledge, either because it is more pleasing to gather ideas than to impart them, or because to minds naturally great, few things appear of so much importance as to deserve the notice of the publick

About the year 1634, he is supposed to have returned to London, and the next year to have written his celebrated treatise, called *Religio Medici*, "the religion of a physician, || which he

* Wood's Athenæ Oromenses, vol 1 col 713

† Life, de ‡ Ibid § Biographia Britannica

| Letter to Sir Kenelm Digby

About 1633, probably

When he I jan dc] It was between 1630 and 1633

m Religio Micliu] Dr Kippis deems himself to have proved, in his note L, p. 628, that Religio M dici was written in 1635. His argument is drawn from a comparison of the date of Browne's Letter to Digly (March 3 1642), with a passage in his I pistle to the Reader, stating that it was written about seven years ago. But this is inconclusive, because the true date of the letter being 16423, the result would be 1636 which is contradicted by another passage in Religio Medica, in which Browne says he was not thirty years old whereas in 1636 he was older. I think it, however, very possible that the true reading of the passage is 'above seven years which would justify Dr. Johnson's date. See the point spoken of in the Preface to Religio Medica, and in the Sufficient of Medical (Medical).

Medici.

declares himself never to have intended for the press, having composed it only for his own exercise and entertainment. It, indeed, contains many passages, which, relating merely to his own person, can be of no great importance to the publick: but when it was written, it happened to him as to others, he was too much pleased with his performance, not to think that it might please others as much; he, therefore, communicated it to his friends, and receiving, I suppose, that exuberant applause with which every man repays the grant of perusing a manuscript, he was not very diligent to obstruct his own praise by recalling his papers, but suffered them to wander from hand to hand, till at last, without his own consent, they were in 1642 given to a printer.

This has, perhaps, sometimes befallen others; and this, I am willing to believe did really happen to Dr. Browne: but there is surely some reason to doubt the truth of the complaint so frequently made of surreptitious editions. A song, or an epigram, may be easily printed without the author's knowledge; because it may be learned when it is repeated, or may be written out with very little trouble: but a long treatise, however elegant, is not often copied by mere zeal or curiosity, but may be worn out in passing from hand to hand, before it is multiplied by a transcript." It is easy to convey an imperfect book, by a distant hand, to the press, and plead the circulation of a false copy as an excuse for publishing the true, or to correct what is found faulty or offensive, and charge the errors on the transcriber's depravations.

This is a stratagem, by which an author panting for fame, and yet afraid of seeming to challenge it, may at once gratify his vanity, and preserve the appearance of modesty; may enter the lists, and secure a retreat: and this, candour might suffer to pass undetected as an innocent fraud, but that indeed no fraud is innocent; for the confidence which makes the happiness of society, is in some degree diminished by every man, whose practice is at variance with his words.

The Religio Medici was no sooner published than it excited the attention of the publick, by the novelty of paradoxes, the dignity of sentiment, the quick succession of images, the multitude of abstruse allusions, the subtlety of disquisition, and the strength of language.

What is much read will be much criticised. The Earl of Dorset recommended this book to the perusal of Sir Kenelm

Digby, who returned his judgment upon it, not in a letter, but a

" a transcript.] See remarks on this point in the Preface to Religio

book; in which, though mingled with some positions fabulous and uncertain, there are acute remarks, just censures, and profound speculations, yet its principal claim to admiration is, that it was written in twenty-four hours,* of which part was spent in

procuring Browne's book, and part in reading it.

Of these animadversions, when they were vet not all printed. either officiousness or malice informed Dr. Browne; who wrote to Sir Kenelm with much softness and ceremony, declaring the inworthiness of his work to engage such notice, the intended privacy of the composition, and the corruptions of the impression; and received an answer equally gentle and respectful, containing high commendations of the piece, pompous professions of reverence, meek acknowledgments of inability, and anxious apologies for the hastiness of his remarks.

The reciprocal civility of authors is one of the most risible scenes in the farce of life. Who would not have thought, that these two luminaries of their age had ceased to endeavour to grow bright by the obscuration of each other; yet the animadversions thus weak, thus precipitate, upon a book thus injured in the transcription, quickly passed the press; and Religio Medici was more accurately published, with an admonition prefixed "to those who have or shall peruse the observations upon a former corrupt copy;" in which there is a severe censure, not upon Digby, who was to be used with ceremony, but upon the Observator who had usurped his name: nor was this invective written by Dr. Browne, who was supposed to be satisfied with his opponent's apology; but by some officious friend zealous for his honour, without his consent.

Browne has, indeed, in his own preface, endeavoured to secure himself from rigorous examination, by alleging, that "many things are delivered rhetorically, many expressions merely tropical, and therefore many things to be taken in a soft and flexible sense, and not to be called unto the rigid test of reason." The first glance upon his book will indeed discover examples of this liberty of thought and expression: "I could be content (says he) to be nothing almost to eternity, if I might enjoy my Saviour at the last." He has little acquaintance with the acuteness of Browne, who suspects him of a serious opinion, that any thing can be "almost eternal," or that any time beginning and ending is not infinitely less than infinite duration.

* Diaby's Letter to Browne.

o nor was this invective, &c. Yet the style of this admonition would justify our ascribing it to Browne, quite as much as that of the advertisement relating to Nature's Cabinet Unlocked, which Dr. Johnson considers to have been his.

P (says he.) Religio Medici.

In this book, he speaks much, and, in the opinion of Digby, too much of himself, but with such generality and conciseness as affords very little light to his biographer—he declares, that, besides the dialects of different provinces, he understood six languages, that he was no stranger to astronomy, and that he had seen several countries—but what most awakens curiosity, is his solemn assertion, that "his life has been a miracle of thirty years, which to relate, were not history but a piece of poetry, and would sound like a fable"

There is, undoubtedly, a sense, in which all life is miraculous, as it is an union of powers of which we can image no connexion, a succession of motions of which the first cause must be supernatural but life, thus explained, whatever it may have of miracle, will have nothing of fable, and, therefore, the author undoubtedly had regard to something, by which he imagined himself dis-

tinguished from the rest of mankind

Of these wonders, however, the view that can be now taken of his life offers no appearance The course of his education was like that of others, such as put him little in the way of extraordinary casualties A scholastick and academical life is very uniform, and has, indeed, more safety than pleasure A traveller has greater opportunities of adventure, but Browne traversed no unknown seas or Arabian deserts and, surely, a man may visit France and Italy, reside at Montpellier and Padua, and at last take his degree at Leyden, without any thing miraculous What it was, that would, if it was related, sound so poetical and fabulous, we are left to guess, I believe, without hope of guessing rightly The wonders probably were transacted in his own mind self-love, co operating with an imagination vigorous and fertile as that of Browne, will find or make objects of astonishment in every man's life, and, perhaps, there is no human being, however hid in the crowd from the observation of his fellow-mortals, who, if he has leisure and disposition to recollect his own thoughts and actions, will not conclude his life in some sort a miracle, and imagine himself distinguished from all the rest of his species by many discriminations of nature or of fortune

The success of this performance was such, as might naturally encourage the author to new undertakings. A gentleman of Cambridge * whose name was Merryweather, turned it not inelegantly into Latin, and from his version it was again translated into Italian, German, Dutch, and French, and at Stras-

* Life, &c

q Italian] This translation I have never met with, nor have I ever seen it more distinctly mentioned than in this notice.

burg, the Latin translation was published with large notes, by Lenuus Nicolaus Moltfarius. Of the English annotations, which in all the editions from 1644 accompany the book, the author is unknown.

Of Merryweather, to whose zeal Browne was so much indebted for the sudden extension of his renown, I know nothing, but that he published a small treatise for the instruction of young persons in the attainment of a Latin stile. He printed his translation in Holland with some difficulty.* The first printer to whom he offered it, carried it to Salmasius, "who laid it by (says he) in state for three months," and then discouraged its publication: it was afterwards rejected by two other printers, and at last was received by Hackius.

The peculiarities of this book raised the author, as is usual, many admirers and many enemies; but we know not of more than one professed answer, written under the title of *Medicus Medicatus*, by Alexander Ross, which was universally neglected

by the world.

At the time when this book was published, Dr. Browne resided at Norwich, where he had settled in 1636, by the persuasion of Dr. Lushington, his tutor, who was then rector of Burnham Westgate in the neighbourhood. It is recorded by Wood, that his practice was very extensive, and that many patients resorted to him. In 1637§ he was incorporated doctor of physick in Oxford.

He married in 1641 Mrs. Mileham, of a good family in Norfolk; "a lady (says Whitefoot) of such symmetrical proportion to her worthy husband, both in the graces of her body

* Merryweather's Letter—Correspondence.

+ Life, &c. # Wood.

§ Wood. || Whitefoot.

'Lennus Nicolaus Moltfarius.] The true name is Levinus Nicolaus Moltkenias. He signs his preface in initials thus, L. N. M. E. M. which are thus explained by a French critic:—"Ces lettres initiales designent Levinus Nicolaus Moltkius, dont on a encore Conclave Alexandri VII., et alia Historica conjunctim edita Slesvici, 1656, 8vo."—Niceron, Mém. p. Servir à l'Hist. des Hommes Célèbres, xxiii. 356.

' the author, &c.] Was Mr. Thomas Keck, of the Temple.—Preface

to Religio Medici.

^t Latin stile.] See Supplementary Memoir.

" answer.] In 1645.—See Preface to Religio Medici and Supplementary Memoir.

* Burnham Westgate.] See Supplementary Memoir.

y Mrs. Mileham, &c.] Daughter of Edward Mileham, Esq., of Burlingham, in Norfolk.—See Pedigree, &c.

and mind, that they seemed to come together by a kind of

natural magnetism."

This marriage could not but draw the raillery of contemporary wits* upon a man, who had just been wishing in his new book. "that we might procreate, like trees, without conjunction;" and had lately declared,† that "the whole world was made for man, but only the twelfth part of man for woman;" and, that "man is the whole world, but woman only the rib or crooked part of man."

Whether the lady had been yet informed of these contemptuous positions, or whether she was pleased with the conquest of so formidable a rebel, and considered it as a double triumph, to attract so much merit, and overcome so powerful prejudices; or whether, like most others, she married upon mingled motives, between convenience and inclination; she had, however, no reason to repent: for she l-ved happily with him one and forty years; and bore him tenz children, of whom one son and three daughters outlived their parents; she survived him two years, and passed her widowhood in plenty, if not in opulence.

Browne having now entered the world as an author, and experienced the delights of praise and molestations of censure, probably found his dread of the publick eye diminished; and. therefore, was not long before he trusted his name to the criticks a second time: for in 1646 the printed Enquiries into Vulgar and Common Errors; a work, which as it arose not from fancy and invention, but from observation and books, and contained not a single discourse of one continued tenor, of which the latter part rose from the former, but an enumeration of many unconnected particulars, must have been the collection of years. and the effect of a design early formed and long pursued, to which his remarks had been continually referred, and which arose gradually to its present bulk by the daily aggregation of new particles of knowledge. It is, indeed, to be wished, that he had longer delayed the publication, and added what the remaining part of his life might have furnished: the thirty-six years which he spent afterwards in study and experience, would doubtless have made large additions to an "Enquiry into Vulgar Errors." He published in 1672 the sixth edition, with some improvements; but I think rather with explications of what he had already written, than any new heads of disquisition. But with the work, such as the author, whether hindered from

^{*} Howell's Letters, book i. 60, and Religio Bibliopolæ. † Religio Medici. ‡ Life, &c.

ten.] Eleven.—See Pedigree.

continuing it by eagerness of praise, or weariness of labour, thought fit to give, we must be content; and remember, that in all sublunary things, there is something to be wished, which we must wish in vain.

This book, like his former, was received with great applause, was answered by Alexander Ross, and translated into Dutch and German, and not many years ago into French. It might now be proper, had not the favour with which it was at first reteived filled the kingdom with copies, to reprint it with notes partly supplemental and partly emendatory, to subjoin those discoveries which the industry of the last age has made, and correct those mistakes which the author has committed, not by idleness or negligence, but for want of Boyle's and Newton's philosophy.^a

He appears, indeed, to have been willing to pay labour for truth "Having heard a flying rumour of sympathetick needles, by which, suspended over a circular alphabet, distant friends or lovers might correspond, he procured two such alphabets to be made, touched his needles with the same magnet, and placed them upon proper spindles the result was, that when he moved one of his needles, the other, instead of taking by sympathy the same direction, "stood like the pillars of Hercules" That it continued motionless, will be easily believed, and most men would have been content to believe it, without the labour of so hopeless an experiment. Browne might himself have obtained the same conviction by a method less operose, if he had thrust his needles through corks, and then set them affoat in two basons of water.

Notwithstanding his zeal to detect old errors, he seems not very easy to admit new positions, for he never mentions the motion of the earth but with contempt and ridicule, though the opinion, which admits it, was then growing popular, and

^{*} This book, &c] See Preface to Pseudodoxia Epidemica, for a detailed account of the replies to it, as well as of the various editions and translations of the work itself. If the present edition be deemed but imperfectly to answer the doctor's description of what it ought to be, I can only offer the plea, that arrangements (on whose efficiency I was justified in relying) have been, in a great measure, frustrated, by the non fulfilment of engagement, on which those arrangements depended. By this disappointment (which I submitted to repeated delays, in the vain hope of avoiding), I have been deprived of some important scientific illustratiors, precisely of the character described in the paragraph before us

b truth] His willingness to take pains to disprove even the most absurd fables, is well evinced in his chapter On the Three Kings of Collein.

was, surely, plausible, even before it was confirmed by later observations.

The reputation of Browne encouraged some low writer to publish, under his name, a book called "Nature's Cabinet Unlocked;"* translated, according to Wood, from the physicks of Magirus; of which Browne took care to clear himself, by modestly advertising, that "if any man had been benefited by it, he was not so ambitious as to challenge the honour thereof, as having no hand in that work."

In 1658 the discovery of some ancient urns in Norfolk gave him occasion to write Hydriotaphia, Urnburial, or a Discourse of Sepulchral Urns, in which he treats with his usual learning on the funeral rites of the ancient nations; exhibits their various treatment of the dead; and examines the substances found in his Norfolcian urns. There is, perhaps, none of his works which better exemplifies his reading or memory. It is scarcely to be imagined, how many particulars he has amassed together. in a treatise which seems to have been occasionally written: and for which, therefore, no materials could have been previously collected. It is, indeed, like other treatises of antiquity, rather for curiosity than use; for it is of small importance to know which nation buried their dead in the ground, which threw them into the sea, or which gave them to birds and beasts; when the practice of cremation began, or when it was disused; whether the bones of different persons were mingled in the same urn; what oblations were thrown into the pyre; or how the ashes of the body were distinguished from those of other substances. Of the uselessness of all these enquiries, Browne seems not to have been ignorant; and, therefore, concludes them with an observation which can never be too frequently recollected.

"All or most apprehensions rested in opinions of some future being, which ignorantly or coldly believed, begat those perverted conceptions, ceremonies, sayings, which Christians pity or laugh at. Happy are they, which live not in that disadvantage of time, when men could say little for futurity, but from reason; whereby the noblest minds fell often upon doubtful deaths, and melancholy dissolutions: with these hopes Socrates warmed his doubtful spirits, against the cold potion; and Cato, before he durst give the fatal stroke, spent part of the night in reading the Immortality of Plato, thereby confirming his wavering hand unto the animosity of that attempt.

"It is the heaviest stone that melancholy can throw at man,

^{*} Wood, and Life of Sir Thomas Browne.

⁺ At the end of the Garden of Cyrus.

to tell him he is at the end of his nature; or that there is no further state to come, unto which this seems progressional, and otherwise made in vain: without this accomplishment, the natural expectation and desire of such a state, were but a fallacy in nature; unsatisfied considerators would guarrel the justice of their constitution, and rest content that Adam had fallen lower, whereby, by knowing no other original, and deeper ignorance of themselves, they might have enjoyed the happiness of inferior creatures, who in tranquillity possess their constitutions, as having not the apprehension to deplore their own natures; and being framed below the circumference of these hopes or cognition of better things, the wisdom of God hath necessitated their contentment. But the superior ingredient and obscured part of ourselves, whereto all present felicities afford no resting contentment, will be able at last to tell us we are more than our present selves; and evacuate such hopes in the fruition of their own accomplishments."

To his treatise on Urnburial was added the Garden of Cyrus, or the Quincunxial Lozenge, or Network Plantation of the Ancients, Artificially, Naturally, Mystically Considered. This discourse he begins with the Sacred Garden, in which the first man was placed; and deduces the practice of horticulture from the earliest accounts of antiquity to the time of the Persian Cyrus, the first man whom we actually know to have planted a Quincunx; which, however, our author is inclined to believe of longer date, and not only discovers it in the description of the langing gardens of Babylon, but seems willing to believe, and to persuade his reader, that it was practised by the feeders on vegetables before the flood.

Some of the most pleasing performances have been produced by learning and genius exercised upon subjects of little importance. It seems to have been, in all ages, the pride of wit, to show how it could exalt the low, and amplify the little. To speak not inadequately of things really and naturally great, is a task not only difficult but disagreeable; because the writer is degraded in his own eyes by standing in comparison with his subject, to which he can hope to add nothing from his imagination: but it is a perpetual triumph of fancy to expand a scanty theme, to raise glittering ideas from obscure properties, and to produce to the world an object of wonder to which nature had contributed little. To this ambition, perhaps, we owe the

Mystically Considered.] He withstood the Copernican hypothesis on precisely the same ground on which some modern naturalists are disposed to regard, with apprehension and distrust, the Cuvierian System of Geology—as opposing the statements of Scripture.

Frogs of Homer, the Gnat and the Bees of Virgil, the Butterfly of Spenser, the Shadow of Wowerus, and the Quincunx

of Browne

In the prosecution of this sport of fancy, he considers every production of art and nature, in which he could find any decussation or approaches to the form of a Quincunx, and as a man once resolved upon ideal discoveries, seldom searches long in vain, he finds his favourite figure in almost every thing, whether natural or invented, ancient or modern, rude or artificial. sacred and civil, so that a reader, not watchful against the power of his infusions, would imagine that decussation was the great business of the world, and that nature and art had no other purpose than to exemplify and imitate a Quincunx

To show the excellence of this figure, he enumerates all its properties, and finds in it almost every thing of use or plea sure and to show how readily he supplies what he cannot find, one instance may be sufficient, "though therein (says he) we meet not with right angles, yet every rhombus containing four angles equal unto two right, it virtually contains two right in

every one'

The fanciful sports of great minds are never without some advantage to knowledge Browne has interspersed many curious observations on the form of plants, and the laws of vegetation and appears to have been a very accurate observer of the modes of germination, and to have watched with great nicety the evo lution of the parts of plants from their seminal principles

He is then naturally led to treat of the number five, and finds, that by this number many things are circumscribed that there are five kinds of vegetable productions, five sections of a cone, five orders of architecture, and five acts of a play And observing that five was the ancient conjugal or wedding number, he proceeds to a speculation which I shall give in his own words, "the ancient numerists made out the conjugal number by two and three, the first parity and imparity, the active and passive digits, the material and formal principles in generative societies

These are all the tracts which he published but many papers were found in his closet, "some of them (says Whitefoot), de signed for the press, were often transcribed and corrected by his

own hand, after the fashion of great and curious writers

Of these two collections have been published, one by Di Tenison, the other in 1722 by a nameless editor d Whether the one or the other selected those pieces which the author would have preferred, cannot now be known but they have both the

d editor] John Hase, Richmond Herald -See Preface to Reperto 6 11/11

merit of giving to mankind what was too valuable to be suppressed; and what might, without their interposition, have, perhaps, perished among other innumerable labours of learned men, or have been burnt in a scarcity of fuel like the papers of Pereskius.

The first of these posthumous treatises contains "observations upon several plants mentioned in Scripture." These remarks. though they do not immediately either rectify the faith, or refine the morals of the reader, yet are by no means to be censured as superfluous niceties or useless speculations; for they often show some propriety of description, or elegance of allusion, utterly undiscoverable to readers not skilled in oriental botany; and are often of more important use, as they remove some difficulty from narratives, or some obscurity from precepts.

The next is "of garlands, or coronary and garland plants;" a · subject merely of learned curiosity, without any other end than the pleasure of reflecting on ancient customs, or on the industry with which studious men have endeavoured to recover them.

The next is a letter, "on the fishes eaten by our Saviour with his disciples, after his resurrection from the dead;" which contains no determinate resolution of the question, what they were, for indeed it cannot be determined. All the information that diligence or learning could supply, consists in an enumeration of

the fishes produced in the waters of Judea.

Then follow "answers to certain queries about fishes, birds, and insects;" and "a letter of hawks and falconry, ancient and modern:" in the first of which he gives the proper interpretation of some ancient names of animals, commonly mistaken; and in the other has some curious observations on the art of hawking, which he considers as a practice unknown to the ancients. believe all our sports of the field are of Gothick original; the ancients neither hunted by the scent, nor seem much to have practised horsemanship as an exercise; and though, in their works, there is mention of "aucupium" and "piscatio," they seem no more to have been considered as diversions, than agriculture or any other manual labour.

In two more letters he speaks of "the cymbals of the Hebrews," but without any satisfactory determination; and of "ropalick or gradual verses," that is, of verses beginning with a word of one syllable, and proceeding by words of which each

has a syllable more than the former; as.

"O Deus. æternæ stationis conciliator."—Ausonius.

e recover them.] To which Browne's attention was turned by enquiries of Evelyn, who applied to him for assistance in his projec work on horticulture, and to whom this essay was enclosed, in a let -See Correspondence.

and, after his manner, pursuing the hint, he mentions many other restrained methods of versifying, to which industrious

ignorance has sometimes voluntarily subjected itself.

His next attempt is "on languages, and particularly the Saxon tongue." He discourses with great learning, and generally with great justness, of the derivation and changes of languages; but, like other men of multifarious learning, he receives some notions without examination. Thus he observes, according to the popular opinion, that the Spaniards have retained so much Latin, as to be able to compose sentences that shall be at once grammatically Latin and Castilian: this will appear very unlikely to a man that considers the Spanish terminations; and Howell, who was eminently skilful in the three provincial languages, declares, that after many essays he never could effect it.

The principal design of this letter, is to show the affinity between the modern English and the ancient Saxon; and he observes, very rightly, that "though we have borrowed many substantives, adjectives, and some verbs, from the French; yet the great body of numerals, auxiliary verbs. articles, pronouns, adverbs, conjunctions, and prepositions, which are the distinguishing and lasting parts of a language, remain with us from

the Saxon."

To prove this position more evidently, he has drawn up a short discourse of six paragraphs, in Saxon and English; of which every word is the same in both languages, excepting the terminations and orthography. The words are, indeed, Saxon, but the phraseology is English; and, I think, would not have been understood by Bede or Ælfric, notwithstanding the confidence of our author. He has, however, sufficiently proved his position, that the English resembles its parental language, more

than any modern European dialect.

There remain five tracts of this collection yet unmentioned; one "of artificial hills, mounts, or burrows, in England;" in reply to an interrogatory letter of E. D. whom the writers of Biographia Britannica suppose to be, if rightly printed, W. D. or Sir William Dugdale, one of Browne's correspondents. These are declared by Browne, in concurrence, I think, with all other antiquarians, to be for the most part funeral monuments. He proves, that both the Danes and Saxons buried their men of eminence under piles of earth, "which admitting (says he) neither ornament, epitaph, nor inscription, may, if earthquakes spare them, outlast other monuments: obelisks have their term, and pyramids will tumble; but these mountainous monuments may stand and are like to have the same period with the earth."

in the next, he answers two geographical questions; one concerning Troas, mentioned in the Acts and Epistles of St. Paul, which he determines to be the city built near the ancient Ilium; and the other concerning the Dead Sea, of which he gives the same account with other writers.

Another letter treats "of the answers of the oracle of Apollo at Delphos, to Crossus king of Lydia." In this tract nothing deserves notice, more than that Browne considers the oracles as evidently and indubitably supernatural, and founds all his disquisition upon that postulate. He wonders why the physiologists of old, having such means of instruction, did not enquire into the secrets of nature: but judiciously concludes, that such questions would probably have been vain; "for, in matters cognoscible, and formed for our disquisition, our industry must be our oracle, and reason our Apollo."

The pieces that remain are, "A prophecy concerning the future state of several nations;" in which Browne plainly discovers his expectation to be the same with that entertained lately with more confidence by Dr. Berkeley, "that America will be the seat of the fifth empire:" and "Museum clausum, sive Bibliotheca abscondita;" in which the author amuses himself with imagining the existence of books and curiosities, either

never in being, or irrecoverably lost.

These pieces I have recounted as they are ranged in Tenison's collection, because the editor has given no account of the time at which any of them were written. Some of them are of little value, more than as they gratify the mind with the picture of a great scholar, turning his learning into amusement; or show upon how great a variety of enquiries the same mind has been successfully employed.

The other collection of his posthumous pieces, published in octavo, Lond. 1722, contains "Repertorium; or some account of the tombs and monuments in the cathedral of Norwich;" where, as Tenison observes, there is not matter proportionate to

the skill of the antiquary.

The other pieces are, "Answers to Sir William Dugdale's enquiries about the tens; a letter concerning Iceland; another relating to urns newly discovered; Some short strictures on different subjects;" and "A letter to a friend on the death of his intimate friend," published singly by the author's son in 1690.

There is inserted, in the Biographia Britannica, "A letter

g 1722.] This date was taken from a copy which had a reprint title. The book was published in 1712.

f postulate.] His perfect conviction of the Satanic influence exerted n oracles is strongly expressed in a passage of his Religio Medici. respecting the ground of his belief of their cessation at the coming of Jesus Christ;—viz. the confession of the devil himself, in his oracle to Augustus.

containing instructions for the study of physick;" which, with the Essays here offered to the publick, completes the works of Dr. Browne.

To the life of this learned man, there remains little to be added, but that in 1665 he was chosen honorary fellow of the college of physicians, as a man, "Virtute et literis ornatissimus,—eminently embellished with literature and virtue:" and, in 1671, received, at Norwich, the honour of knighthood from Charles II., a prince, who with many frailties and vices, had yet skill to discover excellence, and virtue to reward it, with such honorary distinctions at least as cost him nothing, yet, conferred by a king so judicious and so much beloved, had the power of giving merit new lustre and greater popularity.

Thus he lived in high reputation; till in his seventy-sixth year he was seized with a colick, which, after having tortured him about a week, put an end to his life, at Norwich, on his birth-day, October 19, 1682.* Some of his last words were expressions of submission to the will of God, and fearlessness of

death.

He lies buried in the church of St. Peter Mancroft, in Norwich, with this inscription on a mural monument, placed on the south pillar of the altar:

M.S.
HIC SITUS EST
THOMAS BROWNE, M.D.
ET MILES.

Aº 1605. LONDONI NATUS
GENEROSA FAMILIA APUD UPTON IN AGRO CESTRIENSI ORIUNDUS,
SCHOLA PRIMUM WINTONIENSI, POSTEA

IN COLL. PEMBR.

APUD OXONIENSES BONIS LITERIS
HAUD LEVITER IMBUTUS.
IN URBE HAC NORDOVICENSI MEDICINAM
ARTE EGREGIA, ET FŒLICI SUCCESSU PROFESSUS,
SCRIPTIS, QUIBUS TITULI, RELIGIO MEDICI

ET PSEUDODOXIA EPIDEMICA ALIISQUE PER ORBEM NOTISSIMUS

VIR PIENTISSIMUS, INTEGERRIMUS, DOCTISSIMUS;
OBIIT OCTOBR. 19, 1682.

PIE POSUIT MŒSTISSIMA CONJUX Da. DOROTH. BR.

* Life, &c. - Whitefoot.

h in 1665, &c.] Rather in 1664.—See Supplementary Memoir. i He lies buried, &c.] "Within the railes at the east end of the chancel."—Wood, 4to. Le Neve says the cathedral.—See above.

NEAR THE FOOT OF THIS PILLAR LIES SIR THOMAS BROWNE, KNIGHT,

AND DOCTOR IN PHYSICK,

AUTHOR OF RELIGIO MEDICI, AND OTHER LEARNED BOOKS, WHO PRACTIC'D PHYSICK IN THIS CITY 46 YEARS, AND DIED OCTOBER 19, 1682, IN THE 77 YEAR OF HIS AGE.

IN MEMORY OF WHOM

DAME DOROTHY BROWNE,
WHO HAD BEEN HIS AFFECTIONATE WIFE 41 YEARS,
CAUSED THIS MONUMENT TO BE ERECTED.

Besides his lady, who died in 1685, he left a son and three daughters. Of the daughters nothing very remarkable is known; but his son, Edward Browne, requires a particular mention.

He was born about the year 1642; and after having passed through the classes of the school at Norwich, became bachelor of physick at Cambridge; and afterwards removing to Merton College in Oxford, was admitted there to the same degree, and afterwards made a doctor. In 1668 he visited part of Germany, and in the year following made a wider excursion into Austria, Hungary, and Thessaly; where the Turkish Sultan then kept his court at Larissa. He afterwards passed through Italy. His skill in natural history made him particularly attentive to mines and metallurgy. Upon his return he published an account of the countries through which he had passed; which I have heard commended by a learned traveller, who has visited many places after him, as written with scrupulous and exact veracity, such as is scarcely to be found in any other book of the same kind. But whatever it may contribute to the instruction of a naturalist, I cannot recommend it as likely to give much pleasure to common readers: for whether it be, that the world is very uniform, and therefore he who is resolved to adhere to truth, will have few novelties to relate; or that Dr. Browne was, by the train of his studies, led to enquire most after those things, by which the greatest part of mankind is little affected; a great part of his book seems to contain very unimportant accounts of his passage from one place where he saw little, to another where he saw no more.

Upon his return, he practised physick in London; was made physician first to Charles II., and afterwards in 1682 to St. Bartholomew's hospital. About the same time he joined his name to those of many other eminent men, in "A translation of Plutarch's lives." He was first censor, then elect, and treasurer of the college of physicians; of which in 1705 he was chosen

president, and held his office, till in 1708 he died in a degree of estimation suitable to a man so variously accomplished, that King Charles had honoured him with this panegyrick, that "He was as learned as any of the college, and as well bred as any of the court."

Of every great and eminent character, part breaks forth into public view, and part lies hid in domestic privacy. Those qualities which have been exerted in any known and lasting performances, may, at any distance of time, be traced and estimated; but silent excellencies are soon forgotten; and those minute peculiarities which discriminate every man from all others, if they are not recorded by those whom personal knowledge enabled to observe them, are irrecoverably lost. This mutilation of character must have happened, among many others, to Sir Thomas Browne, had it not been delineated by his friend, Mr. Whitefoot, who "esteemed it an especial favour of Providence, to have had a particular acquaintance with him for two-thirds of his life." Part of his observations I shall, therefore, copy.\(^1\)

¹ copy.] Mr. Whitefoot's being the earliest existing biographical sketch of our author, and the work of a contemporary, and an intimate friend, I had felt strongly disposed to print it entire, rather than give Dr. Johnson's extracts. But as he has omitted only the commencement, and two or three paragraphs in the midst, I have thought it better to present Dr. Johnson's Biography just as it stood, supplying his omissions in notes. Here follow the introductory paragraphs, thus headed:—

"Some Minutes for the Life of Sir Thomas Browne, by John Whitefoot, M.A. late Rector of Heigham, in Norfolk.

"Had my province been only to preach a funeral sermon for this excellent person, I might, perhaps, have been allowed, upon such a singular occasion, to have chosen my text out of a book, which though it be not approved to be canonical, yet is not permitted only, but ordered to be read publicly in our church, and for the eminent wisdom of the contents, well deserving that honour, I mean that of Syracides, or Jesus, the son of Syrach, commonly called Ecclesiasticus, which, in the thirty-eighth chapter, and the first verse, hath these words: 'Honour a physician with the honour due unto him; for the uses which you may have of him, for the Lord hath created him; for of the most High cometh healing, and he shall receive honour of the king?' (as ours did that of knighthood from the present king, when he was in this city.) 'The skill of the physician shall lift up his head, and in the sight of great men shall be in admiration.' So was this worthy person by the greatest men of this nation that ever came into this country, by whom also he was frequently and personally visited.

"But a further account of his extraordinary merits, whereby he obtained so great a degree of honour from all that knew him, remains to be given in the history of his life. And had that been written by him-

"For a character of his person, his complexion and hair was answerable to his name, his stature was moderate, and habit of

body neither fat nor lean but εὐσάρκος.

"In his habit of clothing, he had an aversion to all finery, and affected plainness, both in the fashion and ornaments. He ever wore a cloke, or boots, when few others did. He kept himself always very warm, and thought it most safe so to do, though he never loaded himself with such a multitude of garments, as Suctonius reports of Augustus, enough to clothe a good family.

"The horizon of his understanding was much larger than the hemisphere of the world; all that was visible in the heavens he comprehended so well, that few that are under them knew so much. He could tell the number of the visible stars in his horizon, and call them all by their names that had any; and of the

self, as hath been done by many eminent persons, both antient and modern, Hebrews, Greeks, Latins, and others,* it would not only have gratified, but obliged, the world beyond what is possible to be done by any other hand, much more by that, into which (upon divers particular obligations) that task is fallen: 'For what man knows the things of a man, save the Spirit of a Man, which is in him.' And though that must needs know more of any man, than can be known by others, yet may it be, and generally is (being blinded with that original sin of selflove), very defective in the habit and practice of that original precept, that is said to have come down from heaven, γνῶθι σὲαυτον, 'Know thyself.' Two things there are in nature, which are the greatest impediments of sight; viz. nearness and distance of the object, but of the two, distance is the greater; in ordinary cases every man is too near himself, others are too far distant from him, to observe his imperfections; some are greater strangers to themselves than they are to their neighbours; this worthy person had as complete an intelligence of himself as any other man, and much more perfect than most others have, being a singular observer of everything that belonged to himself, from the time that he became capable of such observation, whereof he hath given several remarkable instances in his Religio Medici, of which I shall have occasion to speak more hereafter.

"I ever esteemed it a special favour of Divine Providence to have had a more particular acquaintance with this excellent person, for twothirds of his life, than any other man that is now left alive; but that which renders me a willing debtor to his name and family, is the special

obligations of favour that I had from him above most men.

"Two and thirty years, or thereabouts, of his life was spent before I had any knowledge of him, whereof I can give no other account than I received from his relations: by whom I am informed, that he was born in the year 1605, in the city of London."

(Then follows the text, to p. xxix.)

+ 1 Cor. ii. 11.

^{*} Moses, Josephus, Antoninus, Cardan, Junius, Bishop Hall, &c.

earth he had such a minute and exact geographical knowledge, as if he had been by Divine Providence ordained surveyorgeneral of the whole terrestrial orb, and its products, minerals, plants, and animals He was so curious a botanist, that besides the specifical distinctions, he made nice and elaborate observations, equally useful as entertaining

"His memory, though not so eminent as that of Seneca or Scaliger, was capacious and tenacious, insomuch as he remembered all that was remarkable in any book that he had read; and not only knew all persons again that he had ever seen at any distance of time, but remembered the circumstances of their

bodies, and their particular discourses and speeches

"In the Latin poets he remembered everything that was acute and pungent, he had read most of the historians, antient and modern, wherein his observations were singular, not taken notice of by common readers, he was excellent company when he was at leisure, and expressed more light than heat in the

temper of his brain

"He had no despotical power over his affections and passions (that was a privilege of original perfection, forfeited by the neglect of the use of it), but as large a political power over them as any stoick or min of his time, whereof he gave so great experiment, that he hath very rarely been known to have been overcome with any of them. The strongest that were found in him, both of the nascible and concupiscible, were under the controul of his reason. Of admiration, which is one of them, being the only product, either of ignorance, or uncommon knowledge, he had more, and less, than other men, upon the same account of his knowing more than others, so that though he met with many rarities, he admired them not so much as others do

"He was never seen to be transported with mirth, or dejected with sidness, always cheerful, but rarely merry, at any sensible rate, seldom heard to break a jest, and when he did, he would be apt to blush at the levity of it his gravity was natural with-

out affectation

' His modesty was visible in a natural habitual blush, which was increased upon the least occasion, and oft discovered without

any observable cause

"They that knew no more of him than by the briskness of his writings found themselves deceived in their expectation when they came in his company, noting the gravity and sobriety of his aspect and conversation, so free from loquacity, or much talkativeness, that he was something difficult to be engaged in any discourse, though when he was so, it was always singular and never trite or vulgar Parsimonious in nothing but his time, whereof he made as much improvement, with as little loss

as any man in it, when he had any to spare from his drudging practice, he was scarce patient of any diversion from his study; so impatient of sloth and idleness, that he would say, he could

not do nothing.m

"Sir Thomas understood most of the European languages, viz. all that are in Hutter's bible, which he made use of. The Latin and Greek he understood critically; the oriential languages, which never were vernacular in this part of the world, he thought the use of them would not answer the time and pains of learning them; yet had so great a veneration for the matrix of them, viz. the Hebrew, consecrated to the Oracles of God, that he was not content to be totally ignorant of it; though very little of his science is to be found in any books of that primitive language. And though much is said to be written in the derivative idnoms of that tongue, especially the Arabick, yet he was satisfied with the translations, wherein he found nothing admirable.

"In his religion he continued in the same mind which he had declared in his first book, written when he was but thirty years old, his Religio Medici, wherein he fully assented to that of the church of England, preferring it before any in the world, as did the learned Grotius. He attended the publick service very con-

" do nothing.] Here Dr. Johnson has omitted the following pas-

"In his papers left behind him, which were many, nothing was found that was vulgar, but all savouring of much ingenuity and curiosity; some of them designed for the press, were often transcribed and corrected by his own hand, after the fashion of great and curious wits.

"He had ten children by his surviving only wife,* a lady of such a symmetrical proportion to her worthy husband, both in the graces of her body and mind, that they seemed to come together by a kind

of natural magnetism.

"Four of his children survived, a son and three daughters, all of them remarkably partakers of his ingenuity and virtues; who were left behind to propagate that $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \psi \dot{\nu} \dot{a}$, that excelled in his person. Though health, grace, and happiness, are no hereditary portions, yet

good nature generally is.

- "His surviving son + was his eldest child, a person of eminent reputation in the city of London; and hath seen the best part of Europe—France, Italy, Lower and High Germany, Croatia, and Greece, as far as Larissa—has been in four of the greatest princes' courts that border upon the Mediterranean, viz. that of the Emperor, that of France, the Pope, and the Grand Signior."
- * Whose maiden name was Mileham, a gentlewoman of a very considerable family in the county of Norfolk.

 † Dr. Edward Browne, late President of the College of Physicians.

stantly, when he was not withheld by his practice. Never missed the sacrament in his parish, if he were in town Read the best English sermons he could hear of, with liberal applause, and delighted not in controversies In his last sickness, wherein he continued about a weeks time, enduring great pain of the cholick, besides a continual fever, with as much patience as hath been seen in any man without any pretence of stoical anathy. animosity or vanity of not being concerned thereat, or suffering no impeachment of happiness Nihil agis dolor

"His patience was founded upon the Christian philosophy, and a sound faith of God's providence, and a meek and humble submission thereunto, which he expressed in few words visited him near his end, when he had not strength to hear or speak much, the last words which I heard from him were. besides some expressions of dearness, that he did freely submit to the will of God, being without fear He had oft triumphed over the king of terrors in others, and given many repulses in the defence of patients, but when his own turn came, he submitted with a meek, rational, and religious courage.

"He might have made good the old saying of dat Galenus opes, had he lived in a place that could have afforded it his indulgence and liberality to his children, especially in their trivels, two of his sons in divers countries, and two of his daughters in Fiance spent him more than a little He was liberal in his house entertainments, and in his charity, he left a comfortable but no great estate, both to his lady and children, gained by his own industry, having spent the greatest part of his patri-

monv* in his travels

"Such was his sagacity and knowledge of all history, antient and modern, and his observations thereupon so singular, that it hath been said by them that knew him best, that if his profession and place of abode, would have suited his ability, he would have mide an extraordinary man for the privy council, not much inferior to the famous Padre Paulo, the late oracle of the Venetian state

"Though he were no prophet or son of a prophet, yet, in that faculty which comes nearest it, he excelled, i e the stochastick,"

* He was likewise very much defrauded by one of his guardians.

" stochastick] On the predictive power expressed by this term, I meet with the following passage in D Israeli's Curiosities of Literature, 2nd series vol n 425 - 'This faculty seems to be described by a remarkable expression employed by Thucydides in his character of Themistocles, of which the following is given as a close translation By a species of agacity peculiarly his own, for which he was in no degree indebted either to early education or after study, he was superwherein he was seldom mistaken, as to future events, as well publick as private; but not apt to discover any presages or

superstition." o It is observable, that he who in his earlier years had read all the books against religion, was in the latter part of his life averse from controversies. To play with important truths, to disturb the repose of established tenets, to subtilize objections and elude proof, is too often the sport of youthful vanity, of which maturer experience commonly repents. There is a time, when every wise man is weary of raising difficulties only to task himself with the solution, and desires to enjoy truth without the labour or hazard of contest. There is, perhaps, no better method of encountering these troublesome irruptions of scepticism, with which inquisitive minds are frequently harassed, than that which Browne declares himself to have taken: "If there arise any doubts in my way, I do forget them; or at least defer them, till my better settled judgment and more manly reason be able to resolve them: for I perceive, every man's reason is his best Oedipus, and will, upon a reasonable truce, find a way to loose those bonds, wherewith the subtilties of error have enchained our more flexible and tender judgments."

The foregoing character may be confirmed and enlarged, by many passages in the Religio Medici; in which it appears, from Whitefoot's testimony, that the author, though no very sparing panegyrist of himself, has not exceeded the truth, with respect to his attainments or visible qualities.

There are, indeed, some interior and secret virtues, which a man may sometimes have without the knowledge of others; and may sometimes assume to himself, without sufficient reasons for his opinion. It is charged upon Browne by Dr. Watts, as an

eminently happy in forming a prompt judgment in matters that admitted but little time for deliberation; at the same time that he far surpassed all in his deductions of the future from the PAST; or was the best guesser of the future from the past.'* Should this faculty of moral and political prediction be ever considered as a science, we can even furnish it with a denomination; for the writer of the life of Sir Thomas Browne, prefixed to his works, in claiming the honour of it for that philosopher, calls it 'the Stochastic,' a term derived from the Greek and from archery, meaning, 'to shoot at a mark.' This eminent genius, it seems, often 'hit the white.' Our biographer declares, that 'though he were no prophet, yet in that faculty, &c.'"

o superstition.] End of Whitefoot's Minutes.

^{*} Οίκεία γὰρ ξυνεσεῖ, καὶ οὕτε προμαθών ἐς αὐτὴν οὐδὲν, οὕτ ἐπιμαθων, τῶν τε παραχρῆμα δι' ἐλαχίστης βουλῆς κράτιστος γνώμων, καὶ τῶν μελλόντων ἐπιπλεῖστον το γενησομένου ἀριστος εἰκαστής.— ΤΗυστρίdes, lib. I.

instance of arrogant temerity, that, after a long detail of his attainments, he declares himself to have escaped 'the first and father-sin of pride'. A perusal of the Religio Medici will not much contribute to produce a behef of the author's exemption from this father sin pride is a vice, which pride itself inclines every man to find in others, and to overlook in himself

As easily may we be mistaken in estimating our own courage, as our own humility, and, therefore, when Browne shows himself persuaded, that "he could lose an arm without a tear, or with a few groans be quartered to pieces," I am not sure that he felt in himself any uncommon powers of endurance, or, indeed, anything more than a sudden effervescence of imagination, which, uncertain and involuntary as it is, he mistook for settled resolution

"That there were not many extant, that in a noble way feared the face of death less than himself, he might likewise believe at a very easy expense, while death was yet at a distance, but the time will come to every luman being, when it must be known how well he can bear to die, and it has appeared, that our author's fortitude did not desert him in the great hour of trial

It was observed by some of the remarkers on the Religio Medici, that "the author was yet alive, and might grow worse as well as better" it is, therefore, happy, that this suspicion can be obviated by a testimony given to the continuance of his virtue, at a time when death had set him free from danger of change, and his panegyrist from temptation to flattery

But it is not on the praises of others, but on his own writings, that he is to depend for the esteem of posterity, of which he will not easily be deprived, while learning shall have any reverence among men for there is no science, in which he does not discover some skill, and scarce any kind of knowledge, profane or sacred, abstruse or elegant, which he does not appear

to have cultivated with success

His exuberance of knowledge, and plenitude of ideas, sometimes obstruct the tendency of his reasoning, and the clearness of his decisions on whatever subject he employed his mind, there started up immediately so many images before him, that he lost one by grasping another. His memory supplied him with so many illustrations, parallel or dependent notions, that he was always starting into collateral considerations but the spirit and vigour of his pursuit always gives delight, and the reader follows him, without reluctance, thro his mazes, in themselves flowery and pleasing, and ending at the point originally in view.

To have great excellencies, and great faults, "magnæ virtutes

nec minora vitia, is the poesy," says our author, "of the best natures." This poesy may be properly applied to the style of Browne: It is vigorous, but rugged; it is learned, but pedantick; it is deep, but obscure; it strikes, but does not please; it commands but does not allure: his tropes are harsh, and his combinations uncouth. He fell into an age, in which our language began to lose the stability which it obtained in the time of Elizabeth; and was considered by every writer as a subject on which he might try his plastic skill, by moulding it according to his own fancy. Milton, in consequence of this encroaching licence, began to introduce the Latin idiom: and Browne, though he gave less disturbance to our structures and phraseology, yet poured in a multitude of exotick words; many, indeed, useful and significant, which, if rejected, must be supplied by circumlocution, such as 'commensality' for the state of many living at the same table; but many superfluous, as 'a paralogical' for an unreasonable doubt; and some so obscure, that they conceal his meaning rather than explain it, as 'arthritical analogies' for parts that serve some animals in the place of joints.

His style is, indeed, a tissue of many languages; a mixture of heterogeneous words, brought together from distant regions, with terms originally appropriated to one art, and drawn by violence into the service of another. He must, however, be confessed to have augmented our philosophical diction; and in defence of his uncommon words and expressions, we must consider, that he had uncommon sentiments, and was not content to express in many words that idea for which any language could

supply a single term.

But his innovations are sometimes pleasing, and his temerities happy: he has many "verba ardentia," forcible expressions, which he would never have found, but by venturing to the utmost verge of propriety; and flights which would never have been reached, but by one who had very little fear of the shame of

falling.

There remains yet an objection against the writings of Browne, more formidable than the animadversions of criticism. There are passages, from which some have taken occasion to rank him among deists, and others among atheists. It would be difficult to guess how any such conclusion should be formed, had not experience shown that there are two sorts of men willing to enlarge the catalogue of infidels.

It has been long observed, that an atheist has no just reason for endeavouring conversions; and yet none harass those minds which they can influence, with more importunity of solicitation to adopt their opinions. In proportion as they doubt the truth of their own doctrines, they are desirous to gain the attestation

of another understanding; and industriously labour to win a proselyte, and eagerly catch at the slightest pretence to dignify their sect with a celebrated name.*

The others become friends to infidelity only by unskilful hostility: men of rigid orthodoxy, cautious conversation, and religious asperity. Among these, it is too frequently the practice, to make in their heat concessions to Atheism, or Deism, which their most confident advocates had never dared to claim or to hope. A sally of levity, an idle paradox, an indecent jest, an unseasonable objection, are sufficient, in the opinion of these men, to efface a name from the lists of Christianity, to exclude a soul from everlasting life. Such men are so watchful to censure, that they have seldom much care to look for favourable interpretations of ambiguities, to set the general tenor of life against single failures, or to know how soon any slip of inadvertency has been expiated by sorrow and retractation; but let fly their fulminations, without mercy or prudence, against slight offences or casual temerities, against crimes never committed, or immediately repented.

The infidel knows well what he is doing. He is endeavouring to supply, by authority, the deficiency of his arguments; and to make his cause less invidious, by showing numbers on his side: he will, therefore, not change his conduct, till he reforms his principles. But the zealot should recollect, that he is labouring, by this frequency of excommunication, against his own cause; and voluntarily adding strength to the enemies of truth. It must always be the condition of a great part of mankind, to reject and embrace tenets upon the authority of those whom they think wiser than themselves; and, therefore, the addition of every name to infidelity, in some degree invalidates that argument upon which the religion of multitudes is

necessarily founded.

Men may differ from each other in many religious opinions, and yet all may retain the essentials of Christianity; men may sometimes eagerly dispute, and yet not differ much from one another: the rigorous persecutors of error, should, therefore, enlighten their zeal with knowledge, and temper their orthodoxy with charity; that charity, without which orthodoxy is vain; charity that "thinketh no evil," but "hopeth all things," and "endureth all things."

Whether Browne has been numbered among the contemners

* Therefore no hereticks desire to spread Their wild opinions like these epicures. For so their stagg'ring thoughts are computed, And other men's assent their doubts assure.

DAVIES.

of religion, by the fury of its friends, or the artifice of its enemies, it is no difficult task to replace him among the most zealous professors of Christianity. He may, perhaps, in the ardour of his imagination, have hazarded an expression, which a mind intent upon faults may interpret into heresy, if considered apart from the rest of his discourse, but a phrase is not to be opposed to volumes—there is scarcely a writer to be found, whose profession was not divinity, that has so frequently testified his belief of the sacred writings, has appealed to them with such unlimited submission, or mentioned them with such unvaried reverence.

It is, indeed, somewhat wonderful, that he should be placed without the pale of Christianity, who declares, that "he assumes the honourable style of a Christian," not because it is "the religion of his country," but because "having in his riper years and confirmed judgment seen and examined all, he finds himself obliged, by the principles of grace, and the law of his own reason, to embrace no other name but this " who, to specify his persuasion yet more, tells us, that "he is of the reformed religion; of the same belief our Saviour taught, the apostles disseminated, the fathers authorised,' and "the martyrs confirmed" who, though "paradoxical in philosophy, loves in divinity to keep the beaten road," and pleases himself, that "he has no taint of heresy, schism, or error "to whom "where the Scripture is silent, the church is a text, where that speaks, 'tis but a comment," and who uses not "the dictates of his own reason, but where there is a joint silence of both 'who "blesses himself that he lived not in the days of miracles, when faith had been thrust upon him, but enjoys that greater blessing, pronounced to all that believe and saw not" He cannot surely be charged with a defect of faith, who "believes that our Saviour was dead, and buried, and rose again, and desires to see him in his glory:" and who affirms, that "this is not much to believe," that "as we have reason, we owe this faith unto history," and that "they only had the advantage of a bold and noble faith, who hved before his coming, and, upon obscure prophecies and mystical types, could raise a belief" Nor can contempt of the positive and ritual parts of religion be imputed to him, who doubts, whether a good man would refuse a poisoned eucharist; and "who would violate his own arm, rather than a church." P

The opinions of every man must be learned from himself:

Prather than, &c] To the foregoing arguments in vindication of Biowne's attachment to Christianity, may well be added his own resolutions for the guidance of his conduct, and the regulation of his heart.

concerning his practice, it is safest to trust the evidence of others. Where these testimonies concur, no higher degree of historical certainty can be obtained; and they apparently concur to prove, that Browne was a zealous adherent to the faith of Christ, that he lived in obedience to his laws, and died in confidence of his mercy.

I should be glad to know the authority of the following assertion attributed to Dr. Johnson:—"I remember the remark of Sir Thomas Browne,—"Do the Devils lie?' No; for then hell could not subsist."—Croker's Johnson, vol. iv. p. 152.

SUPPLEMENTARY MEMOIR.

Scarcely a trace remains of the earlier events of Browne's life: nor are we possessed of any memorials whatever, from his own pen, respecting those travels and various adventures which preceded his residence at Norwich. An interesting piece of autobiography must, therefore, have perished; for it is impossible to suppose that he travelled without observing, or that he observed And, although (as Johnson has remarked) without recording. "he traversed no unknown seas or Arabian deserts," Browne was not the man to have visited even "France and Italy, or resided at Montpellier and Padua," without having stored his note-books with much that would have amply repaid the perusal. Besides which, his family connections were sufficient to have provided him with introductions to foreigners of character and eminence, of which he would eagerly have availed himself. all these we should have been introduced, and everything worth remembering in his intercourse with them would have been It has, indeed, been conjectured, that "he was an preserved. absent and solitary man; "a but I can by no means adopt this

^a I refer to a series of papers in the Athenœum, No. 93, 1829, entitled The Humourists, the first of which is devoted to Sir Thomas Browne; from which I subjoin the following passage :-- "We have endeavoured to rescue Sir Thomas Browne from the imputation of being merely a 'curious thinker,' while we have ever admitted that the philosopher and the homourist are strangely blended in his character. Of his domestic manners and relations little is known. But we may conjecture, from various passages in his works, that the same melancholy enthusiasm and eternal speculation which appear in them, tinged, also, with sad and solemn colours, his daily habits. In all likelihood, he was an absent and solitary man, extracting the food of serious contemplation from all objects indifferently, and busied in perpetual abstractions. Ceremonious in observing times and seasons, as reverencing the inner mysteries of custom. Attached to old manners, as apprehending hidden wisdom in their properties, and as connecting him with remembrance and speculations on the past; curious, probably, in casting the fashion of uncertain evil, and, therefore, little inclined to innovation. He was at once Sir

on the contrary. I am persuaded, that his social deportment must have been distinguished by the kindlest courtesy. and, though "free from loquacity," he was too ardent in the pursuit of knowledge, not to have improved to the utmost every opportunity of increasing his stores, by conversation with those who were capable of enriching them I am satisfied, in short, that had his earlier journals been preserved, they would have exhibited him to us as a traveller, in just as striking a point of view, as that in which "his diligence and curiosity," his originality of thought and fervour of feeling and the creative richness of his fancy, have placed him under other characters

Nor do we find either journals, or correspondence (except a very few letters on scientific or literary subjects), to guide us through the first twenty years of his residence at Norwich account for this almost total absence of autobiographical memoranda, I have sometimes felt inclined to suspect, that Browne might have occasionally indulged himself in the expression of opinions relating to the political aspect of affairs in his own country, which his subsequent position, especially when the civil war actually broke out led him to think it most prudent to sup-For though a royalist, he was utterly averse to all that was arbitrary, especially in matters of religion, and, therefore. might have seen much to disapprove in the measures of the court, as well as in the subsequent outrages of the popular party. which he was very likely, both in his private memoranda and in his confidential correspondence, to have denounced in terms which would have rendered him obnoxious to both parties, if "the liberty of those times had committed them to the press" But let this pass as an idle speculation it is just as useless to regret the want of these materials, as it is to conjecture whether they ever existed, or what has become of them We have them not. and must, therefore, proceed to do our best without them.

It appears, that when Browne left the university, he took up his first residence somewhere (we are not informed where) in Oxfordshire, and practised physick probably for about two years, from the end of 1629 or beginning of 1630

Roger de Coverley, directing the psalmody of the village church, and the melancholy humourist of Milton -

'Whose lamp at midnighthour Is seen in some high lonely tower, Where he may oft outwatch the bear With thrice great Hermes, or unsphere The spirit of Plato, to unfold What worlds, or what vast regions hold The immortal mind that hath forsook Her mansion in this fleshly nook, &c '"

commenced his travels, by visiting Ireland with his father-inlaw, Sir Thomas Dutton. Mr. Le Neve, in his pedigree of the Browne family, has (erroneously) called this gentleman Sir Ralph Dutton. The epithet bestowed on him by Mrs. Lyttleton^b does not agree with the account which Dr. Birch has given^c of a Sir Thomas Dutton, whom he elsewhere affirms to be the individual here spoken of; "the same Sir Thomas Dutton who killed Sir Hatton Cheke in a duel." In allusion to which, very poss.bly, it was that Browne composed the following lines, preserved in MS. Sloan. 1869:—

> Diseases are the arms whereby We naturally do fall and die. What furie is't to take a death part, And rather than by nature, die by art.

b "A worthy person."—See her account of her father, in Preface to the Life.

c In his Life of Prince Henry, 8vo. Lond. 1760, p. 199, 200; where he gives a letter from Sir Edward Cecil, commander of "the English forces employed in the war about the succession to the deceased Duke of Cleves, written on the 29th of July, 1610, from the camp before Juliers. to Prince Henry, relating to the progress of the siege; in which letter is the following passage: - 'I am only unhappy in one thing, that the mutinous and unworthy carriage of Sir Thomas Dutton, whom your highness was pleased to favour beyond his merit, hath from time to time disturbed the course of the service; having even, at his first arrival here, braved me at the head of the troops, daring to tell me, to my face. that it seemed his majesty had given me a commission to abuse men. when there was nothing in question but the doing of the duty of a captain, which he ought not to dispute amongst us, seeing it was the first time that even he or his company came into the field amongst us; and ever since, in all meetings, he hath disputed my commission and authority so far, and with so much scorn, that, though hitherto, in respect to your highness, I have contained myself: yet seeing that now again, in a public assembly, he hath contemptibly spoken of my commission, and, upon base advantage, hurt Sir Hatton Cheke, his colonel. who took upon him the defence of it, I most humbly beseech your highness will be rather pleased to allow of that which justice here shall allot him; presuming that your highness's princely judgment will find it expedient that I be discharged of such a bad member, which, in the heat of his majesty's service, dare contest with me, and be content, upon any terms, to murder his commander.'" Dr. Birch adds, in a note, that Sir Hatton Cheke was, soon after the surrender of Juliers, killed in a duel, on Calais sands, by Sir Thomas Dutton. The Biographia Britannica says, "that he enjoyed an honourable post in the government of Ireland:" what this post was he does not say, nor can I.

d In a copy of Christian Morals, presented by Dr. Johnson to Birch,

is this memorandum, in the handwriting of the latter.

Men, for me, again shall chime To Jared's or Mathuselah's time. That thread of life the Fates do twine Their gentle hands shall clip, not mine. O let me never know the cruel And heedless villany of duel; Or if I must that fate sustain, Let me be Abel, and not Cain.

From the same biographer I learn that Sir Thomas died May 16th, 1634; so that Browne's mother was probably left a widow the second time.

His continental travels in France, Italy, and Holland, immediately followed his Irish tour, and the whole may be supposed to have occupied about two years, terminating in his return to England, after having obtained his degree of M.D. in the university of Leyden, in 1633. He then settled, there is reason to believe, as a physician, at Shipden Hall, near Halifax.

In such a spot, and especially at the commercement of his professional career, he must have had considerable leisure; which it is very natural to suppose he would endeavour to improve, by reviewing and preparing some memento of the events of his past life. We may regard Religio Medici as the result of such retrospect; for though not pretending to the character of a narrative, it makes frequent allusion to incidents and conversations which had occurred in the course of his travels, and exhibits to us the impressions made on him by the imposing ceremonies of the Romish Church, which he had witnessed abroad. It was not, however, Browne's object to draw up a narrative; but to compose "a treatise upon the spirit and form of his religious belief, and it may claim (as one of his reviewers has well saide) a high rank among the fairest monuments of English mind." It has always appeared to me, that it was Browne's great aim, in the conduct of his understanding, and in the regulation of his feelings, to assign just limits to the respective jurisdictions of faith and reason; asserting, on the one hand, his right to the free exercise of his understanding on those subjects of which it is the legitimate province of reason to judge; but, on the other hand, submitting both intellect and feeling wherever the decisions of revelation have commanded the exercise of faith. This was his rule; and if he fell into false philosophy, it was less through the fallacy of his reason than the erroneous and overstrained application of his rule. For example he too hastily deemed the language of scripture opposed to the tenets of Copernicus; and, therefore, rejected instead of examining them. He found witches and enchantments mentioned in the Bible, as well as various forms of spiritual existence and agency; all these he therefore placed at once among the articles of his faith, scarcely allowing his reason either to investigate the meaning of terms, or even to enquire whether that which was permitted in those days might not, like miracles, long ago have ceased to exist. To advocate the principle just stated, and thus (as Browne quaintly says) endeavour to "compose those feuds and angry dissensions between affection, faith, passion," was his object in his first and most celebrated work; in which we admire no less "the universal charity of his spirit, the catholic humanity of his feelings, and his strong assurance of hopeful faith," than that force of genius and fervour of imagination, those glowing sentences, and noble flights of fancy, with which it abounds.

It is not improbable, however, that the leisure, so favourable to the accomplishment of this work, was more ample than suited his professional aspirations; and inclined him to seek for a wider sphere of action. This was soon supplied by his migration, after a residence of about three years, to Norwich; whither, as Anthony a Wood informeth his readers, he "was induced in 1637 to remove, by the persuasions of Dr. Thomas Lushington, formerly his tutor, then rector of Burnham Westgate, in Norfolk. Whitefoot does not mention Dr. Lushington, but attributes his removal to the joint solicitations of Sir Nicholas Bacon, of Gillingham, Sir [or rather Dr.] Justinian Lewyn, and Sir Charles Le Gros, of Crostwick. Both these accounts, I have no doubt, are correct; and the question immediately arises, why did these men take so lively an interest in the affairs of Browne? His acquaintance with Dr. Lushington is explained by Wood; it was a college connexion;—and I believe that of the others to have been the same. They were all probably at college together, and I suspect Dr. Lushington to have been tutor to more than one of the party: Mr. Bacon held him in such high regard and admiration, that he published a work of his on Logick in 1650, when he was living in obscurity, and subsisting on his pen, having been deprived of his spiritualities. From the anxiety thus evinced by both tutor and friends to place Browne within

f I find Justinian Lewyn, LL.D., mentioned as commissary in the archdeaconries of Norfolk and Norwich in 1633 and 1660; but no Sir. J. L.—See Blomfield, ii. 474.

g This was the father of Thomas Le Gros, Esq., to whom Hydric-taphia was dedicated. The grandfather, Sir Thomas, was knighted by James, in 1603. The Biographia Britannica says, on what authority I know not, that the grandson was afterwards knighted. The write, probably, confounded the two.

their reach, we are entitled to infer that his university career was distinguished by that attractive amenity of disposition which conduced not less than his rare intellectual qualifications to secure him the attachment and admiration of all who knew him.

It was possibly in compliance with the suggestions of these friends, that Browne, in a few months after he settled at Norwich. was incorporated Doctor of Physick at Oxford, July 10, 1637. "When settled at Norwich," says Whitefoot, "he was much resorted to for his admirable skill in physick:" and we may presume, that the zealous recommendations of his powerful friends were not wanting to bring him into notice. In short, the advantages of connexion with which he started in this county were very considerable; and he was well calculated to improve them to the utmost. He very soon contracted an alliance with a family of some antiquity and well connected in the county, by marrying, in 1641, Dorothy, the fourth daughter of Edward Mileham, Esq., of Burlingham St. Peter, and grand-daughter (as I suppose) of John Hobart, Esq. By this marriage Dr. Browne's connexions were greatly extended, his father's family being numerous. I have not been able to trace his collateral alliances. but he asserts a relationship to several families of note in the county:—for example, those of Hobart, Townsend, Astley, &c. and it is highly probable that his marriage was the connecting link.

The unexpected publication of *Religio Medici* in the following year, his avowal of it, and his consequent correspondence with Digby, contributed no little to his fame and success. From that time he took that distinguished rank among the literary men of his day, which he ever after maintained. Respecting the occasion and circumstances of this his first appearance before the public, I shall say nothing here, having already spoken of it in my preface to the *Religio Medici*. No sooner was the book printed, than the public commenced operations upon it. Merryweather^h placed it more fully before the continental critics, by his excellent

h This gentleman was of Magdalen College, Cambridge, and became B.D. before 1652, in which year is dated "Some short Directions for a Student in the University." a MS. in the Bodleian, by him. Johnson attributes to him the authorship of "a small treatise for the instruction of young persons in the attainment of a Latin stile." Mr. Crossley pointed out to me some years ago the following article in the catalogue of Mr. Ford, a Manchester bookseller, for 1811:—"No. 11.701: Directions for the Latin Tongue, by the Author of Religio Medici (Sir Thomas Browne), VERY SCARCE, and not in his collected Works; 4s. 6d. London, 1681." In all probability this was the work spoken of, written not by the author, but by the translator of Rel. Med.

version into Latin, printed at Levden in 1644, and immediately reprinted at Paris. In the following year came forth Ross's Medicus Medicatus, of which Johnson drily remarks, that it was "universally neglected by the world." Editions with copious annotations soon appeared,—by Moltke in Latin in 1652, and Keck in English two years later; and these were followed at short intervals by translations into several of the modern languages of Europe. No less various were the opinions expressed. By one of the translators Browne was announced in the preface as a Catholic; by another, as a Protestant: while the Holy See settled the question by consigning him to the Index Expurgatorius. From Samuel Duncon, a member of the Society of Friends. resident at Norwich, he received a most obliging communication. in which the writer seems to have been led, by some passages in Rel. Med., to entertain hopes of winning Browne over to his own opinions. It would, indeed, seem singular, that in the narrow compass of this little volume, Browne should have so expressed himself as to be claimed for a brother by such antipodes to each other as Roman Catholics and Quakers: -did we not consider, that in some of their vital characteristicks, these extremes in practice may be said to have almost met in point of principle. It is not difficult to find passages in which the author has indulged himself in expressions so imaginative, if not hyperbolical, as to lead easily to conclusions the very reverse of his real sentiments. Dr. Jortinⁱ has happily selected an instance in this remarkable passage: - "Methinks there be not impossibilities enough in religion for an active faith. I can answer all the objections of Satan and my rebellious reason, with that odd resolution I learned of Tertullian, Certum est, quia impossibile est. I am thankful that I lived not in the days of miracles, &c." to this Abp. Tillotson had alluded when he said, "I know not what some men may find in themselves; but I must freely acknowledge. that I could never yet attain to that bold and hardy degree of faith, as to believe any thing for this reason—because it was impossible. So that I am very far from being of his mind, that wanted not only more difficulties, but even impossibilities, in the Christian religion, to exercise his faith upon." "But by impossibilities," replies Jortin, "Sir Thomas Browne, as well as Tertullian, meant seeming, not real, impossibilities: and what he says should be looked upon as a verbum ardens, a rhetorical flourish, and a trial of skill with Tertullian; in which, however, he had little chance to come off superior. Both of them were lively and ingenious; but the African had a warmer complexion

i In his Remarks on Tillotson.—Tracts, v. i. p. 373.
k Rel. Med.
1 Sermon 140th, vol. iii.

than the Briton. Tillotson, however, judging that the Papists would make an ill use of this, and such passages as this, in Protestant writers, was willing to pass a gentle animadversion upon it. Sir Kenelm Digby, a Roman Catholic, who criticises several things in the Religio Medici, yet gives his loud approbation to these pious sallies. 'I am extremely pleased with him, when he saith, there are not impossibilities enough in religion for an active faith, &c.' Extremely pleased, without question; and full of hopes, that this young author might at last unreuson himself into implicit belief, and go over to the church which would feed his hungry faith with a sufficient quantity of impossibilities!— Tendimus in Latium!" In the Biographia Brit. there is a short critique on the work from a MS. entitled A Century of Short Characters of Books and Authors: but it does not seem to me to deserve insertion.

In the mean time, so industriously was Browne employed in completing and arranging his materials (the accumulation, no doubt, of many years), that in 1646 he published the first edition of his great work, Pseudodoxia Epidemica; which speedily attracted the notice of those who had attacked his former book. Ross again took the field in his Arcana Microcosmi, &c. and with him a new adversary, Robinson, who published a pedantic book with a suitable title:—Endoxa, or a Calm Ventilation, &c. Against these the following remark seems to have been levelled by Richard Whitlock," who enumerates, "among writers, first some that write to eat;—inke must earn ale, and three-penny ordinarys; write they must, against things or men, sparing neither Bacons, Harveys, Digbys, Brownes, or any the like—of Improvement College, &c." It is to be supposed, too, that a number of private communications were made to our author on his two books, the far greater part of which were complimentary; and few have reached us. Some I have omitted, especially five Latin letters from Isaac Gruter (who translated some of Lord Bacon's works), respecting a projected (but never accomplished) Latin translation of Pseudodoxia.

On the continent our author received great attention: so early as 1645 did the foreign critics notice *Religio Medici*. Many broadly accused him of atheism, and more asserted his piety. The curious reader will find particulars of the controversy in Niceron, Bayle, Kippis, &c. Dr. Aikin, in speaking of these

^m In his Zootomia, or Observations on the Present Manners of the English, 12mo. Lond. 1654, p. 232.

n Niceron, Nouvelles de la République de Lettres. Avril, 1684. Acta Eruditorum, Sup. vol. i. Leips. 1692. Bayle, Œuvres Diverses, 3 vols. fol. vol. i. p. 25. Biogr. Brit. p. 629, note g. Wagner, Examen Elenchticus Atheismi Speculativi, 4to. Tubing. 1677, c. v. p. 11. Bud-

critics, says:—"The German divines treated [the Religio Medici] with severe censure; and more theologico represented the author as an infidel, and even an Atheist, though almost every page displays the fervour of his piety, and the docility of his belief.

Respecting Pseudodoxia, "the judicious Morhof speaks with all possible marks of approbation and esteem: - 'No modern author,' says he, 'has treated this subject more accurately or copiously. In his first book he learnedly enquires into the general causes of error; and in his succeeding books he not only discourses of the mistakes which are crept into natural philosophy. but such also as have corrupted history, theology, mechanic arts and physick.' Reimman says, " 'As he excelled in theoretical and practical divinity, so he shone no less in philosophy, wherein he emulated Hercules: and undertaking by his Pseud, Ep. to clear the sciences from error, he fell nothing short of the other's labour, in cleansing the Augean stable." Niceron remarks, that "it is an excellent work and contains abundance of curious things."

Amidst the attacks of his enemies, and commendations of his admirers, the reputation of Browne became so established and extended, that he was applied to on all hands for professional, literary, and scientific advice and assistance, and as he delighted to oblige and assist others, his leisure, it may be readily imagined, became very soon too fully occupied to leave him much

opportunity for further authorship.

Among the earliest of these correspondents was Dr. Henry Power, who afterwards attained considerable eminence as a physician at Halifax. I apprehend that the long letter of professional recommendation, inserted from Biogr. Britan. and which is there said to have been first published by Dr. Massey. was addressed to Dr. Power. He seems for many years to have kept up his intercourse with Browne, who probably communicated much curious information; though, unfortunately, we are not enabled to refer to his letters for proof. Some MSS. of Power's remain in the British Museum, and he is known by several works, especially by one on experimental philosophy.

It was probably in 1650, or soon after, that Browne was enabled to open a communication with Iceland, through the medium of one to whom he had rendered valuable professional He addressed some enquiries to Theodore Jonas. assistance.

deus, Theses Theologica de Atheismo et Superstitione, p. 136. Reimman, Hist Univers. Atheismi, p. 418. Reimman, Bibliotheca Theologica Catalogus, 2 tom. 12mo. Hildesia, 1731, p. 1052. Morhof, Polyhistor, cura J. A. Fabricii, 3 tom. 4to. Lubeca, 1747, tom. iii. lib. v. § 10. Eliæ Frederici Heisteri Apologia pro Medicis: Amstel. 1736, 8vo.

o Hist. Univ. Atheismi, p. 448.

minister of Hitterdale, in Iceland, respecting the natural history and geography, &c. of that island, and the diseases to which its inhabitants were liable. Several very agreeable letters were sent in reply by his reverend friend, who has therein placed himself in a most amiable point of view. From these materials Browne drew up, for the Royal Society, a few years after, his sketch of that singular and then almost unknown spot.

Another of Browne's correspondents, and one of his personal friends in the county, was Sir Hamon L'Estrange, of Hunstanton, a man of real love for natural history, and most zealous in its pursuit. From him Browne received, in Jan. 1653, a letter, enclosing a most substantial proof of the estimation in which his works were held—a MS. of eighty-five pages of Observations on the Pseudodoxia: some of them highly interesting. This MS. is preserved in No. 1830 of the MS. Sloan. I have given some extracts. About the same time he appears to have rendered some assistance to a botanist of considerable note (or as Wood calls him), a noted herbalist of his day — Mr. William How, commonly called Dr. How; who, after having served as a captain in King Charles the First's cavalry, took up his residence in London, first in Lawrence Lane, then in Milk Street, as a physician, though he does not seem to have qualified by taking a degree. How was distinguished among the earlier English botanists for his love of the science, and for his published contributions to it. Some local catalogues, enumerating the plants of certain districts in England, had already been published by Dr. Johnson, the learned editor of Gerard's Herbal; but How was the first who brought out a general list of the plants of Great Britain, as distinguished from those of foreign countries: under the title of Phytologia Britannica, natales exhibens indigenarum stirpium sponte emergentium, 12mo. London, 1650. In 1655 he edited a portion, which had fallen into his hands, of Lobel's MSS. for his projected large work, entitled, "Illustrationes Plantarum:" of which Parkinson had used another portion in his Theatrum Botanicum. From a letter, which he addressed to Dr. Browne in that year, it would appear, that he contemplated, and had made considerable preparation for, another botanical work; but his death, which took place a year afterwards, prevented its completion. The said letter must be admitted abundantly to justify the character given of this writer by Dr. Richard Pulteney: p it is, indeed, written "in a flowery and bombast stile," and in terms so affectedly figurative, that it seems not at all clear, whether he is speaking of a botanical work

P In his Sketches of the Progress of Botany in England, 2 vols. 8vo. London, 1790, vol. i. p. 173.

which he was writing, or of a botanical garden which he was engaged in superintending. Perhaps it was a catalogue raisonné either of his own garden or of some other in which he was concerned.

Browne's learning and science, however, soon added to his acquaintance two of the most distinguished men of his day-Evelvn and Sir Wm. Dugdale. In 1657, through the intervention of Mr. (afterwards Sir) Robert Paston, created Earl of Yarmouth in 1673, a correspondence commenced between Browne and Evelvn. The latter being much interested with his favourite pursuit of gardening, and just then busily occupied in preparing for the press a work to be entitled Elysium Britannicum, sought the assistance of our author, as a man well known for his extensive acquaintance with natural history; and we have sufficient evidence that Browne's contributions were considerable:—The tract, Of Garlands, &c., and probably the Observations on Grafting, were written for the use of Evelyn. It is, however, very much to be regretted, that so little of their correspondence has descended to us; for we must suppose that it was kept up for many years. Evelyn's Silva contains an extract from a communication received in 1664, which I shall insert here, though somewhat out of the order of date.

"But whilst I am on this period, see what a *Tilia* that most learned and obliging person Sir Thomas Browne, of Norwich,

describes to me in a letter just now received.

"An extraordinary large and stately Tilia, Linden, or Limetree, there groweth at Depeham in Norfolk, ten miles from Norwich, whose measure is this:—The compass, in the least part of the trunk or body, about two yards from the ground, is at least eight yards and a half; about the root, near the earth, sixteen yards; about half a yard above that, near twelve yards in circuit; the height, to the uppermost boughs, about thirty yards. This surmounts the famous Tilia of Zurich, in Switzerland; and uncertain it is, whether in any Tilicetum, or Limewalk, abroad, it be considerably exceeded: yet was the first motive I had to view it, not so much the largeness of the tree, as the general opinion that no man could ever name it; but I found it to be a Tilia famina; and (if the distinction of Bauhinus be admitted, from the greater and lesser leaf) a Tilia platyphyllos or latifolia; some leaves being three inches broad; but, to distinguish it from others in the country, I called it Tilia colossæa Depehamensis."

q Hunter's Evelyn, vol. ii. p. 196. This celebrated Linden-tree stood upon the property of Mr. Amias; it was cut down nearly a century ago.

I think it very probable, that Browne derived from his distinguished correspondent some hints which availed him in his Garden of Cyrus, which he published in the year 1658, with Hydriotaphia In this latter work he announced his discovery of the singular substance, called by the French chemists adipocure, and which M Du Petit Thouars, the writer of the article Browne, in the Biographie Universelle, thus mentions —On y trouve, entre autres, la première observation sur la substance singulère provenant de la décomposition des cadavres, retrouvée depuis, par Fourcoy, dans le cimetière des Innocents, à Paris, et connue maintenant sous le nom d'adipocire."—See vol vi 62

Towards the close of the same year, 1658, Sir William Dugdale applied to Dr Browne for critical as well as historical and scientific contributions to his work, On Embanking and Draining, then in progress And several of their letters are preserved. Sir William has acknowledged his obligations to his learned and zealous friend, in the following passage, at p 175 of his work — "Touching which kind of urne buriall see further in that excellent discourse of the learned Dr Thomas Browne, of Norwich (printed at London in An 1658), from whom I acknowledge to have received much direction for my better guidance in this present work " And to show that this was not a mere compliment, it will be sufficient to compare Browne's critical remarks, in reply to Sir William Dugdale's enquiries respecting the meaning of the term paludibus emuniendis, used by Tacitus in speaking of the labour to which the Britons were compelled by their Roman conquerors, with Dugdale's remarks thereon, at p 17 of his work.

But it is time to take up the thread of his domestic history. As years passed on, there arose other claims, which not even his professional avocations, added to the pursuits of literature, the wide and increasing range of his acquaintance, and the conduct of a correspondence whose limits were daily extending, could enable him to evade or resist His family was large, and rapidly coming into life, and they must have more and more engrossed his thoughts and his care We have, it must be lamented, but scanty means of judging what was his system of management and education; though it is probable, that if he erred, it was not in the exercise of too great austerity. His ambition was, their accomplishment, and there is sufficient evidence that he spared neither expense nor trouble, neither admonition, example, nor encouragement, to attain it One remarkable feature in his plan is, however, very evident, that he did not keep them at home, but endeavoured to form them to habits of independence, and to give them, in a wide sense, a knowledge of the world, by sending them abroad. Some of his daughters visited France,

though, in all probability, they were accompanied by himself. We have a single and imperfect allusion to a visit which he paid to Holland, on which occasion, I suspect that one or more of his daughters accompanied him, going probably or returning through France. But he certainly must be considered to have put his system in practice at rather an early age, and in a most perilous manner, when he sent his second son, Thomas, to France in 1660, at the age of fourteen, and sent him thither alone. We are not told that he had any particular plan of education in view for the boy in so doing, nor have we the intimation of any special motive which led to it. He exhorted him, in his letters, to learn all he could, to take notice of every thing remarkable, "to cast off pudor rusticus," to put on a "commendable boldness," and to "have a good handsome garb of his body." It is, moreover, to be especially observed, how earnestly he enjoins him to "hold firm to the Protestant religion, and be diligent in going to church:" "be constant," he adds, "not negligent in your daily private prayers, and habituate your heart in your tender days unto the fear and reverence of God." Excellent as is the advice, it must be apprehended that he did not place his boy in circumstances the most favourable to its adoption, when he sent him, so young, and unattended, amidst such scenes as he would be sure to meet with. Probably he contemplated, if he had not resolved on, the profession into which his son afterwards entered, and deemed it essential to his excelling therein, that he should early learn to "shift for himself." If so, the event justified the plan, for it seems that his boy did not fail to acquire that laudable boldness and freedom of carriage which his father was ar rious to see in him, and which he told him, "he that learneth not in France travelleth in vain." He was a spirited and talented young man, and would, in all probability, have risen to eminence. had he lived. He was remarkable, withal, for kindness and frankness of disposition. His "Tour in Derbyshire," for there is internal evidence that he wrote that journal), sufficiently shows that he had acquired some taste for adventure, and was ready enough to play his part. The greater part of the following year he passed at college, and at the close of 1664, entered the navy.

With his eldest son, whom he destined for his own profession, Browne somewhat modified his plan, though it was substantially similar. He sent him abroad, but not at so early an age; choosing, probably, to keep his education in his own hands, or, at least, within reach of his own control. With this view, after passing through the Free School at Norwich, Edward was sent to Cambridge, where he entered at Trinity College, Oct. 27, 1657, and took the degree of bachelor in physic in the middle of 1663.

With his brother Edward, towards the close of the year 1662.

In the autumn of 1663 he returned to Norwich, and probably commenced his professional studies with his father, who seems to have infused into him some portion of his own spirit, if we may judge from the diligence with which Edward devoted himself to the study of his profession, and to the collateral pursuits of comparative anatomy and natural history. He spent the winter of 1663-4 in Norwich; and his journal, describing the amusements of the city at that period, is interesting. Mr. Henry Howard, afterwards sixth Duke of Norfolk (grandson of the celebrated Thomas, Earl of Arundel, who made those splendid collections which have immortalized him), then resided there; and his munificence and urbanity are evinced by the frequency of the parties given at the Duke's palace, as well as by the freedom of access which young Browne obtained to them. But the public spirit of Mr. Howard vied with the splendour of his entertainments. He purchased, and devoted to the amusement of the public, the gardens in King Street, which were long afterwards (and, as I am assured by Dr. Sutton, of Norwich, even within his recollection) designated "My Lord's Gardens."

In the spring of 1664. Mr. Edward Browne commenced his foreign travels, first spending a short time among his relations and friends in London. And here he seems to have formed his first acquaintance with the family of Dr. Terne, whose daughter he afterwards married. He took up his residence, while in London, at the house of his relation. Mr. Barker, in Clerkenwell. where his sister Ann was then living. Here he met "Madam Fairfax," probably the mother of Mr. Henry Fairfax, whom his sister Ann afterwards married, and who was the grandson of Thomas, Lord Viscount Fairfax. He also mentions his "dear sister Cottrell" as being of the party; and says that he afterwards "waited upon Madam Cottrell home to her house in St. James his Park. &c." Hence I concluded, perhaps too hastily, that Sir Charles Cottrell married a daughter of Sir Thomas Browne. More probably it was a son of Sir Charles's; but I cannot give the slightest authority for the conjecture beyond the present passage. From London he proceeded to Paris, and

^{*} Henry Fairfax, Esq., of Burlington, in the county of York, second son of Thomas, Lord Viscount Fairfax, of Emely, in Ireland, married Frances, the only daughter of Henry Barker, of Hurst, Esq.; and died in 1656, leaving his widow (this Madam Fairfax, as I suppose), who was buried at Hurst, March 25, 1668-9. They had three children, Henry (who married Ann Browne), John (mentioned by Dr. Edward Browne), and Frances. Thus is the relationship of the Fairfax and Barker families made out; but how Mr. Barker became the cousin of Edward Browne, before his sister's marriage to Mr. Fairfax, does not appear.

thence to Italy, visiting Genoa, Rome, Naples, Bologna, Venice, and Padua, returning to Paris through Arles, Montpellier. Thoulouse, and Rochelle. He travelled in company with Sir William Trumbull (afterwards Secretary of State), Sir Samuel Tuke, Sir Christopher Wren, and other distinguished characters. At Paris he incidentally became acquainted with Guy Patin, one of his father's earliest criticks, who received him with great urbanity, and spoke in the most courteous terms of his father. A portion of this tour has been printed at the end of the folio edition of his Travels. The whole is preserved in his journal, MS. Sloan. 1906, and is printed partly from the just-mentioned journal, and partly from his letters in MS. Sloan. 1868. The last letter of the series is dated Paris, Sep. 30, 1665; soon after which I suppose him to have returned to Norwich. I find him incorporated of Merton College, Oxford, June 16, 1666; and in the following year, July 4, 1667, he took his doctor's degree.

In the same year he was admitted a Fellow of the Royal Society;—whether from the influence of his father's name, or from his own recently formed acquaintance with Dr. Wren, Mr. Ray and other distinguished fellows, we are not told. It is, however, highly probable, that his admission into that learned body had some connexion with his determination to renew his travels, and even induced him ultimately to extend them (in opposition to his father's decidedly expressed wish) to countries peculiarly rich in those natural productions to which the society's attention was then directed. In August, 1668, he commenced those travels which have contributed so much, and on the whole so justly, to his reputation. For though he did not inherit his father's high intellectuality, he was, like him, ardent in pursuit of knowledge, and strongly attached to the studies to which he made his travels principally subservient: and his literary attainments, as might be supposed, were considerable. But above all, he was an accurate observer and a veracious narrator of what he met with. He was, in short, a conscientious traveller, not supplying from imagination what was wanting in the reality. His pen was under the guidance of his senses; not carried away by his fancy. Hence, notwithstanding the somewhat contemptuous terms in which his travels are mentioned by Dr. Johnson, who neither understood nor cared for the subjects on which Browne wrote, he acquired by his work, and has retained to the present day, a character for which travellers are not proverbial:—viz.

t It is singular, that in Biog. Brit. though this journey is mentioned, it is expressly asserted that Dr. Edward Browne was never abroad till 1668.

that whatever he has related, may be received with implicit confidence.

Having embarked at Yarmouth on the 14th August, he landed at Rotterdam; and thence proceeded through Delft, the Hague, Leyden, and Haarlem, to Amsterdam; through Utrecht, Boisleduc, Breda, and Dort, to Flushing, and up the Scheldt by Antwerp, Brussels, and Maestricht to Aix-la-Chapelle, which he reached on the 7th Oct. Fron "Aken," he went direct through Juliers to the Rhine; along which river he travelled from Cologne to Bingen, Mayence, and Frankfort; and thence, passing through Darmstadt, Heidelberg, Nurnberg, Ratisbon, and Lintz, he reached Vienna on the 20th November. There he passed the winter of 1668-9; visiting and examining every object within and around it, worthy his notice; and making excursions in several directions.

The Imperial Museum and Library were his great attractions: and his acquaintance with Peter Lambecius, the librarian, gave him special facilities. Through his influence he was allowed the privilege of withdrawing books to his lodgings. He speaks of the Emperor Leopold, as a man of considerable literary attainments, and a patron of learning and learned men. Lambecius was in the habit of suggesting books for his Imperial master's reading, and it happened on one occasion, that he put Religio Medici into the Emperor's hands, wherewith, says Browne," "the Emperor was exceedingly pleased, and spake very much of it unto Lambecius, insomuch that Lambecius asked me whether I knew the author, he being of my own name, and whether he were living. And when he understood my near relation to him, he became more kind and courteous than ever, and desired me to send him that book in the original English, which he would put into the Emperor's library, and presented me with a neat little Latin book, called Princeps in Compendio, written by the Emperor's father, Ferdinandus the Third." Dr. Browne also received from Lambecius a curious catalogue of some hundreds of alchymical manuscripts, for the Royal Society, with the remarkably liberal permission to have any of them copied in Vienna, or even brought into England for transcription. He was so fortunate as to obtain also introductions to individuals of high rank, from several of whom he received great kindness. He especially mentions Counts Lesly and Souches, the latter of whom afforded him essential assistance during his travels, in the capacity of governor of the fortress of Leopoldstadt.

While at Vienna, he received a communication from Dr. Oldenburg, the secretary of the Royal Society, requesting such

information as he might be able to obtain for them in the course of his proposed Hungarian excursion. For his guidance a paper was enclosed, which we find printed at large in the *Philosophical Transactions*, under the following title:—"Directions and inquiries as they were sometime since recommended by the publisher to the care of the ingenious and learned Dr. Edward Browne (son to that deservedly famous physician Dr. Thomas Browne, and Fellow of the Royal Society), travelling in Germany, Hungary, Turkey, &c." To these queries, Dr. Browne gave very copious answers, which were also printed at large in the *Transactions*.

Very early in the spring of 1669 Browne made an excursion through Baden and Mannersdorf, across the Newsidler Sea to Raab and Komora, and thence, after visiting the marble quarry at Dotis, he went by Leopoldstadt to the gold, silver, and copper mines of Cremnitz, Schemnitz, Newsol, &c. and returned to Vienna in the middle of April. His next excursion was through Styria, Carinthia, &c. to see the Zirchnitzer lake and quicksilver mines at Idria, whence, after again visiting Vienna and Padua. he returned to the Imperial capital at the close of July. His last excursion was to visit the Ottoman court, which was then held at Larissa in Thessaly. This occupied from the 1st of September to the end of October, when he regained Vienna, to take a final leave of it. Early in November he started on his journey homeward, through Prague and Dresden, at which latter city he took particular notice of the Elector of Saxony's collections, both in natural history, mechanics, and works of art. He then visited the silver and sulphur mines of Freiburg, and after passing through Leipsig and Magdeburg, he embarked at Hamburg, and reached England at the close of the year 1669.

Nor was this safe return of his son from long and distant travels the only circumstance which enlivened Dr. Browne's fireside this Christmas. His family circle had at the same time to welcome a further addition to its numbers, in the marriage of his daughter Anne, to Henry Fairfax, Esq.* and their arrival at Norwich. The visit seems either to have been protracted, or repeated;—for I find in St. Peter's, Norwich, the registers of the birth and burial of their first child, Barker Fairfax, on the 30th of August and 5th of September, 1670. Their subsequent residences were at Shiplake, near Henley, in Oxfordshire, and lastly at Hurst," a seat on the borders of Wiltshire and Berkshire,

inherited from the Barkers.

* Phil. Trans. No. lviii. p. 1159.

* The grandson of Thomas Lord Viscount Fairfax.

⁷ Hurst—a parish comprising the liberties of Whistley-Hurst (hund. 7 Charlton), Newland and Winnersk (hund. Sonning), co. Berks; and

Dr. Edward Browne soon proceeded to London, where, after some hesitations, he determined to fix his permanent residence. On the 30th of April, 1672, he married Henrietta Susan, the daughter of Dr. Christopher Terne, a physician of eminence, and lecturer at Chirurgeon's Hall in 1662-3," who lived in Limestreet. There Dr. E. Browne resided till the decease of his father-in-law, Dec. 31st, 1673: soon after which time he removed to Salisbury Court, Fleet Street, where he remained during the rest of his father's life.

Having thus pursued the history of Dr. Browne's family, uninterruptedly, to the death of his younger son and marriage of his elder son and daughter, comprising nearly fourteen years, from 1660 to 1673, I must now return to collect and arrange the scattered passages of his own life during the same period. In introducing the earliest and most remarkable of these, I cannot help observing, that the striking influence which has sometimes been exerted on the institutions, the history, or the character of an entire age, by the genius of one man, or the importance of a single event, may occasionally be paralleled by the effect which a solitary action or incident has produced upon the character or estimation of an individual. Such an incident occurred in the history of Sir Thomas Browne in the year 1664; and it is not a little singular that his principal biographers, Whitefoot, Johnson, and Kippis, have all passed over, in silence, a circumstance which has unquestionably given rise to more reflections on his character, both for discernment and feeling, than any other circumstance in his life. I refer to the part which he took in the trial of Amy Duny and Rose Cullender, at Bury St. Edmund's. on the 10th March, before Sir Matthew Hale, then Lord Chief Baron of the Exchequer. I shall introduce it in the words of Dr. Aikin:—" Fancy and feeling," says he in his biography of Browne, "were, in his mind, predominant over judgment, and his tendency to superstition and enthusiasm is plainly evinced by other instances. He was fully possessed with the belief of the existence of invisible beings, holding an intermediate rank between the human and angelic natures; favoured the notion of guardian angels; was persuaded of the reality of apparitions, and of diabolical illusions; and affirms, from his own knowledge, the certainty of witchcraft. This last article of his belief was not so harmless as his other fanciful opinions; for Dr. Hutchinson, in his sensible Essay on Witchcraft, animadverting upon a trial

that of Broad Hinton (Hund. Amesbury), co. Wilts. An hospital was founded here by William Barker, Esq. (who died in 1685), for eight poor, to whom he gave 3s. 6d. weekly.

See Pepys's Memoirs, p. 204.

of two supposed criminals before Lord Chief Justice Hale, at St. Edmund's Bury, in 1664, mentions that 'Sir Thomas Browne of Norwich, the famous physician of his time, was in court, and was desired by my Lord Chief Baron, to give his judgment in the case: and he declared, that he was clearly of opinion that the fits were natural, but heightened by the Devil's co-operating with the malice of the witches, at whose instance he did the villanies.' And he added, that 'in Denmark there had lately been a great discovery of witches, who used the very same way of afflicting persons, by conveying pins into them.' This declaration, from a man of such authority, was thought to have had no small influence in occasioning the condemnation of the wretched victims, whose execution was one of the latest instances of the kind, by which the English annals are disgraced."—Aikin's Biographical Dictionary.

The reflection conveyed in the remarks of Dr. Aikin has been echoed and re-echoed; and this solitary incident has gone far in the estimation of many, who in other respects have held Browne in the highest admiration, to detract from his character as an acute and philosophical investigator of deep-rooted and long-established errors, and to place him rather among those who, while they can detect and will condemn the false philosophy and extravagant notions of others, are yet led, by mere caprice or prejudice, obstinately to defend opinions just as absurd, and

perhaps far more pernicious.

But let us be cautious and slow to pronounce judgment on such a man. In the first place, it must surely be admitted that he had nothing whatever to do with the justice or injustice of the law which made witchcraft a capital offence. Hutchinson, therefore, has committed a flagrant injustice in attempting to make him accountable for the blood of these women.—Can I with a safe conscience acquit a man whom I believe to be proved guilty, solely because I deem the law unjust which makes his offence capital?—Can my conscientious verdict make me a party to the injustice of that law?—Most certainly not. So must not Browne be condemned for giving his opinion, on the sole ground "that it was a case of blood." It must be shown, either that he was wrong in believing that witchcraft had ever existed; or, if this cannot in the very teeth of scripture, be shown, then, secondly, it must be proved that he was wrong in his opinion that cases of witchcraft still existed; or, thirdly, that he erroneously deemed the present to be a genuine instance of it. On the first of these questions, be it remembered, his biographer (Dr. Aikin) stood on very different ground from that occupied either by Browne or by the great man before whom he was examined. These believed, firmly and literally, the witchcraft and sorcery,

and incantations, as well as the demoniacal possessions related in the Bible And, from their regarding alleged cases of witchcraft in their days is being liable to investigation, and open to evidence, it is clear that they knew of no proof satisfactory to their minds, that what existed in the days of the Bible, had at any subsequent period totally and universally ceased. We know that Browne had previously considered this question More than 20 years before, he had published his conviction thereon in these terms -" for my part, I have always believed, and do now know, that there are witches, and in one of his common-place books there occurs a passage on possession and witchcraft, beginning with a similar assertion,—"we are no way doubtful that there are witches, &c" He believed, in short, on the highest of all testimony, that witchcraft had existed and—in the absence of either argument or evidence satisfactory to him that it had at some defined period altogether ceased—he also believed that it still These gentiments he declared openly, and has been the victim of his opinions, as every man must expect to be, who does not flinch from their avowal But they were opinions, as I have elsewhere remarked which he held in great and good company, -in common with Bicon, Bishop Hall, Baxter, Hale, Lavater,

Dr Browne was admitted Socius Honorarius of the College of Physicians, cum multis aliis, in the December of this year,—but for some reason, which appeareth not, he did not receive his diploma till July 6, 1665

In the year 1666 Browne presented to the Royal Society some fossil bones found at Winterton, on the coast of Norfolk,—then a much greater rarity than they have since been, and perhaps the more valued, as they were less understood.

a "The judge in giving his direction to the jury, told them, that he would not repeat the evidence unto them, least by so doing, he should wrong the evidence on one side or on the other. Only this he acquainted them that they had two things to inquire after. First, whether or no these children were bewitched? Secondly, whether the prisoners at the bar were guilty of it?—

"That there were such creatures as writches he made no doubt at all, first, the Scriptures had affirmed so much Secondly, the wisdom of all nations had provided law, against such persons, which is an argument of their confidence of such a crime And such hath been the judgment of this kingdom, as appears by that act of parliament which hath provided punishments proportionable to the quality of the offence And desired them strictly to observe their evidence, and desired the great God of heaven to direct their hearts in this weighty thing they had in hand, for to condemn the innocent, and to let the guilty go free, were both an abomination to the Lord"—Tryal of Witches, p 102

Hooke mentions the fact in his *Posthumous Works*, and I record it, though unimportant, in order to show Browne's early connexion with the Royal Society, as a correspondent, though (probably from local considerations) he never became a fellow.

The next correspondence of interest in which Browne engaged was in 1668, with Dr. Christopher Merrett, librarian to the College of Physicians; who had brought out, in 1666 and 1667, two editions (or rather re-impressions) of his Pinax Rerum Naturalium Britannicarum: and was contemplating a third. In an auspicious moment he sought the assistance of Browne, who had been most industriously employed in collecting materials for an account of the Natural History of Norfolk, at the request of some friend. But that friend having died, the work remained unfinished; and the collectanea were placed at the disposal of Dr. Merrett. But, unhappily, Browne's liberal readiness to render his knowledge serviceable to others, here failed of its object. Either superseded by the more learned labours of Ray and Willoughby, or laid aside on account of the perplexities in which its author became involved with the Collège of Physicians, the *Pinax* never attained an enlarged edition. He preferred to contribute to the labours of those whom he considered better naturalist than himself; and in his third attempt thus to render his observations useful he had somewhat better success. He placed his materials, including a number of coloured drawings, at the disposal of Ray, the father of systematic natural history in Great Britain, who has acknowledged the assistance he derived from him in his editions of Willoughby's Ornithology and Ichthyology, especially the former. But Browne, it seems, found it more easy to lend than to recover such materials; for he complains, several years afterwards, that these drawings, of whose safe return he was assured, both by Ray and by their mutual friend, Sir Philip Skippon, had not been sent back to him.

On the 28th of September, 1671, Charles II., who had been carousing with his profligate court, at Newmarket, made an excursion to Norwich, attended by the Queen, the Dukes of York, Monmouth, and Buckingham, and others of his nobility. It would appear from Blomfield's account, that the king was not content to leave the city without knighting some one, and therefore, on Mr. Mayor's declining the honour, it was conferred upon Browne. After relating other particulars of the king's progress,—his visit to Mr. Howard, his attendance on divine service at the cathedral, his review of the trained bands, his feasting in the New Hall, at an expense of £900 to the city, and his visits to Blickling, Oxnead, and Rainham, the historian informs us that "when his majesty was at the New

Hall, he was earnest to have knighted the mayor, who as earnestly begged to be excused; but at the same time, conferred the honour on that deserving physician, Dr. Thomas Browne, &c." The fact however probably was, that though the literary celebrity of Browne must have been well known, his loyalty was the crowning excellence in the eyes of Charles. In perilous times. Dr. Browne had steadily adhered to the royal cause. He was one of the 432 principal citizens who, in 1643, refused to subscribe towards a fund for regaining the town of Newcastle. Charles was not likely to have been ignorant of this, and he had the good feeling to express his sense of it, by a distinction, which was no doubt valuable as well as gratifying to Sir Thomas Browne. It is remarkable that he has never recorded it, and only once made a slight allusion to it, in his Repertorium; where, among royal visits to Norwich, he mentions that of Charles II., adding, "of which I had particular reason to take notice." But though he never boasted of his distinction, I strongly suspect that he has left a costly memorial of it. In the drawing room of the house in which he lived, there is, over the mantel-piece, and occupying the entire space to the ceiling, a most elaborate and richly ornamented carving of the royal arms of Charles the Second: who will undertake to disprove my assertion, that this was placed there by Sir Thomas, to express his loyalty, and to commemorate his knighthood?b

In Matthew Stevenson's Poems, 12mo. 1673, there is a long poem on this progress of Charles II. into Norfolk, in which the honour conferred on Browne is thus noticed.

"There the King knighted the so famous Browne,
Whose worth and learning to the world are known," &c.

b In support of this position, I ought perhaps to point out the house in which I suppose Browne to have resided. Blomfield asserts that he lived where Dr. Howman then lived; and that he succeeded Ald. Anguish in that house. I have ascertained, by reference to title-deeds, that the last house at the southern extremity of the Gentleman's Walk, Haymarket, in the parish of St. Peter Mancroft, Norwich (which has for very many years been occupied as a china and glass warehouse, and which tradition has always asserted to have been Sir Thomas Browne's residence), belonged in Blomfield's time, to Dr. Howman. Still further I find that "Sep. 22, 1650, Katherine, the wife of Mr. Alex. Anguish, was buried in St. Peter's; and that, July 26, 1654, Mr. Alex. Anguish was there buried, from St. Julian's Parish. The earliest register of a birth in Browne's family in St. Peter's, occurs in Nov. 1650. I conclude, therefore, that the Alderman left the parish on the decease of his wife, and that Browne took immediate possession of his house.-Of Browne's previous residence, I regret to say I find not the smallest trace.

Early in October, Evelyn went down to the Earl of Arlington's (then Lord Chamberlain) at Euston, in company with Sir Thomas Clifford, to join the royal party. Lord Henry Howard arrived soon after and prevailed on Mr. Evelyn to accompany him to Norwich, promising to convey him back after a day or two.—"This," says he, "as I could not refuse I was not hard to be persuaded to, having a desire to see that famous scholar and physitian, Dr. T. Browne, author of the 'Religio Medlici,' and 'Vulgar Errors,' &c., now lately knighted. Thither then went my lord and I alone, in his flying chariot with six horses; and by the way, discoursing with me of severall of his concernes, he acquainted me of his going to marry his eldest sonn to one of the king's natural daughters by the Dutchesse of Cleaveland, by which he reckon'd he should come into mighty favour.

"Next morning I went to see Sir Tho. Brown (with whom I had some time corresponded by letter, tho' I had never seen him before). His whole house and garden being a paradise and cabinet of rarities, and that of the best collections, especially medails, books, plants, and natural things. Amongst other curiosities, Sir Thomas had a collection of the eggs of all the foule and birds he could procure, that country (especialy the promontary of Norfolck) being frequented, as he said, by severall kinds, which seldome or never go farther into the land, as cranes, storkes, eagles, and variety of water-foule. He led me to see all the remarkable places of this ancient citty, being one of the largest, and certainly, after London, one of the noblest of England, for its venerable cathedrall, number of stately churches, cleanesse of the streetes, and buildings of flints, so exquisitely headed and squared, as I was much astonished at; but he told me they had lost the art of squaring the flints, in which they once so much excell'd, and of which the churches, best houses, and walls, are built. The castle is an antique extent of ground, which now they call Marsfield, and would have been a fitting area to have placed the ducal palace on. The suburbs are large, the prospects sweete, with other amenities, not omitting the flower gardens, in which all the inhabitants excel. The fabric of stuffs brings a vast trade to this populous towne."

In the succeeding year, 1672, the name of Sir Thomas occurs as having given his testimony, in the following terms, to the extraordinary precocity of Wotton, afterwards the friend of

Bentley:-

"I do hereby declare and certify, that I heard Wm. Wotton, son to Mr. Henry Wotton, of Wrentham, of the age of six years, read a stanza in Spencer very distinctly, and pronounce

it properly As also some verses in the 1st Eclogue of Virgil, which I purposely chose out, and also construe the same truly Also some verses in Homer, and the Carmina Aurea of Pythagoras, which he read well and construed As he did also the 1st verse of the 4th ch of Genesis in Hebrew, which I purposely chose out

"July 20, 1672 'Tho Browne'

In the same year, in compliance with the request of Anthony Wood, the Oxford historian, Sir Thomas communicated, through his friend John Aubrey, some information respecting Dr Lushington, his former tutor, and several other persons, together with those few biographical particulars respecting himself, which have formed the basis of all subsequent notices of him These letters were detected in the Ashmolean Museum, by Mr Black, with some others one from Sir Thomas to Lilly, the astrologer, and two to Ashmole, in reference principally to Di John Dee and his son, Dr Arthui Dee who resided for many years on terms of the kindest friendship with Browne at Norwich, and there died Sir Thomas, in these letters, bears testimony most unequivocally to the sincerity of Dr Arthui Dees belief in the power of alchymy to transmute the baser metals into gold and silver, which he assured Sir Thomas he had "ocularly, undeceivably, and frequently beheld even on the point of going to the continent in pursuit of such riches, had not the death of the artist, with whom he was about to hazard his property, most opportunely prevented him

Sir Thomas had also another zealous alchymist among his correspondents, in the person of one of his earliest friends Sir Robert Paston, with whom he corresponded from 1663 to 1672, principally on experiments which Sir Robert was making in alchymy. Blomfield speaks of this gentleman as "a person of good learning, who, travelling into foreign countrys, collected many considerable rarities and curiosities and being an accomplished fine gentleman, entertained King Charles II, his queen, and the Duke of York at Oxnead, with the nobility that attended them."

But though Sir Thomas was willing enough to afford all the assistance in his power to those who sought it, in pursuit of astrology and alchymy (as on every other subject within his range), it does not follow, nor do his writings justify our supposing that he placed any reliance on the one, or entertained any hopes from the other, of those pseudo sciences, which, indeed, ought rather to be regarded as the cradles of astronomy and chemistry Sir Isaac Newton is said to have been at one time on the hunt after the philosopher's stone and he himself owned that it was his pursuit of the idle and vain study of astrology, which led him into the love of astrology. Lord

Bacon speculated on the making of gold, but this it is contended, arose from his lofty conceptions of the yet untried

resources of experimental science

The remaining ten years of Sir Thomas s life afford us few incidents of importance or interest. His leisure seems to have been very considerably occupied with rendering professional and literary assistance to his son Edward, with whom he kept up a constant correspondence to the very close of his life

The marriage of Dr Edward Browne, in 1672, had settled him in London, and he naturally availed himself of every means, whether derived from his own exertions, or from the celebrity of his father's name, to extend his connexions, which were already considerable. In the summer of 1673 he went to Germany with Sir Joseph Williamson and Sir Leoline Jenkins, the English plenipotentiaries who were sent over to Cologne to negotiate a treaty of peace between England, France, and Holland.

Having terminated his travels (which he never subsequently resumed), he soon brought out his first account of them in 4to under his father's advice and, four years afterwards, published a second collection. They were very well received In 1675 he was chosen on the 14th June Lecturer in Chirurgeon's Hall, Sir Nathaniel Herne being then Master, and on the 29th July, Fellow of the College of Physicians. From this time we are constantly meeting with evidence, in the Correspondence, of the large assistance he received from his father, in the preparation of his lectures, which it seems gave very general satisfaction, and did him great credit

In the following year Sir Thomas sustained a domestic affliction in the death of his daughter Mary about twenty four years It may be supposed that she did not die under her father's roof, from the fact of her burial not occurring in the register of the parish in which he resided My information is derived from Blomfield, who enumerates, among "the stones below the rails, in the church of St Peters, Norwich, one to the memory of Mary, daughter of Sir Thomas Browne, Knt. 1676 In 1678, I find an instance of Browne's compliance with a custom very prevalent with authors in his day,—that of prefixing to their works recommendatory letters from persons of literary King s Vale Royal of Chester contains such a letter, signed Thomas Browne, and supposed to be Sir Thomas s In the present year he addressed a brief note of cautious recommendation to Mr John Browne, a surgeon residing at Norwich. who had published a work on Preternatural Tumours This gentleman afterwards became surgeon to the King, to whom he paid his court, by publishing in 1684 a book entitled, Adenochoiradelogia, or a Treatise of Glandules, and the Royal Gift of Healing them In this work he relates a number of marvellous cases of cure in one of which Sir Thomas makes rather a prominent figure. He was not living to contradict the story, or even to disclaim his participation in the Vulgar Error of believing in such royal miracles. We find from his letters that he was in the habit of giving medical certificates, to such as wished to be touched, that their cases were genuine. But this would involve no opinion as to the efficacy of the touch,—and probably, in the present instance he only believed in that of the journey.

In the same year he subscribed towards building a new library in Trinity College, Cambridge, at the instance of the masters and seniors of that College, who, in their letter urged the following argument "We doubt not but that God will bless the rest of your substance the better for what you shall conferr towards

this, and we shall pray that he may, &c &c"

c The following is the story adverted to -"Being in the society of many persons of quality I had this remarkable following observation from an eminent person of this strange cure. A noncomformist's child in Norfolk, being troubled with scrophulous swellings, the late deceased Sir Thomas Browne of Norwich being consulted about the same, his majesty being then at Breda or Bruges, he advised the parents of the child to have it carried over to the King (his own method being used ineffectually) the father seemed very strange at his advice, and utterly denied it saying the touch of the King was of no greater efficacy than any other man's The mother of the child, adhering to the doctor's advice, studied all imaginable means to have it over, and at last prevailed with her husband to let it change the air for three weeks or a month, this being granted, the friends of the child that went with it, unknown to the father, carried it to Breda, where the King touched it, and she returned home perfectly healed The child being come to its father's house, and he finding so great an alteration, enquires how his daughter arrived at this health, the friends thereof assured him, that if he would not be angry with them, they would relate the whole truth, they having his promise for the same, assured him they had the child to the King, to be touched, at Breda, whereby they apparently let him see the great benefit his child received thereby. Hereupon the father became so amazed, that he threw off his nonconformity, and exprest his thanks in this method, 'Farewell to all dissenters, and to all nonconformists if God can put so much virtue into the King's hand as to heal my child, I'll serve that God and that King so long as I live with all tnarkfulness " Browne's Adenochorredelogia, 3rd part, p 187 9

Nearly a century later, the avowal (or seeming avowal) of a belief in this kingly gift cost poor Carte the historian his annual subsidy from the chamber of London See Nichols's Literary Anecdotes, vol 11 p 495, where is collected much curious information on the point. So general was the belief in Charles II's reign, that no fewer than 92,107 persons are asserted by Browne, to have been "touched" from 1660 to 1683. See Tables at the end of his work.

d "Preserved in the Bodleian Library, MS. Rawlinson, 391"

In the same MS. I also find the acknowledgment of £12 subscribed 'towards the building of a new school in the College near Winton,'—where his education commenced Kennet' has preserved another instance of his public spirit, he contributed £130 to the repairs of Christ Church, Oxford

It was probably about 1680 that Sir Thomas completed his Repertorium, or Account of the Tombs and Monuments in the Cathedral Church of Norwich, by continuing it up to the time The basis of the work was a sketch hastily drawn up, 20 years previously, on the information of "an understanding singing man, 91 years old, 'not under the impulse of an antiquarian taste (which he has himself informed us he did not possess), but in order to preserve some remembrance of the many monumental antiquities, which blind and barbarous zeal had mutilated or destroyed The reckless character of these ravages has been exhibited in a description made on the spot, and at the moment, by one who suffered, in his person, property, and health, from a lawless rabble,—perpetrating, in the sacred name of liberty. the most outrageous deeds of despotism Bp Hall, in his Hard Measure, has given a most touching account of the brutal treatment which he experienced from the republicans of his day, treatment which acquired a deeper degradation and a fouler stain from the very elevation and purity of his own character Browne attended him for many years, and even to his dying hour, a fact which the editor of the volume containing the account to which I advert, has noticed in these quaint and simple terms

• Kennet's Register, p 345

f The Shaking of the Olive Tree The remaining Worls of that incomparable prelate, Joseph Hall, DD late Lord Bishop of Norwick With some Specialities of Divine Providence in his Lift, noted by his own hand Together with his Hard Measure, written also by himself, 4to Lond 1660 Cuill, in publishing the Repertorium, has most appropriately though inaccurately prefixed the following quotation from this work, which I shall insert here, verbatim —

"It is no other than tragical to relate the carriage of that furious sacriledge, whereof our eyes and ears were the sad witnesses under the authority and presence of Linsey, Tofts the sheriffe, and Greenwood, Lord, what work was here, what clattering of glasses, what beating down of walls, what tearing up of monuments, what pulling down of seates, what wresting out of irons and brass from the windows and graves, what defacing of armes, what demolishing of curious stone work, that had not any representation in the world, but only of the cost of the founder, and skill of the mason, what toting and piping upon the destroyed organ pipes, and what a hideous triumph on the market day before all the countrey, when in a kind of sacrilegious and profane procession, all the organ pipes, vestments both copes and surplices, together with the leaden crosse, which had been newly sawne downe from over the green yard pulpit, and the service books and singing books that could be had, were carried to the fire in the publick market place.

"After his prevailing infirmities had wasted all the strengths of nature, and the arts of his learned and excellent physician, D Browne of Norwich (to whom, under God, we and the whole church are ingaged for many years preserving his life as a blessing to us),—after his fatherly reception of many persons of honour, learning, and piety, who came to crave his dying prayers and benedictions,—he roused up his dying spirits, to a heavenly confession of his faith, which ere he could finish, his speech was taken from him, so that we cannot here insert it"

At the close of the same year Sir Thomas's daughter Elizabeth married Capt George Lyttleton, the 12th and youngest son of Sir Thomas Lyttleton, Bart afterwards major in Prince George of Denmark's regiment of dragoons, who died in 1717, at Windsor, in the 77th year of his age. This was probably thought a desirable alliance, but it deprived Sir Thomas of a daughter who had resided with him far longer than any other of his children, and of whom he has expressed himself in terms of very high commendation. She went to reside in the island of Guernsey, where the captain then had some military employment.

Sir Thomas had now the satisfaction of seeing his son Edward daily adding to his honours, his connexions, and his practice In 1678 he had been chosen Censor of the College of Physicians, an office which he again filled in 1685 and 1686 In 1680 he attended the dying illness of the celebrated Earl of Rochester, at Woodstock Park as well as that of the Marguis of Dorchester, a patron and amateur of the medical profession, and a Fellow of the College of Physicians, who had long been his great friend, to whom he had dedicated his first travels in 1672, and with whom he had sufficient influence to prevail on his lordship to bequeath his library to the college We also find among Dr. Browne's patients, the Duke of Richmond, the Earl of Aylesbury. Sir Joseph Williamson, &c In February, 1682, he was engaged to translate the life of Themistocles, for an edition of Plutarch's Lives, of which the first volume was published in 1683, and for the second of which, in the following year, he translated that of Sertorius In this occupation, also, he enjoyed the advantage of his father's assistance, the sheets being successively transmitted

walking before the train in his cope trailing in the dirt, with a service book in his hand imitating in an impious scorne the tune, and usurping the words of the letany used formerly in the church neer the publick crosse, all these monuments of idolatry must be sacrificed to the fire, not without much ostentation of a zealous joy in discharging ordinance to the cost of some who professed how much they had longed to see that day Neither was it any newes upon this guild day to have the cathedrall now open on all sides to be filled with muskatiers, wayting for the majors returne, drinking and tobacconing as freely as if it had turne'd alehouse "The Shaking of the Olive Tree, &c. p. 63

to Norwich for revision. On the 7th of Sep per, 1682, he was appointed, by the express recommendation o is royal master. Physician to St. Bartholomew's Hospital, or he death of Sir John Micklethwayte. He entered upon the auties of this office with characteristick diligence, and, as it appears, in his accustomed reliance upon the aid of his father; to whom, on the 3rd of Oct., he addressed the last letter which has come down to us; communicating some particulars relative to the appointment, and requesting his advice as to the hospital practice. Ever prompt as Sir Thomas was to comply with such applications, especially from his son, it may be doubted whether he was permitted to do so in the present instance:—for on the 19th of the same month, the day on which he completed his 77th year, a severe attack of cholick terminated the life of this great man, after a few days' illness. He left considerable property, real as well as personal; which he had devised three years before his decease in the following will:—

Decemb. 2, 1679.

In the name of God, Amen. I, Thomas Browne, Knight and Dr. of Physick, of the citty of Norwich, do make this my last will and testament. Imprimis, I give and bequeath vnto my deare wife, Dame Dorothie Browne, all my Lands, Leases, and Tenements, all my bonds, bills, moueables, money, plate, jewells, and all my goods whatsoever, thereby to have a provision for herself, and make liberall maintenance and portions for my deare daughters, Elizabeth Browne and Frances Browne. Excepting such lands and tenements as were assigned and made over vnto my sonne Edward Browne upon marriage, and to bee entered upon a yeare after my decease. Item, I appoint and make my wife, Dame Dorothie Browne, my sole executrix, and give her power to sell all leases, all my goods moveables, mony, plate, jewells, bonds, and all goods valuable whatsoever, for the the provision of herself and of my daughters Elizabeth and Frances Browne, and for the payment of my debts, legacies, and charitable gifts, wherewith she is fully acquainted, and will, I doubt not, performe my will therein. And if it shall please God that my wife Dame Dorothie should dye before mee, then I make my daughters, Elizabeth and Frances Browne, my executrixes, and give them the same enjoyment and power in my estate as I have before given vnto my wife, Dame Dorothie. This is my last will and testament, which I have writt with my owne hand, and confirmed it with my hand and seale. THOMAS BROWNE.

Witnesses { Nicho: Bickerdike Anthony Mingay Aug. Briggs, Junior.

Of the two daughters named in this will, only one (Frances) remained single at the time of his death. Whether she married

afterwards or not I cannot say with certainty. In the pedigree drawn up by Le Neve—among the daughters of Mr. Fairfax are enumerated two of the name Frances, both married, the latter to Mr. Bosville, a Yorkshire gentleman. This I suppose to have been the daughter of Sir Thomas, and to have been confounded by Le Neve with his grand-daughter. But I cannot bring any evidence whatever to support my suggestion, which must, therefore, remain mere hypothesis. His widow, Lady Dorothy, survived him little more than two years. Her monument is in St. Peter's church.

It is very remarkable, that although Sir Thomas Browne had forty children and grand-children (including those who were so by marriage), yet, in the second generation, within thirty years after his decease, the male line became extinct; and of the third generation, none survived their infancy, excepting in the family of his eldest daughter, Anne; of whose eight children, none left any descendents but the third daughter, Frances Fairfax, married to the Earl of Buchan; whose daughter, Lady Frances Erskine, married the celebrated Colonel Gardener, killed at Preston-pans in 1745;—whose grandson was the late Lord Erskine, one of the most splendid ornaments of the English bar, created Lord Chancellor in 1806; and from whom are thus lineally descended Henry David, the present and 12th Earl of Buchan, and David Montagu, the present and 2nd Lord Erskine of Restormel Castle.

None of Dr. E. Browne's numerous family left any children. Eight died unmarried, the greater part in their infancy. Of the remaining three, Susannah, the eldest daughter, died soon after her marriage to Arthur Moore, Esq., M.P. for Grantham, and was buried with her two infant daughters at Northfleet. Thomas, the eldest son, and Anne, the sixth daughter, survived their father. Thomas resided for many years at Norwich with his grandfather; whose correspondence is not a little enlivened by the very orthographic postscripts of Dame Dorothy, touching this her most especial favourite and grandson, "litle Tomey;" setting forth his excellencies and defects, his demeanors and misdemeanors, his maladies, and his literary progress. Of the doings and writings of "litle Tomey" I can find very little to record. He took his doctor's degree in medicine, and probably practised with his father. He was a Fellow of the College of Physicians, and in 1699 was admitted F.R.S.

In 1698 he married his cousin Alethea, fourth and youngest daughter of his uncle, Henry Fairfax, Esq.; but she died in 1704, and was buried at Hurst, leaving no children. His own death occurred in 1710, in a manner much to be deplored, if we may credit the account given in Le Neve's pedigree of the family. But that document exhibits so many inaccuracies, that we may,

in charity, hope the story is not true. However this may be, he was in every respect a man so greatly inferior both to his father and grandfather, that the first line of the Horatian apostrophe, "Ætas parentum, pejor avis, tulit nos nequiores," may not unfitly be applied to him, though we must omit the "mox

daturos, &c.;" as his race ended with himself.

Anne, the sixth daughter of Dr. Edward Browne, married Owen Brigstocke, Esq., of Llechdenny, co. Carmarthen. But his great grandson, Augustus Brigstocke, Esq., of Blaenpant, co. Cardigan, has done me the favour, in reply to my enquiries, to inform me, that she had no children; and that his ancestor's family was the result of his second marriage to Mary, only daughter and sole heiress of Francis Gwynne, Esq. of Glyn Abbey, M.P.

The writer of the memoir of Dr. Edward Browne, in the Biographia Britannica, has collected some further particulars respecting him, to which the enquirer is referred — In the parish church of Northfleet are inscriptions to his memory and that of his son; followed by an extract from his will, bequeathing his Northfleet estate equally between the College of Physicians and Hospital of St. Bartholomew, in the event (which soon happened) of failure of heirs to his son and daughter. There are also inscriptions to his three daughters, Susanna, Henrietta, and Mary.

The library and manuscripts of Sir Thomas passed into the hands of his son and grandson; on whose decease his library was sold by auction. But the far greater portion of his MSS. together with those added by his son, were sold, I suppose, to Sir Hans Sloane. A catalogue of them is preserved in the Bodleian Library; by means of which, with the help of Sir Hans Sloane's MS. catalogue of his own immense collections, I have succeeded in identifying nearly all the articles, in our National

Library at the British Museum.

I shall subjoin, in conclusion, a paper, which was pointed out to me by John Chambers, Esq. of Norwich, and which seems to possess some claim to be regarded as a document of authority.

s The following advertisement of the sale is from the Gentleman's Magazine for 1830, pt. i p. 515.—"Sir Thos. Browne, Dec. 26, 1710. A catalogue of the libraries of the learned Sir Thomas Brown, and his son Dr. Brown, deceased, consisting of many very valuable and uncommon books in most faculties and languages, with choice manuscripts, which will begin to be sold by auction at the Black Boy Coffee-house, in Ave-Mary Lane, near Ludgate, on Monday, the 8th of January next, beginning every Monday at 4 o'clock till the sale is ended. Catalogues are delivered at most booksellers in London, at the two Universities, and at the place of sale, price 6d." A copy of this catalogue exists in the British Museum.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE EUROPEAN MAGAZINE.

SIR,—In a copy of the works of Sir Thomas Brown, printed in 1686, which formerly belonged to Dr. White Kennet, Bishop of Peterborough, I find the following memorandum. in the hand-writing of that prelate. It contains circumstances not generally known, and may afford some information to the readers of the European Magazine.

I am, &c., C. D.

"Memdum. In the time of my waiting at Windsor, in the latter part of Nov. 1712, Mrs. Littleton, a daughter of Sir Thomas Brown, of Norwich, lent me a short account and character of her father, written by John Whitefoot, a minister well acquainted with him, the same person who preacht and publisht a funeral sermon for Bishop Hall. It was contained in one sheet, 4to. beginning thus. 'Had my province been only to preach a funeral sermon for this excellent person, &c.'

"All the matter of fact contained in the said account were in these words:-

[I omit the bishop's epitome, having already printed at large, in Johnson's Life, the whole account of Whitefoot, from which it was abridged.]

"Thus ended the account, and after it was written by Mrs. Littleton. 'This was part of the life of Sir Thomas Brown, by that learned and good man, Mr. John Whitefoot.' And then follows, in the same hand of Mrs. Littleton. 'His father dying left him young; his mother took her thirds, which was three thousand pounds, and married Sir Thomas Dutton, a worthy person, who had great places. The executors took care of his education at Winchester school and Oxford. He lived some time in Montpellier and Padua. His father-in-law shewed him all Ireland in some visitation of the forts and castles. He was born Oct. 19. 1605. He died Oct. 19, 1682, 77 years of age. His father used to open his breast when he was asleep, and kiss it in prayers over him, as 'tis said of Origen's father, that the Holy Ghost would take possession there. His picture is at the Duke of Devonshire's house in Piccadilly, in his mother's lap.* His father, mother, brother, and sisters, in it. A family picture, his father being nearly related to that countess of Devonshire whose picture is in the first room with her three sons by her, and very like to Sir Thomas Brown's father, as the servants shew to persons who go to see the picture, which is so good painting, that my lord duke values it at four hundred pounds.'

"Memdm. The said Mrs. Littleton reports that the MSS. papers of her father were in the hands of her late brother Dr. Edward Brown, who lent them in a box to Dr. Thomas Tenison, vicar of St. Martin's, in the reign of King James II., and that she herself, at her brother's request, went to fetch home the box, and accordingly brought it back, and delivered it to her brother, who soon after complained that he misst the choicest papers, which were a continuation of his Religio Medici, drawn up in his elder years, and which his son Dr. Brown had now intended to publish. She went back to Dr. Tenison, and desired him to look for those papers, which he could not find, but she hopes they may be still recovered, either as mislaid by the Archbishop of Canterbury, or by her brother, whose only daughter is married to Mr. Brigstock, a member of the House of Commons."—Eur. Mag. vol. xl. p. 89.

* "This picture was probably destroyed when Devonshire House was burnt some years afterwards."

PSEUDODOXIA EPIDEMICA,

ENQUIRIES INTO

VERY MANY RECEIVED TENETS AND COMMONLY PRESUMED TRUTHS, WHICH
EXAMINED PROVE BUT

VULGAR AND COMMON ERRORS.

NINTH EDITION.

WITH ADDITIONS FROM MSS. IN THE BRITISH MUSEUM, AND NOTES BY DEAN WREN, B. W. BRAYLEY, JUN. F.L.S., AND OTHERS.

ORIGINALLY PUBLISHED IN

Ex libris colligere quæ prodiderunt authores longe est periculosissimum; rerum ipsarum cognitio vera e rebus ipsis est.—Jul. Scaliger.

EDITOR'S PREFACE

TO PSEUDODOXIA EPIDEMICA

If the conception and plan of the present work is not to be ascribed to the mental activity of its author alone,—if we are not to regard it solely as the result of his own native and irrepressible thirst for knowledge, and of that unrelenting spirit of investigation which led him to scrutinize every position before he admitted it, if, in short, we are to allow, that Sir Thomas Browne might have been, in some degree, impelled to this undertaking by the suggestions of another, may we not with great probability attribute the impulse to the opinions expressed by Lord Bacon as to the Use of Doubts, and the advantages which might result from drawing up a Calendar of Doubts, Falsehoods, and Popular Errors? In support of this conjecture. I will insert some of those opinions (from Mr Basil Montagu's Lectures on Bacon, with which I have been favoured by that gentleman, at the request of my kind friend Mr Amyot), with Mr Montagu's remarks

"'The recording and proposing of doubts hath in it a two-fold One, that it munites and fortifies philosophy against error, when that which is not altogether so clear and evident is not defined and avouched (lest error should beget error), but a judgment upon it is suspended and not definitive '-It will be seen in a future lecture, that Lord Bacon enumerates a tendency to hasty assent among the idols of the understanding by which we are diverted from the truth In this place, he contents himself with incidentally noticing, that a record of doubts has a tendency to prevent the influence of this idol — The other, that the entry of doubts, and recording of them, are so many sponges which continually draw and suck unto them an increase and improvement of knowledge, whereby it comes to pass that those things which, without the suggestion of doubts, had been slightly and without observation, passed over, are, by occasion of such dubitations, more seriously and attentively considered.'—Lord

Bacon, in various parts of his works, admonishes us of our duty to keep our minds open to improvement, and not to admit as truths what may be either false, or only a proper subject for He warns us in his doctrine of the idols of the understanding, that, from our love of truth, we are anxious to possess it, and too ready to imagine ourselves enriched by the possession of counterfeit, instead of real coin He says-'The mind of man doth wonderfully endeavour and extremely covet that it may not be pensile, but that it may light upon something fixed and immoveable, on which, as on a firmament, it may support itself in its swift motions and disquisitions. Aristotle endeavours to prove that, in all motions of bodies there is some point quiescent, and very elegantly expounds the fable of Atlas, who stood fixed, and bare up the heavens from falling, to be meant of the poles of the world, whereupon the conversion is accomplished. In like manner, men do earnestly seek to have some Atlas, craxis of their cognitations within themselves which may, in some measure, moderate the fluctuations and wheelings of the understanding. fearing it may be the falling of their heaven An impatience of doubt, and an unadvised haste of assertion, without due and mature supension of the judgment is an error in the conduct of the understanding For the two ways of contemplation are not unlike the two ways of action, commonly spoken of by the ancients, of which the one was a plain and smooth way in the beginning, but in the end impassable,—the other rough and troublesome in the entrance but after a while fair and even it is in contemplations—if a man will begin in certainties, he shall end in doubts, but if he be content to begin with doubts, and have patience a while, he shall end in certainties Wherefore I report as deficient a calendar of dubitations, or problems in nature, and approve the undertaking of such a work as a profitable pains, so care be had that, as knowledge daily grows up (which certainly will come to pass if men hearken unto us) such doubts as be clearly discussed, and brought to resolution, be rased out of the catalogue of problems. It would be a very profitable course to adjoin to the calendar of doubts and non liquets, a calendar of falsehoods, and of popular errors, now passing unargued in natural history and opinions, that sciences be no longer distempered and debased by them'

"Since Lord Bacon's time, there have been publications on vulgar errors, or erroneous opinions received as truths by the community. The first was published in the year 1646, by Sir Thomas Browne. It is entitled, Pseudodoxia Epidemica, or Enguiries into very many received Tenets, and commonly received Truths by Sir Thomas Browne, Knt. M.D. (From his preface it will be found, that before Lord Bacon's time, as I conceive,

but certainly before the time of Sir Thomas Browne there were other works upon this subject) Of this work, Mr Jeremy Bentham, in his work on Fallacies, says, 'Vulgar Errors is a denomination which, from a work on this subject by a physician of name in the 17th century, has obtained a certain degree of celebrity. Not the moral (of which the political is a department), but the physicial was the field of the errors, which it was the objects of Sir Thomas Browne to hunt out and bring to view, but of this restriction, no intimation is given by the words of which the title of his work is composed? It is rather interesting to see that antipathy to improvement in the time of Sir Thomas Browne was, as it is, and to a certain extent ever will be, so rife, that he thought it expedient to guard against such prejudices by an amulet to charm priests, physicians, and philosophers "—Mr Montagu's MS'

By whatever inducements, however, we may suppose Browne to have been stimulated to the production of the Pseudodoxia *Epidemica*, few will hesitate to admit that he was peculiarly qualified for the task It was in his very nature to inquire (as I have remarked), and he was not content to receive any thing, without scrutiny,—except in matters of faith The exception may be given in his own words "In philosophy, where truth seems double faced, there is no man more paradoxical than myself, but in divinity, I love to keep the road and, though not in an implicit, yet an humble faith, follow the great wheel of the church, by which I move, not reserving any proper poles, or motion from the epicycle of my own brain " Again - where the scripture is silent, the church is my text, where that speaks, 'tis but my comment, where both are silent,' & &c If we add to these passages the following avowal,—"I am, I confess, naturally inclined to that which misguided zeal terms superstition,' 1 -we are furnished with the true key to explain his belief in witchcraft, and Satanic influence, as well his partiality for the Ptolemaic system of the universe He regarded these all as being to a certain extent, subjects of revelation, and therefore to be received implicitly But every thing not so supported, fell under the process of his excruciation. His very curious and extensive reading,-his daily and ardent pursuit of every branch of natural history,—the labour he was constantly willing (as Dr Johnson observes)" to pay for truth, in patient and reiterated experiments

h "See his preface, in which he says 'we cannot expect the frown of theology herein, &c &c' to the end of the paragraph' Rel Med Rel Med Rel Med

Rel Med k Rel Med l Rel Med

Med Rel Med l Rel Med

Rel Med with still greater vehemence advocated Browne's astronomical belief

[&]quot; In his Life of Browne, vol 1

VOL I.

upon even the most trifling or absurd questions,-together with the ready access, which his great celebrity and extended acquaintance procured him, to the collections and observations of the literary and scientific men of his day; all these supplied him with copious materials for the exercise of his inquisitive propensities. Every doubt was brought to the test of experiment and His Common-place Books exhibit abundant eviexamination. dence that he trusted nothing to memory, but noted down at the moment they struck him, the experiments and inquiries he deemed necessary to be made, together with results as they That this process of accumulation began early in life, is evident from the date of his first edition; while subsequent alterations, and the constant accessions of new matter, p (some even now first printed) may serve to convince us, that throughout life he continued, as the constantly increasing "diversion" of his business or acquaintance allowed him opportunity, to enrich his treasury of doubts and speculations.

Let us now proceed to enumerate the editions and translations

which have appeared.

The First Edition is in pot folio, with the following title-page. Pseudodoxia Epidemica: or, Enquiries into very many received Tenets, and commonly presumed Truths. By Thomas Browne, Dr. of Physick. Jul. Scalig. Ex libris colligere quæ prodiderunt authores, longe est periculosissimum; rerum ipsarum cognitio vera e rebus ipsis est. London, Printed by T. H. for Edward Dod, and are to be sold in Ivie Lane. 1646. On the leaf opposite the title is Downame's Imprimatur.

The Second Edition is the handsomest, as to typography, which has hitherto appeared. It is in foolscap folio. The title is, Pseudodoxia, &c. (as before); Second Edition, corrected and much enlarged by the author, Together with some Marginal Observations, and a Table alphabeticall at the end. London, Printed by A. Miller, for Edw. Dod and Nath. Ekins, at the

Gunne in Ivie Lane. 1650.

The Third Edition, with some additions, appeared in folio, in

P These alterations and additions are pointed out in the notes to the present edition. They occur chiefly in the 2nd and 3rd editions, and in the 6th, the last which the author revised. The 4th and 5th editions differ little from the 3rd.

"John Downame."

a Downame's Imprimatur.] "March the 14th, 1645. I have perused these learned Animadversions upon the Common Tenets and Opinions of Men in former and in these present times, entitled Pseudodoxia Epidemica; and finding them much transcending vulgar conceipt, and adorned with great variety of matter, and multiplicity of reading, I approve them as very worthy to be printed and published.

1658. It is printed on the model of the second, but is very inferior.

The Fourth Edition was printed in the same year, in 4to, with the Hydriotaphia and Garden of Cyrus—two Discourses which had just appeared in 8vo. The title is Pseudodoxia. &c. The Fourth Edition, with Marginal Observations, and a Table Alphabetical. Whereunto are now added two Discourses:—the one of Urn Burial, or Sepulchrall Urns, lately found in Norfolk: the other, of the Garden of Cyrus, or Network Plantations of the Both newly written by the same Author. Ex libris, Antients. &c. London, Printed for Edward Dod, and are to be sould by Andrew Crook, at the Green Dragon in Paul's Church-yard. 1658. No sooner had Dod brought out this edition, so enriched, than Ekins, his former partner, printed, in double column, not only the Tracts appended by Dod, but also Religio Medici:and thus, in 1659, produced, as altogether new, his unsold copies of the 3rd edition, with these enrichments, preceded by this title-page:—Religio Medici: whereunto is added a Discourse of the Sepulchrall Urns, lately found in Norfolk. Together with the Garden of Cyrus; or the Quincunciall Lozenge, or Network Plantations of the Ancients, Artificially, Naturally, Mistically Considered. With sundry Observations. By Thomas Brown, Doctour of Physick, Printed for the good of the Commonwealth; —the whole set forth with a new title-page to the volume, calling it The Last Edition, with the date 1659.

The Fifth Edition, in 4to. by the Assigns of Dod, in 1669, is nearly a reprint of his Fourth, and contains the two Discourses. It is remarkable for having a portrait (the first, I believe, which appeared) of the author; but so different from all others I have seen, that it is not easy to suppose them to have had a common original. Mr. Ottley, of the British Museum, has had the kindness to give me his opinion as to the engraver, that it may

probably have been executed by John Dunstall.

The Sixth Edition, published by Ekins, under the author's especial superintendence, and with his final revision and improvements,' and the last which appeared during his life-time, came out in 1672, in 4to. with this title:—Pseudodoxia, &c. The Sixth and last Edition, corrected and enlarged by the Author, with many Explanations, Additions, and Alterations throughout. Together with many more Marginal Observations, and a Table Alphabetical at the end. London, Printed by J. R. for Nath. Ekins. 1672. A portrait by Van Hove accompanied it; which, in all probability, had a common ori-

^t As declared in the Postscript. Of this edition there were large papers.

ginal with all the subsequent portraits:—viz. that of Van der Bane, published with the Miscellany Tracts, in 1683—that of White, with the Works, in 1686—that of Van der Gucht, with the Posthumous Works, in 1712—that of Trotter, in Malcolm's Lives of Topographers—together with a Mezzotinto, of which I have only seen one copy, in an illustrated "Blomfield's Norwich," in the Dean and Chapter's Library at Norwich—and a Dutch 4to. print, which probably accompanied a Dutch translation of the Works.

In 1686, Abp. Tenison published the folio volume, which contained the Seventh Edition of Pseudodoxia, Religio Medici, Hydriotaphia, and the Quincunx, together with the Miscellany Tracts, which he had himself first edited in 1683 (but of which many copies have a reprint title with the date 1684), with this

title, in red and black ink.

I know of but three translations of Pseudodoxia: two of which are those of Grundal and Knorr, in 1668 and 1680; the third is a French translation, in 2 vol. 12mo. of the seventh edition. I cannot say by whom it was made, unless by Peter Briot, the translator of Ricault's Ottoman Empire, and several other works into French.

Watt mentions an edition of the Works of Browne in Latin, in 1682; but I have never seen it, nor any other mention of it. Peti, a mathematician, who wrote on comets, is mentioned as having translated some part into Latin; and Isaac Gruter corresponded with Sir Thomas, respecting a translation which he was preparing; but which I believe never appeared.

In 1652 our old enemy, Alexander Ross, again took up arms, and made an attack at the same time on our author, and on Lord Bacon, Dr. Harvey, and others, in his Arcana Microcosmi.

* Gruter published several of Lord Bacon's pieces in Latin; and Abp. Tenison in his *Baconiana* (Lond. 1679, sm. 8vo.) has given, at p. 221, several Latin letters on the subject, from Isaac Gruter to

Dr. Rawley.

[&]quot;With this title:—Essai sur les Erreurs Populaires, ou Examen de plusieurs Opinions reçues comme vrayes, qui sont fausses ou douteuses. Traduit de l'Anglois de Thom. Brown, Chevalier et Docteur en Medecine. Nouvelle edition revue et corrigée. Ex libris, &c. Jul. Scalig. A Paris, chez Briasson, Rue Saint Jacques, à la Science et à l'Ange Gardien. MDOCXXVIII. Avec Approbation et privilège du Roy. My copy of this work has also reprint titles, with the date 1753.

^{&#}x27; Arcana Microcosmi: or, The hid Secrets of Man's Body discovered; in an Anatomical Duel between Aristotle and Galen concerning the Parts thereof: as also by a discovery of the Strange and marvellous Diseases, Symptomes, and Accidents of Man's Body. With a Refutation of Doctor Brown's Vulgar Errors, the Lord Bacon's Natural History, and Doctor

To assail at once three such men, must be admitted as a proof that Alexander was not wanting in spirit; and to say the truth, there is much amusement to be found in the volume. He adheres to antiquity, "through thick and thin," as John Gilpin hath it; but in his very blunders and wrongheadedness, he often shows a quaintness and humour which not a little atones for them.

The next, and I believe the only other attack which appeared in print, was the Still Gale of John Robinson, a pompous and somewhat coxcombical personage, who calls himself "his fellow

Harry's Book de Generatione, Comenius, and Others; whereto is annexed a Letter from Doctor Pr. to the Author, and his Answer thereto, touching Doctor Harry's Book de Generatione. By A. R. London.

^a Dr. Kippis remarks, that "the Arcana is far from being so mean a piece as many have represented it. There is in it a great deal of vanity, and more spleen; but withal there wants not truth, learning,

and some sense."

b He published in 1649 a work entitled Miscellaneous Propositions and Quæres, by J. R. Dr. in Physick in Norwich-with this motto: Fabricanda Fabri Fimus, enclosed in a wreath. London, Printed for R. Royston, at the Angel in Ivie Lane. That they are truly Miscellaneous, will be sufficiently proved by their enumeration:-1. of a Church. 2. of Ministers. 3. of Sacraments. 4. of Adam. 5. of Marriage. 6. of Sympathy. 7. of an Egge. 8. of Swimming or Floating. 9. of Remedies. 10. of Telesmes. From this work it appears, that he was an Independent, in his opinions on church government, and the ministerial office. He held marriage to be a civil, not a religious institution. He seems to have been a person of some acuteness, and his belief in Satanic agency, resembled that of his fellow citizen Sir Thomas, as appears by his last chapter on "Telesmes," whose effect in removing Epidemical diseases, "if any," he would ascribe "unto the Prince of the Air." This work he translated into Latin and published with two additional pieces, under the following title:— Endoxa seu Questionum quarundam Miscellanearum examen probabile, ut et Lapis ad Altare, sive Exploratio Locorum paucorum difficiliorum S. Scriptura, una cum Pseudodoxia Epidemica Ventilatione tranquilla, per Johannem Robinsonum, M.D. (here occurs a rude wood-cut of 3 faces. with this motto:) Sunt variæ quamvis facies mentesque alienæ, Unus fit cordis nexus amore boni. Londini, &c. 1656. Two years afterwards the work made its appearance, with slight alterations, in English, under this title: -Endoxa, or some probable Inquiries into Truth, both Divine and Humane: together with a Stone to the Altar, or short Disquisitions on a few difficult places of Scripture; as also a Calm Ventilation of Pseudodoxia Epidemica, by John Robinson, Doctor of Physick, Translated and Augmented by the Author. (Four faces in a heart.)

Though divers heads; faces averse you see; Yet, for truth's sake, they all in heart agree. London, Printed by J. Streater, for Francis Tylor. 1658. citizen and collegian." There was little in this gale to ruffle a far more excitable antagonist than Sir Thomas; and it seems to have died away unnoticed.

The present Edition is printed from the folio of 1686, and all the important variations of that edition, from preceding ones, are pointed out in notes. The fifth book contains some pages

of new matter, from the MSS. in the British Museum.

In speaking of the notes which accompany it, I must first mention those marked Wr. They were written by Dr. Christopher Wren, Dean of Windsor, and father of the architect of St. Paul's, on the margins of a copy of the first edition. This copy, preserved in the Bodleian Library at Oxford, caught the attention of my very kind friend Dr. Bliss, who enabled me to obtain a transcript of the entire notes. I hope that in printing nearly the whole of these notes, I shall be allowed to have really enhanced both the interest and the value of this edition, by adding the very curious commentary of a learned and distinguished contemporary. In extent of reading, as well as in acuteness, the commentator was probably far inferior to Browne; but he went beyond him, though at the same time strongly resembling him, in a certain superstitious tinge of feeling, and in love of the marvellous; he was inclined to believe in astrology; and was a regarder of dreams; of which a very curious instance is recorded in the Parentalia, as having been written by him on the margin of Aubrey's Miscellanies, cap. v. p. 52.° He, moreover, admired Sir Thomas for being (like himself) a stout adherent to the falling fortunes of the Ptolemaic system of astronomy.

Browne has enumerated in his preface several works similar and anterior to his own. Several others may here be

c "Sir C. W. being at his father's home, anno 1651, at Knoyle, Wilts, dreamt that he saw a fight in a great market-place, which he knew not, where some were flying, and others pursuing; and among those that fled, he saw a kinsman of his, who went into Scotland to the king's army. They heard in the country that the king was come into England, but whereabout he was they could not tell. The next night his kinsman came to his father's at Knoyle, and was the first that brought the news of the fight at Worcester, fought Sep. 3.

"When Sir C. W. was at Paris, about 1665, he was taken ill and feverish, made but little water, and had a pain in his reins: he sent for a physician, who advised him to let blood, thinking he had a pleurisy; but bleeding much disagreeing with his constitution, he would defer it a day longer; that night he dreamt that he was in a place where palmtrees grew (suppose Egypt), and that a woman in a romantick habit reached him dates. The next day he sent for dates, which cured him of

the pain in his reins."

d Respecting Primrose, De Vulgi Erroribus, I may add that his first

mentioned; though many have very probably escaped my notice.

Espagne John d'. Erreurs Populaires en Points Généraux qui concernent l'intelligence de la Religion. To this work there is no date, nor do I find it in the British Museum, which contains several other of his works. He was a French Protestant divine of the 17th century.

ΠΕΡΙΑΜΜΑ 'ΕΠΙΔΗ'MΙΟΝ: or, Vulgar Errors in practice censured. Also the Art of Oratory, composed for the benefit of young students, cap. 8vo. Lond. Royston, 1659, pp. 112. The Vulgar Errours in practice censured are, 1. That of reproaching red-haired men. 2. That of censuring some professions. 3. That of reproaching the feminine sex. 4. The neglect of many writers to defend the deity of Christ. 5. The vanity of epitaphs. 6. The running from one extreme in religion to another. 7. The common practice of railing against an adversary.

Ralph Battell. Vulgar Errors in Divinity Removed, Lond. 8vo. 1683, containing, with title, &c. pp. 152. These relate to, 1. Reprobation. 2. Kingly government. 3. God's house and service in it. 4. Man's will. 5. Man's redemption. 6. Praying

by the Spirit.

Two works on popular superstitions, viz. Traité des Superstitions, by M. Thiers, published in 1679, and L'Histoire Critique des pratiques superstitieuses qui ont séduit les peuples, et embarrassé les Savans, by Pierre Le Brun, published in 2 vols. at Rouan, in 1702 and 1732,—were published together in 1733 in one vol. fol. with plates. One of these gives several figures of mandrakes.

Fovargue Stephen. A New Catalogue of Vulgar Errors, 8vo. pp. 202, Camb. 1767. A work of slight pretension, and of slender merit; introduced by a preface somewhat flippant and in bad taste. Two of his errors had been already noticed by Sir Thomas Browne, and many of the rest are by no means

generally received opinions.

Vulgar Errors, Lond. Debrett [8vo. 1784.] A political pamphlet against Mr. Pitt, at the time of the coalition between Lord North and Mr. Fox. The "Errors" enumerated are six :-1. That the union between Lord North and Mr. Fox was interested, and without any public spirit to support it. 2. That Mr. Fox's India bill was a violation of charters. 3. That it was a confiscation of property. 4. That, in the issue of this contest, the people will take part against the House of Commons. 5. That the king must succeed in the struggle by dissolving edition was in Latin. Amst. 1639 :- it was that which Wittie translated: subsequent editions appeared, and in 1668 one very much enlarged at

Rotterdam; it was this which De Rostagny translated.

parliament. 6. That the opposition to the present ministers has been carried on with violence. These six positions the author terms "Vulgar Errors," and professes to disprove.

A notice of some Vulgar Errors, as to points of law, will be

found in Barrington on the Statutes, 4to. 1775, p. 474.

S. W.

London, June 17, 1835.

In the Sloanian MSS. in the British Museum, No. 1839, there is a very neatly-written MS. extending to 85 pages, 4to., of Observations on Ps. Ep. which is proved to have proceeded from the pen of Sir Hamon L'Estrange.

The knight commences by thus expressing his admiration of his author: -- "Boterus, magnifying the latitude of the pope's power, sayes that he hath una jurisditione che no conosce oriente, 'a command that knows no east,' and another dedicates a booke to the king of Spaine, thus, 'To the great king, to whom the sun never sets.' I cannot but prædicate the vast expanse of the Dr.'s learning, reading, and knowledge, from the cedar to the hyssope." He then begins his observations by pointing out, in Browne's chapter on magnetism and the compass, several remarks which had not been made by previous writers;—Borough, Norman, or Gilbert. He goes on successively to notice Browne's remarks on electricity, flies in amber, white powder, and the rose of Jericho. After noticing, in connection with this last topic, several marvellous stories of omens, apparitions, and miracles, (among which this one, told to the writer by the old Countess of Arundel, respecting her father, Lord Dacre of the North, that he had a pasture on the scite of an old abbey, and that his sheep never failed. if within that scite, to produce twins:)—he thus proceeds. "And I see no barr against mee to think that in the dayes of darkness and ignorance of popery, some cloysterers might truck with the devil (att a deare rate) for an ape's trick (as witches do) for the shewing, effecting, and continuance of such pranks and toyes, whereby to acquire a stupendous reputation of working miracles (of which they were not a little ambitious,) to drawe affection, respect, and honour, to their religion and profession, and to celebrate the place with a mark and character of extraordinary sanctity for the future," p. 6. After touching upon Deer casting their horns, he mentions, on the subject of Griffins, having seen in Sir Rob. Cotton's library a griffin's claw, p. 7. Discussing the story of the ostrich swallowing iron, he mentions having seen one eat pellets of chewed paper as large as a walnut. He gives also, as a parallel, the following story:—"About 1638, as I walked London streets, I sawe the picture of a strange fowle hang out upon a • and my selfe, with one or two more then in company, went in to see it. It was kept in a chamber, and was a great

A burnt hole occurs here in MS.

fowle, somewhat bigger than the largest turkey-cock, and so legged and footed, but shorter and thicker, and of a more erect shape, coulourd before like the breast of yong cock fesan, and on the back of dunn or The keeper called it a Dodo, and in the ende of a deare coulour. chimney in the chamber there lay an heap of large pebble stones. whereof hee gave it many in our sight, some as bigg as nutmegs, and the keeper told us shee eate them, conducing unto digestion; and though I remember not how far the keeper was questioned herein, yet I am, confident that afterwards he cast them all agavne." He goes on to mention other instances of birds swallowing stones. &c. for the same purpose—which he concludes to be the most probable solution of the alleged fact that the ostrich (or estridge, as he calls it,) swallowing iron, pp. 8-12. Then follows a lengthened notice of the five kinds of one-horned animals noticed by Browne;—the Indian ox and ass, the oryx, rhinoceros, and monoceros. His opinion is that three "might exist: some one or more of several sorts of monsters in nature. through some errour or vitiosity in generation or conception, which might bear one horne; and such a creature once seen might multiply fast enough in report, and (ex traduce) naturalists readily follow one another, as wild geese flye." He concludes the unicorn of Job to be the rhinoceros, after many pages of careful and argumentative examination of his "shape and strength, and the seate, position, and portage of his horne," pp. 13—26. At p. 27, we find the notice (adverted to in his letter to Browne) of the whale, beginning thus: "In June, 1626, a whale was cast up upon my shoare or sea liberty, sometyme parcel of the possessions of the abbey of Ramsey, &c. Notices of the dolphin, the toad and spider, seal, dottrel, basilisk, swallows in mud, &c. occupy from p. 28 to p. 46:—from the last of which I must extract the following very lively incident—"About 16 or 20 years since, upon a hot, bright, and cleare daye, (a little before noone,) happing in the midst of March, as I leaned over my garden wall, and looking steadfastly into my mote, (which is on that syde very cleare, leane, and hungry water,) I espied sundry small creatures (of a dark or dusky coulour, longwise shaped, and of forme of beetle or scarabee) to rise out of the mud from the bottom of the mote to the topp of the water, and some of them to settle themselves speedily downe againe into the mud, others to rayse themselves above the water five or six inches, others a foote, others more, and some some yards, with a slanting or sloaping mount, and a like descent and falling downe hastened to the bottome; and being much pleased with this speculation. I hastily rann unto mine house, and called out mine eldest sonne, (then a man growne and of yeares,) both to participate and bee a witnesse of this discovery; wee observed againe as before, and att last (among sundry essayes of many of these creatures, we perceived

q

VOL. I.

i I must suspect that the Knight was deceived, probably by reflection, as to "these creatures" (which must be supposed the larvæ of libellulæ, or dragon flies,) having mounted out of the water before they acquired their wings—or having returned into the water after they had once taken their leave of it.

lxxxii EDITOR'S PREFACE TO PSEUDODOXIA.

one of them to rise from the bottom to the top of the water, and found itselfs so full sunned and perfected as it raysed it selfe above the water, and after two or three turnes and circinations in the ayre, it mounted cleane out of sight," p. 40. He proceeds to remark on the passenger falcon, (p. 42, 43,) toads found in oaks, shell stones, (Pholas,) p. 44, St. Hierome, p. 46, and last, but not least, Pope Joan, whose existence he believes, and devotes the remaining forty pages of his paper to a most learned and ingenious examination of the arguments for and against the story—and still further to a discussion of the sense in which those Apocalyptic passages are to be understood—in which the whore of Babylon is foretold and denounced, concluded by a courteous expression of personal respect to many who are of that faith, pp. 47—85.

TO THE READER.

WOULD truth dispense, we could be content, with Plato. that knowledge were but remembrance; that intellectual acquisition were but reminiscential evocation, and new impressions but the colourishing of old stamps which stood pale in the soul before. For (what is worse) knowledge is made by oblivion, and, to purchase a clear and warrantable body of truth, we must forget and part with much we know: -our tender enquiries taking up learning at large, and, together with true and assured notions, receiving many, wherein our reviewing judgments do find no satisfaction. therefore, in this encyclopædie and round of knowledge, like the great and exemplary wheels of heaven, we must observe two circles; that, while we are daily carried about and whirled on by the swing and rapt of the one, we may maintain a natural and proper course in the slow and sober wheel of the other. And this we shall more readily perform, if we timely survey our knowledge; impartially singling out those encroachments which junior compliance and popular credulity hath admitted. Whereof at present we have endeavoured a long and serious adviso; proposing not only a large and copious list, but from experience and reason attempting their decisions.

And first we crave exceeding pardon in the audacity of the attempt; humbly acknowledging a work of such concernment unto truth, and difficulty in itself, did well deserve the conjunction of many heads. And surely more advantageous had it been unto truth, to have fallen into the endeavours of

¹ the colourishing, &c.] "The pictures drawn in our minds are laid in fading colours; and it not sometimes refreshed, "While and illustrees."

-Lodes

some co-operating advancers, that might have performed it to the life, and added authority thereto; which the privacy of our condition, and unequal abilities cannot expect. Whereby notwithstanding we have not been diverted; nor have our solitary attempts been so discouraged, as to despair the favourable look of learning upon our single and unsupported endeavours.

Nor have we let fall our pen upon discouragement of contradiction, unbelief, and difficulty of dissuasion from radicated beliefs, and points of high prescription; although we are very sensible how hardly teaching years do learn, what roots old age contracteth unto errors, and how such as are but acorns in our younger brows grow oaks in our elder heads, and become inflexible unto the powerfullest arm of reason. Although we have also beheld, what cold requitals others have found in their several redemptions of truth; and how their ingenuous enquiries have been dismissed with cen-

sure, and obloquy of singularities.2

Some consideration we hope from the course of our profession, which though it leadeth us into many truths that pass undiscerned by others, yet doth it disturb their communications, and much interrupt the office of our pens in their well-intended transmissions. And therefore surely in this work attempts will exceed performances; it being composed by snatches of time, as medical vacations, and the fruitless importunity of uroscopy* would permit us.3 And therefore also, perhaps it hath not found that regular and constant style, those infallible experiments, and those assured determinations, which the subject sometime requireth. and might be expected from others, whose quiet doors and unmolested hours afford no such distractions. whoever shall indifferently perpend the exceeding difficulty, which either the obscurity of the subject or unavoidable paradoxology must often put upon the attemptor, he will easily discern a work of this nature is not to be performed upon one legg; and should smell of oyle, if duly and deservedly handled.

^{*} Inspection of urines.

³ Although we have also beheld, &c.] Nota justam Doctoris querimoniam.—Wr.

³ fruitless importunity, &c.] See book i. chap. 3.

Our first intentions, considering the common interest of truth, resolved to propose it unto the Latin republick and equal judges of Europe, but, owing in the first place this service unto our country, and therein especially unto its ingenuous gentry, we have declared ourselves in a language best conceived. Although I confess the quality of the subject will sometime carry us into expressions beyond mere English apprehensions. And, indeed, if elegancy still proceedeth, and English pens maintain that stream we have of late observed to flow from many, we shall, within few years, be fain to learn Latin to understand English, and a work will prove of equal facility in either.5 Nor have we addressed our pen or style unto the people, (whom books do not redress, and [who] are this way incapable of reduction,) but unto the knowing and leading part of learning. well understanding (at least probably hoping) except they be watered from higher regions, and fructifying meteors of knowledge, these weeds must lose their alimental sap, and wither of themselves. Whose conserving influence could our endeavours prevent, we should trust the rest unto the scythe of time, and hopeful dominion of truth.

We hope it will not be unconsidered, that we find no open tract, or constant manuduction in this labyrinth, but are ofttimes fain to wander in the America and untravelled parts of truth.⁶ For though, not many years past, Dr. Primrose hath made a learned discourse of Vulgar Errors in Physick.⁷ yet have we discussed but two or three thereof.

^{*} expressions beyond, &c.] That our natural English consists for the moste parte of monosyllables, as appeares by the names of all creatures in our tounge and all our actions, and in all the parts of our bodye, except such things as wee have borrowed from other nations. Scarce one word of ten, in our common talke, is of more than one syllable. In this very shorte note which conteynes sixty words, there bee not above eleven (and those of Latin derivation) which are not (all of them) monosyllables.— Wr.

⁵ we shall within, &c.] To which desirable end, it must be confessed, Browne has, in this work, used his best endeavours.—*Orossley, in London Mag.* vol. iv. p. 436.

⁶ America, dc.] Little more than 150 years had elapsed since the discovery of America, of which many parts were still untravelled and unknown.—Br.

⁷ Dr. Primrose hath made, &c.] The work here alluded to is the De Vulgi Erroribus in Medicina, of which there is a translation into French,

Scipio Mercurii hath also left an excellent tract in Italian, concerning Popular Errors; but, confining himself only unto those in physick, he hath little conduced unto the generality of our doctrine.8 Laurentius Joubertus,9 by the same title, led our expectation into thoughts of great relief; whereby notwithstanding, we reaped no advantage, it answering scarce at all the promise of the inscription. Nor perhaps (if it were yet extant), should we find any further assistance from that ancient piece of Andreas,*1 pretending the same title. And, therefore, we are often constrained to stand alone against the strength of opinion, and to meet the Goliah and giant of authority, with contemptible pebbles and feeble arguments, drawn from the scrip and slender stock of ourselves. Nor have we, indeed, scarce named any author whose name we do not honour; and if detraction could invite us, discretion surely would contain us from any derogatory intention, where highest pens and friendliest eloquence must fail in commendation.

And therefore also we cannot but hope the equitable con-

* περί τῶν ψευδῶς πεπιστευμένων, Athenæi, lib. 7.

by Rostagny, and another into English, by Dr. Wittie; the latter was published in 8vo. in 1651. Dr. James Primrose, the author, who wrote several other medical treatises, likewise in Latin, was the son of Gilbert Primrose, or Primerose, D.D., a Scotch divine, minister of the French church in London, and chaplain to James I. He practised at Paris for some time and afterwards settled in Yorkshire.—Br.

⁸ Scipio Mercurii, dc.] Not mentioned in the first edition. "Degli errori popolari d'Italia," 1603, by Girolamo Mercurii, who had assumed the name of Scipio, when travelling through Europe as a physician, after having thrown aside the religious habit of the Dominicans. This work is a verbose but amusing performance, containing much curious information relative to the opinions and customs of the period at which it was published, and usefully correcting many errors, though it inculcates others of equal magnitude .- Br.

⁹ Laurentius Joubertus, &c.] The Erreurs populaires touchant la Médecine, of Laurent Joubert, first published at Bourdeaux, in 1579, is the most distinguished of all the works of that celebrated medical professor. It obtained immediate popularity, being reprinted ten times in six months. The levity of its style, and the nature of some of the subjects discussed in it, appear to have contributed in a great degree to

its popularity.—Br.

Andreas.] Nothing appears to be known of this work of Andreas, who was himself a physician, besides this reference to it by Atheneus. Conserning the author, see Fabricius' Elenchus Medicorum Veterum;

Biblioth. Grac. vol. xiii. p. 57.—Br.

siderations; and candour of reasonable minds. We cannot expect the frown of theology herein; nor can they which behold the present state of things,2 and controversy of points so long received in divinity, condemn our sober enquiries in the doubtful appertinences of arts, and receptaries of philosophy. Surely philologers and critical discoursers, who look beyond the shell and obvious exteriours of things, will not be angry with our narrower explorations. And we cannot doubt, our brothers in physick (whose knowledge in naturals will lead them into a nearer apprehension of many things delivered) will friendly accept, if not countenance, our endeavours. Nor can we conceive it may be unwelcome unto those honoured worthies who endeavour the advancement of learning; as being likely to find a clearer progression, when so many rubs are levelled, and many untruths taken off, which passing as principles with common beliefs, disturb the tranquillity of axioms which otherwise might be raised. And wise men cannot but know, that arts and learning want this expurgation; and if the course of truth be permitted unto itself, like that of time and uncorrected computations,3 it cannot escape many errors, which duration still enlargeth.

-Lastly, we are not magisterial in opinions, nor have we dictator-like⁴ obtruded our conceptions; but, in the humility of inquiries or disquisitions, have only proposed them unto more ocular discerners. And therefore opinions are free; and open it is for any to think or declare the contrary. And we shall so far encourage contradiction, as to promise no disturbance, or re-oppose any pen, that shall fallaciously or captiously⁵ refute us; that shall only lay hold of our lapses, single out digressions, corollaries, or ornamental conceptions, to evidence his own in as indifferent truths. And shall only take notice of such, whose experimental and judicious knowledge shall solemnly look upon it; not only to destroy of ours,

² present state, &c.] Written in 1645.

³ time, &c.] Dean Wren, in a long note on this passage, proposes methods of correcting the calendar: but as the correction has long ago been made, the interest of the note appears to me scarcely to equal its length; I have therefore omitted it.

⁴ dictator-like, &c.] Ut Julius Cæsar Scaliger in literis dictaturam arripuit.—Wr.

⁵ fallaciously.] Elenchically, in first edition.

escape a weaker circumspection, yet did the unwary apprehension of Eve take no advantage thereof. It hath therefore seemed strange unto some, she should be deluded by a serpent, or subject her reason to a beast, which God had subjected unto hers. It hath empuzzled the enquiries of others to apprehend, and enforced them unto strange conceptions, to make out, how without fear or doubt she could discourse with such a creature, or hear a serpent speak, without suspicion of imposture. The wits of others have been so bold as to accuse her simplicity, in receiving his temptation so coldly: and, when such specious effects of the fruit were promised as to make them like gods, not to desire, at least not to wonder, he pursued not that benefit himself. And had it been their own case, would perhaps have replyed, if the taste of this fruit maketh the eaters like gods why remainest thou a beast? If it maketh us but *like* gods, we are so already. our eyes shall be opened hereafter, they are at present quick enough to discover thy deceit; and we desire them no opener to behold our own shame. If to know good and evil be our advantage, although we have free will unto both, we desire to perform but one. We know 'tis good to obey the commandment of God, but evil if we transgress it.

They were deceived by one another, and in the greatest disadvantage of delusion, that is, the stronger by the weaker: for Eve presented the fruit, and Adam received it from her. Thus the serpent was cunning enough to begin the deceit in the weaker: and the weaker of strength sufficient to consummate the fraud in the stronger. Art and fallacy was used unto her; a naked offer proved sufficient to him; so his superstruction was his ruin, and the fertility of his sleep an issue of death unto him. And although the condition of sex, and posteriority of creation, might somewhat extenuate the error of the woman, yet was it very strange and inexcusable in the man: especially, if, as some affirm, he was the wisest of all men since; or if, as others have conceived, he was not ignorant of the fall of the angels, and had thereby example and punishment to deter him.

They were deceived from themselves, and their own apprehensions; for Eve either mistook or traduced the commandment of God. "Of every tree of the garden thou mayest

¹ how without fear, &c.] See Religio Medici, p. 15, note 9.

freely eat, but of the tree of knowledge of good and evil thou shalt not eat: for in the day thou eatest thereof, thou shalt surely dye." Now Eve upon the question of the serpent, returned the precept in different terms: "You shall not eat of it, neither shall you touch it, lest perhaps you dye." In which delivery there were no less than two mistakes, or rather additional mendacities: for the commandment forbad not the touch of the fruit; and positively said, ye shall surely dye, but she extenuating replied, ne forte moriamini, lest perhaps ye dye. For so in the vulgar translation it runneth, and so it is expressed in the Thargum or paraphrase of Jonathan. And therefore although it be said, and that very truly, that the Devil was a lyer from the beginning, yet was the woman herein the first express beginner, and falsified twice, before the reply of Satan. And therefore also, to speak strictly, the sin of the fruit was not the first offence. They first transgressed the rule of their own reason, and after, the commandment of God.2

They were deceived through the conduct of their senses, and by temptations from the object itself; whereby although their intellectuals had not failed in the theory of truth, yet did the inservient and brutal faculties controll the suggestion of reason: pleasure and profit already overswaying the instructions of honesty, and sensuality perturbing the reasonable commands of virtue. For so it is delivered in the text; that when the woman saw "that the tree was good for food," and "that it was pleasant unto the eye," and "a tree to be desired to make one wise, she took of the fruit thereof and did eat." Now hereby it appeareth, that Eve, before the fall, was by the same and beaten way of allurements inveigled, whereby her posterity hath been deluded ever since; that is, those three delivered by St. John, "the lust of the flesh, the lust of the eye, and the pride of life:" where indeed they seemed as weakly to fail, as their debilitated posterity, ever after. Whereof, notwithstanding, some in their imperfection have resisted more powerful temptations, and in many moralities condemned the facility of their seductions.

² and after, the commandment of God.] As indeed none can transgress his commandment without first transgressing reason.—Capel Loft.

³ theory.] Theorys, in Greeke signifies, search into the nature of things.—Wr.

Again, they might, for ought we know, be still deceived in the unbelief of their mortality, even after they had eat of For, Eve observing no immediate execution of the curse, she delivered the fruit unto Adam; who after the taste thereof, perceiving himself still to live, might vet remain in doubt, whether he had incurred death; which perhaps he did not indubitably believe, until he was after convicted in the visible example of Abel. For he that would not believe the menace of God at first, it may be doubted whether, before an ocular example, he believed the curse at last. And therefore they are not without all reason, who have disputed the fact of Cain; that is, although he purposed to do mischief, whether he intended to kill his brother; or designed that, whereof he had not beheld an example in his own kind. There might be somewhat in it. that he would not have done, or desired undone, when he brake forth as desperately, as before he had done uncivilly. my iniquity is greater than can be forgiven me.4

Some niceties I confess there are which extenuate, but many more that aggravate this delusion; which exceeding the bounds of this discourse, and perhaps our satisfaction. we shall at present pass over. And therefore whether the sin of our first parents were the greatest of any since; whether the transgression of Eve seducing did not exceed that of Adam seduced; or whether the resistibility of his reason did not equivalence the facility of her seduction, we shall refer it to the schoolman. Whether there was not in Eve as great injustice in deceiving her husband, as imprudence in being deceived herself, especially, if fore-tasting the fruit. her eyes were opened before his, and she knew the effect of it, before he tasted of it, we leave it unto the moralist. Whether the whole relation be not allegorical, that is, whether the temptation of the man by the woman be not the seduction of the rational and higher parts by the inferior

^{4 &}quot;My iniquity," dc] The authorized version gives the passage thus; "my punishment is greater than I can bear." Sir Thomas prefers the marginal reading, which he contrasts with the surly question of Cain, in the 9th verse;—"Am I my brother's keeper?"—Drs. Clarke and Robertson give the same meaning to the words of the sentence, but the former makes it interrogative:—"Is my sin too great to be forgiven?"

and feminine faculties; or whether the tree in the midst of the garden, were not that part in the centre of the body, in which was afterward the appointment of circumcision in males, we leave it unto the thalmudist.⁵ Whether there were any policy in the devil to tempt them before the conjunction, or whether the issue, before tentation, might in justice have suffered with those after, we leave it unto the lawver. Whether Adam foreknew the advent of Christ, or the reparation of his error by his Saviour; how the execution of the curse should have been ordered, if, after Eve had eaten, Adam had yet refused; whether, if they had tasted the tree of life, before that of good and evil, they had yet suffered the curse of mortality; or whether the efficacy of the one had not overpowered the penalty of the other, we leave it unto God. For he alone can truly determine these, and all things else; who, as he hath proposed the world unto our disputation, so hath he reserved many things unto his own resolution; whose determination we cannot hope from flesh, but must with reverence suspend unto that great day, whose justice shall either condemn our curiosities, or resolve our disquisitions.

Lastly, man was not only deceivable in his integrity, but the angels of light in all their clarity. He that said, he would be like the highest, did err, if in some way he conceived not himself so already: but in attempting so high an effect from himself, he misunderstood the nature of God, and held a false apprehension of his own; whereby vainly attempting not only insolencies, but impossibilities, he deceived himself as low as hell. In brief, there is nothing infallible but God, who cannot possibly err. For things are really true, as they correspond unto His conception: and

⁵ whether the tree, &c.] See the Count de Gabalis, p. 54, Lond. 1714. This is the theory of Hadrian Beverland's celebrated work, De Peccato originali, 1679, 8vo. It may be observed by the way, as a fact not generally known, that many curious papers and MSS. of this singular writer, throwing great light on that period of his life which he passed in England, may be found in the British Museum.—J. C.

⁶ Man was not only deceivable, &c.] More correctly, "not only was man deceivable in his integrity, but the angels of light in all their clarity.

⁷ For things are really true as they correspond, &c.] But not arbitrarily.—They conform to his conception, because they are true; and he

have so much verity, as they hold of conformity unto that intellect, in whose idea they had their first determinations. And, therefore, being the rule, He cannot be irregular; nor, being truth itself, conceivably admit the impossible society of error.

CHAPTER II.

A further Illustration of the same.

Being thus deluded before the fall, it is no wonder if their conceptions were deceitful, and could scarce speak without an error after. For, what is very remarkable (and no man that I know hath yet observed) in the relations of Scripture before the flood, there is but one speech delivered by man, wherein there is not an erroneous conception;8 and, strictly examined, most heinously injurious unto truth. The pen of Moses is brief in the account before the flood, and the speeches recorded are but six. The first is that of Adam. when, upon the expostulation of God, he replied, "I heard thy voice in the garden, and, because I was naked, I hid myself." In which reply there was included a very gross mistake, and, if with pertinacity maintained, a high and capital error. For, thinking by this retirement to obscure himself from God, he infringed the omnisciency and essential ubiquity of his Maker: who, as he created all things, so is he beyond and in them all; not only in power, as under his subjection, or in his presence, as being in his cognition; but in his very essence, as being the soul of their causalities, and the essential cause of their existencies. Certainly, his posterity at this distance, and after so perpetuated an impairment, cannot but condemn the poverty of his conception, that thought to obscure himself from his Creator in the shade of the garden, who had beheld him before in the darkness of his chaos, and the great obscurity of nothing: that thought to fly from God, which could not fly himself;

seeth all things as they are; and maketh their physical constitution to be what it is: and knoweth the moral relations thereunto belonging according to eternal rectitude, which is his nature.—Capel Loft.

There is but one speech, &c.] Adverting probably to the speech of

Lamech at the birth of Noah,

or imagined that one tree should conceal his nakedness from God's eye, as another had revealed it unto his own. Those tormented spirits that wish the mountains to cover them. have fallen upon desires of minor absurdity, and chosen ways of less improbable concealment. Though this be also as ridiculous unto reason, as fruitless unto their desires; for he that laid the foundations of the earth cannot be excluded the secrecy of the mountains; nor can there anything escape the perspicacity of those eyes which were before light, and in whose optics there is no opacity. This is the consolation of all good men, unto whom his ubiquity affordeth continual comfort and security: and this is the infliction of hell, unto whom it affordeth despair and remediless calamity. For those restless spirits that fly the face of the Almighty, being deprived the fruition of his eye, would also avoid the extent of his hand; which, being impossible, their sufferings are desperate, and their afflictions without evasion; until they can get out of Trismegistus his circle, that is, to extend their wings above the universe, and pitch beyond ubiquity.

The second is that speech of Adam unto God, "The woman whom thou gavest me to be with me, she gave me of the tree, and I did eat." This indeed was an unsatisfactory reply, and therein was involved a very impious error, as implying God the author of sin, and accusing his maker of his transgression. As if he had said, "If thou hadst not given me a woman, I had not been deceived; thou promisedst to make her a help, but she hath proved destruction unto me: had I remained alone, I had not sinned; but thou gavest me a consort, and so I became seduced." This was a bold and open accusation of God, making the fountain of good the contriver of evil; and the forbidder of the crime, an abettor of the fact prohibited. Surely his mercy was great, that did not revenge the impeachment of his justice; and his goodness to be admired, that it refuted not his argument in the punishment of his excusation,9 and only pursued the first transgression, without a penalty of this the second.

The third was that of Eve, "The serpent beguiled me, and I did eat." In which reply there was not only a very feeble

⁹ his goodness to be admired, &c.] Meaning that God's goodness withheld him from proving himself just, by punishing Adam for his implied charge of injustice.

excuse, but an erroneous translating her own offence upon another: extenuating her sin from that which was an aggravation, that is, to excuse the fact at all, much more upon the suggestion of a beast, which was before, in the strictest terms, prohibited by her God. For although we now do hope the mercies of God will consider our degenerated intearities unto some minoration of our offences; yet, had not the sincerity of our first parents so colourable expectations. unto whom the commandment was but single, and their integrities best able to resist the motions of its transgression. And therefore so heinous conceptions have risen hereof. that some have seemed more angry therewith than God himself: being so exasperated with the offence, as to call in question their salvation, and to dispute the eternal punishment of their maker. Assuredly with better reason may posterity accuse them, than they the serpent, or one another; and the displeasure of the Pelagians must needs be irreconcilable, who, peremptorily maintaining they can fulfil the whole law, will insatisfactorily2 condemn the nonobservation of one.

The fourth was that speech of Cain, upon the demand of God, "Where is thy brother?" and he said, "I know not." In which negation, beside the open impudence, there was implyed a notable error; for, returning a lie unto his maker, and presuming in this manner to put off the searcher of hearts, he denied the omnisciency of God, whereunto there is nothing concealable. The answer of Satan, in the case of Job, had more of truth, wisdom, and reverence than this: "Whence comest thou, Satan?" and he said, "From compassing the earth." For, though an enemy of God, and hater of all truth, his wisdom will hardly permit him to falsifie with the Almighty. For, well understanding the omniscience of his nature, he is not so ready to deceive himself as to falsifie unto him, whose cognition is no way deludable. And, therefore, when in the tentation of Christ he played upon the fallacy, and thought to deceive the author of truth, the method of this proceeding arose from

² insatisfactorily.] i. e. unappeasably.—Wr.

¹ to dispute the eternal punishment of their maker.] To dispute his justice in inflicting for the offence of our first parents, eternal punishment on their posterity.

the uncertainty of his divinity; whereof had he remained assured, he had continued silent, nor would his discretion attempt so unsucceedable a temptation. And so again at the last day, when our offences shall be drawn into accompt, the subtilty of that inquisitor shall not present unto God a bundle of calumnies or confutable accusations, but will discreetly offer up unto his omnisciency a true and undenyable list of our transgressions.³

The fifth is another reply of Cain, upon the denouncement of his curse: "My iniquity is greater than can be forgiven;" for so it is expressed in some translations. assertion was not only desperate, but the conceit erroneous. overthrowing that glorious attribute of God, his mercy, and conceiving the sin of murder unpardonable. Which, how great soever, is not above the repentance of man, but far below the mercies of God, and was (as some conceive) expiated in that punishment he suffered temporally for it. There are but two examples of this error4 in Holy Scripture, and they both for murder, and both as it were of the same person; for Christ was mystically slain in Abel, and, therefore, Cain had some influence on his death, as well as Judas; but the sin had a different effect on Cain from that it had on Judas; and most that since have fallen into it. For they, like Judas, desire death, and not unfrequently pursue it. Cain, on the contrary, grew afraid thereof, and obtained a securement from it. Assuredly, if his despair continued, there was punishment enough in life, and justice sufficient in the mercy of his protection. For the life of the desperate equalls the anxieties of death; who in uncessant inquietudes, but act the life of the damned, and anticipate the desolations of hell. 'Tis indeed a sin in man, but a punishment only in devils; who offend not God, but afflict themselves, in the appointed despair of his mercies. And, as to be without

³ And so again at the last day, &c.] Here is an evident allusion to that singular passage in which Satan is spoken of as the accuser of the brethren, which accused them before God day and night. But surely it would be incorrect to conclude from thence, that he will stand up at the judgment day as the accuser of all men. On the contrary, we are expressly told that men will then be judged, "according to those things which were written in the books."

4 this error.] Namely, despair of God's mercy.

hope is the affliction of the damned, so is it the happiness of the blessed: who having all their expectations present, are not distracted with futurities. So is it also their felicity to have no faith; for enjoying the beatifical vision, there is nothing unto them inevident: and in the fruition of the object of faith, they have received the full evacuation of it.

The last speech was that of Lamech, "I have slain a man to my wound, and a young man to my hurt: If Cain be avenged seven fold, truly Lamech seventy and seven fold." Now herein there seems to be a very erroneous illation: from the indulgence of God unto Cain concluding an immunity unto himself; that is, a regular protection from a single example, and an exemption from punishment in a fact that naturally deserved it. The error of this offender was contrary to that of Cain, whom the Rabbins conceive that Lamech at this time killed. He despaired of God's mercy in the same fact, where this presumed of it; he by a decollation of all hope annihilated his mercy, this by an immoderancy thereof destroyed his justice. Though the sin were less, the error was as great: for, as it is untrue that his mercy will not forgive offenders, or his benignity co-operate to their conversions, so is it also of no less falsity to affirm His justice will not exact account of sinners, or punish such as continue in their transgressions.

Thus may we perceive how weakly our fathers did err before the flood; how continually, and upon common discourse, they fell upon errors after; it is therefore no wonder we have been erroneous ever since. And being now at greatest distance from the beginning of error, are almost lost in its dissemination, whose ways are boundless, and

confess no circumscription.

CHAPTER III.

Of the second Cause of Common Errors; the erroneous Disposition of the People.

HAVING thus declared the fallible nature of man, even from his first production, we have beheld the general cause

of error. But as for popular errors, they are more nearly founded upon an erroneous inclination of the people; as being the most deceptable part of mankind, and ready with open arms to receive the encroachments of error. Which condition of theirs, although deducible from many grounds, yet shall we evidence it but from a few, and such as most nearly and undeniably declare their natures.

How unequal discerners of truth they are, and openly exposed unto error, will first appear from their unqualified intellectuals, unable to umpire the difficulty of its dissentions. For error, to speak largely, is a false judgment of things, or an assent unto falsity. Now, whether the object whereunto they deliver up their assent be true or false,

they are incompetent judges.

For the assured truth of things is derived from the prinples of knowledge, and causes which determine their verities. Whereof their uncultivated understandings scarce holding any theory, they are but bad discerners of verity, and in the numerous track of error, but casually do hit the point and

unity of truth.

Their understanding is so feeble in the discernment of falsities, and averting the errors of reason, that it submitteth to the fallacies of sense, and is unable to rectifie the error of its sensations. Thus the greater part of mankind, having but one eye of sense and reason, conceive the earth far bigger than the sun, the fixed stars lesser than the moon, their figures plain, and their spaces from the earth equidistant. For thus their sense informeth them, and herein their reason cannot rectifie them; and, therefore, hopelessly continuing in mistakes, they live and die in their absurdities; passing their dayes in perverted apprehensions and conceptions of the world, derogatory unto God and the wisdom of the creation.

Again, being so illiterate in the point of intellect, and their sense so incorrected, they are further indisposed ever to attain unto truth; as commonly proceeding in those wayes, which have most reference unto sense, and wherein there lyeth most notable and popular delusion.

For being unable to wield the intellectual arms of reason, they are fain to betake themselves unto wasters, and the

⁵ wasters.] A kind of cudgel.

VOL. I.

blunter weapons of truth: affecting the gross and sensible ways of doctrine, and such as will not consist with strict and subtile reason. Thus unto them a piece of rhetorick is a sufficient argument of logick; an epilogue* of Æsop, beyond syllogisms in barbara,6 parables than propositions, and proverbs more powerful than demonstrations. And therefore are they led rather by example than precept; receiving persuasions from visible inducements, before intellectual instructions. And, therefore also they judge of human actions by the event; for, being uncapable of operable circumstances, or rightly to judge the prudentiality of affairs, they only gaze upon the visible success, and, therefore, condemn or cry up the whole progression. And so, from this ground, in the lecture of Holy Scripture, their apprehensions are commonly confined unto the literal sense of the text, from whence have ensued the gross and duller sort of heresies. For not attaining the deuteroscopy,8 and second intention of the words, they are fain to omit the super-consequences, coherences, figures, or tropologies: and are not sometimes persuaded by fire beyond their literalities. And.

* Fable.

⁶ syllogisms in barbara.] Barbara, among logicians, the first mode of the first figure of syllogism. A syllogism in barbara, is one whereof all the propositions are universal and affirmative; the middle term being the subject of the first proposition, and attribute in the second.

bar-Every wicked man is miserable:

ba -All tyrants are wicked men :

ra — Therefore all tyrants are miserable.— Enc. Brit.

7 uncapable of operable circumstances.] "Not capable of judging what is to be done under any given circumstances." This passage is Dr. Johnson's solitary authority for the word operable, which he ob-

⁸ deuteroscopy.] i. e. the inward and spiritual meaning, which is sometimes

Allegorical, and by a continual metaphor or allusion, or similitude

or parable, proposes the greatest depths of divinitye:

Tropological, tending to the reformation of the manners and life of a Christian: as by the forbidding of swine's flesh, expressing God's detestation of all filthiness in the flesh and the spirit:—

Anagogicall; inducing us by the vilitye, unstabilitye, and vexatious fruition of earthly things to the love of that future blisse, wherein shall bee noe defect, noe change, noe dislike for ever.—Wr.

fire.] He seems to refer to the stake. But, surely, martyrdom

therefore also, things invisible but unto intellectual discernments, to humour the grossness of their comprehensions, have been degraded from their proper forms, and God himself dishonoured into manual expressions.\(^1\) And so likewise being unprovided, or unsufficient for higher speculations, they will always betake themselves unto sensible representations, and can hardly be restrained the dulness of idolatry. A sin\(^1\) or folly not only derogatory unto God but men; overthrowing their reason, as well as his divinity. In brief, a reciprocation, or rather an inversion of the creation, making God one way, as he made us another; that is, after our image,\(^2\) as he made us after his own.

Moreover, their understanding, thus weak in itself, and perverted by sensible delusions, is yet farther impaired by the dominion of their appetite; that is, the irrational and brutal part of the soul, which, lording it over the sovereign faculty, interrupts the actions of that noble part, and choaks those tender sparks, which Adam hath left them of reason. And, therefore, they do not only swarm with errors, but vices depending thereon. Thus they commonly affect³ no man any further than he deserts his reason, or complies with their aberrances. Hence they embrace not virtue for itself, but its reward; and the argument from pleasure or utility is far more powerful than that from virtuous honesty: which Mahomet and his contrivers well understood, when he set out the felicity of his heaven, by the contentments of flesh and the delight of sense, slightly passing over the accomplishment of the soul, and the beatitude of that part which earth and visibilities too weakly affect. But the wisdom of our Saviour, and the simplicity of his truth proceeded another

has, in a vast majority of instances, been undergone in defence of truth, rather than from ignorant adherence to vulgar error.

¹ God himself dishonoured into manual expressions.] On the ancient heresy of the Anthropomorphites, who ascribed to the Almighty a bodily shape, see Augustin contra Epist. Manuchæi, c. 23;—Epiphanius, tom i. lib. iii. Hæres. 70; Theodoret. lib. iv. c. 10. In 1654, this extraordinary error was advocated by Mr. J. Biddle, in his "Briefe Scripture Catechisme," which produced a reply in the following year from the celebrated Dr. Owen, his Vindiciæ Evangelicæ, or The Mystery of the Gospel Vindicated.

² image.] i. e. imagination.—Wr.

³ affect 1 In the sense of "being pleased with."

way; defying the popular provisions of happiness from sensible expectations; placing his felicity in things removed from sense, and [in] the intellectual enjoyment of God. And, therefore, the doctrine of the one was never afraid of universities, or endeavoured the banishment of learning, like the other. And though Galen doth sometimes nibble at Moses, and, beside 'the apostate Christian,* some heathens have questioned his philosophical part, or treaty⁴ of the creation, yet is there surely no reasonable pagan that will not admire the rational and well-grounded precepts of Christ; whose life, as it was comformable unto his doctrine, so was that unto the highest rules of reason, and must therefore flourish in the advancement of learning, and the perfection of parts best able to comprehend it.

Again, their individual imperfections being great, they are, moreover, enlarged by their aggregation; and being erroneous in their single numbers, once huddled together, they will be error itself. For being a confusion of knaves and fools, and a farraginous concurrence of all conditions, tempers, sexes, and ages, it is but natural if their determinations be monstrous, and many wavs inconsistent with truth. And, therefore, wise men have always applauded their own judgment, in the contradiction of that of the people; and their soberest adversaries have ever afforded them the style of fools and madmen; and, to speak impartially, their actions have made good these epithets. Had Orestes been judge, he would not have acquitted that Lystrian rabble of madness,† who,—upon a visible miracle falling into so high a conceit of Paul and Barnabas, that they termed the one Jupiter, the other Mercurius, that they brought oxen and garlands, and were hardly restrained from sacrificing unto them, -did, notwithstanding, suddenly after fall upon Paul, and, having stoned him, drew thim for dead out of the city. It might have hazarded the sides of Democritus, had he been present at that tumult of Demetrius; when the people flocking together in great numbers, some crying one thing and some another, and the assembly was confused, and the most part knew not wherefore they were come together, notwithstand-

^{*} Julian. + Non sani esse hominis, non sanus juret Orestes.

⁴ treaty.] In the sense of treatise; but the word is obsolete.—Wr.

ing, all with one voice, for the space of two hours cried out. "Great is Diana of the Ephesians." It had overcome the patience of Job, as it did the meekness of Moses, and would surely have mastered any but the longanimity and lasting sufferance of God, had they beheld the mutiny in the wilderness; when, after ten great miracles in Egypt, and some in the same place, they melted down their stolen⁵ ear-rings into a calf, and monstrously cried out, "These are thy gods, O Israel, that brought thee out of the land of Egypt." much accuseth the impatience of Peter, who could not endure the staves of the multitude, and is the greatest example of lenity in our Saviour, when he desired of God forgiveness unto those, who having one day brought him into the city in triumph, did presently after act all dishonour upon him, and nothing could be heard but crucifige in their Certainly, he that considereth these things in God's peculiar people, will easily discern how little of truth there is in the ways of the multitude; and though sometimes they are flattered with that aphorism, will hardly believe "The voice of the people to be the voice of God."

Lastly, being thus divided from truth in themselves, they are yet farther removed by advenient deception. For true it is (and I hope I shall not offend their vulgarities if I say) they are daily mocked into error by subtiler devisors, and have been expressly deluded by all professions and ages. Thus the priests of elder time have put upon them many incredible conceits, not only deluding their apprehensions with ariolation, soothsaying, and such oblique idolatries, but winning their credulities unto the literal and downright adorement of cats, lizards, and beetles. And thus also in

⁵ stolen.] Neither stolen nor borrowed, but freely given to the solicitations of the Israelites, to whom "The Lord had given favour in the sight of the Egyptians." The LXX and Vulgate, with the Syriac, Chaldee, Samaritan, Coptic, and Persian all agree in this interpretation of Exod. iii. 22, and xii. 35, 36. The idea of dishonesty so universally attached to this transaction, in consequence of our unfortunate version of the passage, is a vulgar error, which cannot be too generally corrected.

⁶ ariolation, soothsaying.] Synonymous terms.

⁷ adorement of cats, lizards, and beetles.] This, no doubt, is an allusion to the ancient Egyptians, by whom all these animals were worshipped, but whether as incarnations or as mere symbols of certain

some Christian churches (wherein is presumed an irreprovable truth) if all be true that is suspected, or half what is related, there have not wanted many strange deceptions, and some thereof are still confessed by the name of pious frauds.⁸

divinities, it seems difficult to determine. It would, indeed, appear probable, that the animals which were at first worshipped in Egypt. as representative symbols only of the deities to whom they were respectively sacred, were in the progress of idolatry adored as manifestations upon earth of those divinities themselves. The CAT, many embalmed bodies of which animal have been found in the Egyptian sepulchres, appears to have been sacred either to Isis or to her half-sister Nephthys. In mentioning the worship of LIZARDS, the author doubtless alludes to that of the crocodile, the affinity of which to the lizard was observed and recorded by the Greek writers, who, when travelling in Egypt. bestowed on that animal called temsah by the natives, the name of Κροκοδειλος, previously applied to a lizard, common in Greece. Strabo, relating his own observations, states, that "in the city of Arsinoë, which was formerly called Crocodilopolis, (in Upper Egypt, now called Medinet-el-Fay-yum,) the crocodile is worshipped, and a sacred crocodile is kept in a pond, who is perfectly tame, and familiar with the priests. He is called Suchus; they feed him with corn, and meat. and wine, which are continually brought him by strangers." One of the Egyptian divinities, apparently that to whom the crocodile was consecrated, was pictured as having a crocodile's head; and is denoted, in the hieroglyphic inscriptions, by a representation of that animal with the tail turned under it. The BEETLE was regarded by the Egyptians as the symbol of a particular personification of Phthah, the father of the gods; that insect is used in hieroglyphics for the name of this deity, whose head in the pictural representations of him, either bears a beetle, or is itself in the form of a beetle; and in other instances the beetle, in hieroglyphics, has clearly a reference to generation or reproduction, which is a sense attributed to this symbol by all antiquity, and from which Dr. Young, in hieroglyphical researches, inferred its relation to Phthah; an inference since confirmed by the inquiries of Champollion. The Egyptians embalmed and preserved all the animals they adored; and in the Royal Egyptian Museum at Berlin are some mummies of the sacred beetle. In these instances of the worship of animals, however, it may be questioned whether the priests who conducted it were not themselves the subjects of delusion, in a degree equal to, or perhaps greater than, that of their followers. Possibly, therefore, they were not wholly deserving of the censure cast upon them by our author.—Br.

s And thus also, &c.] It would be easy to justify the charge which is only insinuated in this sentence, by a host of examples of the monkish trickery of pretended miracles and relicks. But the task would be endless; and surely it is becoming daily less necessary to contradict what is daily less believed. It happened to the editor, some years since, to visit the cathedral of Aachen (Gallice, Aix-la-Chapelle), where,

Thus Theudas, an impostor, was able to lead away four thousand into the wilderness; and the delusions of Mahomet almost the fourth part of mankind. Thus all heresies, how gross soever, have found a welcome with the people. For thus many of the Jews were wrought into the belief that Herod was the Messias: and David George, of Leyden, and Arden, were not without a party amongst the people, who maintained the same opinion of themselves almost in our days.

Physicians (many at least that make profession thereof) besides divers less discoverable ways of fraud, have made them believe there is the book of fate, or the power of Aaron's breast-plate,³ in urines. And, therefore, hereunto

among a profusion of relicks, was exhibited a *fragment* of one of the nails used in the crucifixion: and we were gravely assured by the priest in attendance, that the other part of that nail was in the cathedral of Nostre Dame, at Paris. There, accordingly, we made a point of inquiring for it, but in vain; our guide averred that there was no such bit of nail among the relicks of the place, nor ever had been!

⁹ Theudas.] Theudas or Theodas was a Jewish impostor and magician, in the first century of the Christian church, who so well deluded the people as to collect together above four hundred (not thousand) men, whom he persuaded to quit the town; assuring them that he could dry up the waters of the Jordan by speaking a single word. His followers, however, were exterminated, and Theudas himself was killed, and his head brought to Jerusalem. Acts v.; Eusebius, lib. ii. cap. x.; Dict. de Moréri, edit. par Drouet, sub nom.—Br.

many of the Jews, &c.] "Taceo de Judaismi hereticis quod Herodiani Herodem regem suscepere pro Christo." Hieoronymus, adv.

Luciferianos, cap. 8-J. K.

² David George, of Leyden.] Or, as some say, of Ghent, was a glazier or a painter on glass, who began to preach, about the year 1525, that he was the true Messiah, the third David, and (like the well-known enthusiast of our own times, Richard Brothers) the nephew of God, not according to the flesh, but according to the spirit. He appears to have been an enthusiast of the worst order, uniting with this profession of being the Messiah, the teaching of many sentiments inimical alike to Christianity and to morals. However, he gained followers, and sustained the delusion even to his last hour. He died at Baale in 1555, having declared to his disciples, a short time previous to his death, that he should rise again on the third day after his decease. In order to expose the delusion, and confound the believers in his mad professions, the Senate of Basle had his body disinterred on the third day, and caused it to be burnt, together with his writings. Dict. de Moréri, edit. par Drouet, sub nom. and other authorities.—Br.

³ power of Aaron's breastplate.] Josephus and others maintain that

they have recourse, as unto the oracle of life, the great determinator of virginity, conception, fertility, and the inscrutable infirmities of the whole body. For, as though there were a seminality in urine,⁴ or that, like the seed, it carried with it the idea of every part, they foolishly conceive, we visibly behold therein the anatomy of every particle, and can thereby indigitate their diseases: and, running into any demands, expect from us a sudden resolution in things, whereon the Devil of Delphos⁵ would demur: and we know hath taken respite of some days to answer easier questions.

Saltimbancoes, 6 quacksalvers, 7 and charlatans, deceive them in lower degrees. Were Æsop alive, the Piazza and Pont-Neuf* could not but speak their fallacies. 8 Meanwhile there are too many whose cries cannot conceal their mischiefs: for their impostures are full of cruelty, and worse than any other; deluding not only unto pecuniary defraudations, but the irreparable deceit of death.

Astrologers, which pretend to be of Cabala with the stars⁹ (such I mean as abuse that worthy enquiry) have not been wanting in their deceptions: who, having won their belief unto principles, whereof they make great doubt themselves, have made them believe, that arbitrary events below, have necessary causes above. Whereupon their credulities assent unto any prognosticks, and daily swallow the predictions of men; which, considering the independency of their causes,

* Places in Venice and Paris, where mountebanks play their pranks.

the precious stones of Aaron's breastplate were the *Urim* and *Thummim*, and that they discovered the will of God by their extraordinary lustre, thereby predicting the issue of events to those who consulted them.

⁴ For as though there were a seminality in urine.] See Primrose's Vulgar Errors, translated by Wittie, p. 64.—J. Cr.

the Devil of Delphos.] Meaning the oracle of Apollo, at Delphos.

Saltimbancoes.] Mountebanks: saltare in banco.
 quacksalvers.] Originally those who made, sold, or applied ointments or oils; salve-quacks. Applied to travelling quacks or charlatans.

• Were Esop alive, the Piazza and Pont Neuf, &c.] Alluding probably to Esop's fable of the "Astrologer and Traveller," and meaning to intimate that the Piazza and Pont Neuf would have suggested to the fabulist abundant materials for fresh apologues.

⁹ of Cabala with the stars.] "Possessed of the key to their secrets." Cabbala, a Hebrew word signifying tradition; applied originally to the secret science of the rabbinical doctors, and thence used to designate any secret science.

and contingency in their events, are only in the prescience of God.

Fortune-tellers, jugglers, geomancers, and the like incantatory impostors, though commonly men of inferior rank, and from whom, without illumination, they can expect no more than from themselves, do daily and professedly delude them. Unto whom (what is deplorable in men and Christians) too many applying themselves, betwixt jest and earnest, betray the cause of truth, and insensibly make up

the legionary body of error.

Statists and politicians, unto whom ragione di stato is the first considerable, as though it were their business to deceive the people, as a maxim do hold, that truth is to be concealed from them; unto whom although they reveal the visible design, yet do they commonly conceal the capital in-And therefore have they³ ever been the instruments of great designs, yet seldom understood the true intention of any; accomplishing the drifts of wiser heads, as inanimate and ignorant agents the general design of the world, who, though in some latitude of sense, and in a natural cognition [they] perform their proper actions, yet do they unknowingly concur unto higher ends, and blindly advance the great intention of nature. Now how far they may be kept in ignorance, a great example there is in the people of Rome, who never knew the true and proper name of their own city. For, beside that common appellation received by the citizens, it had a proper and secret name concealed from them; cujus alterum nomen4 dicere secretis ceremoniarum nefas habetur, saith Pliny. Lest the name thereof being discovered unto their enemies, their penates and patronal god might be called forth by charms and incantations. For, according unto the tradition of magicians, the tutelary spirits will not remove at common appellations, but at the proper names of things whereunto they are protectors.

¹ geomancers.] A geomancer is a caster of figures: a cheat, who retends to foretell futurity by other means than the astrologer.—Johnon.
² unto whom ragione di stato, &c.] To whom reasons of state for the first consideration.

have they.] The vulgar have.—Wr.
 secret name concealed from them, &c.—This name was Valentus, for revealing which Soranus was put to deathe.—Wr.

Thus, having been deceived by themselves, and continually deluded by others, they must needs be stuffed with errors, and even overrun with these inferior falsities. Whereunto whosoever shall resign their reasons, either from the root of deceit in themselves, or inability to resist such trivial deceptions from others, although their condition and fortunes may place them many spheres above the multitude, yet are they still within the line of vulgarity, and democratical enemies of truth.

CHAPTER IV.

Of the more immediate causes of Common Errors, both in the wiser and common sort; and first, of Misapprehension and Fallacy, or false Deduction.

The first is a mistake, or a misconception of things, either in their first apprehension, or secondary relations. So Eve mistook the commandment, either from the immediate injunction of God, or from the secondary narration of her husband. So might the disciples mistake our Saviour, in his answer unto Peter concerning the death of John, as is delivered John xxi. "Peter seeing John, saith unto Jesus, Lord, and what shall this man do? Jesus saith, If I will that he tarry till I come, what is that unto thee? Then went this saying abroad among the brethren, that that disciple should not die." Thus began the conceit and opinion of the Centaurs; that is, in the mistake of the first beholders, as is declared by Servius. When some young

5 deceptions.] The first five editions read ingannations.

Ross says, "there is no doubt then but Centaurs, as well as other manaters, are produced, partly by the influence of the stars, and partly by other causes." &c.

by Herrera, the Spanish historian of America, to have been committed by the people of New Spain, when they first beheld the Spanish cavalry. They imagined the horse and his rider to be some monstrous animal of a terrible form, and supposing that their food was the same as that of men, brought flesh and bread to nourish them. No representation, however, of horsemen occurs, which might indicate that the artist regarded the horse and his rider as one animal, among the various specimens of Mexican picture-uniting, which have been published by Purchas, Thevenot, Robertson, Humboldt, and others.—Br.

Thessalians on horseback were beheld afar off, while their horses watered, that is, while their heads were depressed, they were conceived by the first spectators to be but one animal; and answerable hereunto have their pictures been drawn ever since.

And, as simple mistakes commonly beget fallacies, so men rest not in false apprehensions, without absurd and inconsequent deductions; from fallacious foundations, and misapprehended mediums, erecting conclusions no way inferrible from Now the fallacies whereby men deceive their premises. others, and are deceived themselves, the ancients have divided into verbal and real. Of the verbal, and such as conclude from mistakes of the word, although there be no less than six, yet are there but two thereof worthy our notation. and unto which the rest may be referred; that is, the fallacy of equivocation and amphibology, which conclude from the ambiguity of some one word, or the ambiguous syntaxis of many put together. From this fallacy arose that calamitous error of the Jews, misapprehending the prophecies of their Messias, and expounding them always unto literal and temporal expectations. By this way many errors crept in, and perverted the doctrine of Pythagoras, whilst men received his precepts in a different sense from his intention; converting metaphors into proprieties,7 and receiving as literal expressions obscure and involved truths. Thus when he enjoined his disciples an abstinence from beans, many conceived they were with severity debarred the use of that pulse, which, notwithstanding, could not be his meaning; for as Aristoxenus, who wrote his life, averreth, he delighted much in that kind of food himself. But herein. as Plutarch observeth, he had no other intention than to dissuade men from magistracy, or undertaking the publick offices of state: for by beans was the magistrate elected in some parts of Greece; and after his days, we read, in Thucydides, of the Council of the Bean in Athens. The same word also in Greek doth signify a testicle, and hath been thought, by some, an injunction only of continency, as Aulus Gellius

⁷ converting metaphors into proprieties.] "Taking an expression or representation which only by simile applies to a subject, as if it had properly (or of propriety) belonged to it." Proprieties here implies literalities.

hath expounded and as Empedocles may also be interpreted, * that is, testiculis miseri dextras subducite. And this might be the original intention of Pythagoras. as having a notable hint hereof in beans,8 from the natural signature of the venereal organs of both sexes. Again, his injunction is, not to harbour swallows in our houses; whose advice notwithstanding we do not contemn, who daily admit and cherish them. For herein a caution is only implied, not to entertain ungrateful and thankless persons, which like the swallow, are no way commodious unto us, but having made use of our habitations, and served their own turns, forsake us. So he commands to deface the print of a cauldron in the ashes, after it hath boiled; which strictly to observe, were condemnable superstition. But hereby he covertly adviseth us not to persevere in anger, but after our choler hath boiled, to retain no impression thereof. In the like sense are to be received, when he adviseth his disciples to give the right hand but to few, to put no viands in a chamber-pot, not to pass over a balance, not to take up fire with a sword, or piss against the sun. Which enigmatical deliveries comprehend useful verities, but being mistaken by literal expositors at the first, they have been misunderstood by most since, and may be occasion of error to verbal capacities for ever.

This fallacy is the first delusion Satan put upon Eve, and his whole tentation might be the same continued. So when he said, "Ye shall not die," that was, in his equivocation, "ye shall not incur a present death," or a destruction immediately ensuing your transgression; "Your eyes shall be opened," that is, not to the enlargement of your knowledge, but discovery of your shame and proper confusion; "Ye shall know good and evil," that is, ye shall have knowledge of good by its privation, but cognizance of evil by sense and

* as having, &c.] See a curious paper on the ancient superstitions concerning beans and peas, in the Working Bee, iii. p. 11.—J.

^{*} παν δείλοι κυαμών άπο χείρας έχεσθε.

the same continued.] The early editions read, "the same elench continued." Dean Wren remarks that elench is wrongly used here; meaning rather the detection of a sophistry than the sophistry itself. The author seems himself to have seen the error, and omitted the word.

visible experience. And the same fallacy or way of deceit, so well succeeding in Paradise, he continued in his oracles through all the world. Which had not men more warily understood, they might have performed many acts inconsistent with his intention. Brutus might have made haste with Tarquine to have kissed his own mother.\footnote{1} The Athenians might have built them wooden walls,\footnote{2} or doubled the altar at Delphos.\footnote{3}

The circle of this fallacy is very large; and herein may be comprised all ironical mistakes, for intended expressions receiving inverted significations; all deductions from metaphors, parables, allegories, unto real and rigid interpretations. Whereby have risen, not only popular errors in philosophy, but vulgar and senseless heresies in divinity, as will be evident unto any that shall examine their foundations, as they stand related by Epiphanius, Austin, or Prateolus.

Other ways there are of deceit; which consist not in false apprehension of words, that is, verbal expressions, or sentential significations, but fraudulent deductions, or inconsequent illations, from a false conception of things. Of these extra-

² The Athenians, &c.] When the oracle advised them, on the approach of Xerxes, to take refuge within their wooden walls, which, by the advice of Themistocles, they understood to mean their fleet.

⁴ Epiphanius, &c.] Epiphanius, contra octoginta Hæreses Panarium; Augustinus, De Hæresibus.

⁵ Gabriel Prateolus.] Vernacularly du Preau, was a voluminous French ecclesiastical writer of the 16th century. He was distinguished by the ardour ofhis zeal for the Roman catholic church, in opposition to those whom she has been pleased to stigmatize by the name of heretics. This spirit is manifested in all his works, but that to which Browne refers is doubtless the following: "De vitis, lectis, et dognatibus, omnium hæreticorum, qui ab orbe condito, ad nostra usque tempora, et veterum et recentium monumentis proditi sunt, elenchus alphabeticus," &c.—Br.

¹ Brutus might have made haste, &c.] Alluding to his interpretation of the Delphian reply to the Tarquinii; "Young men, whichever of you shall first kiss your mother, he shall possess the sovereign power at Rome." Brutus, who was present, fell to the ground, as if accidentally, and touched with his lips his mother, earth.

³ or doubled the altar at Delphos.] This refers to the demand of the Delian oracle, "to double his cubical altar," which gave occasion to a long series of geometrical inventions. See Gillies' Anc. Greece, part 2, vol. ii. p. 130, and the authorities he refers to.

dictionary⁶ and real fallacies, Aristotle and logicians make in number six, but we observe that men are most commonly deceived by four thereof: those are, petitio principii; a dicto secundum quid ad dictum simpliciter; a non causa pro causa;

and, fallacia consequentis.

The first is, petitio principii. Which fallacy is committed when a question is made a medium, or we assume a medium as granted, whereof we remain as unsatisfied as of the question. Briefly, where that is assumed as a principle to prove another thing, which is not conceded as true itself.7 By this fallacy was Eve deceived, when she took for granted, the false assertion of the Devil: "Ye shall not surely die; for God doth know, that in the day ye shall eat thereof, your eyes shall be opened, and you shall be as gods." Which was but a bare affirmation of Satan, without any proof or probable inducement, contrary unto the command of God. and former belief of herself. And this was the logick of the Jews when they accused our Saviour unto Pilate; who demanding a reasonable impeachment, or the allegation of some crime worthy of condemnation, they only replied, "If he had not been worthy of death, we would not have brought him before thee." Wherein there was neither accusation of the person nor satisfaction of the judge, who well understood a bare accusation was no presumption of guilt, and the clamours of the people no accusation at all. The same fallacy is sometimes used in the dispute between Job and his friends, they often taking that for granted which afterwards he disproveth.

The second is, A dicto secundum quid ad dictum simpliciter, when from that which is but true in a qualified sense, an inconditional and absolute verity is inferred; transferring the special consideration of things unto their general acceptions, or concluding from their strict acception unto that without all limitation. This fallacy men commit when they argue from a particular to a general; as when we conclude the vices or qualities of a few, upon a whole nation, or from a

⁶ extradictionary.] Johnson, citing the present passage, explains the word, "not relating to words but realities."

where that is assumed as a principle, &c.] More clearly, "where that which is not conceded as true itself, is assumed as a principle to prove another thing."

part unto the whole. Thus the Devil argued with our Saviour; and by this he would persuade him he might be secure if he cast himself from the pinnacle: "For," said he, "it is written, He shall give his angels charge concerning thee, and in their hands they shall bear thee up, lest at any time thou dash thy foot against a stone." But this illation was fallacious, leaving out part of the text, (Psalm 91,) " He shall keep thee in all thy ways;" that is, in the ways of righteousness, and not of rash attempts: so he urged a part for the whole, and inferred more in the conclusion than was contained in the premises. By the same fallacy we proceed, when we conclude from the sign unto the thing signified. By this encroachment idolatry first crept in, men converting the symbolical use of idols into their proper worship, and receiving the representation of things as the substance and thing itself.8 So the statue of Belus, at first erected in his memory, was in aftertimes adored as a divinity. And so also in the sacrament of the Eucharist, the bread and wine which were but the signals or visible signs, were made the things signified, and worshipped as the body of Christ. And hereby generally men are deceived, that take things spoken in some latitude without any at all. Hereby the Jews were deceived concerning the commandment of the Sabbath, accusing our Saviour for healing the sick, and his disciples for plucking the ears of corn upon that day. And, by this deplorable mistake, they were deceived unto destruction, upon the assault of Pompey the Great, made upon that day;9 by whose superstitious observation they could not defend themselves, or perform any labour whatever.

The third is, A non causa pro causa, when that is pretended for a cause which is not, or not in that sense which is inferred. Upon this consequence the law of Mahomet

⁸ By this encroachment, &c.] The conversion of the "symbolical use" of such "idols" as consisted of natural objects or their representations "into their proper worship," is beautifully though concisely explained in Kirby and Spence's Introduction to Entomology, vol. iv. p. 401-408.—Br.

⁹ And by this deplorable mistake, &c.] The reader will find the particulars of this event recorded by Josephus, in his Antiquities of the Jews, book xiv. chap. 4, to which some pertinent illustrations from other parts of the Jewish history have been added by Whiston.—Br.

forbids the use of wine; 1 and his successors abolished universities. By this, also, many Christians have condemned literature, misunderstanding the counsel of Saint Paul, who adviseth no further than to beware of philosophy. 2 On this foundation were built the conclusions of soothsayers in their augurial and tripudiary divinations, collecting presages from voice or food of birds, and conjoining events unto causes of no connection. Hereupon also are grounded the gross mistakes in the cure of many diseases, not only from the last medicine and sympathetical receipts, but amulets, charms, and all incantatory applications; deriving effects not only from inconcurring causes, but things devoid of all efficiency whatever.

The fourth is, the fallacy of the consequent; which, if strictly taken, may be a fallacious illation in reference unto antecedency, or consequency; as, to conclude, from the position of the antecedent, to the position of the consequent, or from the remotion of the consequent, to the remotion of the antecedent. This is usually committed when in connexed propositions the terms adhere contingently. This is frequent in oratory illations; and thus the Pharisees, because he canversed with publicans and sinners, accused the holiness of Christ. But, if this fallacy be largely taken, it is committed in any vicious illation, offending the rules of good consequence; and so it may be very large, and comprehend all false illations against the settled laws of logick. But the most usual inconsequencies are from particulars, from nega-

¹ Upon this consequence, &c.] Meaning probably that Mahomet forbad the use of wine, when his motive was to prevent its abuse only; but his experience had taught him that the only means of effecting this would be to prohibit it altogether.

² Philosophy.] The apostle bids beware of vaine philosophie: where the worde (vaine) is a sufficient commentarye to a Christian, that by forbidding that which is indeed vaine, he advanceth true philosophye: such as is that of the hexameron, or six dayes creation: whereon many of the ancient Christians have left admirable treatises, setting forth in those workes the incomprehensible wisdom, and majesty and omnipotency of the Creator, and his unpromerited inexhausted goodness unto us, for whom he ordained the use of them all: that by our acknowledgment, the abundant grace might redound to his glorye; as itt hath don in all ages by that divine philosophical treatise of Moses philosophie, mentioned in the 20th page, line 6, in the passage beginning "And though Galen," &c.—Wr.

tives, and from affirmative conclusions in the second figure, wherein, indeed, offences are most frequent, and their discoveries not difficult.

CHAPTER V.

Of other more immediate Causes of Error ;-viz. Credulity and Supinity.

A THIRD cause of common errors is, the credulity of men, that is, an easy assent to what is obtruded, or a believing, at first ear, what is delivered by others. This is a weakness in the understanding, without examination assenting unto things which, from their natures and causes, do carry no persuasion; whereby men often swallow falsities for truths, dubiosities for certainties, feasibilities for possibilities, and things impossible as possibilities themselves. Which, though a weakness of the intellect, and most discoverable in vulgar heads, yet hath it sometime fallen upon wiser brains, and great advancers of truth. Thus many wise Athenians so far forgot their philosophy, and the nature of human production, that they descended unto belief that the original of their nation was from the earth, and had no other beginning, than from the seminality and womb of their great mother. Thus it is not without wonder how those learned Arabicks so tamely delivered up their belief unto the absurdities of the Alcoran. How the noble Geber, Avicenna, and Almanzor should rest satisfied in the nature and causes of earthquakes, delivered from the doctrine of their prophet; that is, from the motion of a great bull, upon whose horns all the earth is poised.4

³ A third cause of common errors.] The first cause being mistake, or misapprehension; the second fallacious, or false inferences.

⁴ How the noble Geber, &c.] Sale's Koran having been in vain examined for some justification of this passage, I requested my learned friend, Mr. W. H. Black, to refer to the works of Geber, Almanzor, and Avicenna, in the library of the British Museum. He did so, without success, as appears from the following extracts from his obliging reply:—

[&]quot;I have diligently perused (but in vain) the Rhasis of Almanzor, (1497, folio), and Taragua's Alphabetical Arrangement or Common Place Book of Avicenna (Burdigal, 4to. 1520), and two editions of Geber, the latter being, as I think, the same book as you mean.....

[&]quot;This little duodecimo volume contains several curious tracts not named in the title, all which I have also perused, and the only notice VOL. I.

How their faiths could decline so low as to concede their generations in heaven to be made by the smell of a citron, or that the felicity of their paradise should consist in a jubilee of conjunction, that is, a coition of one act prolonged unto fifty years.5 Thus is it almost beyond wonder, how the belief of reasonable creatures should ever submit unto idolatry; and the credulity of those men scarce credible (without presumption of a second fall) who could believe a Deity in the work of their own hands. For although in that ancient and diffused adoration of idols unto the priests and subtiler heads, the worship, perhaps, might be symbolical, and as those images some way related unto their deities; yet was the idolatry direct and downright in the people; whose credulity is illimitable, who may be made believe that anything is God; and may be made believe there is no God at all.

And, as credulity is the cause of error, so incredulity oftentimes of not enjoying truth: and that not only an obstinate incredulity, whereby we will not acknowledge assent unto what is reasonably inferred, but any academical reservation in matters of easy truth, or rather sceptical infidelity against the evidence of reason and sense. For these are conceptions

of earthquakes I can any where find, is in "Avicennæ Mineralia," p. 248, in the beginning of the 2nd chapter. "De Causa Montium."

"Montes quoque quandoque fiunt ex causa essentiali, quandoque ex causa accidentali. Ex essentiali causa, ut ex vehementi motu terræ elevatur terra et fit mons."

5 How their faiths, &c. It will be sufficient merely to remark, that the ridiculous conceits respecting "generations in heaven" and the "felicity of Paradise," here attributed to Mohammed, are not to be found in the Koran, or in any genuine commentary upon it. They have much the air of Rabbinical fancies, foisted upon the Mohammedans by their inventors. At the same time, the real dogmas of the prophet of Mecca upon both points, afford, perhaps, as good an illustration of the credulity of the Arabian philosophers as those erroneously ascribed to him in the text. For "according to the saying of the prophet," if any of the faithful in Paradise be desirous of issue, it shall be conceived by their Houri wives, born, and grown up, within the space of an hour. And the other extraordinary notion alluded to by Browne (for doubtless he was not the originator of it), may have been derived from the declaration of Mohammed, that in order to qualify the blessed for the full enjoyment of the pleasures and delights of Paradise, which they would otherwise sink under, "God will give to every one the abilities of an hundred men." Vide Sale's Koran, Prelim. Disc. sect. iv.—Br.

befalling wise men, as absurd as the apprehensions of fools, and the credulity of the people, which promiscuously swallow any thing. For this is not only derogatory unto the wisdom of God, who hath proposed the world unto our knowledge, and thereby the notion of himself, but also detractory unto the intellect and sense of man, expressedly disposed for that inquisition. And therefore, hoc tantum scio, quod nihil scio, is not to be received in an absolute sense, but is comparatively expressed unto the number of things whereof our knowledge is ignorant. Nor will it acquit the insatisfaction of those who quarrel with all things, or dispute of matters concerning whose verities we have conviction from reason, or decision from the inerrable and requisite conditions of sense. And, therefore, if any affirm the earth doth move, and will not believe with us, it standeth still; because he

6 it standeth still.] [In] the booke of God, from Moses unto Christ. there are no lesse than eighty and odd expresse places, affirming in plaine and overt termes the naturall and perpetual motion of the sun and the moon; and that the stop or stay of that motion was one of the greatest miracles that ever the whole world beheld: others the rising and setting of them: others, their diurnal course and vigorous activitye upon this lowest world: others, their circulation on this world or earth not only daylye, but annually, by a declination from the midline on both sides, North and South: others (as expressly) the impossibility of any (other) motion in the earth, than that terrible and poenal motion of his shaking itt, that made it: others that it cannot be moved totally in his place, nor removed universal out of his place. See that were itt nothing else than the veneration and firme beliefe of that Word of His, which the penmen thereof spake not of themselves, but by inspiration of the Holy Ghost, they that profess Christianitye should not dare, much lesse adventure to call the letter thereof in question concerning things soe plainly, frequently, constantly, delivered; should tremble at that curse which is denounced against those that adde any thing unto itt, or diminish any tittle of itt: should feare to raise such a hellish suspition in vulgar mindes, as the Romish church. by undervalewing the majesty and authority thereof, hath done : should bee affrighted to follow that audacious and pernicious suggestion, which Satan used, and thereby undid us all in our first parents; that God had a double meaning in his commands, in effect condemning God of amphibologye. And all this boldness and overweaning having no other ground, but a seeming argument of some phænomena forsooth; which notwithstanding, we know the learned Tycho ὁ ᾿Αστρονομάρχων, who lived (fifty-two) years since Copernicus, hath by admirable and matchlesse instruments, and many yeares exact observations proved to bee noe better than a dreame, - Wr.

hath probable reasons for it, and I no infallible sense, nor reason against it, I will not quarrel with his assertion. But if, like Zeno, he shall walk about, and yet deny there is any motion in nature, surely that man was constituted for Anticyra and were a fit companion for those who, having a

7 probable.] Seeminge.—Wr.

8 reason against it. - Other than God's perpetual dictate. - Wr.

⁹ Anticyra.] Two cities of the same name, the one in Phocis, the other in Thessaly, famous for producing hellebore, which was esteemed among the ancients the great remedy for madness,

Hence the proverb mentioned by Horace, Naviget Anticyram, which was applied to a person deemed insane; and hence also the allusion in

the text.

A remarkable illustration of Browne's remarks on obstinate and irrational scepticism is afforded by the history of meteorites, or of the bodies cast down upon the earth by meteors in the atmosphere. The fall of metallic and stony bodies from the atmosphere, is recorded by writers of every age of classical antiquity, many of whom narrated instances of it that had occured in their own times, or even within their own knowledge. Evidence of the same kind is abundantly to be found throughout the middle and dark ages; and after the reformation, the fall of meteorites was witnessed and described by several natural philosophers of approved eminence and undoubted credit, during the sixteenth and seventeenth century, with the same attendant phænomena as had been described by the historians and writers of all the epochs we have mentioned. In the eighteenth century similar events took place, and were attested by irrefragable moral evidence. But the opinion, that nothing was to be believed which could not directly be accounted for, was now very prevalent. The accounts of the fall of meteoric stones were consequently rejected as impossible, and incompatible with the laws of nature; and specimens of stones and iron that had been seen to fall by hundreds of people, were preserved in cabinets of natural history, as ordinary minerals, "which the credulous and superstitious regarded as having fallen from the clouds." Towards the latter end of the eighteenth century, the attention of several candid men of science was attracted to the subject by some remarkable cases which then occurred: but so powerful was the inclination to negative the question, that accounts of the fall of three similar stones, in as many districts of country, attested in the most convincing manner, could not obtain credence in the minds of a committee of the French Academy of Sciences, one of whom was the celebrated Lavoisier. At length, however, all the powers of inductive research were exerted upon the subject, which was subjected, in 1801, by the late Mr. Edward Howard, F.R.S., to a train of exact research: stones stated to have fallen from meteors in various parts of the world were collected and examined, and shown to bear a decided resemblance to each other, whilst they were altogether dissimilar from every known mineral. In England, this evidence gradually vanquished incredulity, but many foreign savans

conceit they are dead, cannot be convicted into the society of the living.

The fourth is a supinity, or neglect of enquiry, even of matters whereof we doubt; rather believing than going to see, or doubting with ease and gratis than believing with difficulty or purchase. Whereby, either from a temperamental inactivity, we are unready to put in execution the suggestions or dictates of reason: or by a content and acquiescence in every species of truth, we embrace the shadow thereof, or so much as may palliate its just and substantial acquirements. Had our forefathers sat down in these resolutions, or had their curiosities been sedentary, who pursued the knowledge of things through all the corners of nature, the face of truth had been obscure unto us, whose lustre in some part their industries have revealed.

Certainly the sweat of their labours was not salt unto them, and they took delight in the dust of their endeavours. For, questionless, in knowledge there is no slender difficulty: and truth, which wise men say doth lie in a well, is not recoverable by exantlation. It were some extenuation of the curse, if in sudore vultús tui were confinable unto corporal exercitations, and there still remained a Paradise, or unthorny place of knowledge. But now, our understandings being eclipsed, as well as our tempers infirmed, we must betake ourselves to ways of reparation, and depend upon the illumination of our endeavours. For thus we may, in some measure, repair our primary ruins, and build ourselves men And though the attempts of some have been precipitous, and their enquiries so audacious as to come within command of the flaming swords, and lost themselves in attempts above humanity; yet have the enquiries of most defected by the way, and tired within the sober circumference of knowledge.

And this is the reason why some have transcribed anything; and although they cannot but doubt thereof, yet

refused to believe it, and the bulk of the French philosophers were yet undecided what to think, when the fall of some thousands of stones at L'Aigle, in Normandy, the testimonies to which were scrutinized with judicial circumspection and jealousy, compelled the most determined scepticism to an unwilling assent.—Br.

1 by exantlation.] By being drawn out. See Christian Morals, p. ii. § 5.

neither make experiment by sense, nor enquiry by reason, but live in doubts of things, whose satisfaction is in their own power; which is, indeed, the inexcusable part of our ignorance, and may, perhaps, fill up the charge of the last day.2 For, not obeying the dictates of reason, and neglecting the crys of truth, we fail, not only in the trust of our undertakings, but in the intention of man itself: Which, although more venial in ordinary constitutions, and such as are not framed beyond the capacity of beaten notions; yet will it inexcusably condemn some men, who, having received excellent endowments, have yet sat down by the way, and frustrated the intention of their abilities. For certainly, as some men have sinned in the principles of humanity, and must answer for not being men; so others offend if they be not more. Magis extra vitia, quam cum virtutibus, would commend those: these are not excusable without an excellency. For, great constitutions, and such as are constellated unto knowledge, do nothing till they out-do all; they come short of themselves, if they go not beyond others; and must not sit down under the degree of worthies. pects no lustre from the minor stars; but if the sun should not illuminate allait were a sin in nature. Ultimus bonorum, will not excuse every man, nor is it sufficient for all to hold the common level. Men's names should not only distinguish them. A man should be something, that all men are not, and individual in somewhat beside his proper name.3 Thus, while it exceeds not the bounds of reason and modesty, we cannot condemn singularity. Nos numerus sumus, is the motto of the multitude, and for that reason are they fools. For things, as they recede from unity, the more they approach to imperfection and deformity; for they hold their perfection in their simplicities, and as they nearest approach unto God.

Now, as there are many great wits to be condemned, who have neglected the increment of arts, and the sedulous pursuit of knowledge; so are there not a few very much to be pitied, whose industry being not attended with natural parts, they have sweat to little purpose, and rolled the stone in vain. Which chiefly proceedeth from natural incapacity,

² may, perhaps, fill up the charge, &c.] Audi et time!—Wr.

³ A man should be, &c.] A right and able man should.—Wr.

and genial indisposition, at least, to those particulars whereunto they apply their endeavours. And this is one reason why, though universities be full of men, they are oftentimes empty of learning; why, as there are some men do much without learning,4 so others but little with it, and few that attain to any measure of it. For many heads, that undertake it, were never squared, nor timber'd for it. There are not only particular men, but whole nations indisposed for learning; whereunto is required, not only education, but a pregnant Minerva, and teeming constitution. For the wisdom of God hath divided the genius of men according to the different affairs of the world, and varied their inclinations according to the variety of actions to be performed therein. Which they who consider not, rudely rushing upon professions and ways of life unequal to their natures, dishonour not only themselves and their functions, but pervert the harmony of the whole world. For, if the world went on as God hath ordained it, and were every one employed in points concordant to their natures, professions, arts, and commonwealths, would rise up of themselves, nor needed we a lanthorn to find a man in Athens.

CHAPTER VI.

Of another more immediate Cause of Error; viz. obstinate Adherence

But the mortallest enemy unto knowledge, and that which hath done the greatest execution upon truth, hath been a peremptory adhesion unto authority; and more especially, the establishing of our belief upon the dictates of antiquity. For (as every capacity may observe) most men, of ages present, so superstitiously do look upon ages past, that the authorities of the one exceed the reasons of the other. Whose persons indeed being far removed from our times, their works, which seldom with us pass uncontrolled,

5 whole nations, &c.] Surely so sweeping an assertion as this would fall under the author's own censure, in Religio Medici, p. 93.

^{*} why, as there are some men, &c.] These observations are well amplified by the author in his Christian Morals, p. ii. § 4.—J. Cr.

either by contemporaries, or immediate successors, are now become out of the distance of envies; and, the farther removed from present times, are conceived to approach the nearer unto truth itself. Now hereby methinks we manifestly delude ourselves, and widely walk out of the track of truth.

For, first, men hereby impose a thraldom on their times, which the ingenuity of no age should endure, or indeed the presumption of any did ever yet enjoin. Thus Hippocrates about two thousand years ago, conceived it no injustice, either to examine or refute the doctrines of his predecessors; Galen the like, and Aristotle the most of any. Yet did not any of these conceive themselves infallible, or set down their dictates as verities irrefragable: but when they either deliver their own inventions, or reject other men's opinions, they proceed with judgment and ingenuity; establishing their assertions, not only with great solidity, but submitting them also unto the correction of future discovery.

Secondly, Men that adore times past consider not that those times were once present, that is, as our own are at this instant: and we ourselves unto those to come, as they unto us at present; as we rely on them, even so will those on us, and magnify us hereafter, who at present condemn ourselves. Which very absurdity is daily committed amongst us, even in the esteem and censure of our own times. And, to speak impartially, old men, from whom we should expect the greatest example of wisdom, do most exceed in this point of folly; commending the days of their youth, which they scarce remember, at least well understood not, extolling those times their younger years have heard their fathers condemn, and condemning those times the grey heads of their posterity shall commend. And thus is it the humour of many heads to extol the days of their forefathers, and declaim against the wickedness of times present. Which notwithstanding they cannot handsomely do, without the borrowed help and satires of times past: condemning the vices of their own times, by the expressions of vices in times which they commend, which cannot but argue the community of vice in both. Horace, therefore, Juvenal, and Persius, were no prophets, although their lines did seem to indigitate and point at our times. There is a certain list of vices6 committed in all ages, and declaimed against by all authors, which will last as long as human nature; which digested into common places, may serve for any theme, and never be out of date until doomsday.

Thirdly, The testimonies of antiquity, and such as pass oraculously amongst us, were not, if we consider them, always so exact as to examine the doctrine they delivered. some, and those the acutest of them, have left unto us many things of falsity; controllable not only by critical and collective reason, but common and country observation.

Hereof there want not many examples in Aristotle, through all his book of animals; we shall instance only in three of his problems, and all contained under one section. The first enquireth, why a man doth cough, but not an ox or cow; whereas notwithstanding the contrary is often observed by husbandmen, and stands confirmed by those who have expressly treated De re rustica, and have also delivered divers remedies for it. Why juments, as horses, oxen, and asses, have no eructation or belching; whereas indeed the contrary is often observed, and also delivered by Columella. And thirdly, why man alone hath grey hairs; whereas it cannot escape the eyes, and ordinary observation of all men, that horses, dogs, and foxes, wax grey with age in our countrys;7 and in the colder regions, many other animals without it. And though favourable constructions may somewhat extenu-

⁶ There is a certain list of vices.] "Qualia sunt quæ semper velantur

sed semper retinentur," saith old Livius.—Wr.

7 Why man alone hath grey hairs, &c.] The author's previous reference to the problems of Aristotle, of which this is one, is so ambiguous, that it might induce a reader, unacquainted with the works of the Stagirite, to suppose that the problems formed part of the "Book of Animals," which is not the case. From a passage in the latter work, however, apparently unknown to our author, it is to be inferred that Aristotle was aware of the fact, that other animals become grey by age, and that he is speaking not in an absolute but in a comparative sense, when he asks the above question in the problems. For in the History of Animals, lib. iii. cap. xi., speaking of animals in general, he observes. that "the colour of the hair changes in old age, in men becoming white, undergoing the same change in other animals, but not very manifestly, except in the horse," which latter is one of the instances cited in the paragraph before us, in contradiction of Aristotle. The other subjects, coughing and eructation, are not noticed in the History of Animals. -Br.

ate the rigour of these concessions⁸ yet will scarce any palliate that in the fourth of his meteors, that salt is easiest dissolvable in cold water;⁹ nor that of Dioscorides, that quicksilver is best preserved in vessels of tin and lead.

Other authors write often dubiously, even in matters wherein is expected a strict and definitive truth, extenuating their affirmations with aiunt, ferunt, fortasse; as Dioscorides, Galen, Aristotle, and many more. Others by hearsay, taking upon trust most they have delivered; whose volumes are mere collections, drawn from the mouths or leaves of other authors, as may be observed in Pliny, Ælian, Athenæus, and many more. Not a few transcriptively, subscribing their names unto other men's endeavours, and merely transcribing almost all they have written. The Arabs transcribing the Greeks, the Greeks and Latins each other.

And though favourable constructions, &c.] Added in second edition.

using, ferunt, fortasse.] These three terms, and such like, argue so much modesty in those magazines of all human [learning?] as might well free them from a censure.—Wr.

⁹ That salt is easiest dissolvable in cold water.] Upon examining the entire chapter (vi.) of the Meteors here cited, I found that our author had altogether mistaken the meaning of the passage relating to the solubility of salts. Aristotle does not use the term "cold moisture" (for this is the sense of the original, not cold water, as Browne has rendered it) in contradiction to hot moisture, he does not intend to say, as our author infers, that nitre and salts are more readily soluble in cold water than in hot; but he uses the phrase "cold moisture" as the opposite to "dry heat." Not far from the beginning of the chapter, he had previously defined water to be "a cold moisture;" and in the passage in question he says that salts and nitre (the wirpow of the Greeks, which was not our nitre, or saltpetre, but the natron of North Africa, one of the carbonates of soda of modern chemistry) are soluble in moisture, using that term to denote humid substances in general, yet not in all moisture, "but in that which is cold." He adds, immediately, which proves this view of the subject to be the true one, "hence they are liquefied by water, and by aqueous fluids in general; (θδατος εῖδη:) but they are not liquefied by oil; "evidently regarding the latter finid as not being "a cold moisture." It may be remarked also, as an indication of the degree of acquaintance with such subjects possessed by our author, and by the generality of physical inquirers in his time, that he would, to a considerable extent, be himself in error, even had the assertion of Aristotle really been as he represents it; for common salt and several others are actually "easiest dissolvable in cold water."-Br.

Thus hath Justine² borrowed all from Trogus Pompeius, and Julius Solinus in a manner transcribed Pliny. have Lucian and Apuleius served Lucius Pratensis; men both living in the same time, and both transcribing the same author, in those famous books, entituled Lucius by the one, and Aureus Asinus by the other. In the same measure hath Simocrates, in his tract De Nilo, dealt with Diodorus Siculus, as may be observed in that work annexed unto Herodotus. and translated by Jungermannus. Thus Eratosthenes wholly translated Timotheus de Insulis, not reserving the very pre-The same doth Strabo report of Eudorus, and Arstion, in a treatise entituled De Milo. Clemens Alexandrinus hath observed many examples hereof among the Greeks; and Pliny speaketh very plainly in his preface, that conferring his authors, and comparing their works together, he generally found those that went before verbatim transcribed by those that followed after, and their originals never so much as mentioned. To omit how much the wittiest* piece of Ovid is beholden unto Parthenius Chius; even the magnified Virgil hath borrowed almost all his works; his Eclogues from Theocritus, his Georgicks from Hesiod and Aratus, his Æneids from Homer, the second book whereof containing the exploit of Sinon and the Trojan Horse (as Macrobius observeth) he hath verbatim derived from Pisander. Our own profession is not excusable herein. Thus Oribasius, Ætiuus, and Ægineta, have in a manner transcribed Galen. But Marcellus Empericus, who hath left a famous work De Medicamentis. hath word for word transcribed all Scribonius Largus De Compositione Medicamentorum, and not left out his very peroration. Thus may we perceive the ancients were but men, even like ourselves. The practice of transcription in our days was no monster in theirs. Plagiary had not its nativity with printing, but began in times when thefts were difficult, and the paucity of books scarce wanted that invention.

Nor did they only make large use of other authors, but often without mention of their names. Aristotle, who seems to have borrowed many things from Hippocrates, in the most favourable construction, makes mention but once of him,† and that by the bye, and without reference unto his present

^{*} His Metamorphoses. + In his Politicks.

² Justine.] He cannot be properly said to borrow who professes only an epitome.—Wr.

doctrine. Virgil, so much beholding unto Homer,3 hath not his name in all his works; and Pliny, who seems to borrow many authors out of Dioscorides, hath taken no notice of him. I wish men were not still content to plume themselves with others' feathers. Fear of discovery, not single ingenuity,4 affords quotations rather than transcriptions: wherein, notwithstanding, the plagiarism of many makes little consideration, whereof though great authors may complain, small ones cannot but take notice.6

Fourthly, while we so eagerly adhere unto antiquity, and the accounts of elder times, we are to consider the fabulous condition thereof. And that we shall not deny, if we call to mind the mendacity of Greece, from whom wee have received most relations; and that a considerable part of ancient times was by the Greeks themselves termed μύθικον, that is, made up, or stuffed out with fables.7 And surely the

^{*} beholding unto Homer.] "Very corruptly written," says Johnson, "for beholden, held in obligation, from the Dutch gehouden." But Sir Thomas probably uses the word in the sense of "looking unto Homer," as to an authority or a source of information.

single ingenuity.] "Simple ingenuousness."
the plagiarism, &c.] That is, "plagiarism against many authors, who are little known, often escapes detection."

⁶ Nor did they, &c. Added in sixth edition.

⁷ By the Greeks themselves termed μύθικον, that is, made up, or stuffed out with fables. Our author seems here to misinterpret to a certain extent the term $\mu \dot{\nu} \theta \iota \kappa o \nu$, as applied to the earlier ages of Grecian history; and as his view of this point enters into the consideration of many other subjects discussed in the Pseudodoxia, it may be useful to the reader to offer in this place a few remarks upon what appears to be the true meaning of that term, as employed by the ancients themselves. The remains of Grecian, Egyptian, and Indian antiquity which have come down to us, and the modern investigation of the mythi of the ancients in general, abundantly evince that it was the custom with mankind, at periods of very remote antiquity, to couch whatever instructions or intellectual contemplations they wished to be conveyed to posterity, under the form of a historical relation, but intermingled with circumstances so extraordinary, as showed it was not designed to be literally apprehended. In process of time, however, the meaning of the symbols thus used was forgotten; and then the narratives composed by their aid, being accompanied in their descent to posterity by a feeling of respect which prevented their total rejection, began to be understood according to their literal meaning only, and mankind were lost in amazement at the marvellous things, which they supposed their ancestors to have witnessed. Thus the vulgar, in the latter ages of Greece

fabulous inclination of those days was greater than any since; which swarmed so with fables, and from such slender grounds took hints for fictions, poisoning the world ever after: wherein how far they succeeded may be exemplified

and Rome, looked back with admiration at the times when their heroes went to school to the Centaurs, and when sacred statues or holy shields fell from heaven for the protection of favoured cities. And further: the people of the earliest ages of the world appear to have been of a turn of mind so devoted to exalted sentiments and sublime contemplations, that they seem never to have thought of committing to writing accounts of common or historical occurrences: for which reason, as the researches of our own and the preceding age have amply proved, no authentic history of political or civil events, of any very great antiquity, exists, with the exception of the inspired books given through Moses.-Hence, and now we arrive at the true meaning of the term μυθικον—the well known remark of Varro: that the space of time before the flood was αδηλον—the period of utter obscurity: that the age from the flood to the first Olympiad was μυθικον—the period of mythi or of mystery,—not the part of history made up of fables. in the common sense of the term, as our author supposess: and that it was only with the first Olympiad that commenced the period ιστορικον -that of literal or true history.-With this general view of the subject, (for which I must acknowledge myself indebted, substantially, to Lect. vi. of Noble's Plenary Inspiration of the Scriptures,) the results of the profound researches of M. Julius Klaproth into the history and philological antiquities of Asia, especially with respect to the comparative state and nature of history among the Hindus and the Chinese, entirely concur. The sense here attributed to μυθικον may also in particular be confirmed from the results at which M. Klaproth has arrived; as used by Varro, it must of course have been suggested by the consideration, principally, of Greek and early Roman history; but M. Klaproth, from the consideration, principally, of the ancient history of Asia, divides the history of ancient nations into mythology, doubtful history, and authentic history; the first of which he states to be "truth in part, enveloped in an impenetrable darkness of fable and allegory," and generally consisting (as M. Klaproth, perhaps somewhat too comprehensively, infers), "of subsequently calculated astronomical periods, metamorphosed into dynasties and heroes."

If the views submitted in this note be borne in mind, and much might be added in further confirmation of their truth, from the most recent and satisfactory investigations of the mythi, by the most soberminded inquirers and critics, of all countries, and all schools of ancient literature, the reader will often be enabled to arrive at a more satisfactory solution of the marvellous relations of classical antiquity, than those adopted by our author. To what extent we may receive the explanations of them he has given from Palaphatus and others, may in some degree be inferred from the circumstances mentioned in our note upon the "fable of Charon," p. 47.—Br.

from Palæphatus,* in his book of Fabulous Narrations. That fable of Orpheus, who by the melody of his musick made woods and trees to follow him, was raised upon a slender foundation; for there were a crew of mad women retired unto a mountain, from whence, being pacified by his musick, they descended with boughs in their hands; which, unto the fabulosity of those times, proved a sufficient ground to celebrate unto all posterity the magick of Orpheus's harp, and its power to attract the senseless trees about it.8 That Medea, the famous sorceress, could renew youth, and make old men young again, was nothing else, but that from the knowledge of simples, she had a receipt to make white hair black, and reduce old heads into the tincture of youth again. The fable of Geryon and Cerberus with three heads was this: Geryon was of the city Tricarinia,9 that is, of three heads, and Cerberus of the same place, was one of his dogs, which, running into a cave upon pursuit of his master's

- * An ancient author who writ $\Pi \epsilon \rho i \ d\pi i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$, sive de incredibilibus, whereof some part is yet extant.
- ⁶ Orpheus' Harp, &c.] Dr. Delany, in his life of David, produces some ingenious arguments to prove that Orpheus was in reality the same person with David.—J.

We are tempted to insert (rather for ornament than illustration) a jew d'esprit of the late Lisle: See Aiken's Vocal Poetry, 8vo. 1810, p. 228:—

When Orpheus went down to the regions below,
Which men are forbidden to see,
He tuned up his lyre, as old histories show,
To set his Eurydice free.

All hell was astonish'd, a person so wise
Should rashly endanger his life,
And venture so far—but how vast their surprise,
When they found that he came for his wife!

To find out a punishment due for his fault,
Old Pluto long puzzled his brain;
But hell had not torments sufficient he thought,
—So he gave him his wife back again.

But pity succeeding soon vanquish'd his heart,
And, pleas'd with his playing so well,
He took her again, in reward of his art;—
Such power had music in hell!

Tricarinia.—Read Trinacria.—Wr.

exen. Hercules perforce drew him out of that place; from whence the conceits of those days affirmed no less than that Hercules descended into hell, and brought up Cerberus into the habitation of the living. Upon the like grounds was raised the figment of Briareus, who, dwelling in a city called Hecatonchiria, the fancies of those times assigned him an hundred hands. 'Twas ground enough to fancy wings unto Dædafus, in that he stole out of a window from Minos, and sailed away with his son Icarus; who, steering his course wisely, escaped, but his son carrying too high a sail was drowned. That Niobe, weeping over her children, was turned into a stone, was nothing else but that during her life she erected over their sepulchres a marble tomb of her When Acteon had undone himself with dogs, and the prodigal attendants of hunting, they made a solemn story how he was devoured by his hounds. And upon the like grounds was raised the anthropophagie* of Diomedes his horses. Upon a slender foundation was built the fable of the Minotaure; for one Taurus, a servant of Minos, gat his mistress, Pasiphae, with child, from whence the infant was named Minotaurus. Now this unto the fabulosity of those times, was thought sufficient to accuse Pasiphae of beastiality. or admitting conjunction with a bull; and in succeeding ages gave a hint of depravity unto Domitian to act the fable into a reality. In like manner, as Diodorus plainly delivereth, the famous fable of Charon had its nativity; who, being no other but the common ferry-man of Egypt that wafted over the dead bodies from Memphis, was made by the Greeks to be the ferry-man of hell, and solemn stories raised after of him.1

* Eating of man's flesh.

¹ In like manner, as Diodorus plainly delivereth, the famous fable of Charon had its nativity, &c.] Two circumstances, for the knowledge of which we are indebted to the modern researches into the literature and antiquities of Egypt (for which the late Dr. Thomas Young opened the way, by his discovery of the method of deciphering the Hieroglyphics), concur to prove, not only that Diodorus has faithfully reported the information he received from the Egyptian priests, but also that he was truly informed by them respecting their rites and ceremonies. Both of these occur in the very passages (Diod. Sic. Bib. Hist. Wess. § 92, 96) in which is delivered the statement alluded to in the text, relative to the fable of Charon. One of them is a remarkable numerical coincidence, pointed out and commented upon by Dr. Young. (Art. Egypt.

Lastly, we shall not need to enlarge, if that be true which grounded the generation of Castor and Helena out of an

Supp. Ency. (Brit. p. 52) between the statement of Diodorus, and the delineations as well as enumerations, of the Egyptian papyri. The other, the importance of which Dr. Young appears not to have observed, although it has become apparent through his researches alone, relates to the name Charon. Dr. Young, in his translation of one of the passages in question (Account of Recent Discoveries in Egypt. Antiq. p. 104), has, from his knowledge of the Egyptian language, interpolated "the Silent," as the literal meaning of this appellation. Now, that Charon should be an Egyptian word, and that such should be its signification, are circumstances in themselves further strongly corroborative of the truth of the relation of Diodorus; for, with respect to the latter, it was the office of the "ferry-man of Egypt, that wasted over the dead bodies from Memphis," to wait with his boat, in the presence of the judges, until judgment had been passed upon the deceased, which, as Charon had no part to take in the ceremony, until judgment had been

pronounced, he would of course do in solemn silence.

But that the Greeks actually derived their muthus, of Charon and his office, from the mere funeral ceremonies of the Egyptians, as represented to Diodorus by the priests, is a notion which rests, it will be perceived, upon their testimony alone; and that it is untrue various considerations concur to evince. From our present knowledge of the Egyptian mythology, it appears that the ceremonies through which every mummy had to pass, before it was allowed sepulture, formed a kind of mythic drama, intended to represent the successive stages of the judgment, through which the soul of the deceased had to pass. prior to its final allotment to happiness or misery. But the object of all the allegations of the Egyptian priests to Diodorus, being, as is manifest, the aggrandizement of their own country, while they truly related their ceremonies to him, they appear sedulously to have concealed the dogmas, or mythi, of which those ceremonies were representative. Hence their statement, that the Greek mythus of Charon had been derived from their mere funeral ceremony; while the fact doubtless was, as the entire tenour of mythological literature shows. either that the Greek mythi in general (and that of Charon as one of them) were derived originally, not from the mere ceremonies, as the priests would have had us believe, but from the mythi themselves, of the Egyptians; or that both nations had derived their mythi from an anterior common source. Charon was in all probability originally the name of the mythic boatman, and subsequently applied also to his mortal representative, so that the proof of the veracity of Diodorus, derived from it, will remain equally valid under the view of the subject now taken. The recent investigations of the mythi of the Greeks by Heyne, and other scholars equally competent to the inquiry, have shown that the origins assigned to them by Palæphatus and others, which Browne usually adopts, are for the most part untenable; and even some of those related, from the Egyptian priests, by Diodorus, notwithstanding the authenticity we have found to belong to his relations,

egg, because they were born and brought up in an upper room, according unto the word $\tilde{\omega}_{o\nu}$, which with the Lacedæmonian's had also that signification.

Fifthly, We applaud many things delivered by the ancients, which are in themselves ordinary, and come short of our conceptions. Thus we usually extol, and our orations cannot escape the sayings of the wise men of Greece. Nosce teipsum, of Thales; Nosce tempus, of Pittacus; Nihil nimis, of Cleobulus; which, notwithstanding, to speak indifferently, are but vulgar precepts in morality, carrying with them nothing above the line, or beyond the extemporary sententiosity of common conceits with us. Thus we magnifie the apothegms or reputed replies of wisdom, whereof many are to be seen in Laertius, more in Lycosthenes, not a few in the second book of Macrobius, in the Salts of Cicero, Augustus, and the comical wits of those times: in most whereof there is not much to admire, and are, methinks, exceeded, not only in the replies of wise men, but the passages of society, and urbanities of our times. And thus we extol their adages or proverbs; and Erasmus hath taken great pains to make collections of them, whereof, notwithstanding, the greater part will, I believe, unto indifferent judges, he esteemed no extraordinaries; and may be paralleled, if not exceeded, by those of more unlearned nations, and many of our own.

Sixthly, We urge authorities in points that need not, and introduce the testimony of ancient writers, to confirm things evidently believed, and whereto no reasonable hearer but would assent without them, such as are nemo mortalium omnibus horis sapit. Virtute nil præstantius, nil pulchrius. Omnia vincit amor. Præclarum quiddam veritas. All which, although known and vulgar, are frequently urged by many men; and though trivial verities in our mouths, yet noted from Plato, Ovid, and Cicero, they become reputed

The passage which forms the subject of Mr. Brayley's preceding note was first added in the second edition.

VOL. 1.

appear, as Dr. Young has observed, (Account, &c. p. 111) to rest upon "analogies all too slight to be admitted as anything like evidence." The application to these doubtful points, however, so far as the relations of Diodorus are concerned, of the fact already noticed, that the Egyptian ceremonies alluded to were mythic dramas, would certainly contribute greatly to their elucidation.—Br.

elegancies. For many hundred to instance in one we meet with while we are writing. Antonius Guevara, that elegant Spaniard, in his book entituled, The Dial of Princes, beginneth his epistle thus: "Apollonius Thyanæus, disputing with the scholars of Hiarchas, said, that among all the affections of nature, nothing was more natural than the desire all have to preserve life." Which, being a confessed truth, and a verity acknowledged by all, it was a superfluous affectation to derive its authority from Apollonius, or seek a confirmation thereof as far as India, and the learned scholars of Hiarchas.2 Which, whether it be not all one as to strengthen common dignities and principles, known by themselves, with the authority of mathematicians; or [to] think a man should believe, 'the whole is greater than its parts,' rather upon the authority of Euclide, than if it were propounded alone, I leave unto the second and wiser cogitations of all men. 'Tis sure a practice that savours much of pedantry; a reserve of puerility we have not shaken off from school; where, being seasoned with minor sentences, by a neglect of higher enquiries, they prescribe upon our riper ears, and are never worn out, but with our memories.

Lastly, While we so devoutly adhere unto antiquity in some things, we do not consider we have deserted them in several others. For they, indeed, have not only been imperfect in the conceit of some things, but either ignorant or erroneous in many more. They understood not the motion of the eighth sphere from west to east, and so conceived the longitude of the stars invariable. They conceived the Torrid Zone unhabitable, and so made frustrate the goodliest part of the earth. But we now know 'tis very well empeopled,' and the habitation thereof esteemed so happy, that some have made it the proper seat of Paradise; and been so far from judging it unhabitable, that they have made it the first

³ But we now know 'tis very well empeopled.] 'See Sir T. P. Blount's Essays, p. 137.—J. Cr.

² Antonius Guerara, &c.] This practice is well ridiculed by Sterne;—
"Tis either Plato, or Plutarch, or Seneca, or Xenophon, or Epictetus, or Theophrastus, or Lucian, or some one perhaps of later date,—either Cardan, or Buddæus, or Petrarch, or Stella, or possibly it may be some divine or father of the Church, St. Austin, or St. Cyprian, or Bernard, who affirms that it is an irresistible and natural passion to weep for the loss of our friends or children, &c., &c."—J. Cr.

habitation of all. Many of the ancients denied the Antipodes, and some unto the penalty of contrary affirmations;4 but the experience of our enlarged navigations can now assert them beyond all dubitation. Having thus totally relinquished them in some things, it may not be presumptuous to examine them in others; but surely most unreasonable to adhere to them in all, as though they were infallible, or could not err in any.

CHAPTER VII.

Of another of the more immediate Causes of Error: -viz. Adherence unto Authority.

Nor is only a resolved prostration unto antiquity a powerful enemy unto knowledge, but any confident adherence unto authority, or resignation of our judgments upon the testimony of any age or author whatsoever.

For, first, to speak generally, an argument from authority. to wiser examinations, is but a weaker kind of proof; it being but a topical probation, and as we term it, an inartificial argument, depending upon a naked asseveration, wherein neither declaring the causes, affections, or adjuncts, of what we believe, it carrieth not with it the reasonable inducements of knowledge. And therefore contra negantem principia, ipse dixit, or oportet discentem credere, although pos-

and some, &c.] Alluding to Virgilius; See Rel. Med. p. 39, note 2. 5 contra negantem, &c. | These three rules althoughe they bee founded on the grounds of universall reason, yet they have theire limits and boundaryes, by which they must be circumscribed. The first reachinge only such perverse spirits, as denye those universall principles of reason and nature, wherein the wisest and soberest judgments of all times have held an unanimous and full consent, and whereon the perpetuall and uncontrouled experience of all mankinde hath agreed. As that the snow is white; and that fire does burne. The former whereof, althoughe some have made not only dispute, but deniall, yet they purchast nothing but scorne and the censure as of brainsick men.

The second is noe where of universall authoritye, save in the books of God: all other dictates of men, how specious soever, being noe farther authenticall to enforce beleefe, then as the reasons are, whereour they are built : but the only reason in God's booke is, because wee know, Hee, whose worditt is, is truth ittselfe, and can neither lye, nor deceave, nor bee deceaved : and therefore hath the whole and cole tulates very accommodable unto junior indoctrinations, yet are their authorities but temporary, and not to be embraced beyond the minority of our intellectuals. For our advanced beliefs are not to be built upon dictates, but having received the probable inducements of truth, we become emancipated from testimonial engagements, and are to erect upon the surer base of reason.

Secondly, unto reasonable perpensions it hath no place in some sciences, small in others, and suffereth many restrictions even where it is most admitted. It is of no validity in the mathematics, especially the mother part thereof, arithmetic and geometry. For these sciences, concluding from dignities and principles known by themselves, receive not satisfaction from probable reasons, much less from bare and peremptory asseverations. And, therefore, if all Athens should decree, that in every triangle, two sides, which soever be taken, are greater than the side remaining, or that, in rectangle triangles, the square which is made of the side that subtendeth the right angle, is equal to the squares which are made of the sides containing the right angle; although there be a certain truth therein, geometricians, notwithstanding, would not receive satisfaction without demonstration thereof. 'Tis true, by the vulgarity of philosophers there are many points believed without probation; nor if a man affirm from Ptolemy, that the sun is bigger than the earth, shall he probably meet with any contradiction; whereunto notwithstanding astronomers will not assent without some convincing argument or demonstrative proof thereof. And therefore certainly of all men a philosopher should be no swearer: for an oath which is the end of controversies in law, cannot determine any here; nor are the deepest sacraments or desperate imprecations of any force to persuade, where reason only, and necessary mediums must induce.

In natural philosophy, and which is more generally pur-

empire of authoritye, to which all humane reason must submitte without dispute or hesitancye.

The last rule concerns none but those who yeeld up themselves to the instructions and information of others, from whom they must perforce take up upon truste the principles of that arte, which they desire to gaine, till they come to attain unto itt.—Wr.

* perpensions.] Considerations.

sued amongst us, it carrieth but slender consideration; for that also proceeding from settled principles, therein is expected a satisfaction from scientifical progressions, and such as beget a sure rational belief. For if authority might have made out the assertions of philosophy, we might have held, that snow was black, that the sea was but the sweat of the earth, and many of the like absurdities.⁷ Then was Aristotle injurious to fall upon Melissus, to reject the assertions of Anaxagoras, Anaximander, and Empedocles;8 then were we also ungrateful unto himself: from whom our junior endeavours embracing many things on his authority, our mature and secondary enquiries are forced to quit those receptions, and to adhere unto the nearer accounts of reason. And although it be not unusual, even in philosophical tractates, to make enumeration of authors, yet are there reasons usually introduced, and to ingenious readers do carry the stroke in the persuasion. And surely if we account it reasonable among ourselves, and not injurious unto rational authors, no farther to abett their opinions, than as they are supported by solid reasons, certainly with more excusable reservation may we shrink at their bare testimonies, whose argument is but precarious, and subsists upon the charity of our assentments.

In morality, rhetorick, law, and history, there is I confess a frequent and allowable use of testimony; and yet herein I perceive it is not unlimitable, but admitteth many restrictions. Thus, in law both civil and divine, that is only esteemed a legal testimony, which receives comprobation from the mouths of at least two witnesses; and that not only for prevention of calumny, but assurance against mistake. Whereas notwithstanding, the solid reason of one man is as sufficient as the clamour of a whole nation, and with imprejudicate apprehensions, begets as firm a belief as the authority or aggregated testimony of many hundreds. For reason being the very root of our natures, and the principles thereof common unto all, what is against the laws of true reason, or the unerring understanding of any one, if rightly apprehended.

⁸ Then was Aristotle, &c.] See Aristotle's discussion of the opinions of these philosophers, in his *Physicks*, lib. i. c. 2, 3, 4.

⁷ that snow was black, &c.] Attributed to Anaxagoras, a Clazomemian philosopher, who flourished above 400 years B.C.

must be disclaimed by all nations, and rejected even by mankind.

Again, a testimony is of small validity, if deduced from men out of their own professions. So, if Lactantius affirm the figure of the earth is plain,9 or Austin deny there are Antipodes, though venerable fathers of the church, and ever to be honoured, yet will not their authorities prove sufficient to ground a belief thereon. Whereas, notwithstanding the solid reason,2 or confirmed experience of any man, is very approvable, in what profession soever. So Raymund Sebund, a physician of Tholouze, besides his learned dialogues De natura humana, hath written a natural theology; demonstrating therein the attributes of God, and attempting the like in most points of religion. So Hugo Grotius, a civilian, did write an excellent tract in Dutch, of the Verity of the Christian Religion.³ Wherein most rationally delivering themselves, their works will be embraced by most that understand them, and their reasons enforce belief, even from prejudicate readers. Neither, indeed, have the authorities of men been ever so awful, but that by some they have been rejected, even in their own professions. Thus Aristotle, affirming the birth of the infant, or time of its gestation, extendeth some times unto the eleventh month, but

⁹ if Lactantius affirm, &c.] See Lactantius De Falsa Sapientia, l. iii. c. 23.

¹ or Austin deny, &c.] "Quod vero et Antipodas esse fabulantur, nulla ratione credendum est."—S. Aug. De Civitate Dei, l. xvi. c. 9.

² the solid reason.] This is a golden rule, worthye to be written in marble and golde. For as among those that have the persons of men in adoration, and (for something they admire in them) swallow all that they say as gospel, truth is manye times silentlye smothered, and sometimes violently and furiously not only opposed but oppressed; soe among sober men, and such as entertaine and embrace truth, wherever they find her, shee sodenly advances them to such a hight of honor and reputation, that they become the leaders of learninge and knowledge to after ages, and that deservedly.—Wr.

[&]quot;did write an excellent tract, &c.] In the first edition, "did write an excellent tract, in Dutch, of the Verity of Christian Religion, and hath since contracted the same into six books in Latin." "Grotius, while a prisoner in the castle of Louvain, wrote, in the Dutch language, 'A Treatise on the Truth of the Christian Religion.' He afterwards enlarged it, and translated it, so enlarged, into Latin."—Butler's Life of Grotius, p. 148.

Hippocrates averring that it exceedeth not the tenth; ⁴ Adrian, the emperor, in a solemn process, determined for Aristotle, but Justinian many years after took in with Hippocrates, and reversed the decree of the other. Thus have councils not only condemned private men, but the decrees and acts of one another. So Galen, after all his veneration of Hippocrates, in some things hath fallen from him; Avicen in many from Galen; and others succeeding from him. And although the singularity of Paracelsus be intolerable, who sparing only Hippocrates, hath reviled not only the authors, but almost all the learning that went before him; ⁵ yet it is not much less injurious unto knowledge, obstinately and inconvincibly to side with

4 Thus Aristotle, &c.] Although Aristotle (in his Hist. Animal. vii. cap. 4.) gives instances in which the period of human gestation extends to the eleventh month, he evidently considers them as extreme cases, and agrees with Hippocrates in regarding the tenth as very generally the extreme limit. See his De Generat. Animal. 1. iv. c. 4. In this opinion they are borne out by the general consent of modern authority both physical and judicial. The doubt indeed is whether even that limit is not too wide. From the Medical Jurisprudence of Dr. Paris and Fonblanque, where the subject will be found most elaborately treated-it appears that although there exists a very general opinion among lawyers and medical men, that the period may be protracted to ten calendar months, it is a point scarcely admitting of proof: and many high authorities reject the opinion as untenable. "Each side is supported by a considerable list of partisans, and we perceive that upon this occasion the two celebrated medico-jurisconsults of France are opposed to each other; Mahon having associated his name with those of Bohn, Hebensteit, Astruc, Mauriceau, De La Motte, Ræderer, and Baudelocque, who reject the belief in retarded delivery as impossible, and contrary to the immutable law of nature ; while the name of Foderé ranges with those who support the contrary opinion, as Teichmeyer, Heister, Albert, Vallentini, Bartholin, Haller, Antoine Petit, Lietand, Vicq d'Azyr, and Capuran, also Dr. Hamilton, who may boast of the support of Hippocrates, Aristotle, and Pliny." (Medical Jurisprudence. vol. i. p. 247.)-By the law of Scotland, as stated by Paris and Fonblanque, a child born ten months after the death of the father is considered as legitimate; and the civil code of France decrees three hundred days, or ten months, to be the most distant period at which the legitimacy of a birth shall be allowed.—Br.

s although the singularity of Paracelsus be intolerable, &c.] "Paracelsus began his professional career by burning publicly, in his classroom, and in the presence of his pupils, the works of Galen and Avicenna, assuring his hearers that the strings of his shoes possessed more knowledge than those two celebrated physicians. All the universities

any one. Which humour⁶ unhappily possessing many, they have by prejudice withdrawn themselves into parties, and contemning the sovereignty of truth, seditiously abetted the private divisions of error.

Moreover, a testimony in points historical, and where it is of unavoidable use, is of no illation in the negative; nor is it of consequence, that Herodotus writing nothing of Rome, there was therefore no such city in his time, or because Dioscorides hath made no mention of unicorn's horn, there is therefore no such thing in nature. Indeed, intending an accurate enumeration of medical materials, the omission

united had not, he assured them, as much knowledge as was contained in his own beard, and the hairs upon his head were better informed than all the writers that ever existed put together." This statement is derived from Dr. Thomson's History of Chemistry (forming part of the National Library,) vol. i. p. 145, where also, in the following page, is given an extract from the preface to a tract by Paracelsus, entitled Paragranum, the arrogance of which amply vindicates the justice of the preceding representation. It may be doubted, however, whether this extreme arrogance and contempt was really felt by Paracelsus, or whether it was merely assumed for the sake of singularity and effect. In a letter written by him to Christopher Clauser, a physician of Zurich, he admits the claims, not only of Hippocrates, but also of Avicenna, Galen. and Marsilius, to be considered the greatest physicians of their respective countries, assuming, however, that he was himself, beyond dispute, the greatest physician among the Germans. The contempt and arrogance with which, however, Paracelsus, in public, certainly treated almost every preceding practitioner and teacher of medical science, were probably required in order to overcome the slavish and superstitious deference to ancient authority which had so long prevailed. As Dr. Thomson has observed (Hist. of Chem. vol. i. p. 140,) he "shook the medical throne of Galen and Avicenna to its very foundation; he roused the latent energies of the human mind, which had for so long a period lain torpid; he freed medical men from those trammels, and put an end to that despotism which had existed for five centuries."—Br.

⁶ Which humour, &c.] This humour is itt which hath engaged the whole world into factions, not only amongst Christians, but even Jews, Turks, and Infidels. And being once planted is hardly ever rooted out. For that they who have once swallowed an error (act of ignorance, inadvertence, or the tye of observance and relation to some on whom they depend) are ever loath to acknowledge, but more to renounce itt, though in pointe of conscience they be often convinced of it; least, being thought to have faultered in one thing, they may come to question, and bring into suspicion, whatever they shall allow for the future.—Wr.

7 is of no illation. "Affords no inference."

^{*} nor is it of consequence.] "Nor does it follow as a consequence."

hereof affords some probability it was not used by the ancients, but will not conclude the non-existence thereof. For so may we annihilate many simples unknown to his enquiries, as senna, rhubarb, bezoa, ambergris, and divers others. Whereas indeed the reason of man hath not such restraint; concluding not only affirmatively, but negatively; not only affirming there is no magnitude beyond the last heavens, but also denying there is any vacuity within them. Although it be confessed, the affirmative hath the prerogative illation, and

barbara⁹ engrosseth the powerful demonstration.

Lastly, the strange relations made by authors may sufficiently discourage our adherence unto authority, and which, if we believe, we must be apt to swallow any thing. Thus Basil¹ will tell us, the serpent went erect like man, and that that beast could speak before the fall. Tostatus would make us believe that Nilus encreaseth every new moon. Leonardo Fioravanti, an Italian physician, beside many other secrets, assumeth unto himself the discovery of one concerning pellitory of the wall; that is, that it never groweth in the sight of the North star,—("dove si possa vedere la stella Tramontana;") wherein how wide he is from truth is easily discoverable unto every one, who hath but astronomy enough to know that star. Franciscus Sanctius, in a laudable comment upon Alciat's emblems, affirmeth, and that from experience, a nightingale hath no tongue; ("avem Philomelam lingua carere pro certo affirmare possum, nisi me oculi fallunt;) which if any man for a while shall believe upon his experience, he may at his leisure refute it by his own. What fool almost would believe, at least, what wise man would rely upon, that antidote delivered by Pierius in his hieroglyphicks against the sting of a scorpion,—that is to sit upon an ass.

⁹ barbara.] The affirmative proposition: see note ⁶, p. 18.

¹ Thus Busil.] See Book v. chap. iv. And this is the only reason that holds the church of Rome in an obstinate maintenance of some ridiculous, some scandalous, some pernicious, some blasphemous doctrines: For feare that by the acknowledgement of them they shall loose their credit and authoritye. And that the acknowledgement enforcing their renunciation and desertion of them, they shall withall loose the merit, profit, and gaine, which they reape from the numerous proselytes: whose consciences they have fettered and chained unto them, by these powerfull overawinge chaines, and (as they call them) pious fraudes.— Wr.

with one's face towards his tail, for so the pain leaveth the man, and passeth into the beast. It were, methinks, but an uncomfortable receipt for a quartane ague (and yet as good perhaps as many others used) to have recourse unto the receipt of Sammonicus; that is, to lay the fourth book of Homer's Iliad under one's head, according to the precept of that physician and poet, Maconia Iliados quartum suppone There are surely few that have belief to swallow. or hope enough to experiment the collyrium* of Albertus. which promiseth a strange effect, and such as thieves would count inestimable, that is, to make one see in the dark; yet thus much, according unto his receipt, will the right eye of an hedgehog boiled in oil, and preserved in a brazen vessel, effect. As strange it is, and unto vicious inclinations were worth a night's lodging with Lais,† what is delivered in Kiranides; that the left stone of a weasel, wrapt up in the skin of a she-mule, is able to secure incontinency from conception.

These, with swarms of others, have men delivered in their writings, whose verities are only supported by their authorities; but being neither consonant unto reason, nor correspondent unto experiment, their affirmations are unto us no axioms. We esteem thereof as things unsaid, and account them but in the list of nothing. I wish herein the chymists had been more sparing; who, over-magnifying their preparations, inveigle the curiosity of many, and delude the security of most. For if experiments would answer their encomiums. the stone and quartane agues were not opprobrious unto physicians; we might contemn that first and most uncomfortable aphorism of Hippocrates, tfor surely that art were soon attained, that hath so general remedies, and life could not be short, were there such to prolong it.

^{*}An eye medicine. + Ten thousand drachms. # Ars longa, vita brevis.

² opprobrious anto physicians.] By being very difficult to cure.

CHAPTER VIII.

Of Authors who have most promoted Popular Conceit.

Now, forasmuch as we have discoursed of authority, and there is scarce any tradition or popular error but stands also delivered by some good author, we shall endeavour a short discovery of such as for the major part have given authority hereto; who, though excellent and useful authors, yet either being transcriptive, or following common relations, their accounts are not to be swallowed at large, or entertained without all circumspection. In whom ipse dixit, although it be no powerful argument in any, is yet less authentic than in many other, because they deliver not their own experiences, but others' affirmations, and write from others, as we ourselves from them.

1. The first in order, as also in time, shall be Herodotus, of Halicarnassus,³ an excellent and very elegant historian;

³ Herodotus of Halicarnassus.] It will be useful to place in apposition with our author's statement, respecting the writings of this historian, the opinion of their authenticity and character, so far as they relate to the history of Egypt, formed by one of the most sagacious investigators of ancient history of the present age. Since the early history of Egypt claims a much higher antiquity than that of almost any other nation, and is consequently involved in obscurity more impenetrable, if the relations of any ancient writer respecting it are found to be substantially correct, we may conclude, a fortiori, that his account of other nations also deserves our confidence.

"The only original authorities," observes Dr. Young, "on which we can depend for the early history of Egypt, are those of Herodotus, Manetho, Eratosthenes, Diodorus Siculus, and Strabo; all of whom had been more or less in the country. Herodotus lived soon after the conquest of Egypt by Cambyses, when the names of the later monarchs could not easily have been forgotten. The earlier part of his history is of a much more apocryphal nature: he does not, however, continue the series of the kings further back than Sesostris and Moeris: so that almost all his names are sufficiently recent to be considered as completely within the province of legitimate history." ** ** "The stories of Herodotus, though told with an elegant simplicity, and with every appearance of good faith, are by no means free from a frequent mixture of fable; and, with respect to his Egyptian

almost universally mistaken; but his account of the in the preparation of the mummies has many mand he is perfectly correct in asserting, that it

whose books of history were so well received in his own days, that, at their rehearsal in the Olympick games, they obtained the names of the nine muses; and continued in such

coffins are formed in imitation of the figures of Qsiris; a circumstance which he could not easily have conjectured without direct and accurate

information." Supp. Ency. Brit. art. EGYPT, p. 47, 52.

Of the above testimony to the fidelity of Herodotus, the writer of the present note is enabled to give a strong confirmation in one particular. Dr. Young, arguing from general grounds, observes, as above, that the account of the preparation of the mummies given by that historian "has many marks of authenticity." But the minute examination to which a very perfect mummy was subjected by Dr. Granville, a few years since, appeared to justify strong doubts of the correctness of the statements of Herodotus respecting the Egyptian processes of embalming; the mummy in question having been prepared by a very different method. However, another mummy, in as perfect a condition as the former, has recently been described by Mr. Osburn, Secretary to the Philosophical and Literary Society of Leeds, which, as he has shown, must have been prepared, in every particular, by the process described by Herodotus and Diodorus Siculus as the most perfect mode of embalming practised by the Egyptians. The opinion antecedently expressed by Dr. Young, before any perfect mummies had been examined, is therefore fully confirmed, and the authority of Herodotus supported, on a subject of Egyptian history, on which, of almost all others, it must have been most difficult to acquire precise and correct knowledge. The weight which this train of circumstances imparts to the character of Herodotus, as a faithful historian, will readily be appreciated by the student of ancient history. Phil. Trans. 1825; Phil. Mag. and Annals, N. S. vol. v. p. 57, 1829. Some very remarkable and important points, in which even the minute accuracy of Herodotus has been established, are conected with his account (lib. i. s. 74) of the eclipse stated to have been predicted by Thales, and which, owing to a very singular coincidence, put an end to a furious war that raged between Cyaxases King of Media, and Alyattes King of Lydia. The investigations by which his accuracy on these points has been determined cannot be detailed in this place, but a full account of them will be found in "Brayley's Utility of the Knowledge of Nature considered; with reference to the Introduction of Instruction in the Physical Sciences into the General Education of Youth." London, 1831, 8vo.

As the extreme accuracy which we have thus seen the statements of Herodotus to possess, with relation to subjects on which it must have been difficult to obtain correct information, and with respect also to others requiring very nice observation, unquestionably guarantee his general fidelity, we have entered into these remarks, for the purpose of showing that he is much more worthy of the title of *Historiarum parens*, than of that of *Mendaciorum pater*. With the exceptions arising from the facts we have detailed, and viewed agreeably to the general bearing of those facts, the character of Herodotus given by our author may be regarded as substantially corect.—Br.

esteem unto descending ages that Cicero termed him historiarum parens; and Dionysius, his countryman, in an epistle to Pompey, after an express comparison, affords him the better of Thucydides. All which notwithstanding, he hath received from some the style of mendaciorum pater. His authority was much infringed by Plutarch, who, being offended with him, as Polybius had been with Philarchus, for speaking too coldly of his countrymen, hath left a particular tract. De malignitate Herodoti. But in this latter century Camerarius and Stephanus have stepped in, and, by their witty apologies, effectually endeavoured to frustrate the arguments of Plutarch or any other. Now, in this author, as may be observed in our ensuing discourse, and is better discernable in the perusal of himself, there are many things fabulously delivered, and not to be accepted as truths; whereby, nevertheless, if any man be deceived, the author is not so culpable as the believer. For he, indeed, imitating the father poet, whose life he hath also written, and as Thucydides observeth, as well intending the delight as benefit of his reader, hath besprinkled his work with many fabulosities; whereby if any man be led into error he mistaketh the intention of the author (who plainly confesseth he writeth many things by hearsay) and forgetteth a very considerable caution of his; that is, Ego quæ fando cognovi, exponere narratione mea debeo omnia: credere autem esse vera omnia, non debeo.

2. In the second place is Ctesias the Cnidian, 4 physician

⁴ Ctesias the Cnidian.] The sum of our author's remarks on the authority of Ctesias is probably very near the truth; but in this instance again the researches of modern science have in a great degree rescued from obloquy the statements of ancient history. The descriptions given by Ctesias of many animals, which, as he alleges, are found in Persia and India, and his relations concerning the uses to which many objects of nature are applied by the inhabitants of those countries, are now known either to be actually true, or at least to be founded in truth. In other cases it has been shown that he has correctly described certain objects as represented in paintings or sculptures, but has erroneously attributed an actual existence to what were merely the offspring of the imagination of the artists or of the priests who instructed them. historical relations of Ctesias, like those of Manetho and others, which have until recently been deemed altogether apocryphal, have received confirmation in many points, from the researches into the early history of Asia and Egypt, which our own age has witnessed; and it is impossible to say how many which yet appear untrue, may

unto Artaxerxes, king of Persia. His books are often recited by ancient writers, and, by the industry of Stephanus and Rhodomanus, there are extant some fragments thereof in our days. He wrote the history of Persia, and many narrations of India. In the first, as having a fair opportunity to know the truth, and as Diodorus affirmeth, the perusal of Persian records, his testimony is acceptable. In his Indian relations, wherein are contained strange and incredible accounts, he is surely to be read with suspension. These were they which weakened his authority with former ages; for, as we may observe, he is seldom mentioned without a derogatory parenthesis in any author. Aristotle, besides the frequent undervaluing of his authority in his books of animals, gives him the lie no less than twice con-

be attributable to the errors of transcribers. As an instance of his marvellous and incredible relations which have proved to be positively true, we will cite an anticipation of modern discovery contained in his fragments relating to India, which was pointed out a few years since, by the late Rev. J. J. Conybeare, successively professor of Anglo-Saxon and of poetry in the University of Oxford. Ctesias relates (Ex Ctes. Ind. Hist. Excerpt. in app. Herodot. Wesseling. sub initio, p. 1827,) that a certain variety of iron is found in India, which, when fixed into the ground, has the power of averting storms and lightnings. See Annals of Philosophy, Sec. Ser. vol. iv. p. 439. This evidently describes an anticipation of the use of conductors for lightning. Prior, however, to the discovery of the nature of lightning, and to the invention; founded upon that discovery, of metallic conductors for conveying the electric fluid, of which lightning is a manifestation, silently and innocuously to the earth, about the middle of the last century, every reader would suppose that Ctesias, in the passage before us, was relating, not a philosophical truth, but an unfounded absurdity; and would regard it as one of the "strange and incredible accounts," which, according to our author, are contained "in his Indian relations."

Bearing all these circumstances in mind, the reader, by comp our author's remarks on Ctesias with the following notes, (marked will have the means of forming a correct epinion respecting the merits of that writer.—Br.

5 perusal of Persian records, &c.] In his account of the origin of the Assyrian empire, however, which he professes to have derived from the regal archives of the Medes, he differs considerably from Herodotus, who must be regarded, in this case, as by far the most authentic historian; and he also attributes to the conquests of Ninus and Semiramis an extent towards the west, which is absolutely incompatible with the Jewish and Egyptian history of the same periods. (See Owner, Discours sur les Revolutions de la Surface du Globe, 4to. Paris, 1826, p. 101.)—Br.

cerning the seed of elephants. Strabo, in his eleventh book, hath left a harder censure of him: Equidem facilius Hesiodo et Homero aliquis fidem adhibuerit, itemque tragicis poetis, quam Ctesiae, Herodoto, Hellanico et eorum similibus. But Lucian hath spoken more plainly than any: Scripsit Ctesias de Indorum regione, deque its quae apud illos sunt, ea quae nec ipse vidit, neque ex ullius sermone audivit. Yet were his relations taken up by some succeeding writers, and many thereof revived by our countryman, Sir John Mandevil, knight and doctor in physick; who, after thirty years' peregrination, died at Liege, and was there honourably interred. He left a book of his travels, which hath been honoured with the translation of many languages, and now continued above three hundred years; herein he often attesteth the fabulous relations of Ctesias, and seems to con-

⁶ Strabo, in his eleventh book, &c.] Cuvier has remarked (Discours, ubi sup. p. 102) that Strabo was apparently led to this censure from the want of accordance between the various accounts of the antiquity of the Assyrian empire given by Ctesias and other ancient writers. But his ranking Ctesias with Herodotus, whose veracity has been established in modern times, in a manner so irrefragable, is in fact a testimony of considerable weight to the fidelity of the former. In reference to this particular subject Cuvier also alludes to the manifest errors of transcribers, in the fragments of Ctesias which are extant. Upon the whole, therefore, this writer ought not in any degree to suffer in our estimation on account of Strabo's censure.—Br.

⁷ Sir John Mandeville, &c.] Though spoken of by Sale (in his Preliminary Discourse, p. 177, note), by Parkhurst (Heb. Lex. p. 259, third edition), and by Chalmers, as entitled to more credit than has been usually assigned him, Mandeville's work is pronounced by Dr. Hugh Murray, to be "a pure and entire fabrication." Chalmers remarks, "that Sir John honestly acknowledges that his book was made partly of hearsay, and partly of his own knowledge; and that he prefaces his most improbable relations with some such words as these, thei seyne, or men seyn, but I have not sene it:"-and concludes that "there does not appear to be any very good reason why Sir John should not be believed in anything that he relates on his own observation." He further observes that some of his improbabilities have been since verified; e.g. his hens that bore wool, &c. &c. Murray, on the other hand, asserts that Mandeville, not content with transplanting the fictions of Oderic, and other writers into his narrative, declares himself to have actually seen what they had only heard of. He is quite of opinion that Sir John compiled the greater and the most valuable part of his travels from Oderic, Carpini, Rubruquis, &c. and that what he has added of his own, consists, quite exclusively, of monstrous lies.

firm the refuted accounts of antiquity. All which may still be received in some acceptions of morality, and to a pregnant invention may afford commendable mythology; but in a natural and proper exposition, it containeth impossibilities, and things inconsistent with truth.⁸

- 3. There is a book, De mirandis auditionibus, ascribed unto Aristotle; another, De mirabilibus narrationibus, written long after by Antigonus; another also of the same title by Plegon Trallianus, translated by Xilander, and with the annotations of Meursius, all whereof make good the promise of their titles, and may be read with caution. Which if any man shall likewise observe in the lecture of Philostratus concerning the life of Apollonius, and even in some passages of the sober and learned Plutarchus, or not only in ancient writers, but shall carry a wary eye on Paulus Venetus, Jovius, Olaus Magnus, Nierembergius, and many others, I think his circumspection is laudable, and he may thereby decline occasion of error.
- 4. Dioscorides Anazarbeus, he wrote many books in physick, but six thereof, *De Materia Medica*, have found the greatest esteem. He is an author of good antiquity and better use, preferred by Galen before Cratevas, Pamphilus, and all that attempted the like description before him; yet all he delivereth therein is not to be conceived oraculous. For beside that (following the wars under Anthony,) the course of his life would not permit a punctual examen in all, there are many things concerning the nature of simples traditionally delivered, and to which I believe he gave no assent himself. It had been an excellent receipt, and in his time when saddles were scarce in fashion, of very great use, if

^{**}All which may still be received, &c.] The truth and sagacity of this remark, taken in application to Ctesias himself, is beautifully illustrated by the following circumstances noticed by Cuvier, (whi sup. p. 40):—When treating of the mythological (or rather mythical) animals of the Persians, he observes, "Ctesias, who has described these animals as actually existing, has been regarded by many authors as an inventor of fables, while, in fact, he has merely attributed reality to emblematical figures;" and he shows, in the sequel, that the imaginary beings in question (such as the griffin, &c.) are represented in the sculptures of Persepolis, from which, or from similar works of art, it is manifest that Ctesias described them.—Br.

that were true which he delivers, that vitex or agnus castus held only in the hand, preserveth the rider from galling. It were a strange effect, and whores would forsake the experiment of savine, if that were a truth which he delivereth of brake or female fearn, that only treading over it, it causes a sudden abortion.* It were to be wished true, and women would idolize him, could that be made out which he recordeth of phyllon, mercury, and other vegetables, that the juice of the male plant drunk, or the leaves but applied unto the genitals, determines their conceptions unto males. In these relations although he be more sparing, his predecessors were very numerous, and Galen hereof most sharply accuseth Pamphilus. Many of the like nature we meet sometimes in Oribasius, Ætius, Trallianus, Serapion, Evax, and Marcellus, whereof some containing no colour of verity, we may at first sight reject them; others which seem to carry some face of truth, we may reduce unto experiment. And herein we shall rather perform good offices unto truth, than any disservice unto their relators, who have well deserved of succeeding ages; from whom having received the conceptions of former times, we have the readier hint of their conformity with ours, and may accordingly explore and sift their verities.

- 5. Plinius Secundus,2 of Verona; a man of great elo-
- * A like opinion there is now of elder.—Note first added in Second Edition.

long after, probably about the fourth century: though some kinds of horse cloths composed of various materials more or less costly were used at a much earlier period. See *Beckman's History of Inventions and Discoveries*, vol. ii. 247.

that vitez.] Yet that is true which hee sayes, that persicaria bruised, and layd under ye saddle, cures a galled horse in the jornye.—Wr.

² Plinius Secundus.] It will be interesting to compare, with our author's estimate of the authority of Pliny, the following view of the merits of a considerable portion of the contents of his Natural History, taken by a modern man of science, profoundly versed in the history of the science whose progress he details, and to which the portion of Pliny in question principally relates. "The only exception to this general neglect and contempt for all the arts and trades, is Pliny the Elder, whose object in his Natural History, was to collect into one focus every thing was known at the period when he lived. His work displays gious reading, and a vast fund of erudition. It is to him that chiefly indebted for the knowledge of the chemical arts that were peac-

VOL. I.

quence, and industry indefatigable, as may appear by his writings, especially those now extant, and which are never like to perish, but even with learning itself; that is his Natural History. He was the greatest collector or rhapsodist³ of all the Latins, and as Suetonius de Viris Illustribus observeth, he collected this piece out of two thousand Latin and Greek authors. Now what is very strange, there is scarce a popular error passant in our days, which is not either directly expressed, or deductively contained in this work; which being in the hands of most men, hath proved a powerful occasion of their propagation. Wherein, notwithstanding, the credulity of the reader is more condemnable than the curiosity of the author; for commonly he nameth the authors from whom he received those accounts, and writes but as he reads, as in his preface to Vespasian he acknowledgeth.

6. Claudius Ælianus, who flourished not long after, in the reign of Trajan, unto whom he dedicated his Tacticks; an elegant and miscellaneous author. He hath left two books which are in the hands of every one, his History of Animals, and his Varia Historia. Wherein are contained many things suspicious, not a few false, some impossible; he is much beholding⁴ unto Ctesias, and in many uncertainties

writes more confidently than Pliny.

7. Julius Solinus, who lived also about his time. He left a work entitled Polyhistor, containing great variety of matter, and is with most in good request at this day. But to speak freely what cannot be concealed, it is but Pliny varied, or a transcription of his Natural History; nor is it without all wonder it hath continued so long, but is now likely, and

tised by the ancients. But the low estimation in which these arts were held appears evident, from the wonderful want of information which Pliny so frequently displays, and the erroneous statements which he has recorded respecting these processes. Still a great deal may be drawn from the information which has been collected and transmitted to us by this indefatigable natural historian." (Thomson's History of Chemistry, vol. i. p. 50.)—Br.

rhapsodist.] One who writes without any regular dependance of one part upon another.—Johnson. I am, however, much more inclined to think that Sir Thomas meant by rhapsodist, one who packs together. (from ραπτω, consurcino,) materials collected from various sources.
 beholding.] See note, chap. vi. p. 44.

deserves indeed to live for ever, not only for the elegancy of the text, but the excellency of the comment, lately performed by Salmasius, under the name of Plinian Exercitations.

8. Atheneus, 5 a delectable author, and very various, and justly styled by Casaubon, Græcorum Plinius. extant of his, a famous piece, under the name of Deipnosophista; or Cona Sapientium, containing the discourse of many learned men, at a feast provided by Laurentius. It is a laborious collection out of many authors, and some whereof are mentioned no where else. It containeth strange and singular relations, not without some spice or sprinkling of all learning. The author was probably a better grammarian than philosopher, dealing but hardly with Aristotle and Plato, and betrayeth himself much in his chapter De Curiositate Aristotelis. In brief, he is an author of excellent use, and may with discretion be read unto great advantage: and hath therefore well deserved the comments of Casaubon and Dalecampius. But being miscellaneous in many things, he is to be received with suspicion; for such as amass all relations must erre in some, and may without offence be unbelieved in many.

9. We will not omit the works of Nicander, a poet of good antiquity; that is, his Theriaca, and Alexipharmaca, translated and commented by Gorræus: for therein are contained several traditions, and popular conceits of venomous beasts; which only deducted, the work is to be embraced, as containing the first description of poisons and their antidotes, whereof Dioscorides, Pliny, and Galen, have made especial use in elder times; and Ardoynus Grevinus, and others, in times more near our own. We might perhaps let pass Oppianus, that famous Cilician poet. There are extant of his in Greek, four books of Cynegeticks or Venation, five of Halieuticks or Piscation, commented and published by Ritterhusius; wherein, describing beasts of

⁵ Athenœus.] A very favourite author with Sir Thomas. See his Remarks on Athenœus.

⁶ he is to be received with suspicion.] We need have noe great suspition of him, going under the garde of these learned men; who will not suffer you to bee led by him, into any knowne or suspected error.—Wr.

venery, and fishes, he hath indeed but sparingly inserted the vulgar conceptions thereof. So that abating the annual mutation of sexes in the hyæna, the single sex in the rhinoceros, the antipathy between two drums, of a lamb and a wolf's skin, the informity of cubs, the venation of Centaures, the copulation of the murena and the viper, with some few others, he may be read with great delight and profit. It is not without some wonder his elegant lines are so neglected. Surely, hereby we reject one of the best epic poets,* and much condemn the judgment of Antoninus, whose apprehensions so honoured his poems that, as some report, for every verse he assigned him a stater of gold.

10. More warily are we to receive the relations of Philes, who, in Greek iambicks, delivered the proprieties of animals; for herein he hath amassed the vulgar accounts recorded by the ancients, and hath therein especially followed Ælian. And likewise Johannes Tzetzes, a grammarian, who, besides a comment upon Hesiod and Homer, hath left us Chiliads de Varia Historia; wherein delivering the accounts of Ctesias, Herodotus, and most of the ancients, he is to be embraced

with caution, and as a transcriptive relator.8

11. We cannot, without partiality, omit all caution even of holy writers, and such whose names are venerable unto all posterity. Not to meddle at all with miraculous authors, or any legendary relators, we are not without circumspection to receive some books even of authentic and renowned fathers. So are we to read the leaves of Basil and Ambrose, in their books entituled *Hexameron*, or The Description of the Creation; wherein, delivering particular accounts of all the creatures, they have left us relations suitable to those of Ælian, Pliny, and other natural writers, whose authorities

7 Johannes Tzetzes.] Tzetzes ventisossimus.—Wr.

⁸ a transcriptive relator.] N.B. justissimam censuram.—Wr.

^{*} That write hexameters, or long verses.

^{**}Fexameron.**] St. Basil and St. Ambrose in their hexameron: instead whereof wee have Du Bartas, an elegant and modest writer: justly honoured by (two) excellent poets, his translatores: Hieronymus Vida of Cremona, a second Virgil, who turned him into Latin verse, most smoothlye; and our Sylvester, a second Spencer, who hath soe finely fitted him with an English garbe, that itt seemes to become him as handsomelie, as his owne native French.—Wr.

herein they followed, and from whom, most probably, they desumed their narrations. And the like hath been committed by Epiphanius in his Physiology; that is, a book he hath left concerning the nature of animals. With no less caution must we look on Isidore, bishop of Seville; who, having left in twenty books an accurate work *De Originibus*, hath to the etymology of words superadded their received natures; wherein, most generally, he consents with common consistence and anthorses left have the consents with common

opinions and authors which have delivered them.

12. Albertus, bishop of Ratisbone, for his great learning and latitude of knowledge, surnamed Magnus. Besides divinity, he hath written many tracts in philosophy; what we are chiefly to receive with caution, are his Natural Tractates, more especially those of minerals, vegetables, and animals, which are indeed chiefly collections out of Aristotle, Ælian, and Pliny, and respectively contain many of our popular errors. A man who hath much advanced these opinions by the authority of his name, and delivered most conceits, with strict enquiry into few. In the same classes may well be placed Vincentius Belluacensis, or rather he from whom he collected his Speculum Naturale, that is, Gulielmus de Conchis, and also Hortus Sanitatis, and Bartholomeus Glanvil, sirnamed Anglicus, who writ De proprietatibus Rerum. Hither also may be referred Kiranides, which is a collection out of Harpocration, the Greek, and sundry Arabic writers; delivering not only the natural but magical propriety of things; a work as full of vanity as variety, con-

Vincentius Belluacensis.] The following statement of the merits of Vincent of Beauvais is given by the late Rev. J. J. Conybeare, in his account of the Symbola Aurea Mensa Duodecim Nationum of Michael Maier, published in the Annals of Philosophy, Sec. Ser. vol. vi. p. 428:—"Vincent of Beauvais, . . . certainly one of the most laborious and generally informed writers of the middle ages. His Speculum Naturale is the largest and most interesting Encyclopædia which I know of the philosophy and natural history of that period. It seems to have been laid under contribution pretty largely, if not altogether copied, in a work better known to our own black letter students, 'Bartholomaus de proprietatibus rerum' (alluded to by our author in the same paragraph.) I have now before me what a bibliographer would term a venerable and perfect copy of Vincent's S. N. (Cologne, 1494.) The sixth and seventh books contain much alchemical matter, chiefly extracted from Avicenna and a work termed Alchemiste,"—Br.

taining many relations, whose invention is as difficult as their beliefs, and their experiments sometime as hard as either.

70

13. We had almost forgot Jeronymus Cardanus,² that famous physician of Milan, a great enquirer of truth, but too greedy a receiver of it. He hath left many excellent discourses, medical, natural, and astrological; the most suspicious are those two he wrote by admonition in a dream, that is, De Subtilitate and Varietate Rerum. Assuredly this learned man hath taken many things upon trust, and although he examined some, hath let slip many others. He is of singular use unto a prudent reader; but unto him that only desireth hoties,³ or to replenish his head with varieties, like many others before related, either in the original or confirmation, he may become no small occasion of error.

14. Lastly, authors are also suspicious, not greedily to be swallowed, who pretend to write of secrets, to deliver antipathies, sympathies, and the occult abstrusities of things; in the list whereof may be accounted, Alex. Pedimontanus, Antonius Mizaldus, Trinum Magicum, and many others. Not omitting that famous philosopher of Naples, Baptista Porta; in whose works, although there be contained many excellent things, and verified upon his own experience, yet are there many also receptary, and such as will not endure the test. Who, although he hath delivered many strange relations in his Phytognomonica, and his Villa, yet hath he more remarkably expressed himself in his Natural Magick,

² Cardanus.] There is a most copious and interesting account of Cardan, and review of his works (ascribed to James Crossley, Esq., of Manchester), in the Retrospective Review, vol. i. p. 94—112.

³ hoties.] i. e. the quiddities of things, for $\tau \dot{o}$ $\partial \tau \iota$, in Greek, signifies the quiddity, that is, the essential or formal cause of every thing in nature.— $W\tau$.

^{*} receptary.] "Generally or popularly admitted." Dr. Joh son quotes the present passage, but spells the word receptory.

⁶ Phytognomonica.] "I would recommend the treatise of Baptista Porta, on Physiognomy, as an excellent commentary on that of Aristotle." Thos. Taylor's Introduction to his translation of Aristotle's History of Animals and Treatise on Physiognomy, p. xx.—Br.

⁶ Natural Magick.] "That strange mixture of learning and absurdity:"
J. J. Conybeare, Ann. Phil. Sec. Ser. vol. iv. p. 436. A judgment quite in accordance with our author's on the merits of the works of Baptista Porta in general.—Br.

and the miraculous effects of nature. Which containing various and delectable subjects, with all promising wondrous and easy effects, they are entertained by readers at all hands; whereof the major part sit down in his authority, and thereby omit not only the certainty of truth, but the pleasure of its experiment.

Thus have we made a brief enumeration of these learned men; not willing any to decline their works (without which it is not easy to attain any measure of general knowledge), but to apply themselves with caution thereunto. And seeing the lapses of these worthy pens, to cast a wary eye on those diminutive and pamphlet treatises daily published amongst Pieces maintaining rather typography than verity, authors presumably writing by common places, wherein for many years promiscuously amassing all that makes for their subject, they break forth at last in trite and fruitless rhapsodies,8 doing thereby not only open injury unto learning, but committing a secret treachery upon truth. For their relations falling upon credulous readers, they meet with prepared beliefs; whose supinities9 had rather assent unto all, than adventure the trial of any.

Thus, I say, must these authors be read, and thus must we be read ourselves; for discoursing of matters dubious, and many controvertible truths, we cannot without arrogancy entreat a credulity, or implore any further assent, than the probability of our reasons and verity of experiments in-

duce.

8 rhapsodies.] Things thrown together without mutual relation: mere collections.

⁹ whose supinities.] Whose indolence.

The Dean refers of course to Alexander Ross's Arcana Microcosmi,

and Robinson's Endoxa.

⁷ And seeing the lapses of these worthy pens, to cast a wary eye on those diminutive and pamphlet treatises. A most useful and prudent caution.

¹ and thus must we be read.] This is such a modest profession, as makes me wonder that any man should undertake to quarrel with him, as one of late hath professedly done.—Wr.

CHAPTER IX.

Of others indirectly effecting the same.

There are, besides these authors and such as have positively promoted errors, divers other which are in some way accessory; whose verities, although they do not directly assert, yet do they obliquely concur unto their beliefs.² In which account are many holy writers, preachers, moralists, rhetoricians, orators, and poets; for they depending upon invention, deduce their mediums from all things whatsoever; and playing much upon the simile, or illustrative argumentation, to induce their enthymemes unto the people,³ they take up popular conceits, and from traditions unjustifiable, or really false, illustrate matters of undeniable truth. Wherein, although their intention be sincere, and that course not much condemnable, yet doth it notoriously strengthen common errors, and authorise opinions injurious unto truth.

Thus have some divines drawn into argument the fable of the phœnix, made use of that of the salamander, pelican, basilisk, and divers relations of Pliny, deducing from thence most worthy morals, and even upon our Saviour. Now, although this be not prejudicial unto wiser judgments, who are but weakly moved with such arguments, yet is it oft-times occasion of error unto vulgar heads, who expect in the fable as equal a truth as in the moral, and conceive that infallible philosophy, which is in any sense delivered by divinity. But wiser discerners do well understand that every art hath its own circle; that the effects of things are best examined by sciences wherein are delivered their causes: that strict and definitive expressions are always required in philosophy, but a loose and popular delivery will serve oftentime in

² unto their beliefs.] Unto the belief of errors.

³ to induce their enthymemes, &c.] An enthymem is an imperfect syllogism, where either the major or the minor is omitted, as being easily supplied by the understanding. The term, however, seems used here in no such precise signification. The author merely means to say, that, to obtain readier assent to the maxims or propositions delivered, preachers, moralists, &c., have garnished them with popular though erroneous conceits.

divinity.4 As may be observed even in Holy Scripture, which often omitteth the exact account of things, describing them rather to our apprehensions, than leaving doubts in vulgar minds upon their unknown and philosophical descriptions. Thus it termeth the sun and the moon, the two great lights of heaven. Now if any shall from hence conclude the moon is second in magnitude unto the sun, he must excuse my belief: and it cannot be strange if 5 herein I rather adhere unto the demonstration of Ptolemy, than the popular description of Moses. Thus it said (2 Chron. iv. 2,) "That Solomon made a molten sea of ten cubits from brim to brim round in compass, and five cubits the height thereof, and a line of thirty cubits did compass it round about." Now in this description the circumference is made just treble unto the diameter: that is, as 10 to 30, or 7 to 21. But Archimedes demonstrates [in his Cyclometrial that the proportion of the diameter unto the circumference is as 7 unto almost 22, which will occasion a sensible difference, that is almost a cubit. Now, if herein I adhere unto Archimedes, who speaketh exactly, rather than the sacred text, which speaketh largely, I hope I shall not offend divinity; I am sure I shall have reason and experience of every circle to support me.

Thus moral writers, rhetoricians, and orators, make use of several relations, which will not consist with verity. Aristotle in his ethics takes up the conceit of the beaver, and the divulsion of his testicles. The tradition of the bear, the viper, and divers others are frequent amongst orators. All which, although unto the illiterate and undiscerning hearers [it] may seem a confirmation of their realities, yet this is no reasonable establishment unto others, who will not depend hereon, otherwise than on common apologues; which

⁴ a loose and popular delivery, &c.] The author's illustration and application of this position in the remainder of the paragraph, might have well served as a reply to the tirade of Dean Wren against the Copernican system of astronomy, in his note at page 35, and has been used by some of the most eminent of our modern geologists, in attempting to show that certain opinions, which they have deduced from geological phenomena, are only apparently and not really at variance with the Mosaic account of creation.

⁵ and it cannot be strange if.] Ed. 1646 reads, "and I think it cannot be taken for heresy, if."

being of impossible falsities, do notwithstanding include wholesome moralities, and such as expiate the trespass of their absurdities.

The hieroglyphical doctrine of the Egyptians (which in their four hundred years' cohabitation some conjecture they learned from the Hebrews) hath much advanced many popular conceits. For, using an alphabet of things, and not of words, through the image and pictures thereof they endeavoured to speak their hidden conceits in the letters and language of nature. In pursuit whereof, although in many things they exceeded not their true and real apprehensions, yet in some other they, either framing the story or taking up the tradition conducible unto their intentions, obliquely confirmed many falsities; which, us authentic and conceded truths, did after pass unto the Greeks, from them unto other nations, and are still retained by symbolical writers, emblematists, heralds, and others. Whereof some are strictly maintained for truths, as naturally making good their artificial representations; others, symbolically intended, are literally received, and swallowed in the first sense, without all gust of the second. Whereby we pervert the profound and mysterious knowledge of Egypt; containing the arcana of Greek antiquities, the key of many obscurities and ancient learning extant. Famous herein in former ages were Heraiscus, Cheremon, and Epius: especially Orus Apollo Niliacus, who lived in the reign of Theodosius, and in Egyytian language left two books of hieroglyphics, translated into Greek by Philippus, and a large collection of all made after by Pierius. But no man is likely to profound the ocean of that doctrine, beyond that eminent example of industrious learning, Kircherus.

Painters, who are the visible representers of things, and such as by the learned sense of the eye endeavour to inform the understanding, are not inculpable herein, who, either describing naturals as they are or actions as they have been, have oftentimes erred in their delineations. Which, being the books that all can read, are fruitful advancers of these conceptions, especially in common and popular apprehensions, who being unable for further enquiry, must rest in the

draught and letter of their descriptions.

Lastly, poets and poetical writers have in this point

exceeded others, trimly advancing the Egyptian notions6 of harpies, phœnix, griffins, and many more. Now, however to make use of fictions, apologues, and fables be not unwarrantable, and the intent of these inventions might point at laudable ends, yet do they afford our junior capacities a frequent occasion of error, settling impressions in our tender memories which our advanced judgments generally neglect to expunge. This way the vain and idle fictions of the Gentiles did first insinuate into the heads of Christians, and thus are they continued even unto our days. Our first and literary apprehensions being commonly instructed in authors which handle nothing else, wherewith our memories being stuffed, our inventions become pedantic, and cannot avoid their allusions; driving at these as at the highest elegancies. which are but the frigidities of wit, and become not the genius of manly ingenuities. It were, therefore, no loss like that of Galen's library,7 if these had found the same fate; and would in some way requite the neglect of solid authors, if they were less pursued. For, were a pregnant wit educated in ignorance hereof, receiving only impressions from realities, upon such solid foundations, it must surely raise more substantial superstructions, and fall upon very many excellent strains, which have been justled off by their intrusions.

CHAPTER X.

Of the last and great promoter of false opinions, the endeavours of Satan.

But, beside the infirmities of human nature, the seed of error within ourselves, and the several ways of delusion from each other, there is an invisible agent, the secret promoter without us, whose activity is undiscerned, and plays in the dark upon us: and that is the first contriver of error, and professed opposer of truth, the devil. For though, permitted

⁶ trimly advancing the Egyptian notions.] "Leaving unto us the notions:"—Ed. 1646.

⁷ It were therefore no loss, &c.] i.e. "had all such fabulous works been burnt, the loss would not have been comparable to that of Galen's library." He wrote 300 works, the greater part of which were burnt in the Temple of Peace, at Rome.

unto his proper principles, Adam, perhaps, would have sinned without the suggestion of Satan, and from the transgressive infirmities of himself might have erred alone, as well as the angels before him; and although were there no devil at all, yet there is now in our natures a confessed sufficiency unto corruption, and the frailty of our own economy were able to betray us out of truth; yet wants there not another agent, who taking advantage hereof proceedeth to obscure the diviner part, and efface all tracts of its traduction. To attempt a particular of all his wiles, is too bold an arithmetic for man: what most considerably concerneth his popular and practised ways of delusion, he first deceiveth mankind in five main points concerning God and himself.

And first, his endeavours have ever been, and they cease not yet, to instil a belief in the mind of man, there is no God at all. And this he principally endeavours to establish in a direct and literal apprehension; that is, that there is no such reality existent, that the necessity of his entity dependeth upon ours, and is but a political chimera; that the natural truth of God is an artificial erection of man, and the Creator himself but a subtile invention of the creature. Where he succeeds not thus high, he labours to introduce a secondary and deductive atheism; that although men concede there is a God, yet should they deny his providence. And therefore assertions have flown about, that he intendeth only the care of the species or common natures, but letteth loose the guard of individuals, and single existencies therein; that he looks not below the moon, but hath designed the regiment of sublunary affairs unto inferior deputations. To promote which apprehensions, or empuzzle their due conceptions, he casteth in the notions of fate, destiny, fortune, chance, and necessity; terms commonly misconceived by vulgar heads, and their propriety sometime perverted by the wisest. Whereby extinguishing in minds the compensation of virtue and vice, the hope and fear of heaven and hell, they comply in their actions unto the drift of his delusions, and live like creatures below the capacity of either.

Now hereby he not only undermineth the base of religion, and destroyeth the principle preambulous unto all belief, but

⁸ tract.] In the sense of track. So used also by Shakspeare.

puts upon us the remotest error from truth. For atheism is the greatest falsity, and to affirm there is no God, the highest lie in nature. And therefore strictly taken, some men will say his labour is in vain; for many there are, who cannot conceive there was ever any absolute atheist, or such as could determine there was no God, without all check from himself, or contradiction from his other opinions. And therefore those few so called by elder times, might be the best of Pagans; suffering that name rather in relation to the gods of the Gentiles, than the true Creator of all. A conceit that cannot befall his greatest enemy, or him that would induce the same in us; who hath a sensible apprehension hereof, for he believeth with trembling. To speak yet more strictly and comformably unto some opinions, no creature can wish thus much; nor can the will which hath a power to run into velleities, and wishes of impossibilities, have any utinam of this. For to desire there were no God, were plainly to unwish their own being, which must needs be annihilated in the subtraction of that essence which substantially supporteth them, and restrains them from regression into nothing. And if, as some contend, no creature can desire his own annihilation, that nothing is not appetible, and not to be at all, is worse than to be in the miserablest condition of something; the devil himself could not embrace that motion, nor would the enemy of God be freed by such a redemption.

But coldly thriving in this design, as being repulsed by the principles of humanity, and the dictates of that production which cannot denyits original, he fetcheth a wider circle: and when he cannot make men conceive there is no God at all, he endeavours to make them believe there is not one, but many: wherein he hath been so successful with common heads, that he hath led their belief through all the works of nature.

Now in this latter attempt, the subtilty of his circumvention hath indirectly obtained the former. For although to opinion there be many gods may seem an excess in religion, and such as cannot at all consist with atheism, yet doth it deductively and upon inference include the same; for unity is the inseparable and essential attribute of deity, and if there be more than one God, it is no atheism to say there is no God at all.

^{*} velleities.] Velleity is the school term used to signify the lowest degree of desire.

And herein though Socrates only suffered, yet were Plato and Aristotle guilty of the same truth; who demonstratively understanding the simplicity of perfection, and the indivisible condition of the first causator, it was not in the power of earth, or areopagy* of hell to work them from it. For. holding an apodictical + knowledge and assured science of its verity, to persuade their apprehensions unto a plurality of gods in the world, were to make Euclid believe there were more than one centre in a circle, or one right angle in a triangle: which were indeed a fruitless attempt, and inferreth absurdities beyond the evasion of hell. For though mechanic and vulgar heads ascend not unto such comprehensions, who live not commonly unto half the advantage of their principles, yet did they not escape the eye of wiser Minervas, and such as made good the genealogy of Jupiter's brains; who, although they had divers styles for God, yet under many appellations acknowledged one divinity; rather conceiving thereby, the evidence or acts of his power in several ways and places, than a multiplication of essence, or real distraction of unity in any one.

Again, to render our errors more monstrous, (and what unto miracle sets forth the patience of God,) he hath endeavoured to make the world believe, that he was God himself; and failing of his first attempt to be but like the highest in heaven, he hath obtained with men to be the same on earth. And hath accordingly assumed the annexes of divinity, and the prerogatives of the Creator, drawing into practice the operation of miracles, and the prescience of things to come. Thus hath he in a specious way wrought cures upon the sick, played over the wondrous acts of prophets, and counterfeited many miracles of Christ and his apostles. Thus hath he openly contended with God, and to this effect his insolency was not ashamed to play a solemn prize with Moses; wherein, although his performance were very specious, and beyond the common apprehension of any power below a deity, yet was it not such as could make good his omnipotency. For he was wholly confounded in the conversion of dust into lice. An act philosophy can scarce deny to be above the power of nature, nor upon a requisite predisposition beyond the efficacy of the sun.

^{*} Areopagus, the severe court of Athens. + Demonstrative.

notwithstanding, the head of the old serpent was confessedly too weak for Moses's hand, and the arm of his magicians too short for the finger of God.¹

to play a solemn prize with Moses, &c. The following curious parallel to this passage, is contained in a fragment of a discourse on Acts vii. 22, which forms part of the "Remains" of the unfortunate H. Kirke White. The writer is inquiring into the nature of the "wisdom of the Egyptians," mentioned in his text; and after some remarks on the scientific knowledge of that people, he proceeds thus: "The great objects of attention were the occult sciences. It was the magicians who swaved the people with a power almost imperial. It was the magicians who spread their fame over all the civilized world, and attached a reverential awe to the name of an Egyptian. The mysteries of these arts the magi preserved with the most scrupulous care, they were imparted to none but their immediate descendants, they were not entrusted to writing, but were locked up in the breasts of their jealous possessors. There is reason to believe, that a portion of judicial astrology was mixed with their magic, but they seem to have relied more on the incantation of spirits for the accomplishment of their purposes. Who does not read the accounts contained in the book of Exodus, of the wonders they performed in emulation of Moses, with surprise and astonishment? This prompt re-duplication of the miracles wrought by the power of God, is such, as we cannot readily conceive to have been effected by art, or simulated by deception, and there remains no other possible mode of accounting for their power, than by presuming that they did really maintain that intercourse with fallen spirits to which they pretend. I am aware that sneers of vain philosophy will be directed against such a supposition, but the course of all history, sacred and profane, countenances the idea; and after the body of evidence afforded by the ancient writers on this point, to express unqualified and unhesitating disbelief, can only argue an utter ignorance of the grounds on which we can alone judge in this mysterious subject. Let any one, however, read with attention the history of the ancient world, and he will see strong reason for believing that a very great part of mankind was given up to the government of unclean spirits. He will find that their gods were rather devils, worse than the very worst of their followers; that their religious institutions were a compound of imposture, avarice, and the most abominable wickedness; yet he will find their oracles often true in their predictions, and maintaining for a long series of years the reputation of being inspired. It was thus in Egypt at the time of the Exodus; the spirits of darkness held uncontrolled dominion over the people through the medium of the magicians. and had arrived at such a pitch of audacity, as almost to fly in the face of Almighty God himself, and measure their powers with his. But we see in the Scripture how they were defeated. They could not follow the arm of the Lord in his wonders. They could not even save their unhappy votaries from his plagues, for "the magicians could not stand before Moses, because of the boils, for the boil was with the magicians." That they knew the evil character of the spirits they served,

Thus hath he also made men believe that he can raise the dead, that he hath the key of life and death, and a prerogative above that principle which makes no regression from privations.2 The stoics, that opinioned the souls of wise men dwelt about the moon, and those of fools wandered about the earth. advantaged the conceit of this effect;3 wherein the Enicureans, who held that death was nothing, nor nothing after death, must contradict their principles to be deceived. Nor could the Pythagorean or such as maintained the transmigration of souls give easy admittance hereto; for, holding that separated souls successively supplied other bodies, they could hardly allow the raising of souls from other worlds, which at the same time, they conceived conjoined unto bodies More inconsistent with these opinions is the error of Christians, who holding the dead do rest in the Lord, do vet believe they are the lure of the devil; that he who is in bonds himself commandeth the fetters of the dead, and dwelling in the bottomless lake, the blessed from Abraham's bosom: that can believe the real resurrection of Samuel: or that there is anything but delusion in the practice of * necromancy and popular raising of ghosts.

He hath moreover endeavoured the opinion of deity, by the delusion of dreams, and the discovery of things to come in sleep, above the prescience of our waked senses. In this expectation he persuaded the credulity of elder times to take up their lodging before his temple, in skins of their own sacrifices, till his reservedness had contrived answers, whose

* Divination by the dead.

and were aware of their subordination to the true Jehovah, is manifest from the confession extorted by the wonders wrought by Moses, when, unable to equal him in his miracles, they exclaimed to Pharoah, "This is the finger of God." Remains of Henry Kirke White, vol. iii. p. 183—185. Edit. 1822.—Br.

³ advantaged the conceit of this effect.] Meaning that this opinion of the stoics somewhat facilitated the opinion that Satan can raise the dead, &c.

² that principle which makes no regression from privations.] That law or principle, by which life once lost is irrecoverable. "The artist, who shall first recall to life a human being in a case of natural death, by the same resuscitative process which is applied to cases of violent death, becomes the founder of a new era, and of a new name in the annals of humanity, of medicine, and of science." Whiter on the Disorder of Death, pref. p. ix.

accomplishments were in his power, or not beyond his presagement. Which way although it had pleased Almighty God sometimes to reveal himself, yet was the proceeding very different. For the revelations of heaven are conveyed by new impressions, and the immediate illumination of the soul; whereas the deceiving spirit, by concitation of humours, produceth his conceited phantasm, or by compounding the species already residing, doth make up words which mentally

speak his intentions.

But above all other he most advanced his deity in the solemn practice of oracles, wherein in several parts of the world he publicly professed his divinity; but how short they flew of that spirit whose omniscience they would resemble, their weakness sufficiently declared. What juggling there was therein, the orator* plainly confessed, who being good at the same game himself, could say that Pythia Philippised. Who can but laugh at the carriage of Ammon unto Alexander, who addressing unto him as a God, was made to believe he was a God himself? How openly did he betray his indivinity unto Crosus, who being ruined by his amphibology, and expostulating with him for so ungrateful a deceit, received no higher answer than the excuse of his impotency upon the contradiction of fate, and the settled law of powers beyond his power to control! What more than sublunary directions, or such as might proceed from the oracle of human reason, was in his advice unto the Spartans in the time of a great plague; when for the cessation thereof, he wished them to have recourse unto a fawn, that is, in open terms, unto one Nebrus, † a good physician of those days? From no diviner a spirit came his reply unto Caracalla, who requiring a remedy for his gout, received no other counsel than to refrain cold drink; which was but a dietetical caution, and such as without a journey unto Æsculapius, culinary prescription and kitchen aphorisms might have afforded at home. Nor surely if any truth there were therein, of more than natural activity was his counsel unto Democritus, when for the falling sickness he commended the maggot in a goat's head. For many things secret are true; sympathies and antipathies are safely authentic unto us, who ignorant of their causes may yet

^{*} Demosthenes. + Nebros, in Greek, a fawn. VOL. I.

acknowledge their effects. Beside, being a natural magician he may perform many acts in ways above our knowledge, though not transcending our natural power, when our knowledge shall direct it. Part hereof hath been discovered by himself, and some by human indagation, which though magnified as fresh inventions unto us, are stale unto his cognition. I hardly believe he hath from elder times unknown the verticity of the loadstone; surely his perspicacity discerned it to respect the north, when ours beheld it indeterminately. Many secrets there are in nature of difficult discovery unto man, of easy knowledge unto Satan. Whereof some his vain glory cannot conceal, others his envy will not discover.

Again, such is the mystery of his delusion, that although he labour to make us believe that he is God, and supremest nature whatsoever, yet would he also persuade our beliefs that he is less than angels or men, and his condition not only subjected unto rational powers, but the action of things which have no efficacy on ourselves. Thus hath he inveigled no small part of the world into a credulity of artificial magic; that there is an art, which without compact commandeth the powers of hell; whence some have delivered the polity of spirits, and left an account even to their provincial dominions, that they stand in awe of charms, spells, and conjurations, that he is afraid of letters and characters, of notes and dashes, which, set together, do signific nothing, not only in the dictionary of man, but the subtiler vocabulary of Satan. That there is any power in bitumen, pitch or brimstone, to purifie the air from his uncleanness, that any virtue there is in hypericon4 to make good the name of Fuga Dæmonis* any such magic as is ascribed unto the root baaras by Jose-

^{*} St. John's wort, so called by magicians.

^{*} hypericon.] This subject is thus alluded to by Stukely, in his Palwographia Sacra, p. 16: "Hypericon, called 'fuga demonum,' reckoned among sacred magical plants, on account of the Druids using them." The plant is the Hypericum perforatum of botanists, and will be found described and depicted in Sowerby's English Botany, tab. 295. It was probably employed in the Druidical rites, on account of its aromatic qualities, and of the flowers yielding a red essential oil; all plants having powerful effects upon the senses or upon the animal economy, being supposed, in former times, to possess mystical virtues, either in the way of propitiating good spirits or deities, or in that of charming away ovil ones.—Br.

phus, or cynospastus by Ælianus, it is not easy to believe, nor is it naturally made out what is delivered of Tobias, that by the fume of a fish's liver he put to flight Asmodeus. That they are afraid of the pentangle of Solomon,*5 though so set forth with the body of man, as to touch and point out the five places wherein our Saviour was wounded, I know not how to assent. If, perhaps, he hath fled from holy water, if he cares not to hear the sound of Tetragrammaton, † if his eye delight not in the sign of the cross, and that sometimes he will seem to be charmed with words of holy scripture, and to fly from the letter and dead verbality, who must only start at the life and animated interiors thereof: it may be feared they are but Parthian flights, ambuscado retreats, and elusory tergiversations; whereby to confirm our credulities, he will comply with the opinion of such powers, which in themselves have no activities. Whereof, having once begot in our minds an assured dependence, he makes us rely on powers which he but precariously obeys, and to desert those true and only charms which hell cannot withstand.

Lastly, to lead us farther into darkness, and quite to lose us in this maze of error, he would make men believe there is no such creature as himself, and that he is not only subject unto inferior creatures, but in the rank of nothing,—insinuating into men's minds there is no devil at all; and contriveth, accordingly, many ways to conceal or indubitate his existency. Wherein, beside that he annihilates the blessed angels and spirits in the rank of his creation, he begets a security of himself, and a careless eye unto the last remunerations. And, therefore, hereto he inveigleth, not only Sad-

nifies doubtless. - Wr.

^{*} Three triangles intersected and made of five lines. + Implying Jehovah, which in Hebrew consisteth of four letters.

⁵ pentangle of Solomon.] After the unexpected discovery of the treasury in Misticot's grave, by Sir Arthur Wardour and his friends, in "The Antiquary," the writer introduces into Oldbuck's attack upon the German adept, Dousterswivel, on the latter pretending that the discovery had been effected by means of his magical arts, the following allusion to the pentangle:—"You have used neither charm, lamen, sigil, talisman, spell, crystal, pentacle, magic mirror, nor geomantic figure." The Antiquary, edit. with author's notes, vol. ii. p. 32.—Br. ⁶ indubitate.] To bring into doubt; for in English the adjective sig-

ducees and such as retain unto the church of God, but is also content that Epicurus, Democritus, or any heathen should hold the same. And to this effect he maketh men believe that apparitions, and such as confirm his existence, are either deceptions of sight, or melancholy depravements of fancy. Thus when he had not only appeared but spake unto Brutus; Cassius, the Epicurean, was ready at hand to persuade him it was but a mistake in his weary imagination, and that indeed there were no such realities in nature. Thus he endeavours to propagate the unbelief of witches, whose concessions infers his coexistency; by this means also he advanceth the opinion of total death, and staggereth the immortality of the soul; for, such as deny there are spirits subsistent without bodies, will with more difficulty affirm the separated existence of their own.

Now, to induce and bring about these falsities, he hath laboured to destroy the evidence of truth, that is, the revealed verity and written word of God. To which intent he hath obtained with some to repudiate the books of Moses,

⁷ Lastly, &c. Most certainly the Devil would have work enough on his hands, if he were concerned in all the mischief, real and imaginary, which has been attributed to him by many great men, and, among others, by our author. As an admirer of Browne, I cannot but regret most deeply the share which his views of Satanic influence led him to take in the trial of Amy Duny and Rose Cullendon, who were condemned and executed as witches, in 1664, at Bury, before one of the greatest and best men of his time, Sir Matthew Hale.—But, on the other hand, although we attribute to popular superstition the belief in modern witchcraft, and although it be conceded to the research and ingenuity of recent very eminent physiologists, that many of the best attested cases of apparitions were spectral illusions, attributable to physical causes,—we must not hence be supposed to doubt the existence and active agency of the Devil; -nor to question the scriptural relation of witches, and spiritual appearances. I am by no means inclined to admit that apparitions "confirm the existence of the Devil;" but I feel no greater difficulty in believing that such spiritual manifestations may still be occasionally permitted to take place, than in admitting that spiritual existence is not subject to the same laws as those which govern material existence. The spirit, at death, leaves the body permanently no more to resume its tenement on earth; why then should not a transient separation during life take place, and the spirit-bound by no laws of time and space—pay its monitory visit to some distant friend? See Hibbert's Philosophy of Apparitions; Alderson's Essay on Apparitions; Ross, p. 72, § 6.

others those of the prophets, and some both; to deny the gospel and authentic histories of Christ; to reject that of John, and to receive that of Judas; to disallow all, and ercet another of Thomas.⁸ And when neither their corruption by Valentinus and Arrius, their mutilation by Marcion, Manes, and Ebion, could satisfy his design, he attempted the ruin and total destruction thereof; as he sedulously endeavoured, by the power and subtilty of Julian, Maximinus, and Dioclesian.

But the longevity of that piece, which hath so long escaped the common fate, and the providence of that spirit which ever waketh over it, may at last discourage such attempts, and if not make doubtful its mortality, at least, indubitably declare this is a stone too big for Satan's mouth, and a bit indeed oblivion cannot swallow.

And thus how strangely he possesseth us with errors may clearly be observed, deluding us into contradictory and inconsistent falsities; whilst he would make us believe,—That there is no God—that there are many—that he himself is God—that he is less than angels or men—that he is nothing at all.

Nor hath he only by these wiles depraved the conception of the Creator, but with such riddles hath also entangled the nature of our Redeemer.⁹ Some denying his humanity, and that he was one of the angels, as Ebion; that the Father and Son were but one person, as Sabellius. That his body was phantastical, as Manes, Basilides, Priscillian, Jovinianus; that he only passed through Mary, as Eutyches and Valentinus. Some denying his divinity; that he was begotten of human principles, and the seminal son of Joseph, as Carpocras, Symmachus, Photinus: that he was Seth, the son of

s to receive that of Judas, &c.] In Fabricii Codex Apocryphus and in Jones's Method of settling the Canonical authority of the New Testament, accounts are to be found of these Apocryphal gospels. There were two under the name of Judas; one of Judas Iscariot, and the other of Judas Thaddeus, but they are not now extant. Of the gospel of Thomas, some fragments yet remain, under the name of "the gospel of our Saviour's infancy."

⁹ nature of our Redeemer.] The doctrines of the Heresiarchs enu merated in this paragraph, are, upon the whole, accurately stated by our author: detailed views of most of them will be found in Mosheim's Ecclesiastical History.—Br.

Adam, as the Sethians; that he was less than angels, as Cerinthus; that he was inferior unto Melchisedec, as Theodotus; that he was not God, but God dwelt in him, as Nicolaus; and some embroiling them both. So did they which converted the trinity into a quaternity, and affirmed two persons in Christ, as Paulus Samosatenus; that held he was a man without a soul, and that the word performed that office in him, as Apollinaris; that he was both Son and Father, as Montanus; that Jesus suffered, but Christ remained impatible, as Cherinthus. Thus he endeavours to entangle truth; and, when he cannot possibly destroy its substance, he cunningly confounds its apprehensions—that from the inconsistent and contrary determinations thereof, consectary impieties¹ and hopeful conclusions may arise, there's no such thing at all.

CHAPTER XI.

A further Illustration of the same.

Now, although these ways of delusion most Christians have escaped, yet are there many other whereunto we are daily betrayed; and these we meet with in obvious occurrents of the world,² wherein he induceth us to ascribe effects unto causes of no cognation;³ and, distorting the order and theory of causes perpendicular to their effects, he draws them aside unto things whereto they run parallel, and in their proper motions would never meet together.

Thus doth he sometime delude us in the conceits of stars and meteors, beside their allowable actions ascribing effects thereunto of independent causations. Thus hath he also made the ignorant sort believe that natural effects immediately and commonly proceed from supernatural powers: and these he usually derives from Heaven, and his own principality the air, and meteors therein; which, being of themselves the effects of natural and created causes, and such as, upon a due conjunction of actives and passives, without a

¹ consectary impieties.] "Consequent impieties."

occurrents of the world.] "Occurrences of the world."

of no cognation.] "Of no relation."

miracle, must arise unto what they appear, are always looked on by ignorant spectators as supernatural spectacles, and made the causes or signs of most succeeding contingencies. To behold a rainbow in the night, is no prodigy unto a philosopher. Than eclipses of sun or moon, nothing is more natural: yet with what superstition they have been beheld since the tragedy of Nicias and his army,4 many examples declare.

True it is, and we will not deny it, that although, these being natural productions from second and settled causes, we need not alway look upon them as the immediate hand of God, or of his ministering spirits: yet do they sometimes admit a respect therein; and even in their naturals, the indifferency of their existences, contemporised unto our actions, admits a farther consideration.

That two or three suns or moons appear in any man's life or reign, it is not worth the wonder. But that the same should fall out at a remarkable time, or point of some decisive action; that the contingency of its appearance should be confirmed unto that time: that those two should make but one line in the book of fate, and stand together in the great ephemerides of God; beside the philosophical assignment of the cause, it may admit a Christian apprehension in the signality.

But, above all he deceiveth us, when we ascribe the effects of things unto evident and seeming casualties, which arise from the secret and undiscerned action of himself. Thus hath he deluded many nations in his augurial and extispicious 5 inventions, from casual and uncontrived contingencies divining events succeeding. Which Tuscan superstition seizing upon Rome, hath since possessed all Europe. When Augustus found two galls in his sacrifice, the credulity of the city concluded a hope of peace with Anthony, and the conjunction of persons in choler with each other. Because Brutus and Cassius met a blackmoor, and Pompey had on a dark or sad-coloured garment at Pharsalia; these were pre-

5 extispicious.] "Relating to the inspection of entrails in order to

prognostication.

⁴ Nicias and his army.] He lost his army before Syracuse, by delaying to embark it, at the favourable moment, on account of an eclipse of the moon which suddenly came on. Plutarch in Vit.

sages of their overthrow.⁶ Which notwithstanding are scarce rhetorical sequels; concluding metaphors from realities, and from conceptions metaphorical inferring realities again.

Now these divinations concerning events, being in his power to force, contrive, prevent, or further, they must generally fall out conformably unto his predictions. Gracchus was slain, the same day the chickens refused to come out of the coop; and Claudius Pulcher underwent the like success, when he condemned the tripudiary augurations; they died, not because the pullets would not feed, but, because the devil foresaw⁷ their death, he contrived that abstinence in them. So was there no natural dependence of the event upon the sign, but an artificial contrivance of the sign unto the event. An unexpected way of delusion, and whereby he more easily led away the incircumspection of their belief. Which fallacy he might excellently have acted before the death of Saul; for that being within his power to foretell, was not beyond his ability to foreshow, and might have contrived signs thereof through all the creatures, which, visibly confirmed by the event, had proved authentic unto those times, and advanced the art ever after.

He deludeth us also by philters, ligatures, charms, ungrounded amulets, characters, and many superstitious ways in the cure of common diseases; seconding herein the expectation of men with events of his own contriving, which while some, unwilling to fall directly upon magick, impute unto the power of imagination, or the efficacy of hidden causes, he obtains a bloody advantage; for thereby he begets not only a false opinion, but such as leadeth the open way to destruction. In maladies admitting natural reliefs, making men rely on remedies, neither of real operation in themselves, nor more than seeming efficacy in his concurrence. Which whensoever he pleaseth to withdraw, they stand naked unto the mischief of their diseases, and revenge the contempt of the medicines of the earth which God hath created for them. And therefore, when neither miracle is

7 the Devil foresaw, &c.] "Because he foresaw the death of Gracchus and Claudius Pulcher, he contrived that abstinence in the birds."

⁶ Because Brutus and Cassius met a blackmoor.] The Ethiopian, who met the standard-bearer opening the gate of the camp, and was cut in pieces by the soldiers, as affording an ill omen.

expected, nor connection of cause unto effect from natural grounds concluded, however it be sometime successful, it cannot be safe to rely on such practices, and desert the known and authentic provisions of God. In which rank of remedies, if nothing in our knowledge or their proper power be able to relieve us, we must with patience submit unto that restraint, and expect the will of the restrainer.

Now in these effects although he seem ofttimes to imitate, yet doth he concur unto their productions in a different way from that spirit which sometimes, in natural means, produceth effects above nature. For whether he worketh by causes which have relation or none unto the effect, he maketh it out by secret and undiscerned ways of nature. Caius the blind, in the reign of Antoninus, was commanded to pass from the right side of the altar unto the left, to lay five fingers of one hand thereon, and five of the other upon his eyes; although the cure succeeded, and all the people wondered, there was not any thing in the action which did produce it, nor any thing in his power that could enable it So for the same infirmity, when Aper was counselled by him to make a collyrium or ocular medicine with the blood of a white cock and honey, and apply it to his eyes for three days; when Julian for his spitting of blood, was cured by honey and pine nuts taken from his altar; when Lucius for the pain in his side, applied thereto the ashes from his altar with wine; although the remedies were somewhat rational, and not without a natural virtue unto such intentions, yet need we not believe that by their proper faculties they produced these effects.

But the effects of powers divine flow from another operation; who, either proceeding by visible means or not unto visible effects, is able to conjoin them by his co-operation. And therefore those sensible ways which seem of indifferent natures, are not idle ceremonies, but may be causes by his command, and arise unto productions beyond their regular activities. If Naaman the Syrian had washed in Jordan without the command of the prophet, I believe he had been cleansed by them no more than by the waters of Damascus. I doubt, if any beside Elisha had cast in salt, the waters of Jericho had not been made wholesome. I know that a decoction of wild gourd or colocynthis (though somewhat

qualified) will not from every hand be dulcified unto aliment by an addition of flour or meal. There was some natural virtue in the plaster of figs applied unto Hezechiah; we find that gall is very mundificative, and was a proper medicine to clear the eyes of Tobit; which carrying in themselves some action of their own, they were additionally promoted by that power, which can extend their natures unto the production of effects beyond their created efficiencies. And thus may he operate also from causes of no power unto their visible effects; for he that hath determined their actions unto certain effects, hath not so emptied his own, but that he can make them effectual unto any other.

Again, although his delusions run highest in points of practice, whose errors draw on offensive or penal enormities, yet doth he also deal in points of speculation, and things whose knowledge terminates in themselves. Whose cognition although it seems indifferent, and therefore its aberration directly to condemn no man, yet doth he hereby preparatively dispose us unto errors, and deductively deject

us into destructive conclusions.

That the sun, moon, and stars, are living creatures, endued with soul and life, seems an innocent error, and an harmless digression from truth; yet hereby he confirmed their idolatry, and made it more plausibly embraced. For, wisely mistrusting that reasonable spirits would never firmly be lost in the adorement of things inanimate, and in the lowest form of nature, he begat an opinion that they were living creatures, and could not decay for ever.

That spirits are corporeal, seems at first view a conceit derogative unto himself, and such as he should rather labour to overthrow; yet hereby he establisheth the doctrine of lustrations, amulets, and charms, as we have declared before.

That there are two principles of all things, one good and another evil; from the one proceeding virtue, love, light, and unity: from the other, division, discord, darkness, and deformity, was the speculation of Pythagoras, Empedocles, and many ancient philosophers, and was no more than Oromasdes and Arimanius of Zoroaster. Yet hereby he obtained the advantage of adoration, and as the terrible

⁶ Oromasdes and Arimanius of Zoroaster.] These were the two deities of Zoroaster, the founder of the Magi in Persia.—Wr.

principle became more dreadful than his Maker, and therefore not willing to let it fall, he furthered the conceit in succeeding ages, and raised the faction of Manes to maintain it.

That the feminine sex have no generative emission, affording no seminal principles of conception, was Aristotle's opinion of old, maintained still by some, and will be countenanced by him⁹ for ever. For hereby he disparageth the fruit of the Virgin, frustrateth the fundamental prophecy, nor can the seed of the woman then break the head of the serpent.

Nor doth he only sport in speculative errors, which are of consequent impieties, but the unquietness of his malice haunts after simple lapses, and such whose falsities do only condemn our understandings. Thus if Xenophanes will say there is another world in the moon; 1 if Heraclitus, with his adherents, will hold the sun is no bigger than it appeareth; if Anaxagoras affirm that snow is black; if any other opinion there are no Antipodes, or that stars do fall, he shall not want herein the applause or advocacy of Satan. For maligning the tranquillity of truth, he delighteth to trouble its streams; and, being a professed enemy unto God (who is truth itself) he promoteth any error as derogatory to his nature, and revengeth himself in every deformity from truth. If, therefore, at any time he speak or practise truth, it is upon design, and a subtle inversion of the precept of God, to do good that evil may come of it. And therefore, sometime we meet with wholesome doctrines from hell; Nosce teipsum, the motto of Delphos, was a good precept in morality; that a just man is beloved of the gods, an uncontrollable verity. 'Twas a good deed, though not well done,

by him.] That is, by the devil.

if Xenophanes will say there is another world in the moon.] Xenophanes was a pantheistical philosopher, born at Colophon, B. C. 556, who founded the Eleatic sect in Sicily, and died in Magna Græcia at the age of a century, having occupied the Pythagorean chair of philosophy for nearly seventy years. His doctrines, both philosophical and astronomical, if they have been rightly represented, were wild and incongruous; but perhaps it may be inferred, from the reasonableness of his tenet that the moon was an inhabited world, that, as suspected by Brucker and others, they have been misrepresented. This is of course the notion alluded to by our author. See Bruckeri Hist. Crit. Philosophia, tom. i. p. 1143, 1148, 1155.—Br.

which he wrought by Vespasian, when by the touch of his foot he restored a lame man, and by the stroke of his hand another that was blind, but the intention hereof drived at his own advantage; for hereby he not only confirmed the opinion of his power with the people, but his integrity with princes, in whose power he knew it lay to overthrow his

oracles, and silence the practice of his delusions.

But of such a diffused nature, and so large is the empire of truth, that it hath place within the walls of hell, and the devils themselves are daily forced to practise it; not only as being true themselves, in a metaphysical verity, that is, as having their essence comformable unto the intellect of their maker, but making use of moral and logical verities, that is, whether in the conformity of words unto things, or things unto their own conceptions, they practise truth in common among themselves. For, although without speech they intuitively conceive each other, yet do their apprehensions proceed through realities; and they conceive each other by species, which carry the true and proper notions of things conceived. And so also in moral verities, although they deceive us, they lie not unto each other, as well understanding that all community is continued by truth, and that of hell cannot consist without it.

To come yet nearer the point, and draw into a sharper angle: they do not only speak and practise truth, but may be said well-wishers hereunto, and, in some sense, do really desire its enlargement. For many things which in themselves are false, they do desire were true. He cannot but wish he were as he professeth, that he had the knowledge of future events; were it in his power, the Jews should be in the right, and the Messias yet to come. Could his desires effect it, the opinion of Aristotle should be true, the world should have no end,² but be as immortal as himself. For thereby he might evade the accomplishment of those afflictions he now but gradually endureth; for comparatively unto those flames, he is but in balneo, then begins his ignis rotæ,³

² the world should have no end.] Aristotle unquestionably held this doctrine, as appears from the entire argument of his treatise On the Heavens.—Br.

³ he is but yet in balneo, then begins his ignis rotæ.] These terms are derived from the technical language of the old chemists. In balneo refers

and terrible fire, which will determine its disputed subtilty, and even hazard his immortality.

But to speak strictly he is in these wishes no promoter of verity, but, if considered, some ways injurious unto truth; for (besides that if things were true, which now are false, it were but an exchange of their natures, and things must then be false, which now are true, the settled and determined order of the world would be perverted, and that course of things disturbed which seemed best unto the immutable contriver. For whilst they murmur against the present disposure of things, regulating determined realities unto their private optations, they rest not in their established natures, but unwishing their unalterable verities, do tacitly desire in them a difformity from the primitive rule, and the idea of that mind that formed all things best. And thus he offendeth truth even in his first attempt; for, not content with his created nature, and thinking it too low to be the highest creature of God, he offended the ordainer, not only in the attempt, but in the wish and simple volition thereof.

to the gentle or comparatively low heat obtained by immersing the vessel containing the substance to be heated in a bath of heated water, oil, sand, or other convenient medium; whence the water bath and sand bath, or sand heat of modern chemistry. The ignis rotae was a naked fire disposed in a circle round a crucible, in which ignition or calcination, operations requiring an intense heat were to be performed. Thus understood, the meaning of our author's application of these terms is obvious.—Br,

THE SECOND BOOK,

BEGINNING THE PARTICULAR PART.

OF POPULAR AND RECEIVED TENETS CONCERNING MINERAL AND VEGETABLE BODIES.

CHAPTER I.

That Crystal is nothing else but Ice strongly congealed.

HEREOF the common opinion hath been, and still remaineth amongst us, that crystal is nothing else but ice or snow concreted, and, by duration of time, congealed beyond liquation. Of which assertion, if prescription of time, and numerosity of assertors were a sufficient demonstration, we might sit down herein, as an unquestionable truth, nor should there need ulterior disquisition; for few opinions there are which have found so many friends, or been so popularly received, through all professions and ages. Pliny is positive in this opinion; Crystallus fit gelu vehementius concreto: 1 the same is followed by Seneca, elegantly described by Claudian, not denied by Scaliger, some way affirmed by Albertus, Brassavolus, and directly by many others.² The venerable fathers of the church have also assented hereto; as Basil, in his Hexameron, Isidore, in his Etymologies, and not only Austin, a Latine father, but Gregory the Great, and Jerom upon occasion of that term expressed in the first of Ezekiel.

¹ Crystallus fit gelu, &c.] This opinion is given by Pliny, Hist. Nat. 11b. xxxvii. cap. 2.—Br.

² by many others.] Thucydides clearly uses the word κρύσταλλος in the sense of ice; See Hist. iii. 23.—4to. vol. 1, p. 438.

All which notwithstanding, upon a strict enquiry, we find the matter controvertible, and with much more reason denied, than is as yet affirmed. For though many have passed it over with easy affirmatives, yet there are also many authors that deny it, and the exactest mineralogists have rejected it. Diodorus, in his eleventh book, denieth it (if crystal be there taken in its proper acception, as Rhodiginus hath used it, and not for a diamond, as Salmasius hath expounded it), for in that place he affirmeth, crystallum esse lapidem ex aqua pura concretum, non tamen frigore sed divini caloris vi. Solinus, who transcribed Pliny, and, therefore, in almost all subscribed unto him, hath in this point dissented from him. Putant quidam glaciem coire, et in crystallum corporari, sed frustra. Matthiolus, in his comment upon Dioscorides, hath with confidence rejected it.3 The same hath been performed by Agricola, De natura fossilium, by Cardan, Boëtius de Boot, Cæsius Bernardus, Sennertus, and many more.

Now, besides authority against it, there may be many reasons, deduced from their several differences, which seem to overthrow it. And first a difference is probable in their concretion. For, if crystal be a stone (as in the number thereof it is confessedly received),⁴ it is not immediately concreted by the efficacy of cold, but rather by a mineral spirit and lapidifical principles of its own; and, therefore, while it lay in solutis principiis, and remained in a fluid body, it was a subject very unapt for proper conglaciation; for mineral spirits do generally resist, and scarce submit thereto. So we observe that many waters and springs will never freeze,⁵ and many parts in rivers and lakes, where

4 as in the number thereof it is, &c.] i. e. in the number whereof it is. &c.

³ with confidence rejected it.] "With confidence, and not without reason, rejected it."—Ed. 1646.

Ross, with his usual wrong-headedness, argues stoutly for the ancient opinion. "The cold of some waters," he observes, "metamorphose sticks, leaves, and trees, pieces of leather, nutshells, and such like stuff into stones; why then may not cold convert ice into a higher degree of hardness, and prepare it for reception of a new form, which gives it the essence and name of crystal?"—Arcana, p. 189.

⁵ many waters and springs will never freeze.] Our author is mistaken in ascribing this phenomenon to the mineral contents of the water ex-

there are mineral eruptions, will still persist without congelation: as we also observe in aqua fortis, or any mineral solution, either of vitriol, alum, saltpetre, ammoniac, or tartar, which, although to some degree exhaled, and placed in cold conservatories, will crystallize and shoot into white and glacious bodies: yet is not this a congelation primarily effected by cold, but an intrinsical induration from themselves; and a retreat into their proper solidities, which were absorbed by the liquor, and lost in a full imbibition thereof before. And so, also, when wood and many other bodies do petrify, either by the sea, other waters, or earths abounding in such spirits, we do not usually ascribe their induration to cold, but rather unto salinous spirits, concretive juices, and causes circumjacent, which do assimilate all bodies not indisposed for their impressions.

But ice is only water congealed by the frigidity of the air, whereby it acquireth no new form, but rather a consistence or determination of its diffluency, and amitteth not its essence, but condition of fluidity. Neither doth there any thing properly conglaciate but water, or watery humidity; for the determination of quicksilver is properly fixation, that

hibiting it: no springs are so strongly impregnated with mineral substances as to have their freezing points affected by it in any considerable degree. The true cause of the phenomenon is, in the case of springs and lakes, their depth, and in that of rivers, their depth in conjunction with the rapidity with which they flow. For, owing to the mobility of the particles of water, and to the circumstance that, like all other bodies, it becomes heavier, in consequence of its contraction in bulk, in proportion as its temperature is reduced (with a particular exception, which it is unnecessary now to mention), when the surface or upper portion of the water gives out its heat to the atmosphere, on account of the temperature of that medium becoming inferior to its own, the portion of water so cooled down, becoming heavier than the subjacent portion, sinks towards the bottom, and an uncooled portion takes its place, which, in its turn, is cooled, and rendered heavier by the same process. Until, therefore, the whole of the water has been reduced to the freezing point by the continuance of this operation, no ice can form upon it; for, until then, the temperature of that portion which is in contact with the atmosphere will be above the freezing point. In the case of deep wells and lakes, this occupies so long a time, that, in temperate climates, the cold season has passed away, and the temperature of the atmosphere has ceased to be inferior to that of the upper portion of the water, before the whole has been reduced to the freezing point.-Br.

of milk coagulation, and that of oil and unctious bodies only incrassation. And, therefore, Aristotle makes a trial of the fertility of human seed, from the experiment of congelation; for that, saith he, which is not watery and improlifical will not conglaciate: which, perhaps, must not be taken strictly, but in the germ and spirited particles; for eggs, I observe, will freeze in the albugineous part⁶ thereof. And upon this ground Paracelsus, in his Archidoxis, extracteth the magistery of wine; after four months' digestion in horse-dung, exposing it unto the extremity of cold, whereby the aqueous parts will freeze, but the spirit retire, and be found uncongealed in the centre.

But whether this congelation be simply made by cold, or also by co-operation of any nitrous coagulum,⁷ or spirit of salt, the principle of concretion, whereby we observe that ice may be made with salt and snow by the fire-side, as is also observable from ice made by saltpetre and water, duly mixed and strongly agitated, at any time of the year, were a very considerable enquiry. For thereby we might clear the generation of snow, hail, and hoary frosts, the piercing quali-

⁶ eggs, I observe, &c.] That point in the Chalaza, the spark of vivification, I wish it might freeze: it would rid my trees from caterpillars, which can continue their noxious species, by their hybernating eggs.—Robinson's Endoxa.

or also by co-operation of any nitrous coagulum.] The doubt here expressed, whether the congelation of water is simply owing to cold, or whether the operation of cold may not be aided by saltpetre, or some analogous principle, is a remnant of the notions entertained of that salt by the alchemists, and the older operators in true chemistry who immediately succeeded them, of both whose ideas on such subjects our author retained a few, though (considering the state of science in his time) but very few indeed, and those of minor importance only. The arguments which he adduces in favour of this doubt are as fallacious as the supposition itself, which it involves, "That ice may be made with salt and snow by the fire-side," arises, not from any peculiar congealing virtue in the salt, but merely from the circumstance that the affinity it has for water produces a rapid liquefaction of the snow, which, robbing the surrounding bodies of their heat, in order itself to assume the liquid form (their sensible heat thus becoming latent in the resulting water) produces the cold. The case is similar with respect to the "ice made by saltpetre and water;" for here, the water subjected to experiment is reduced to the solid form by the abstraction of its sensible heat, consequent upon the liquefaction of the salt, in the solution of which it becomes latent.—Br.

ties of some winds, the coldness of caverns, and some cells. We might more sensibly conceive how saltpetre fixeth the flying spirits of minerals in chemical preparations, and how by this congealing quality it becomes an useful medicine in fevers.⁸

Again, the difference of their concretion is collectible from their dissolution, which being many ways performable in ice, is few ways effected9 in crystal. Now the causes of liquation are contrary to those of concretion; and, as the atoms and indivisible parcels are united, so are they in an opposite way disjoined. That which is concreted by exsiccation or expression of humidity, will be resolved by humectation, as earth, dirt, and clay; that which is coagulated by a fiery siccity, will suffer colliquation from an aqueous humidity. as salt and sugar, which are easily dissoluble in water, but not without difficulty in oil and well rectified spirits of wine. That which is concreted by cold, will dissolve by a moist heat, if it consist of watery parts, as gums arabic, tragacanth, ammoniac, and others, in an airy heat or oil, as all resinous bodies, turpentine, pitch, and frankincense; in both, as gummy resinous bodies, mastic, camphor, and storax: in neither, as neutrals, and bodies anomalous hereto, as bdellium, myrrh, and others. Some by a violent dry heat, as metals; which although corrodible by waters, yet will they not suffer a liquation from the powerfullest heat communicable unto that element. Some will dissolve by this heat.

But whether, &c.] This paragraph was added in Second Edition.

is few ways effected.] "Is not in the same manner effected."—Ed.

¹ that which is concreted by exsiccation, &c.] The statements here made by our author respecting the causes of liquation and concretion, &c., are evidently derived from Aristotle, Met. lib. iv. cap. 6. See also the notes to Pseudodaxia. book i. chap. vi. p. 42.—Br.

notes to Pseudodoxia, book i. chap. vi. p. 42.—Br.

2 yet will they not suffer a liquation.] Modern chemistry shows our author to be in error in his opinion, that heat of a peculiar nature is required for the fusion of metals. The only reason why the generality of metals connot be melted by hot water is, that they require a higher temperature for their liquefaction than can be given to that fluid under ordinary circumstances. But there is an alloy of bismuth, lead, and tin, which melts at a temperature inferior to that of boiling water, (commonly called on that account fusible metal), and which accordingly melts when immersed in that fluid. Under pressure, as when heated in Papin's digester for instance, water can be raised to a much higher

although their ingredients be earthy, as glass,3 whose materials are fine sand, and the ashes of kali or fern; and so will salt run with fire, although it be concreted by heat. And this way may be effected a liquation in crystal, but not without some difficulty, that is, calcination or reducing it by art into a subtle powder, by which way and a vitreous commixture, glasses are sometime made hereof, and it becomes the chiefest ground for artificial and factitious gems. the same way of solution is common also unto many stones: and not only beryls and cornelians, but flints and pebbles are subject unto fusion, and will run like glass in fire.

But ice will dissolve in any way of heat, for it will dissolve with fire, it will colliquate in water, or warm oil, nor doth it only submit unto an actual heat, but not endure the potential calidity of many waters. For it will presently dissolve in cold aqua fortis, spirit of vitriol, salt or tartar, nor will it long continue its fixation in spirits of wine, as may be observed in ice injected therein.

Again, the concretion of ice will not endure a dry attrition4 without liquation; for if it be rubbed long with a cloth, it melteth. But crystal will calefy unto electricity.5 temperature than that at which it boils under the common pressure of the atmosphere; and thus can be made to melt lead, which is quite infusible in common boiling water.—Br.

³ glass.] In the Manchester Memoirs, vol. 2. p. 95, there are some interesting "Remarks on the Knowledge of the Ancients respecting

Glass," by Dr. Falconer.

4 the concretion of ice will not endure a dry attrition, &c.] A similar exertion of ingenuity to that which has discovered a knowledge of the true chemical mixture of the atmosphere in certain mythological tales of the Egyptian priests, and of that of the constituents of water in some of the speculations of Lucretius, might, with far less aberration from the truth, affirm that in this sentence of our author is virtually an anticipation of Sir H. Davy's experiment, in which ice was melted by the mere friction of two pieces of it together. For as a cloth would be a very bad conductor of heat, the experiment of our author might, with care, be so made as to cause the fusion of the ice by the heat generated by the friction alone, independent of that which might (without care) be conducted from the hand of the experimenter through the cloth, and of that also which would be derived from the cloth itself, if not previously reduced to the freezing temperature. It is plain, also, from the author's use of the word "calefy" in the next period, that he believed the ice to be melted by the heat generated by the friction, and not by the friction alone, mechanically considered,—Br.

that is, a power to attract straws or light bodies, and convert the needle freely placed:—which is a declarement of very different parts, wherein we shall not enlarge, as having discoursed concerning such bodies in the chapter of electrics.

They are differenced by supernatation or floating upon water; 6 for crystal will sink in water, as carrying in its own bulk a greater ponderosity than the space in any water it doth occupy, and will therefore only swim in molten metal and quicksilver. But ice will swim in water of what thinness soever; and, though it sink in oil, will float in spirits of wine or aqua vitæ. And therefore it may swim in water, not only as being water itself, and in its proper place, but perhaps as weighing somewhat less than the water it possesseth. And therefore, as it will not sink unto the bottom, so neither will it float above, like lighter bodies, but, being near in weight, lie superficially or almost horizontally

tion that rock-crystal becomes electric by friction; but our author is mistaken in attributing the excitation of electricity to the heat produced by the friction. In this case, and in all others of electricity so excited, the agency of the friction appears to be merely mechanical. -Rr.

⁶ They are differenced, &c.] They; i. e. ice and crystal. Here again we have Ross's ingenious reply; it's no wonder to see a stone sink and ice swim; for crystal when it was ice, swimmed, being now a stone sinks; as being a body more compact, hard, solid, and ponderous; so a stick will swim, but when it is converted to a stone, it sinks. The argument therefore is good thus; crystal sinks, ice swims, therefore crystal is not ice; but it will not follow, therefore, crystal was not ice."

-Arcana, p. 189.

The whole of this paragraph is excellent, in assertion as well as in argument, giving a very accurate view of the facts described. It is quite true that the ice weighs "somewhat less than the water it possesseth," specifically; that is, a bulk of ice equal to that of the water in its liquid form would weigh less than the water; and that this is the reason why it swims upon water. It is also true that ordinary ice is less compact, less continuous in its solidity than other crystalline bodies, and that it is full of spumes and bubbles, and "which abate its gravity." The last statement, that the freezing of water is at the same time condensation and rarefaction, is also correct: that its solid state must imply a kind of condensation, some sort of molecular approximation, is clear; and yet it expands in freezing, and thus unquestionably undergoes rarefaction.—Br.

* somewhat less.] "No more."—Ed. 1646. The specific gravity of ice is to that of water, as 8 to 9. Its greater lightness was discovered

by Galileo.

unto it. And therefore also, an ice or congelation of salt or sugar, although it descend not unto the bottom, yet will it abate, and decline below the surface in thin water, but very sensibly in spirits of wine. For ice, although it seemeth as transparent and compact as crystal, yet is it short in either;9 for its atoms are not concreted into continuity, which doth diminish its translucency; it is also full of spumes and bubbles, which may abate its gravity. And therefore. waters frozen in pans and open glasses, after their dissolution, do commonly leave a froth and spume upon them, which are caused by the airy parts diffused in the congelable mixture, which, uniting themselves, and finding no passage at the surface, do elevate the mass, and make the liquor take up a greater place than before: as may be observed in glasses filled with water, which, being frozen will seem to swell above the brim. So that if, in this condensation, any one affirmeth there is also some rarefaction, experience may assert it.1

They are distinguished, in substance of parts, and the accidents thereof: ² that is, in colour and figure: for ice is a similary body, and homogeneous concretion, whose material is properly water, and but accidentally exceeding the simplicity of that element. But the body of crystal is mixed, its ingredients many, and sensibly containeth those principles into which mixed bodies are reduced. For beside the spirit and mercurial principle, ³ it containeth a sulphur or inflam-

1 which are, &c.] From 1. 11 to the end of the paragraph was added in 2nd Edit.

⁹ yet is it short in either.] "Yet is it inferior to crystal, both in transparency and compactness."

² They are distinguished, dc.] Ross again meets the author on the hypothesis, that no present difference between ice and crystal can prove that the one may never have been the other. "Crystal is not so much distinguished either in substance or accidents from ice, as a chick is from an egg, and yet the chick was an egg."—Arcana, 190.

³ For besides the spirit and mercurial principle, &c.] Our author's notions of the chemical nature of rock-crystal are those of the alchemists, and are wholly unfounded. There is neither spirit, mercury, nor sulphur, in rock-crystal; at least, nothing to which those appellations can properly be applied: it is silica, or the earth of flints, in a pure crystallized form, itself composed of equal weights of silicon (a single combustible substance), and oxygen. It may be suspected, with some plausibility, however, that the notion of the alchemists, that

mable part, and that in no small quantity; for, besides its electric attraction, which is made by a sulphureous effluvium, it will strike fire upon percussion, like many other stones, and, upon collision with steel, actively send forth its sparks, not much inferiorly unto a flint. Now such bodies as strike fire,⁴ as have sulphureous or ignitable parts within

such bodies as rock-crystal and the precious stones contained sulphur, might have arisen from their having, in some of their multifarious operations, actually separated its combustible base; they always attributing combustibility to the presence of sulphur. Although they were altogether ignorant of the true nature of the processes which they employed, and of the effects which they witnessed, it cannot be doubted, that in their operations many of the simple as well as compound bodies, which modern chemists have described, would occasionally be evolved, though, in most instances, they would be caused again to enter into combination immediately, or be confounded with other well-known bodies, and, in either case, they would of course escape detection and record.—Br.

4 Now such bodies strike fire, as have, &c.] The scientific reader might at first infer, from the perusal of this passage, that, as the Pseudodoxia was first published in 1646, our author had anticipated the celebrated Hooke in his experimental investigation of the nature of the sparks produced by the collision of flint and steel. A comparison, however, of the passage as it stands in the edition of 1672 (the last revised by the author), with the corresponding one in all the previous editions, and a reference to a further allusion to the subject in book iii. will show that Browne's statements on the subject were corrected and matured subsequently to the promulgation of Hooke's results, and that all his definite knowledge respecting it was borrowed from the latter, as, indeed, he has fairly, though indirectly, acknowledged. As the present annotator at first made the above inference himself, and (the subject being of some importance in the history of science) was induced to examine the seeming anticipation somewhat minutely, it may be as well to introduce here the entire examination; this, while it proves that Browne's knowledge on the point was derived from Hooke, as just stated, will evince also the diligence with which he investigated, and the candour with which he adopted the discoveries of his contemporaries.

It will first be proper to cite the statement of Dr. Hooke.—He informs us in his *Micrographia* (published in 1665), p. 44—46, that, about eight years before, he came, from experiment, to the following conclusions: that a spark struck from a flint and steel was nothing else but a small piece of the steel or flint, but most commonly of the steel. which, by the violence of the stroke, is at the same time severed and heated red-hot, and that sometimes to such a degree, as to make it melt into a small globule of steel, and sometimes further to vitrify it; phenomena which he ascribes to the existence in iron or steel of a very combustible sulphureous body "which the air very readily preys upon,

them, and those strike best which abound most in them. For these scintillations are not the accension of the air, upon the collision of two hard bodies, but rather the inflammable effluencies or vitrified sparks discharged from the bodies collided. For diamonds, marbles, heliotropes, and agaths, though hard bodies, will not readily strike fire with a

as soon as the body is a little violently heated." That such is truly the nature of such sparks he proves by experiment and by microscopical observation; and if we regard his supposition of the combustible sulphureous body in steel as merely another mode of describing the combustible nature of the metal, his explanation is perfectly correct, and in accordance with the results of modern chemical discovery: the oxygen in the air "preys upon" the metal, when heated by the percussion which separates it from the mass, converts it into an oxide, which the heat is also often sufficiently intense to vitrify.

In book iii. chap. xxi. of the Pseudodoxia, editions 1672 and 1686. we find the following recurrence to the collision of flint and steel, introduced in the discussion of another subject. "As first, how fire is stricken out of flints? That is, not by kindling the air from the collision of two hard bodies; for then diamonds should do the like better than flints; but rather from sulphureous, inflamed, and even vitrified effluviums and particles, as hath been observed of late."—(1672, p. 176; 1686, p. 124). Upon comparing these two passages from the editions of 1672 and 1686 with the corresponding passages in earlier editions. we find the following differences with respect to the point now before Book ii. chap. i.: the words, "or vitrified sparks," do not occur in the earlier editions. Book iii. chap. xxi.: instead of the words, "but rather from sulphureous, &c.," as above, to the end of the extract. in the editions of 1672 and 1686, we have in the earlier editions only these: "but rather from the sulphur and inflammable effluviums contained in them."

It is clear, therefore, that the *Micrographia* of Hooke having appeared in the interval between the publication of the first and that of the sixth edition of the *Pseudodoxia*, our author had perused the work of his great contemporary, and interwoven the results of his experimental investigation of the phenomena of the collision of steel with hard bodies with his own previous hypothetical explanation of themadding, in the first notice of the subject, to the expression, "inflammable effluencies," that of "or vitrified sparks," and also introducing the words, "vitrified" and "particles," into the second.

Browne is in error, however, with respect to diamonds, heliotropes, and agates; all which, if their shape be adapted to the purpose, will readily strike fire with steel, and also with each other. If by "marble" he means, as is most probable, the more beautiful rocks and mineral substances employed in building and ornamental architecture in general, he is further in error; for most of these will also strike fire; but few of the substances, however, to which the term marble is now usually applied, possess that property.—Br.

steel, much less with one another. Nor a flint so readily with a steel if they both be very wet, for then the sparks are sometimes quenched in their eruption.

It containeth also a salt, 5 and that in some plenty, which may occasion its fragility, as is also observable in coral. This is separable by the art of chemistry, unto the operations whereof, as calcination, reverberation, sublimation, distillation, it is liable, with other concrations. And in the preparation of crystal Paracelsus * hath made a rule for that of gems. Briefly, it consisteth of parts so far from an icy dissolution, that powerful menstruums are made for its emollition, whereby it may receive the tincture of minerals, and so resemble gems (as Boetius hath declared in the distillation of urine, spirits of wine, and turpentine); and is not only triturable, and reducible into powder by contrition, but will subsist in a violent fire, and endure a vitrification. Whereby are testified its earthy and fixed parts: for vitrification is the last work of fire. 6 and a fusion of the salt and earth, which are the fixed elements of the composition, wherein the fusible salt draws the earth and infusible part into one continuum; and, therefore, ashes will not run from whence the salt is drawn, as bone ashes prepared for the test of metals. Common fusion in metals is also made by a violent heat, acting upon the volatile and fixed, the dry and humid parts of those bodies; which, notwithstanding, are so united, that, upon attenuation from heat, the humid parts will not fly away, but draw the fixed ones into fluor with them. Ordinary liquation, in wax and oily bodies, is made by a gentler heat, where the oil and salt, the fixed and fluid principles, will not easily separate. All which, whether by vitrification,

* Paracelsus de præparationibus.

⁵ It containeth also a salt.] It is scarcely requisite to observe that this statement is not correct, and must have originated in some mistake in conducting chemical experiments on rock-crystal.—Br.

⁶ for extrification, &c.) Instead of the remainder of this paragraph (altered in the 2nd edition) Ed. 1646 reads thus:—"For vitrification is the last work of fire, and when that arriveth, humidity is exhaled, for powdered glass emits no fume or exhalation, although it be laid upon red-hot iron. And, therefore, when some commend the powder of burnt glass against the stone, they fall not under my comprehension, who cannot conceive how a body should be farther burned which hath alreads passed the extreamest test of fire."

fusion, or liquation, being forced into fluent consistencies, do naturally regress into their former solidities. Whereas, the melting of ice is a simple resolution, or return from solid

to fluid parts, wherein it naturally resteth.

As for colour, although crystal, in its pellucid body, seems to have none at all, yet in its reduction into powder, it hath a vail and shadow of blue; and in its coarser pieces is of a sadder hue than the powder of Venice glass; and this complexion it will maintain, although it long endure the fire. Which, notwithstanding, needs not move us unto wonder; for vitrified and pellucid bodies are of a clearer complexion in their continuities than in their powders and atomical divisions. So stibium, or glass of antimony, appears somewhat red in glass, but in its powder yellow; so painted glass of a sanguine red will not ascend in powder above a murrey.

As for the figure of crystal (which is very strange, and forced Pliny to despair of resolution), it is for the most part hexagonal, or six-cornered; being built upon a confused matter, from whence, as it were from a root, angular figures arise, even as in the amethyst and basaltes. Which regular figuration hath made some opinion, it hath not its determination from circumscription, or as conforming unto contiguities, but rather from a seminal root, and formative principle of its own, even as we observe in several other concre-

⁸ murrey.] Dark, purplish, red: used in this sense by Bacon and Boyle.

⁷ Venice glass.] A glass made at Venice, of a pebble called cuogolo, resembling white marble, found in the bed of the Tesino.

grantive principle of its own.] With respect to rock-crystal, and also gallstones and other substances, as he observes, this is perfectly true: their crystalline forms are not impressed upon them by the surrounding bodies, but are the result of "a formative principle,"—the peculiar molecular attraction which is inherent in each substance. But all the bodies he subsequently mentions in this paragraph, as far as the cornu unmount inclusive, although their forms also have undoubtedly arisen from formative principles of their own, do not owe their forms to crystalline attraction, but to organization; for they are all either parts of animals in a fossil state, or natural casts from them; which Browne, with the error common to his age, evidently supposes to be strictly mineral bodies, and not derived from animals; although, as is very remarkable, he actually, in his note to this passage, compares one of these fossils with a recent marine body belonging to the same natural group, the cchinida.—See ch. v. of this book, sec. 10. In this

tions. So the stones, which are sometimes found in the gall of a man, are most triangular and pyramidal, although the figure of that part seems not to co-operate thereto. So the asteria, or lapis stellaris hath on it the figure of a star; so lapis Judaicus hath circular lines in length all down its body, and equidistant, as though they had been turned by art. So that we call a fairy-stone,* and is often found in gravel pits amongst us, being of an hemispherical figure, hath five double lines arising from the centre of its basis, which, if no accretion distract them, do commonly concur, and meet in the pole thereof. The figures are regular in many other stones, as in belemnites, lapis anguinus, cornu ammonis, and many more; as by those which have not the experience hereof, may be observed in their figures expressed by mineralogists. But ice receiveth its figure according unto the surface wherein it concreteth, or the circumambiency which conformeth it. So it is plain upon the surface of water, but round in hail, which is also a glaciation, and figured in its guttulous descent from the air, and so growing greater or lesser according unto the accretion or pluvious aggelation about the mother and fundamental atoms thereof; which seems to be some feathery particles of snow, although snow itself be sexangular, or at least of a starry and many-pointed figure.

They are also differenced in the places of their generation; for, though crystal be found in cold countries, and where ice remaineth long, and the air exceedeth in cold, yet is it also found in regions where ice is seldom seen, or soon dissolved:

point, however, our author's distinction of crystal from ice is fallacious; for although the latter (as well as the former also occasionally) receives its figure from that of the bodies upon or among which it is formed; it, too, has a formative principle of its own, and occasionally crystallizes; its structure being always crystalline, even when its external form, as in general, is amorphous.—Br.

^{*} Which seemeth to be echinites decima Aldrovandi; Musæi Metallici, lib. 4. Rather echinometrites, as best resembling the echinometra found commonly on our sea shore.

[&]quot;And, therefore, Aristotle, in his Meteors, concludeth that hail which is not round is congealed nearer the earth, for that which falleth from on high is, by the length of its journey, corraded, and descendeth, therefore, in a lesser magnitude, but in a greater rotundity unto us."

as Pliny and Agricola relate of Cyprus, Caramania, and an island in the Red Sea. It hath been also found in the veins of minerals, sometimes agglutinated unto lead.2 sometimes in rocks, opacous stones, and the marble face of Octavius, duke of Parma.* It hath also constant veins: as, besides others, that of mount Salvino, about the territory of Bergamo, from whence, if part be taken, in no long tract of time, out of the same place, as from its mineral matrix, others are observed to arise. Which made the learned Cerautus to conclude, videant hi an sit glacies, an verò corpus fossile. is also found sometimes in common earth. But as for ice, it will not readily concrete but in the approachment of the air, as we have made trial in glasses of water, covered an inch with oil, which will not easily freeze in the hardest frosts of our climate. For water commonly concreteth first in its surface, and so conglaciates downward; and so will it do, although it be exposed in the coldest metal of lead, which well accordeth with that expression of Job, "the waters are hid as with a stone, and the face of the deep is frozen." † But whether water which hath been boiled or heated doth sooner receive this congelation, as commonly is delivered, we rest in the experiment of Cabeus,3 who hath rejected the same in his excellent discourse of meteors.

They have contrary qualities elemental, and uses medicinal; for ice is cold and moist, of the quality of water; but crystal is cold and dry, according to the condition of earth. The use of ice is condemned by most physicians, that of crystal commended by many. For, although Dioscorides and Galen have left no mention thereof, yet hath Matthiolus, Agricola, and many, commended it in dysenteries and fluxes; all, for the increase of milk, most chemists, for the stone, and some, as Brassavolus and Boëtius, as an antidote against poison. Which occult and specifical operations are not expectable from ice; for, being but water congealed, it can never make

^{*} Wherein the sculptor found a piece of pure crystal. + Chap. 38.

² sometimes agglutinated, &c.] This, and the two following sentences were added in the 3rd edition.

^{*} Cabeus.] Nicol. Cabeus, In libros Meteorologicorum Aristotelis Commentaria et Quæstiones, 4 tom. fol. Romæ, 1646. This passage was added in Ed. 1650.

good such qualities, nor will it reasonably admit of secret proprieties, which are the affections of forms and compositions at distance from their elements.

Having thus declared what crystal is not, it may afford some satisfaction to manifest what it is. To deliver, therefore, what, with the judgment of approved authors and best reason consisteth.—It is a mineral body, in the difference of stones, 4 and reduced by some unto that subdivision which comprehendeth gems; transparent, and resembling glass or ice, made of a lentous percolation of earth, drawn from the most pure and limpid juice thereof, owing unto the coldness of the earth some concurrence or coadjuvancy, but not immediate determination and efficiency, which are wrought by the hand of its concretive spirit, the seeds of petrification and Gorgon of itself. As sensible philosophers conceive of the generation of diamonds, iris, beryls; not making them of frozen icicles, or from mere aqueous and glaciable substances, condensing them by frosts into solidities, vainly to be expected even from polary congelations, but from thin and finest earths, so well contempered and resolved, that transparency is not hindered, and containing lapidifical spirits, able to make good their solidities against the opposition and activity of outward contraries; and so leave a sensible difference between the bonds of glaciation, which, in the mountains of ice about the northern seas, are easily dissolved by ordinary heat of the sun, and between the finer ligatures of petrification whereby not only the harder concretions of diamonds and saphires, but the softer veins of crystal remain indis-

[•] In the difference of stones.] That is, "in the class or division of stones."

⁵ a lentous percolation.] Dr. Johnson explains the word lentous (for which he cites no other authority than Browne) as meaning viscous and tenacious; but it is evidently used here to express "a gradual filtration or straining."

⁶ as sensible philosophers.] Instead of the remainder of this paragraph (so altered in 3rd edition), Ed. 1646 has the following passage:
—"as we may conceive in stones and gems; as diamonds, beryls, saphires and the like, whose generation we cannot with satisfaction confine unto the remote activity of the sun, or the common operation of coldness in the earth, but may more safely refer it unto a lapidificall siccity and congelitive principle, which determines prepared materials unto special concretions."

iris.] Perhaps he refers to opal, or irisated quartz.

solvable in scorching territories, and the negro land of Congo.

And, therefore, I fear⁸ we commonly consider subterraneities not in contemplations sufficiently respective unto the For, though Moses have left no mention of minerals, nor made any other description than suits unto the apparent and visible creation, yet is there, unquestionably, a very large class of creatures in the earth, far above the condition of elementarity. And, although not in a distinct and indisputable way of vivency, or answering in all points the properties or affections of plants, yet in inferior and descending constitutions they do, like these, contain specifical distinctions, and are determined by seminalities, that is, created and defined seeds committed unto the earth from the beginning. Wherein, although they attain not the indubitable requisites of animation, vet have they a near affinity thereto. And, though we want a proper name and expressive appellation, yet are they not to be closed up in the general name of concretions, or lightly passed over, as only elementary and subterraneous mixtions.

The principal and most gemmary affection is its tralucency: 9 as for irradiancy or sparkling, which is found in many gems, it is not discoverable in this, for it cometh short of their compactness and durity; and, therefore, requireth not the emery, as the saphire, granite, and topaz, but will receive impression from steel, in a manner like the turquoise. As for its diaphanity or perspicuity, it enjoyeth that most eminently; and the reason thereof is its continuity, as having its earthy and salinous parts so exactly resolved, that its body is left imporous, and not discreted by atomical terminations. For that continuity of parts is the cause of perspicuity, 1 is made perspicuous by two ways of

⁸ And, therefore, I fear.] This paragraph gives an excellent and very accurate view of the nature of the beings composing the mineral kingdom,—if by "seeds" we understand formative principles.—Br.

⁹ tralucency.] For "translucency." Johnson gives tralucent, citing Davies and B. Jonson—but not tralucency.

¹ continuity of parts is the cause of perspicuity.] The explanation of the cause of transparency in this paragraph is quite accurate, so far as it goes; but to make it satisfactory, it must be added, that continuity of parts is necessary to transparency, because, in that case, the refrac-

experiment. That is, either in effecting transparency in those bodies which were not so before, or at least far short of the additional degree: so snow becomes transparent upon liquation; so horns and bodies resolvable into continued parts or jelly; the like is observable in oiled paper, wherein, the interstitial divisions being continuated by the accession of oil, it becometh more transparent, and admits the visible rays with less umbrosity. Or else the same is effected by rendering those bodies opacous which were before pellucid and perspicuous: so glass, which was before diaphanous, being by powder reduced into multiplicity of superficies, becomes an opacous body, and will not transmit the light: so it is in crystal powdered, and so it is also before, for if it be made hot in a crucible, and presently projected upon water, it will grow dim, and abate its diaphanity: for the water, entering the body, begets a division of parts, and a termination of atoms united before unto continuity.2

The ground of this opinion³ might be, first, the conclusions of some men from experience; for as much as crystal is found sometimes in rocks, and in some places not much unlike the stirious or stillicidious dependencies of ice.⁴ Which,

tive effect upon the rays of light is uniform throughout the body, so that the rays (however those which do not fall upon the surface in a direction perpendicular to it may be diverted from their original course,) come unbroken to the eye; whereas, when the continuity is broken, as in the case of powdered glass, the interstices of which are filled with air, which has a different refractive power from the particles of glass, the rays are again and again broken, and turned from their course, so that they cannot reach the eye through the substance, so as to present images of the bodies on the other side.—Br.

² for if it be made hot, &c.] This statement also is generally true, but the cause of the opacity produced is not the entering of the water into the crystal, but its being filled with cracks arising from the sudden cooling, and these, whether filled with water or with air, having a different refractive power from the crystal itself, an effect takes place

corresponding to that explained above.

It may be observed, upon the whole, that there is much excellent reasoning and much real science in this chapter, but mingled, of course, with occasional fallacies, and with some now antiquated prejudices.

³ The ground of this opinion.] Namely, "that crystal is ice congealed beyond liquation."

the stirious or stillicidious, &c.] Stirious, like icicles: stillicidious, falling in drops.

notwithstanding, may happen, either in places which have been forsaken or left bare by the earth, or may be petrifications, or mineral indurations, like other gems, proceeding from percolations of the earth disposed unto such concretions.

The second and most common ground is from the name crystallus, whereby in Greek both ice and crystal are expressed; which many not duly considering, have, from their community of name, conceived a community of nature, and what was ascribed unto the one, not unfitly appliable unto the other. But this is a fallacy of equivocation, from a society in name inferring an identity in nature. By this fallacy was he deceived that drank aqua fortis for strong water: by this are they deluded who conceive spermaceti, which is found about the head, to be the spawn of the whale; or take sanguis draconis, which is the gum of a tree, to be the blood of a dragon. By the same logic we may infer the crystalline humour of the eye, or rather the crystalline heaven above, to be of the substance of crystal here below: or that God sendeth down crystal, because it is delivered in the vulgate translation, Ps. 47: mittit crystallum suum sicut buccellas. Which translation, although it literally express the septuagint, yet is there no more meant thereby than what our translation in plain English expresseth, that is, "he casteth forth his ice like morsels;" or what Tremellius and Junius as clearly deliver, dejicit gelu suum sicut frusta, coram frigore ejus quis consistet? which proper and Latin expressions, had they been observed in ancient translations, elder expositors had not been misguided by the synonymy: nor had they afforded occasion unto Austin, the Gloss, Lyranus, and many others, to have taken up the common conceit, and spoken of this text conformably unto the opinion rejected.

⁵ aqua fortis, &c.] An Englishman gave occasion to this error; who, translating that tract (of the French Ld. ****) of Salt and Fire, renders it so, out of a gross pernicious ignorance, which I wisht might be corrected.—Wr.

⁶ the Gloss.] Referring probably to the annotations of Walafridus Strabo, who flourished in the ninth century. They were called glossa ordinaria, and for many years were received as the only authorized interpretation of the Bible. The best edition of the Gloss of Strabo, as well of the Postilla, or expositions of Nicolas de Lyra, or Lyranus, was published in folio, at Antwerp, in 1684.

CHAPTER II.

Concerning the Loadstone: of things particularly spoken thereof, evidently or probably true.

And first, we conceive the earth to be a magnetical body. A magnetical body, we term, not only that which hath a power attractive, but that which, seated in a convenient medium, naturally disposeth itself to one invariable and fixed

⁷ And first, we conceive the earth, &c. The chapter which begins with this opinion, though containing many errors, is yet characterized by the sagacity and acuteness so often displayed by the author in treating of a complex and difficult subject of science, and also by those philosophic views in which he occasionally anticipated the most profound results attained in the modern investigations of the powers of nature. The remark now immediately before us partakes, in all respects, of the character of the chapter itself. That the earth is "a magnetical body," in the senses in which we apply that term to the magnet itself, and to the metals, iron, nickel, and some others, is a notion for which there is no foundation whatever; nor have we any reason for supposing that the "polary position" of the earth, or the direction of its axis in space, is produced by magnetism. And further, there is a deep error in philosophy in the fundamental notion of the author, that a magnetical body, as he defines it, naturally "disposeth itself" to one invariable and fixed situation; the fact being, as all the phenomena of magnetism conspire to evince, that magnetized bodies which apparently possess that property are in reality disposed to it, by the influence of a subtle agent permeating them, and the action of which is in some unknown manner connected with an arrangement in space, having a particular relation to the figure and position, and probably to some of the material constituents also of the earth. Supposing it to be true (which at present, however, we have no reason to suppose) that if the whole earth could be violently removed, it would "return unto its polary position again," that effect would not result from an inherent virtue in the planet itself, but from its being so constituted as to receive and obey the action of the vortical or other motions of the subtle ethereal fluid, in which (from the recent investigations of Encke and others) we now know it to be placed, and by which also we know it to be pervaded: this would cause it to return to its position, much in the same way as a ball held by strings in a particular position returns to that position after displacement, by their action upon it; or, which is a closer representation of the circumstances, as a magnetic needle, after disturbance, returns to its original direction, to the magnetic north and south, by the force of terrestrial magnetism acting upon it.—Br.

situation. And such a magnetical virtue we conceive to be in the globe of the earth, whereby, as unto its natural points and proper terms, it disposeth itself unto the poles; being so framed, constituted, and ordered unto these points, that those parts which are now at the poles, would not naturally abide unto the equator, nor Greenland remain in the place of Magellanica. And if the whole earth were violently removed, yet would it not forego its primitive points, nor pitch in the east or west, but return unto its polary position again. For, though by compactness or gravity it may acquire the lowest place, and become the centre of the universe,8 yet, that it makes good that point, not varying at all by the accession of bodies upon, or secession thereof from its surface, perturbing the equilibration of either hemisphere (whereby the altitude of the stars might vary), or that it strictly maintains the north and southern points, that neither upon the motions of the heavens, air, and winds without, large eruptions and divisions of parts within its polary parts, should never incline or veer unto the equator (whereby the latitude of places should also vary), it cannot so well be salved from gravity, as a magnetical verticity. This is, probably, that foundation the wisdom of the Creator hath laid unto the earth; in this sense we may more nearly apprehend, and sensibly make out the expressions of holy scripture, as, Firmavit orbem terræ qui non commovebitur, "he hath made the round world so sure, that it cannot be moved;"* as when it is said by Job, extendit aquilonem super vacuo, &c., "he stretcheth forth the north upon the empty place, and hangeth the earth upon nothing." † And this is the most probable answer unto that great question. "Whereupon are the foundations of the earth fastened, or who laid the corner-stone thereof?" Had they been acquainted with this principle, Anaxagoras, Socrates, and Democritus, had better made out the ground of this stability; Xenophanes had not been fain to say, the earth

* Psalm xciii.

+ Job xxxviii.

⁸ and become the centre of the universe.] It must be borne in mind that the author was not a convert to the Copernican system of astronomy. His opposite opinions on this science will be observed to pervade all his reasonings, and to tinge all his feelings.

hath no bottom; and Thales Milesius, to make it swim in water.9

Nor is the vigour of this great body included only in itself. or circumferenced by its surface, but diffused at indeterminate distances through the air, water, and all bodies circumjacent: exciting and impregnating magnetical bodies within its surface or without it, and performing, in a secret and invisible way, what we evidently behold effected by the loadstone. For these effluxions penetrate all bodies, and like the species of visible objects are ever ready in the medium, and lay hold on all bodies proportionate or capable of their action; those bodies likewise, being of a congenerous nature, do readily receive the impressions of their motor; and, if not fettered by their gravity, conform themselves to situations wherein they best unite unto their animator. And this will sufficiently appear from the observations that are to follow, which can no better way be made out, than by this we speak of, the magnetical vigour of the earth. 1 Now, whether these effluviums do fly by striated atoms and winding particles, as Renatus des Cartes conceiveth, or glide by streams attracted from either pole and hemisphere of the earth unto the equator, as Sir Kenelm Digby excellently declareth, it takes not away² this virtue of the earth; but more distinctly sets down the gests and progress thereof, and are conceits of emi-

² it takes not away.] Read, "they take not away, &c." viz. "Neither of these opinions takes away, &c."—Wr.

^{*} water.] The first edition continues thus:—"Now whether the earth stand still, or moveth circularly, we may concede this magnetical stability: for although it move, in that conversion the poles and center may still remain the same, as is conceived in the magnetical bodies of heaven, especially Jupiter and the sun*; which, according to Galileus, Kepler, and Fabricius, are observed to have dinetical motions and certain revolutions about their proper centres; and though the one in about the space of ten days, the other in less than one, accomplish this revolution, yet do they observe a constant habitude unto their poles, and firme themselves thereon in their gyration."

¹ the magnetical vigour of the earth.] Having stated, in the preceding note, in what sense we are not to regard the earth as a magnet, we may now admit that in the sense of a body permeated by the magnetic fluid (whatever that may be) the earth may be regarded as a great complex magnet, or rather as a collection of substances, many of which, under certain circumstances, are susceptible of the magnetic influence, and display accordingly magnetic phenomena.—Br.

nent use to salve magnetical phenomena. And, as in astronomy, those hypotheses (though never so strange) are best esteemed which best do salve appearances, so surely in philosophy those principles (though seeming monstrous) may with advantage be embraced, which best confirm experiment, and afford the readiest reason of observation. And truly the doctrine of effluxions,3 their penetrating natures, their invisible paths, and insuspected effects, are very considerable; for, besides this magnetical one of the earth, several effusions there may be from divers other bodies, which invisibly act their parts at any time, and, perhaps, through any medium; a part of philosophy but yet in discovery, and will, I fear, prove the last leaf to be turned over in the book of nature.

First, therefore, it is true, and confirmable by every experiment, that steel and good iron never excited by the loadstone, discover in themselves a verticity; 4 that is, a directive or polary faculty, whereby, conveniently placed, they do septentrionate * at one extreme, and australise + at another. This is manifestable in long and thin plates of steel perforated in the middle and equilibrated; or by an easier way in long wires equiponderate with untwisted silk

* Point to the north.

+ Point to the south.

3 And truly the doctrine of effluxions.] The remarks in the passage commencing with these words may be considered to have been made good by the discoveries of the present century, if we regard the notion of "effluxions" to result from an obscure perception of the existence and functions of those ethereal fluids, to the motions of which the united results of modern science lead us to attribute the phenomena of heat, light, electricity, magnetism, &c. It is requisite, however, to observe, that what Browne, as well as some of his predecessors and contemporaries, appears to have supposed to consist of subtle emanations from grosser bodies, must be regarded contrariwise, agreeably to the most profound researches of our time, as the principles from which all ordinary ponderable matter derives its activity-from which it takes all its force and energy.-Br.

4 steel and good iron, &c. This, in the sense in which the author intends us to understand it, is an error; unmagnetized iron or steel has no directive power; the experiments apparently alluded to must have been performed with steel plates, wires, and needles, which had, in reality, become magnetic, although they might not have been actually "excited by the loadstone." As an observation that the magnetic virtue is possessed by bodies which have not been so excited, it is quite

1 2

worthy of Browne. -Br.

and soft wax; for, in this manner pendulous, they will conform themselves meridionally, directing one extreme unto the north, another to the south. The same is also manifested in steel wires thrust through little spheres or globes of cork and floated on the water, or in naked needles gently let fall thereon; for, so disposed, they will not rest until they have found out the meridian, and as near as they can, lie parallel unto the axis of the earth; sometimes the eye, sometimes the point, northward in divers needles, but the same point always in most; conforming themselves unto the whole earth, in the same manner as they do unto every loadstone. For, if a needle untouched be hanged above a loadstone, it will convert into a parallel position thereto; for in this situation it can best receive its verticity, and be excited proportionably at both extremes. Now this direction proceeds, not primitively from themselves, but is derivative and contracted from the magnetical effluxions of the earth, which they have winded in their hammering and formation, or else, by long continuance in one position, as we shall declare hereafter.

It is likewise true what is delivered of irons heated in the fire, that they contract a verticity in their refrigeration;⁵

⁵ They contract a verticity, &c.] The statements here made, to the end of the period, are probably true, provided the cooling takes place in a direction corresponding, or nearly corresponding, to that of the dip; but the extent to which they are true, so far as modern experiments afford us the means of verifying them, may be best seen, perhaps, by comparing them with the following observations made by Mr. Barlow, and published in the Encyclopædia Metropolitana, Treatise on Magnetism, § v. 38, 39 :- For certain purposes of research, which it is unnecessary here to state, Mr. Barlow heated in a furnace a bar of soft iron and a bar of cast-iron, nearly of equal dimensions, placing them in an inclined position, in the direction of the dip of the needle, and ascertaining their attractive effect upon the horizontal or common magnetic needle previously to the application of heat. As soon as the bars arrived at a high blood-red heat, they began to exercise an increased power of attraction upon the needle, and in a minute or two this attained its maximum, which was far greater than the attractive power of the bars when cold; the deviation produced by one of them being in the latter case 24° 20', but in the former, 78° 30'. In the course of these experiments the following facts were observed, which bear directly upon the passage of our author now before us :- "It should be observed here, that the great attraction produced by the heat did not subside with it, provided the bar remained in its place undis-

for, heated red-hot and cooled in the meridian from north to south, they presently contract a polary power, and being poised in air or water, convert that part unto the north which respected that point in its refrigeration; so that if they had no sensible verticity before, it may be acquired by this way, or if they had any, it might be exchanged by contrary position in the cooling. For by the fire they amit not only many drossy and scorious parts, but whatsoever they had received either from the earth or loadstone; and so being naked and despoiled of all verticity, the magnetical atoms invade their bodies with more effect and agility.

turbed; for after some days it was found that the power of the bar continued just the same as at the time of making the experiment when it had not been displaced; but then the bar upon trial was always found to possess a certain degree of fixed magnetism, its other extremity producing an opposite effect upon the needle; but if the bar was inverted, while it retained any visible colour from the heat, both ends produced exactly the same deflection: as to the magnetic effect to which we have alluded above, it was lost, or at least a great part of it, after leaving the bar for some time horizontal, or, after its being thrown about with other pieces of iron."—Br.

• For by the fire they amit, &c. whatsoever they had received either from the earth or loadstone; and so, &c.] This statement is true in itself, but unless viewed in connexion with other facts it may produce an erroneous impression upon the mind. Mr. Barlow's experiments have also elucidated this subject; his results on which will be appropriately introduced by an historical notice of it, derived from the Treatise on Magnetism cited in the preceding note, from which the comparative amount and nature of our author's knowledge respecting it may be inferred, by contrasting it with his own remarks. "The effect of temperature, in changing and destroying the magnetic power of iron bodies had been long imperfectly known, but it had never been satisfactorily established prior to Mr. Barlow's experiments. It is, for example, stated in Newton's Optics, that red-hot iron has no magnetic property, while Father Kircher asserts, that the magnet will attract red-hot iron as well as cold; Mr. Cavallo again found, that although iron at a red heat had a greater power over the magnet than when cold. yet at the white heat it had less; but he was not aware that it was entirely lost at a white heat."—(Encyc. Metrop. Magnetism, § vi. 41.) The last-mentioned fact, viz. that the magnetic action of iron is destroyed by a white heat, was ascertained by Mr. Barlow in the experiments already noticed, and he observed, on the same occasion. an extraordinary phænomenon, the consideration of which will explain some of the apparently contradictory facts which are related by our author in this chapter. It is, that "after the iron loses its entire power of attraction at the white heat, it acquires, as that colour subsides into the bright red and red, an attractive power, the reverse of what it had

Neither is it only true what Gilbertus first observed, that irons refrigerated north and south acquire a directive faculty, but, if they be cooled upright and perpendicularly,7 they will also obtain the same: that part which is cooled towards the north, on this side of the equator, converting itself unto the north, and attracting the south point of the needle; the other and highest extreme respecting the south, and attracting the northern, according unto the laws magnetical: for (what must be observed) contrary poles or faces attract each other, as the north the south; and the like decline each other, as the north the north. Now on this side of the equator, that extreme which is next the earth is animated unto the north, and the contrary unto the south, so that in coition it applies itself quite oppositely, the coition or attraction being contrary to the verticity or direction. Contrary, if we speak according unto common use, yet alike, if we conceive the virtue of the north pole to diffuse itself, and open at the south, and the south at the north again.

This polarity from refrigeration, upon extremity, and in defect of a loadstone, might serve to invigorate and touch a needle any where; and this, allowing variation, is also the readiest way at any season to discover the north or south; and surely far more certain than what is affirmed of the

been when cold; so that if the bar and needle are so situated that the north end [of the needle] is attracted when the iron is cold, the south end will be attracted during the above interval," that is, while it is passing through the high temperatures indicated by the shades of colour just mentioned; after which the usual attractive power again takes place in the increased degree stated in the preceding note.—Br.

7 but if they be cooled upright, dc.] This statement is quite accurate; and, in fact, a nearly perpendicular position is more favourable to the reception of magnetism by the iron than the horizontal. The effect depends upon the suitable position of the iron for receiving and retaining a portion of the magnetism of the earth, and the position in which the greatest effect is exerted by the earth's magnetism is when the iron is placed in the position of the dipping needle; that is, inclined to the magnetic north at an angle (in these latitudes, and at the present time) of about 69% degrees with the horizon. The subject is resumed, and with equal correctness, towards the end of the next paragraph, which has evidently been written from experiment. Both are replete with just representations of the facts.—Br.

Now on this side, dc.] Itt is certainly knowne that beyond the line the needle keeps his posture to the north, as truly as att the first setting

out of England.-Wr.

grains and circles in trees, or the figure in the root of fern. For if we erect a red-hot wire until it cool, then hang it up with wax and untwisted silk, where the lower end and that which cooled next the earth doth rest, that is the northern point; and this we affirm will still be true, whether it be cooled in the air or extinguished in water, oil of vitriol, aqua fortis, or quicksilver. And this is also evidenced in culinary utensils, and irons that often feel the force of fire, as tongs, fire-shovels, prongs, and andirons; all of which acquire a magnetical and polary condition, and, being suspended, convert their lower extremes unto the north; with the same attracting the southern point of the needle. For easier experiment, if we place a needle touched at the foot of tongs or andirons, it will obvert or turn aside its lily or north point, and conform its cuspis or south extreme unto the andiron. The like verticity, though more obscurely, is also contracted by bricks and tiles, as we have made trial in some taken out of the backs of chinneys. Now, to contract this direction, there needs not a total ignition, nor is it necessary the irons should be red-hot all over. For if a wire be heated only at one end, according as that end is cooled upward or downward, it respectively acquires a verticity, as we have declared in wires totally candent. Nor is it absolutely requisite they should be cooled perpendicularly, or strictly lie in the meridian; for, whether they be refrigerated inclinatorily or somewhat equinoxially, that is, towards the eastern or western points, though in a lesser degree, they discover some verticity.

Nor is this only true in irons, but in the loadstone itself.

⁹ The like verticity, &c.] The cause of this is doubtless the magnetism acquired by the particles of oxide of iron disseminated throughout the clay of which bricks and tiles are made, and which, of course, remain distributed in the same sensibly uniform manner in the bricks and tiles themselves. Each particle itself acquiring, by being placed in a position not greatly deviating from that of the dipping needle, magnetical polarity of the same kind as the rest, the result is a general polarity of all, which, freely permeating the earthy matter, appears to be possessed by the brick or tile itself. Assuming the author's experiment to be correct (and this there is no reason to doubt), such must be the explanation of the facts. The subject will be resumed under another form, when commenting upon the passage "Of rocks magnetical," in the following chapter.—Br.

For if a loadstone be made red-hot, it loseth the magnetical vigour it had before in itself, and acquires another from the earth in its refrigeration; for that part which cooleth toward the earth will acquire the respect of the north, and attract the southern point or cuspis of the needle. The experiment hereof we made in a loadstone of a parallelogram or long square figure; wherein only inverting the extremes, as it came out of the fire, we altered the poles or faces thereof at pleasure.

It is also true what is delivered of the direction and coition of irons, that they contract a verticity by long and continued position; that is, not only being placed from north to south, and lying in the meridian, but respecting the zenith and perpendicular unto the centre of the earth; as is manifest in bars of windows, casements, hinges, and the like. For if we present the needle unto their lower extremes, it wheels about and turns its southern point unto them. The same condition in long time do bricks contract which are placed in walls, and, therefore, it may be a fallible way to find out the meridian by placing the needle on a wall; for some bricks therein, by a long and continued position, are often magnetically enabled to distract the polarity of the And, therefore, those irons which are said to have been converted into loadstones, whether they were real conversions or only attractive augmentations, might be much promoted by this position: as the iron cross of an hundred weight upon the church of St. John, in Ariminum, or that loadstoned iron of Cæsar Moderatus, set down by Aldrovandus.*1

Lastly, irons do manifest a verticity, not only upon refrigeration and constant situation, but (what is wonderful, and advanceth the magnetical hypothesis) they evidence the same by mere position, according as they are inverted, and their extremes disposed respectively unto the earth. For if an iron or steel, not firmly excited, be held perpendicularly or inclinatorily unto the needle, the lower end thereof will attract the cuspis or southern point; but if the same extreme be inverted and held under the needle, it will then

^{*} De Mineralibus.

And, therefore, those irons, &c.] Added in the 2nd edition.

attract the lilly or northern point; for by inversion it changeth its direction acquired before, and receiveth a new and southern polarity from the earth, as being the upper extreme.² Now, if an iron be touched before, it varieth not in this manner; for then it admits not this magnetical impression, as being already informed by the loadstone, and polarily determined by its preaction.

And from these grounds may we best determine why the northern pole of the loadstone attracted a greater weight than the southern on this side the equator; why the stone is best preserved in a natural and polary situation; and why, as Gibertus observeth, it respecteth that pole, out of the earth, which it regarded in its mineral bed and subterra-

neous position.

It is likewise true and wonderful, what is delivered of the inclination or declination of the loadstone; that is, the de-

² For if an iron or steel, dc. The magnetism of the earth appears to emanate from it in curves, originating far within the earth, or perhaps at its centre, their planes being magnetic meridians, but which, for small distances, are sensibly straight lines. The angles which they form with the horizon, or, what is practically the same, with the earth's surface, is the angle of the dip of the needle for each latitude respectively, being, as already stated, about 694 degrees for the British Islands at the present time. The dipping needle, therefore, is nothing but a magnetized body freely obeying the tendency imparted to it by these curves, and, in fact, exhibiting their direction at each particular spot. Now, if a bar of soft iron, or other body susceptible of magnetism, but perfectly free from it, be held in the direction of the dipping needle it acquires polarity, for the time, the lower end becoming a south pole, attracting the north end of a compass needle; and the upper a north pole, attracting the south end of the needle. If the bar be inverted, but its direction still preserved, the end which was before the north pole will become the south pole, and vice versa. If, however, it has already received permanent magnetism, these effects do not take place: agreeably to our author's statement.—Br.

sinclination or declination of the loadstone.] The phenomena described in this and the succeeding paragraph, are those of the dip of the magnetic needle, now usually observed by means of a needle placed in a circle divided into degrees, &c., in order to show the amount of the dip, or the angle formed with the horizon by the needle when allowed freely to obey the influence of terrestrial magnetism. The reader will be enabled to form correct ideas on this subject from the present brief remarks, if taken in conjunction with the three preceding notes, and also with the next, by the same annotator, in which the history of the

dipping needle is continued.—Br.

scent of the needle below the plane of the horizon. long needles, which stood before upon their axis parallel unto the horizon, being vigorously excited, incline and bend downward, depressing the north extreme below the horizon; that is, the north on this, the south on the other side of the equator; and at the very line or middle circle stand without deflexion. And this is evidenced, not only from observations of the needle in several parts of the earth, but sundry experiments in any part thereof, as in a long steel wire, equilibrated or evenly balanced in the air; for excited by a vigorous loadstone it will somewhat depress its animated extreme, and intersect the horizontal circumference. It is also manifest in a needle pierced through a globe of cork so cut away and pared by degrees, that it will swim under water, yet sink not unto the bottom, which may be well effected; for if the cork be a thought too light to sink under the surface, the body of the water may be attenuated with spirits of wine; if too heavy, it may be incrassated with salt; and if by chance too much be added, it may again be thinned by a proportionable addition of fresh water. If, then, the needle be taken out, actively touched, and put in again, it will depress and bow down its northern head toward the bottom. and advance its southern extremity towards the brim. way, invented by Gilbertus, may seem of difficulty; the same, with less labour, may be observed in a needled sphere of cork equally contiguous unto the surface of the water; for if the needle be not exactly equiponderant, that end which is a thought too light, if touched, becometh even; that needle, also, which will but just swim under water, if forcibly touched, will sink deeper, and sometimes unto the bottom. If, likewise, that inclinatory virtue be destroyed by a touch from the contrary pole, that end which before was elevated will then decline; and this might perhaps be observed in some scales exactly balanced, and in such needles which, for their bulk, can hardly be supported by the water. For, if they be powerfully excited, and equally let fall, they commonly sink down and break the water at that extreme whereat they were septentrionally excited; and by this way it is conceived there may be some fraud in the weighing of precious commodities, and such as carry a value in quarter-grains, by placing a powerful loadstone above or

below, according as we intend to depress or elevate one extreme.

Now if these magnetical emissions be only qualities, and the gravity of bodies incline them only unto the earth, surely that which alone moveth other bodies to descent, carrieth not the stroke in this, but rather the magnetical alliciency of the earth; unto which with alacrity it applieth itself, and in the very same way unto the whole earth, as it doth unto a single loadstone. For if an untouched needle be at a distance suspended over a loadstone, it will not hang parallel, but decline at the north extreme, and at that part will first salute its director. Again, what is also wonderful, this inclination is not invariable; for just under the line the needle lieth parallel with the horizon, but sailing north or south it

⁴ just under the line, &c.] This statement, in the terms in which it is made, is incorrect; for it is found by experiment, that while under the line or equator of the earth, in some places, the dip is still considerable, in some places south of the equator it continues to be north, and conversely in some places north of the equator it is south. But if we substitute magnetic equator for "the line" or true equator, and the magnetic poles for the poles of the earth, it becomes accurate. According to Mr. Barlow (Phil. Trans. 1831, p. 105), the results obtained by Sir E. Parry, and by the late Captain Foster, for the situation of the magnetic poles, give a magnetic equator, which cuts the true equator in about 14° east, and 166° west longitude.

The following principles of the geometrical construction of what is called the magnetic sphere will enable the reader accurately to estimate the degree of truth which exists in our author's remarks on terrestrial magnetism: they are derived from Mr. Barlow's "Treatise" already referred to, § xxii. 193. "The two centres which give direction to magnetised needles at the earth's surface, are situated in two points indefinitely near to each other in the centre of the terrestrial sphere. If we conceive the indefinitely short lines which unite these centres to be produced both ways to the surface, the diameter thus formed is called the terrestrial magnetic axis. The circle cutting this at right angles is the terrestrial magnetic equator; and the extremities of this axis, or diameter, are the poles of this equator, or the terrestrial magnetic poles.

"If the magnetic poles coincided with the poles of the earth, the magnetic equator would, in like manner, fall upon the terrestrial equator; and the magnetic and geographic meridians would also coincide, and the needle, in all places, would point duly north and south: moreover, a needle upon the equator would, in that case, be equally distant, and under equal influence from both poles, or rather from both centres, and would, therefore, have no dip or inclination.

"We know, however, that the needle does not everywhere point duly

beginneth to incline, and increaseth according as it approacheth unto either pole and would at last endeavour to erect itself.⁵ And this is no more than what it doth upon the

north and south, and that the circle on the globe, in which the needle has no inclination, is not coincident with the terrestrial equator."—Br.

⁵ would at last endeavour to erect itself.] The phænomena thus predicted by the author from his (theoretically) correct reasoning, is actually found to occur.

Mr. Barlow gives a table containing the computed situation of the magnetic north pole of the earth, derived from twenty-three sets of observations on the dip, in different places, the results of those observations themselves being also given. From this it appears that Captain Parry found the dip, at Melville Island (N. Lat. 74° 47', W. Long. 110° 48'), to be 88° 43', deviating only 1° 17' from the vertical position or 90°. Sir John Franklin observed a still closer approximation; finding the dip, in North America (N. Lat. 68° 18', W. Long. 109° 25') to be 89° 31', or within less than half a degree of 90°. But the positions of the north magnetic pole computed from these observations differ: Capt. Parry's indicating it to be situated in N. Lat. 73° 12', W. Long. 102° 46', and Capt. Franklin's in N. Lat. 68° 50', and W. Long. 107° 33'; while Mr. Barlow has more recently computed (Phil. Trans. 1831, p. 105) from the mean results of the observations of Capt. Parry and the late Capt. Foster, that the position of the magnetic axis is in N. and S. Lat. 72° and corresponding to W. Long. 76°. But further observations have been made in the last voyage of Capt. Ross, an account of which, by Commander J. C. Ross, was read before the Royal Society on the 19th December last, of which the following is an abstract, as given in the Proceedings of the Royal Society. "The author remarks that the discordances in former observations, made with a view to determine the position of the magnetic pole, have arisen partly from the irregularity of distribution in the earth of the substances which exert magnetic power, and partly from the great distances from the magnetic poles, at which these observations have been made: The latter cause of uncertainty has been now, in a great measure, removed, by the numerous and accurate observations made during the late arctic expeditions. The object of the present paper is to put on record those which were made in the last voyage of Capt. Ross, in which a spot was reached corresponding to the true north magnetic pole on the surface of the earth. The nature of the instruments, and the difficulties encountered in their practical employment, under the circumstances of the expedition, are fully stated. Having arrived, on the 1st of June, at N. Lat. 70° 5′ 17", and W. Long. 96° 45′ 48", the horizontal magnetic needle exhibited no determinate directive tendency, and the dipping needle was within a minute of the vertical position, a quantity which may be supposed to come within the limits of the errors of observation; hence the author concludes that this spot may be considered as the true magnetic pole, or as a very near approximation to it, as far, at least, as could be ascertained with the limited means of determination of which he was then in possession." The following extract from Mr. Barlow's treatise,

loadstone, and that more plainly upon the terrella or spherical magnet cosmographically set out with circles of the globe. For at the equator thereof, the needle will stand rectangularly; but approaching northward toward the tropic it will regard the stone obliquely, and when it attaineth the pole, directly; and if its bulk be no impediment, erect itself and stand perpendicularly thereon. And therefore, upon strict observation of this inclination in several latitudes and due records preserved, instruments are made, whereby, without the help of sun or star, the latitude of the place may be discovered. And yet it appears the observations of men have not as yet been so just and equal as is desirable, for, of those tables of declination which I have perused, there are not any two that punctually agree; though some have been thought exactly calculated, especially that which Ridley received from Mr. Briggs, in our time geometry professor in

It is also probable, what is delivered concerning the variation of the compass, that is, the cause and ground thereof;

already referred to, will explain the apparent anomalies just described, and thus complete that general view of the subject which will enable the reader fully to appreciate our author's views and statements respecting it.

"On these results it may be observed that, although in determinations relative to the dip and variation of the needle, we cannot expect the utmost accuracy, yet it is very obvious from the preceding table, that the aberrations in the latitude and longitude of the magnetic pole are much greater than can be attributed to errors of observation. It will be seen that the place assigned to it differs in longitude as much as 57° between one set of observations and another, and as much as 14° in latitude. It will also be observed, that the more we approach the north and west, the more westerly we find the place of the pole; and the more easterly the place of observation, the greater is its latitude. short, it is evident, from the few examples we have taken, that every place has its particular polarizing axis, which, probably in all cases, falls within the arctic circle; but that this is the narrowest limit we are able to assign; that is, the local attraction or particular influence which the compass in every place is under, besides that of the general directive power of the globe, is such, as to displace the needle so much from its natural direction, as to give a different pole to almost every different set of observations; so that instead of the magnetism of the earth possessing that degree of uniformity which appertains to a perfectly formed iron ball, it may rather be said to resemble that species of action which we might expect to find in an irregularly formed mass of iron, approximating in its general character to that of a globe, but not perfectly such."—Br.

for the manner, as being confirmed by observation, we shall not at all dispute. The variation of the compass is an arch of the horizon intercepted between the true and magnetical meridian; or more plainly, a deflexion and siding east and west from the true meridian. The true meridian is a major circle passing through the poles of the world, and the zenith or vertex of any place, exactly dividing the east from the west. Now on this line the needle exactly lieth not; but diverts and varieth its point, that is the north point on this side the equator, the south on the other; sometimes unto the east, sometimes towards the west, and in some few places varieth not at all. First, therefore, it is observed that, betwixt the shores of Ireland, France, Spain, Guinea, and the Azores, the north point varieth towards the east, and that in some variety: at London it varieth eleven degrees, at Antwerp nine, at Rome but five: at some part of the Azores it deflecteth not, but lieth in the true meridian: on the other side of the Azores, and this side of the equator, the north point of the needle wheeleth to the west; so that in the latitude of thirty-six, near the shore, the variation is about eleven degrees; but on the other side the equator, it is quite otherwise; for about Capo Frio in Brazil, the south point varieth twelve degrees unto the west, and about the mouth of the Straits of Magellan five or six; but, elongating from the coast of Brazil toward the shore of Africa, it varieth eastward, and arriving at Capo de las Agullas, it resteth in the meridian, and looketh neither way.

Now the cause of this variation was thought by Gilbertus to be the inequality of the earth, variously disposed, and differently intermixed with the sea: withal the different disposure of its magnetical vigor in the eminences and stronger parts thereof. For the needle naturally endeavours to conform unto the meridian; but, being distracted, driveth that way where the greater and powerfuller part of the earth is placed. Which may be illustrated from what hath been delivered before, and may be conceived by any, that understands the generalities of geography. For whereas on this side the meridian, or the isles of Azores, where the first meridian is placed, the needle varieth eastward; it may be occasioned by that vast tract of earth, that is, of Europe, Asia, and Africa, seated towards the east, and

disposing the needle that way. For arriving at some part of the Azores, or islands of Saint Michael, which have a middle situation between these continents, and that vast and almost answerable tract of America, it seemeth equally distracted by both; and diverting unto neither, doth parallel and place itself upon the true meridian. But, sailing farther, it veers its lily to the west, and regardeth that quarter wherein the land is nearer or greater, and in the same latitude, as it approacheth the shore, augmenteth its variation. And therefore as some observe, if Columbus, or whoever first discovered America, had apprehended the cause of this variation, having passed more than half the way, he might have been confirmed in the discovery, and assuredly foretold there lay a vast and mighty continent toward the west. The reason I confess and inference is good, but the instance perhaps not so. For Columbus knew not the variation of the compass, whereof Sebastian Cabot first took notice, who after made discovery of the northern part of that continent. And it happened, indeed. that part of America was first discovered, which was on this side farthest distant, that is, Jamaica, Cuba, and the isles in the bay of Mexico. And from this variation do some new discoverers deduce a probability in the attempts of the northern passage toward the Indies.

Now, because, where the greater continents are joined. the action and effluence is also greater, therefore those needles do suffer the greatest variation which are in countries which most do feel that action. And therefore, hath Rome far less variation than London, for on the west side of Rome are seated the great continents of France, Spain, Germany, which take off the exuberance, and in some way balance the vigor of the eastern parts. But unto England there is almost no earth west, but the whole extent of Europe and Asia lieth eastward: and therefore at London it varieth eleven degrees, that is, almost one rhumb. Thus, also, by reason of the great continent of Brazil, Peru, and Chili, the needle deflecteth toward the land twelve degrees; but at the Straits of Magellan, where the land is narrowed, and the sea on the other side, it varieth but five or six. And so likewise. because the Cape de las Agullas hath sea on both sides near it, and other land remote, and, as it were, equidistant from it, therefore at that point the needle conforms unto the true meridian, and is not distracted by the vicinity of adjacencies. This is the general and great cause of variation. But, if in certain creeks and vallies the needle prove irregular, and vary beyond expectation, it may be imputed unto some vigorous part of the earth, or magnetical eminence not far distant. And this was the invention of D. Gilbert, not many years past, a physician in London. And therefore, although some assume the invention of its direction, and other have had the glory of the card, yet in the experiments, grounds, and causes thereof, England produced the father philosopher, and discovered more in it, than Columbus or Americus did ever by it.

Unto this, in great part true, the reason of Kircherus may be added: that this variation proceedeth, not only from terrestrious eminences and magnetical veins of the earth, laterally respecting the needle, but the different coagmentation of the earth disposed unto the poles, lying under the sea and waters, which affect the needle with great or lesser variation, according to the vigour or imbecility of these subterraneous lines, or the entire or broken compagination of the magnetical fabric under it. As is observable from several loadstones placed at the bottom of any water; for a loadstone or needle upon the surface will variously conform itself, according to the vigour or faintness of the loadstones under it.

Thus also a reason may be alleged for the variation of the variation, and why, according to observation, the variation of the needle hath after some years been found to vary in some places. For this may proceed from mutations of the earth, by subterraneous fires, fumes, mineral spirits, or otherwise; which altering the constitution of the magnetical parts, in process of time, doth vary the variation over the place.

⁶ Gilbert, &c.] Herschel (in his Preliminary Discourse) says, "Our countryman, Dr. Gilbert, of Colchester, in 1500, published a treatise on magnetism, full of valuable facts and experiments, ingeniously reasoned on; and he likewise extended his enquiries to a variety of other subjects, in particular to electricity."

The title of this work, which is now very scarce, is Gulielmi Gilberti Colcestrensis, Medici Londinensis, De Magnete, Magneticisque corporibus, et de magno magnete tellure; physiologia nova, plurimis et argumentis et experimentis demonstrata: fol. pp. 240, Londini, 1500.

7 Unto this, &c.] These two paragraphs were added in the 2nd edition.

It is also probable, what is conceived of its antiquity, that the knowledge of its polary power and direction unto the north was unknown unto the ancients, and (though Levinus Lemnius, and Cælius Calcagninus, are of another belief), is justly placed with new inventions by Pancirollus. For their Achilles and strongest argument is an expression in Plautus, a very ancient author and contemporary unto Ennius. ventus jam secundus est, cape modo versoriam. Now this versoriam they construe to be the compass, which, notwithstanding, according unto Pineda, who hath discussed the point, Turnebus, 8 Cabeus, and divers others, is better interpreted the rope that helps to turn the ship, or, as we say, doth make it tack about; the compass declaring rather the ship is turned, than conferring unto its conversion. the long expeditions and sundry voyages of elder times which might confirm the antiquity of this invention, it is not improbable⁹ they were performed by the help of stars; and so might the Phænician navigators, and also Ulysses, sail about the Mediterranean, by the flight of birds, or keeping near the shore; and so might Hanno coast about Africa, or, by the help of oars, as is expressed in the voyage of Jonah. And, whereas, it is contended that this verticity was not unknown unto Solomon, in whom is presumed an universality of knowledge, it will as forcibly follow, he knew the art of typography, powder, and guns, or had the philosopher's stone, yet sent unto Ophir for gold. It is not to be denied, that, besides his political wisdom, his knowledge in philosophy was very large; and perhaps from his works therein, the ancient philosophers, especially Aristotle, who had the assistance of Alexander's acquirements, collected great observables. Yet, if he knew the use of the compass, his ships were surely very slow, that made a three years' voyage from Eziongeber in the Red Sea unto Ophir, which is supposed to be Taprobana or Malacca in the Indies, not many months' sail; and since, in the same or lesser time. Drake and Cavendish performed their voyage about the earth.

⁸ Turnebus.] Otherwise Turnbull, whose father was a Scotchman.

^{*}improbable.] Ross reads probable, and so indulges in a long discourse to refute the position.

¹ a three years' voyage, &c.] That the voyage from Eziongeber to Vol. 1.

And, as the knowledge of its verticity is not so old as some conceive, so is it more ancient than most believe, nor had its discovery with guns, printing, or as many think, some years before the discovery of America; for it was not unknown unto Petrus Peregrinus, a Frenchman, who, two hundred years since,² left a tract of the magnet, and a per-

Ophir occupied three years is by no means to be inferred from the expressions used by the sacred historian: see 1 Kings x. 22; 2 Chron. ix. 21.

If, in his identification of the ancient Taprobane with Malacca, Sir Thomas may be supposed to have included the adjacent islands of Sumatra, Borneos, and Java, which is extremely probable, his opinion is supported by the high authority of Sir T. Stamford Raffles; though other modern geographers have considered it to be Ceylon.

The true situation of *Ophir*, however, has been the subject of very many conflicting hypotheses. One of the most recent, and perhaps most probable, is that of Mr. C. T. Beke, who supposes it to have been situated at the northern extremity of the Persian gulph. See his *Origines Bib*-

lica, vol. i. p. 114.

2 two hundred years since.] The knowledge of the directive power or polarity of the magnet, is now known to be of a date considerably earlier than this. Sir John F. W. Herschel, in his Preliminary Discourse on the Study of Natural Philosophy, p. 326, thus concisely states the present amount of our information on the subject: "It does not appear that the ancients had any knowledge of this property of the magnet, though its attraction of iron was well known to them. The first mention of it in modern times cannot be traced earlier than 1180, though it was probably known to the Chinese before that time." The following passage from the late Professor Sir John Leslie's Dissertation on the Progress of Mathematical and Physical Science, prefixed to the seventh edition of the Encyclopædia Britannica, gives a more circumstantial view of the history of the compass, which is further interesting, when contrasted with the previous passage of the text, as showing that the notions respecting the antiquity of the knowledge of magnetic polarity, which are therein contemned by Browne, have been revived and supported by high modern authority. "The magnetic compass, with the art of distillation, which was never practised by the ancient Greeks or Romans. seems to have been discovered in Upper Asia, and thence communicated by their Tartarian conquerors, to the Chinese. From them again, the knowledge of the invention spread gradually over the East. The Crusaders, during the occupation of their bloody conquests in those regions, had leisure to admire the arts acquired by their more civilized rivals. Having their curiosity thus awakened, they appear, about the latter part of the twelfth century, to have imported into Europe the compass. along with the substance which, mistaking it for natron, they called saltpetre, and of which they had learned the deflagrating property. That invaluable instrument was at first very rudely formed, consisting merely of a piece of the native mineral fixed to a broad cork, and set to float petual motion to be made thereby, preserved by Gasserus. Paulus Venetus, and, about five hundred years past, Albertus Magnus, make mention hereof, and quote for it a book of Aristotle, *De Lapide*; which book, although we find in the catalogue of Laertius, yet, with Cabeus, we may rather judge it to be the work of some Arabic writer, not many years before the days of Albertus.

Lastly, it is likewise true, what some have delivered of crocus Martis, that is, steel corroded with vinegar, sulphur, or otherwise, and after reverberated by fire. For the loadstone will not at all attract it, nor will it adhere, but lie therein like sand. This is to be undertood of crocus Martis well reverberated, and into a violet colour; for common chalybs præparatus, or corroded and powdered steel, the loadstone attracts, like ordinary filings of iron, and many times most of that which passeth for crocus Martis. So that this way may serve as a test of its preparation, after which, it becometh a very good medicine in fluxes. The like may be affirmed of flakes of iron that are rusty and begin to tend unto earth; for their cognation then expireth,

in a dish of water. An artist, of the opulent town of Amalphi, the great emporium of the East, and seated on the shore of Calabria, in the direct route of the Crusaders, improved the construction, and marked the north point by a fleur-de-lis, the armorial bearing of the kingdom of Naples. From its directive property, it was now called, in English, the

loadstone, or leadingstone.—Br.

and the loadstone will not regard them.

3 but lie therein like sand. Some explanatory remarks are requisite in this place. The crocus martis described by the author, is the peroxide of iron of modern chemists, that is, iron combined with the greatest proportion of oxygen with which it is capable of uniting, in which state of combination the metal ceases to obey the magnetic influence. But the "common chalybs praparatus," which he afterwards mentions, consists merely of steel, in which the metal retains, in great measure, its metallic form, but is mixed and disguised with variable proportions of its oxides, and chiefly of the black oxide, and this, containing less oxygen than the peroxide, is like the unoxidated metal attracted by the magnet; which explains why this preparation is attracted by "the loadstone." "like ordinary filings of iron." While the "flakes of iron that are rusty," &c. adverted to at the conclusion of the paragraph, are only in the state of black oxide, they also obey the magnet; but when they have acquired their full dose of oxygen, and thus become peroxide. "their cognation then expireth, and the loadstone will not regard them."—Br.

And therefore, this may serve as a trial of good steel,⁴ the loadstone taking up a greater mass of that which is most pure. It may also decide the conversion of wood into iron, as is pretended, from some waters; and the common conversion of iron into copper, by the mediation of blue copperas; for the loadstone will not attract it. Although it may be questioned, whether, in this operation, the iron or copperas be transmuted,⁵ as may be doubted from the cognation of copperas with copper, and the quantity of iron remaining after the conversion. And the same may be useful

⁴ as a trial of good steel.] This statement is no further true than that the magnet, if caused to act upon filings of iron or steel in which the metal fully retained its metallic form, free from oxidation, and also upon similar filings which had become partially oxidated, would attract a greater quantity of the former than of the latter. As a trial of the purity or goodness of iron or steel in the mass, the proposed test is

quite nugatory.—Br.

5 whether in this operation the iron or copperas be transmuted.] This alleged conversion of iron into copper is an experiment of the alchymists and of the old chemists their successors; the true nature of which has been explained by modern chemists, and appears, from the passage before us, to have been suspected also by Browne. The metallic salt, here termed "blue copperas" (or blue vitriol, as it is also called), is properly a hydrated persulphate of copper,—a combination of the peroxide of that metal with the sulphuric acid and with water. But iron, having a stronger chemical attraction for oxygen than copper has, when immersed in a solution of this salt, attracts and unites with the oxygen of a part of the peroxide of copper, thus separating an equivalent quantity of the copper itself, which being precipitated, in its pure metallic state. upon the iron, imparts to it externally the appearance of copper, just as gilding would impart that of gold. It was formerly imagined, however (and the experiment was cited as demonstrating the transmutability of metals into one another), that part of the iron was actually converted into copper. But our author, knowing the "cognation of [blue] copperas with copper," and considering "the quantity of iron remaining after the conversion," justly questions whether the iron or the "copperas" "be transmuted." It is evident from this, that he entertained as correct a notion upon the subject as it was possible to arrive at in the existing state of chemical knowledge; for, although in reality a particle of iron becomes dissolved in the solution for every particle of copper which is precipitated from it, yet, in the manner in which the experiment is commonly made, and as it was always made formerly, the iron is not sensibly diminished in substance, and continues unaltered in form, so that the obvious essential change takes place with the metallic salt only. The last sentence of the first period alluding to this subject would be more readily intelligible, were it read "for the loadstone will not attract the copperus."—Br.

to some discovery concerning vitriol or copperas of Mars, by some called salt of steel, made by the spirits of vitriol or sulphur. For the corroded powder of steel will, after ablution, be actively attracted by the loadstone, and also remaineth in little diminished quantity; and therefore, whether those shooting salts partake but little of steel, and be not rather the vitriolous spirits fixed into salt by the effluvium or odour of steel, is not without good question.

CHAPTER III.

Concerning the Loadstone; a rejection of sundry common opinions and relations thereof; natural, medical, historical, magical.

And first, not only a simple heterodox, but a very hard paradox, it will seem, and of great absurdity unto obstinate ears, if we say, attraction is unjustly appropriated unto the loadstone, and that perhaps we speak not properly, when we say vulgarly and appropriately, the loadstone draweth iron; and yet herein we should not want experiment and great authority. The words of Renatus des Cartes, in his Principles of Philosophy, are very plain. Præterea magnes trahit ferrum, sive potiùs magnes et ferrum ad invicem acce-

⁶ some discovery concerning vitriol or copperas of Mars.] The salt here alluded to, commonly termed green vitriol, is the hydrated protosulphate of iron,—a combination of the protoxide of iron with the sulphuric acid and with water, bearing nearly the same relation to metallic iron which blue vitriol bears to metallic copper. The manner in which Browne adverts to these substances, evinces that he entertained approximately correct ideas respecting the nature of the several salts termed copperas. But when he supposes that "those shooting salts" (meaning thereby the hydrated protosulphate of iron), "partake but little" of the metal from which they are formed, he is entirely mistaken. He appears to have been led into this error by the application of his own proposed magnetic test: finding that the "corroded powder of steel," the nature of which is explained in our preceding note, was readily attracted by the magnet, but that the "copperas of Mars" was not, he seems to have inferred that that salt could not be materially related to the metal from which it is formed; not knowing that those substances which obey the magnet in their metallic state, and in some instances in their oxidated form also, cease to be amenable to its influence when united with acids into salts.—Br. And therefore, &c.\ Added in 2nd edition.

dunt, neque enim ulla ibi tractio est. The same is solemnly determined by Cabeus. Nec magnes trahit propriè ferrum, nec ferrum ad se magnetem provocat; sed ambo pari conatu ad invicem confluent. Concordant hereto is the assertion of Dr. Ridley, physician to the emperor of Russia, in his tract Of Magnetical Bodies, defining magnetical attraction to be a natural incitation and disposition conforming unto contiguity, an union of one magnetical body with another, and no violent haling of the weak unto the stronger. is also the doctrine of Gilbertus, by whom this motion is termed coition, and that not made by any faculty attractive of one, but a syndrome and concourse of each, a coition always of their vigours, and also of their bodies, if bulk or impediment prevent not. And therefore, those contrary actions, which flow from opposite poles or faces, are not so properly expulsion and attraction, as sequela and fuga, a mutual flight and following. Consonant whereto are also the determinations of Helmontius, Kircherus, and Licetus.2

The same is also confirmed by experiment; for if a piece of iron be fastened in the side of a bowl or basin of water. a loadstone, swimming freely in a boat of cork, will presently make unto it. So if a steel or knife untouched be offered toward the needle that is touched, the needle nimbly moveth toward it, and conformeth unto a union with the steel that moveth not. Again, if a loadstone be finely filed, the atoms or dust thereof will adhere unto iron that was never touched, even as the powder of iron doth also unto the loadstone. And, lastly, if in two skiffs of cork, a loadstone and steel be placed within the orb of their activities, the one doth not move, the other standing still, but both hoist sail and steer unto each other. So that if the loadstone attract, the steel hath also its attraction; for in this action the alliciency is reciprocal, which jointly felt, they mutually approach and run into each other's arms.

concourse of each.] Ross, on the ground that "no end can be assigned why the loadstone should move towards the iron," denies that they move towards each other; thinking it more reasonable to suppose that iron and other metals move towards the loadstone as to their matrix.

⁻Arcana, p 191.

² Consonant, &c.] Added in the 2nd edition.

And therefore, surely, more moderate expressions become this action, than what the ancients have used; which some have delivered in the most violent terms of their language; so Austin calls it, mirabilem ferri raptorem: Hippocrates, λίθος ὅ τι τὸν σίδηρον ἀρπάζει, lapis qui ferrum rapit. Galen, disputing against Epicurus, useth the term ελκειν, but this also is too violent; among the ancients, Aristotle spake most warily, λίθος ὅστις τὸν σίδηρον κινεῖ, lapis qui ferrum movet: and in some tolerable exception do run the expressions of Aquinas, Scaliger, and Cusanus.

Many relations are made, and great expectations are raised from the magnes carneus, or a loadstone that hath a faculty to attract not only iron, but flesh; but this, upon enquiry, and as Cabeus hath also observed, is nothing else but a weak and inanimate kind of loadstone, veined here and there with a few magnetical and ferreous lines, but chiefly consisting of a bolary and clammy substance, whereby it adheres like hæmatites or terra Lemnia, unto the lips. And this is that stone which is to be understood, when physicians join it with ætites, or the eagle-stone, and promise therein a virtue against abortion.

There is sometimes a mistake concerning the variation of the compass, and therein one point is taken for another. For beyond the equator some men account its variation by the diversion of the northern point; whereas beyond that circle, the southern point³ is sovereign, and the north submits his pre-eminency. For in the southern coast, either of America or Africa, the southern point deflects and varieth toward the land, as being disposed and spirited that way by the meridional and proper hemisphere. And, therefore, on that side of the earth, the varying point is best accounted by the south. And therefore, also, the writings of some, and maps of others, are to be enquired, that make the needle decline unto the east twelve degrees at Capo Frio, and six at the straits of Magellan; accounting hereby one point for

³ beyond that circle, &c.] The author was here much mistaken: the southern pointe having noe soveranty at all—noe not in the southern clymats, as our navigators unanimously affirme.—Wr.

The dean's contradiction must be flatly thrown back upon him. The fact is found to bear out our author's assertion, which is correct both as to substance and literality.

another, and preferring the north in the liberties and province of the south.⁴

But certainly false it is, what is commonly affirmed and believed, that garlick doth hinder the attraction of the load-stone; which is, notwithstanding, delivered by grave and worthy writers, by Pliny, Solinus, Ptolemy, Plutarch, Albertus, Matthiolus, Rueus, Langius, and many more. An effect as strange as that of Homer's Moly, and the garlick that Mercury bestowed upon Ulysses. But that it is evidently false, many experiments declare. For an iron wire heated red hot and quenched in the juice of garlick, doth, notwithstanding, contract a verticity from the earth, and attracteth the southern point of the needle. If, also, the tooth of a loadstone be covered or stuck in garlick, it will, notwithstanding, attract; and needles, excited and fixed in garlick, until they begin to rust, do yet retain their attractive and polary respects.

Of the same stamp is that which is obtruded upon us by authors ancient and modern, that an adamant or diamond prevents or suspends the attraction of the loadstone; as is in open terms delivered by Pliny: Adamas dissidet cum magnete lapide, ut juxtà positus ferrum non patiatur abstrahi, aut si admotus magnes apprehenderit, rapiat atque auferit. For if a diamond be placed between a needle and a loadstone, there will, nevertheless, ensue a coition even over the body of the diamond. And an easy matter it is to touch or excite a needle through a diamond, by placing it at the tooth of a loadstone: and, therefore, the relation is false; or our estimation of these gems untrue, on or are they diamonds which carry that name amongst us.

⁴ and preferring, &c.] Itt is certaine that the needle holds the same posture to the northe, and moves to iron on the south side the line, in the self-same manner as itt did being toucht in England, and that the south pointe of the needle does [there] fly from iron as itt does here.—Wr.

⁵ garlick doth hinder, &c.] Nothing can afford a more perfect example of implicit adherence to antiquity, than the following passage from Ross:—"I cannot think the ancient sages would write so confidently of that which they had no experience of, being a thing so obvious and easy to try; therefore I suppose they had a stronger kind of gartick than is with us!"—Arcana, p. 192.

⁶ and therefore the relation, &c.] The paragraph containing this result, the preceding, and the two following ones, all furnish examples

It is not suddenly to be received what Paracelsus* affirmeth, that if a loadstone be anointed with mercurial oil, or only put into quicksilver, it amitteth its attraction for ever. For we have found that loadstones and touched needles. which have laid long time in quicksilver, have not amitted their attraction. And we also find that red hot needles or wires, extinguished in quicksilver, do yet acquire a verticity according to the laws of position in extinction. Of greater repugnancy unto reason is that which he delivers concerning its graduation, that heated in the fire and often extinguished in oil of Mars or iron, it acquires an ability to extract or draw forth a nail fastened in a wall; for, as we have declared before, the vigour of the loadstone is destroyed by fire: nor will it be re-impregnated by any other magnet than the earth.7

Nor is it to be made out, what seemeth very plausible, and formerly hath deceived us, that a loadstone will not attract an iron or steel red hot.8 The falsity hereof, discovered first by Kircherus, we can confirm by iterated experiment; very sensibly in armed loadstones, and obscurely in any other.

True it is, that, besides fire, some other ways there are of its destruction; as age, rust, and, what is least dreamt on, an unnatural or contrary situation. For, being impolarily adjoined unto a more vigorous loadstone, it will in a short time exchange its poles; or, being kept in undue position, that is, not lying on the meridian, or else with its poles inverted, it receives in longer time impair in activity, exchange of faces; and is more powerfully preserved by position than

De generatione rerum.

of Browne's rigorous experimental scrutiny of the statements made by authors; in the present instance, as in all others adverted to in these. paragraphs, "the relation is false," the discrepancy not arising from any error relative to the diamond, although several substances are probably confounded together under that appellation, by Pliny.—Br.

7 nor will it be re-impregnated, &c.] This is untrue, if understood of

an artificial magnet, which may readily be re-magnetized by the usual means, after being deprived of its magnetism by heat; but the statement is probably true, if understood of the natural loadstone, or magnetic iron ore.—Br.

⁸ Nor is it, &c.] Added in the 2nd edition.

by the dust of steel. But the sudden and surest way is fire: that is, fire not only actual but potential; the one surely and suddenly, the other slowly and imperfectly; the one changing, the other destroying the figure. For if distilled vinegar or aqua fortis be poured upon the powder of loadstone, the subsiding powder dried, retains some magnetical virtue, and will be attracted by the loadstone; but if the menstruum or dissolvent be evaporated to a consistence, and afterward doth shoot into icicles or crystals, the loadstone hath no power-upon them; and if in a full dissolution of steel, a separation of parts be made by preciptitation or exhalation, the exsiccated powder hath lost its wings, and ascends not unto the loadstone. And though a loadstone fired doth presently amit its proper virtue, and according to the position in cooling contracts a new verticity from the earth, yet if the same be laid awhile in aqua fortis, or other corrosive water, and taken out before a considerable corrosion, it still reserves its attraction, and will convert the needle according to former polarity. And that, duly preserved from violent corrosion, or the natural disease of rust, it may long conserve its virtue,—beside the magnetical virtue of the earth which hath lasted since the creation, a great example we have from the observation of our learned friend, Mr. Graves,* in an Egyptian idol cut out of loadstone, and found among the mummies, which still retains its attraction, though probably taken out of the mine about two thousand years ago.9

It is improbable, what Pliny affirmeth concerning the object of its attraction, that it attracts not only ferreous bodies, but also liquorem vitri; for in the body of glass there is no ferreous or magnetical nature which might occasion attraction. For, of the glass we use, the purest is made of the finest sand and the ashes of chaly or glasswort; and the coarser or green sort, of the ashes of brake or other plants. True it is, that in the making of glass, it hath been an ancient practice to cast in pieces of magnet, or, perhaps, manganese, conceiving it carried away all ferreous and earthy parts from the pure and running portion of glass, which the loadstone would not

^{*} In his learned Pyramidography.

⁹ And that, &c.] Added in the 2nd edition.

respect; and, therefore, if that attraction were not rather electrical than magnetical, it was a wondrous effect what Helmont delivered concerning a glass wherein the magistery of loadstone was prepared, which after retained an attractive quality.¹

But, whether the magnet attracteth more than common iron, may be tried in other bodies. It seems to attract the smyris or emery in powder.² It draweth the shining or glassy powder brought from the Indies,³ and usually employed in writing dust. There is also in smith's cinders, by some adhesion of iron,⁴ whereby they appear as it were glazed,

1 True it is, &c.] Instead of the rest of this paragraph (thus altered in 2nd edit.) edit. 1646 read thus:—"Beside vitrification is the last or utmost fusion of a body vitrifiable, and is performed by a strong and violent heat, which keeps the melted glass red hot. Now certain it is, and we have showed it before, that the loadstone will not attract even steel itself that is candent, much less the incongenerous body of glass being fired. For fire destroys the loadstone; and therefore it declines in its own defence, and seeks no union with it."

² seems to attract, &c.] Emery itself, in its natural state a massive and granular variety of corundum, the mineral of which the saphire and the ruby are also varieties, is not attracted by the magnet; but it is almost always mingled with a considerable proportion of particles of magnetic iron ore (or loadstone) which of course are subject to attraction. By the use of a magnet, indeed, nearly the whole of this may be separated, leaving the emery nearly pure, especially if the mass has previously been reduced into a fine powder. It was by this means that the late accomplished chemical analyst, Mr. Smithson Tennant, separated the magnetic iron ore from the emery, his examination of which first evinced the true nature of that substance as a variety of corundum. See Phil. Trans. 1802, p. 399.

The foregoing explanation has been given on the supposition that our author alludes to the mineral properly designated emery; but that term has also been applied, in commerce and in the arts, to various other substances, and among them to some of the ores of iron; Browne, therefore, may perhaps allude in reality to some of the latter; but in either case the fact is explained in conformity with the obvious and known properties of the magnet, and without leaving room for any suspicion that other bodies, not properly magnetic, are attractable by it under ordinary circumstances.—Br.

³ shining or glassy powder, &c.] This powder consists almost entirely of minute crystals or grains of magnetic iron ore, so that, as in the case of the attractable particles in emery, we have here merely the loadstone or the magnet attracting particles of loadstone itself.—Br.

⁴ There is also in smith's cinders, &c.] The scales which are detached from the surface of iron while undergoing the operations of the smith, consist of the black oxide of that metal, which agrees in its chemical

sometimes to be found a magnetical operation; for some thereof applied have power to move the needle. But, whether the ashes of vegetables, which grow over iron mines, contract a magnetical quality, as containing some mineral particles, which by sublimation ascend unto their roots, and are attracted together with their nourishment, according, as some affirm from the like observations upon the mines of silver, quicksilver, and gold, we must refer unto further experiment.⁵

It is also improbable and something singular, what some conceive, and Eusebius Nierembergius, a learned jesuit of Spain, delivers, that the body of man is magnetical, and, being placed in a boat, the vessel will never rest until the head respecteth the north.6 If this be true, the bodies of Christians do lie unnaturally in their graves. King Cheops in his tomb, and the Jews in their beds, have fallen upon the natural position; who reverentially declining the situation of their temple, nor willing to lie as that stood, do place their beds from north to south, and delight to sleep meridionally. This opinion confirmed, would much advance the microcosmical conceit and commend the geography of Paracelsus, who, according to the cardinal points of the world, divideth the body of man; and, therefore, working upon human ordure, and by long preparation rendering it odoriferous, he terms it zibeta occidentalis, western civet; making the face the east, but the posteriors the America or western part of his microcosm. The verity hereof might easily be tried in Wales, where there are portable boats, and made of leather, which would convert upon the impulsion of any ver-

nature with the magnetic iron ore or native loadstone, being composed, like that mineral, of the protoxide and the peroxide of iron united. These scales are of course magnetic, and may be, indeed, what the author alludes to; but should he in reality refer merely to the cinders of the small coal used in the forge, their magnetism may readily be accounted for on the supposition that the scales of black oxide, with which they are so frequently brought into contact, must often become intimately mingled with them by the partial fusion of both.—Br.

5 But whether the askes, &c. | Added in 2nd edition.

ticity; and seem to be the same whereof, in his description of Britain, Cæsar hath left some mention.⁸

Another kind of verticity is that which Angelus doce mihi jus,* alias, Michael Sundevogis, in a tract De Sulphure, discovereth in vegetables, from sticks let fall or depressed under water; which, equally framed and permitted unto themselves, will ascend at the upper end, or that which was vertical in their vegetation; wherein, notwithstanding, as yet, we have not found satisfaction: although, perhaps, too greedy of magnalities, we are apt to make but favourable experiments concerning welcome truths, and such desired verities.

It is also wondrous strange, what Lælius Bisciola reporteth, that if unto ten ounces of loadstone one of iron be added, it increaseth not unto eleven, but weighs ten ounces still. A relation inexcusable in a work of leisureable hours;† the examination being as ready as the relation, and the falsity tried as easily as delivered. Nor is it to be omitted, what is taken up by Cæsius Bernardus, a late mineralogist, and originally confirmed by Porta, that needles

* Anagrammatically.

† Horæ Subsecivæ.

in Wales, where, &c.] "The fishermen on the Teivi, and some of the other rivers of Wales, use a boat of a singular construction, called in Welsh corwg, and anglicized coracle, which is probably coeval with the earliest population of the island. (See Casar, Bell. Civ. lib. i. c. 54.) The form of this vessel is nearly oval, flattened at one end like the keel of a common boat: its length is usually from five to six feet, and its breadth about four feet. The frame is formed of split rods, which are plaited like basket-work: these are afterwards covered on the outside with a raw hide, or more commonly with strong coarse flannel, which is rendered water tight by a thick coating of pitch and tar. A narrow board is fastened across the middle: when on the water, this forms the fisherman's seat, whence, with his paddle, he directs his bark at pleaure. They are not adapted to carry more than one person conveniently. When proceeding to their work, or returning, the men fasten these vessels on their backs by means of a leather strap attached to the seat, which they pass round their bodies. Their appearance, when thus equipped, has been aptly compared to that of a large tortoise walking

thought necessary that they should form as heavy a load as the individual could carry, before they would bear him on the water."—Rees's

touched with a diamond contract a verticity, even as they do with a loadstone, which will not consist with experiment. And, therefore, as Gilbertus observeth, he might be deceived in touching such needles with diamonds, which had a verticity before, as we have declared most needles to have: and so, had he touched them with gold or silver, he might have concluded a magnetical virtue therein.

In the same form may we place Fracastorius his attraction of silver, Philostratus his Pantarbes, Apollodorus and Beda their relation of the loadstone that attracted only in the But most inexcusable is Franciscus Rueus, a man of our own profession; who, in his discourse of gems mentioned in the Apocalypse, undertakes a chapter of the loadstone. Wherein substantially and upon experiment he scarce delivereth anything; making long enumeration of its traditional qualities, whereof he seemeth to believe many, and some, above convicted by experience, he is fain to salve as impostures of the devil. But Boëtius de Boot, physician unto Rodolphus the second, hath recompensed this defect: and in his tract, De Lapidibus et Gemmis, speaks very materially hereof, and his discourse is consonant unto experience and reason.

As for relations historical, though many there be of less account, yet two alone deserve consideration; the first concerneth magnetical rocks and attractive mountains in several parts of the earth. The other, the tomb of Mahomet, and bodies suspended in the air. Of rocks magnetical there are likewise two relations; for some are delivered to be in the Indies, and some in the extremity of the north, and about the very pole. The northern account is commonly ascribed unto Olaus Magnus, archbishop of Upsale, who, out of his predecessor, Joannes Saxo, and others, compiled a history of some northern nations; but this assertion we have not discovered in that work of his, which commonly passeth amongst us; and should believe his geography herein no more than that in the first line of his book; when he affirmeth that Biarmia (which is not seventy degrees in latitude) hath the pole for its zenith, and equinoctial for the horizon.

Now, upon this foundation, how uncertain soever, men have erected mighty illations, ascribing thereto the cause of the needle's direction, and conceiving the effluxions from these mountains and rocks invite the lily toward the north. Which conceit, though countenanced by learned men, is not made out either by experience or reason; for no man hath vet attained or given a sensible account of the pole by some degrees. It is also observed the needle doth very much vary as it approacheth the pole; whereas, were there such direction from the rocks, upon a nearer approachment it would more directly respect them. Besides, were there such magnetical rocks under the pole, yet being so far removed, they would produce no such effect. For they that sail by the isle of Ilua, now called Elba, in the Tuscan sea, which abounds in the veins of loadstone, observe no variation or inclination of the needle; much less may they expect a direction from rocks at the end of the earth. And, lastly, men that ascribe thus much unto rocks of the north, must presume or discover the like magneticals at the south; for in the southern seas, and far beyond the equator, variations are large, and declinations as constant as in the northern ocean.

The other relation, of loadstone mines and rocks9 in the

o loadstone mines and rocks.] The author's facts and reasoning, in the preceding paragraphs, relative to the absurd notion that the direction of the magnetic needle is caused by the attraction of magnetical rocks, situated at or near the north pole of the earth, are equally correct; as also is the evidence of the navigators upon which he decides, in the paragraph now before us, that no rocks exist having the power of drawing the iron nails out of the ships which sail past them. But when he infers, as the marginal note intimates, that therefore "(probably). there be no magnetical rocks," he is himself in error, for there are many such, which have a very powerful effect upon the compass needle, in producing a local deviation from its ordinary north and south direction. The known existence of these, in connection with other circumstances, has probably led to the fabrication of some of the stories just alluded to, respecting rocks and islands of loadstone destroying ships approaching them, by drawing out their bolts and other iron fastenings, or by their attractive force exerted upon the iron, drawing the ships themselves out of their course, and at length detaining them on shore. It may be remarked, by the way, that supposing magnetic rocks to possess sufficient power, and to be capable of exerting it to such distances as these stories imply, the latter would be the effect that would really happen; the former, under any supposition, would be impossible; for, not to mention the manner in which the fastenings of ships must neces-

shore of India, is delivered of old by Pliny; wherein, saith he, they are so placed both in abundance and vigour, that

sarily be interlaced with each other, and with the timbers, the adhesion of wood to iron nails, screws, and bolts, is so powerful, that the vis inertiæ and weight of the vessel would yield to it, and the vessel itself, by the aggregate magnetic attraction upon-all the separate masses of iron which it contained, would be drawn towards, and finally affixed to the rock.

In some of these stories, however, this, which is the only possible effect of such a power of attraction as they suppose, is actually related, as will appear from the subjoined extracts from Hole's "Remarks on the Arabian Nights' Entertainments," an elegant and ingenious commentary on those marvellous narratives, in which many of the incidents, which occur in them, are illustrated in a very satisfactory and interest-

ing manner.

"The account of vessels being wrecked by the attractive power of a magnetic rock, appears to have been a long-established opinion in the eastern world. In the history of the Third Calendar (in the Arabian Nights), we meet with a mountain of adamant, possessing the same properties: and Aboulfoneris, the Sinbad of the Persian Tales, is wrecked by means of a magnetic rock; for that must be intended by a mountain which resembled polished steel, and which, by virtue of a talisman, rendered every vessel that approached it stationary and im-After making these observations, Mr. Hole cites our author's quotation from Serapion, and then proceeds as follows: "It is not probable that Mandeville ever saw Serapion, yet he gives the same account: 'In an isle clept Crues, ben schippes withouten nayles of iren, or bonds, for the rockes of the adamandes; for they ben alle fulle there aboute in that see, that it is marveyle to spaken of. And gif a schipp passed by the marches, and hadde either iren bandes or iren navles. anon he sholde ben perishet. For the adamande of this kinde draws the iren to him; and so wolde it draw to him the schipp, because of the iren; that he sholde never departen fro it, ne never go thens." It is proper to state, that these extracts are taken from a review of Mr. Hole's book, in the European Magazine for December, 1798, vol. xxxiv. pp. 395, 396.

In order fully to illustrate our author's allusions to magnetic rocks, we must now proceed to give a concise account of some of the most remarkable of those rocks which are at present known, and of their properties, with a reference also to some of his previous observations on magnetic bodies. It will be appropriate to commence this with a notice of the rocks of Magnesia, in Asia Minor, a locality of the loadstone well known to the ancients, and from which that substance is said to have derived the name of magnes, or "magnet," now extended to bodies artificially magnetised, and in fact often applied to them emphatically, in contradistinction from the natural magnet, loadstone, or magnetic iron ore. A particular account of these rocks of Magnesia, by Dr. Yates, was submitted, not long since, to the Philosophical Society of Cambridge:

it proves an adventure of hazard to pass those coasts in a ship with iron nails. Serapion, the Moor, an author of

the important facts, as given in the substance of Dr. Yates' paper, published in the Athenæum, for January 4th, 1834, are as follows:

"The ancient town of Magnesia, in Asia Minor (the supposed origin of the term "magnet"), stands at the base of mount Sipylus The rocky heights of mount Sipylus are remarkable for their extraordinary influence over the mariner's compass. We ascended the castle hill, a part of the Sipylus range. Having proceeded about a quarter of an hour, a little to the westward of the castle, we took the first observation, in order to determine the bearing of a sugar-loaf mountain, which was beyond the river Hermus (probably a part of the range of mount Temnus). We found it to be two degrees westward of north. As yet, the compass indicated no change. Ascending in the same direction, we took very numerous observations, keeping always as a fixed point, the At length the compass was found to vary 12 sugar-loaf mountain. degrees easterly, and the variation continued to increase gradually in the same course, until it amounted to 56 degrees easterly. A short time before we approached the summit, the needle began to recede, and was suddenly attracted to the south-west. It was evident there must be some powerful cause for the change, and, in proportion as we advanced, the degree of variation diminished, from which we inferred that the great source of attraction was now behind us; we, therefore, retraced our steps, and immediately there was a corresponding change in the compass. We forthwith set ourselves to explore the district: the variation went on steadily increasing, until we approached a mass of dark rock, which had a most astonishing influence over the instrument, which was no sooner placed upon it, than it became considerably agitated, and trembled as if drawn from its course downwards, by a powerful magnetic source beneath the surface: on placing the needle on the ground, either at our feet, or a few yards off, the effect produced was the same : it did not point to the rock, but fairly dipped; it trembled, and was drawn down as before, and only returned to its former variation, as we retired from the spot; from which we concluded that the phænomenon did not depend on the mass in question, but on something below the surface: besides, we carried home portions of the rock, but did not find that they exhibited any magnetic power.

"On quitting this remarkable spot, the needle ceased to tremble, and gradually returned to its previous degree of variation. Our ascent had been westerly; we went nearly to the summit of the mountain, where nothing particular was noticed, and afterwards we descended by a path to the eastward of the castle. . . . The compasses sustained no injury: we had taken two with us, in order to compare the results—one of them was smaller than the other, and, of course, more sensibly affected. The rocks of the whole district contained a great deal of iron in various states of oxydation."

"The mountainous parts about Magnesia," says Chishull (Travels in Turkey, 1747), "were anciently famous for the production of the load-

good esteem and reasonable antiquity, confirmeth the same, whose expression in the word magnes is this: - "The mine of this stone is in the sea-coast of India, whereto when ships approach, there is no iron in them which flies not like a bird unto those mountains; and, therefore, their ships are fastened not with iron but wood, for otherwise they would be torn to pieces." But this assertion, how positive sover, is contradicted * by all navigators that pass that wav. which are now many, and of our own nation; and might

* (Probably) there be no magnetical rocks.

stone, though, indeed, it is disparaged by Pliny, and accounted less attractive than that of other places. However, this probably was the city, from whence, as Lucretius says, that stone took the name of magnet: as from the whole country of Lydia, the touchstone likewise was called lapis Lydius. This hint gave us the curiosity to carry a sea compass up the castle hill, where we had the satisfaction to see it point to different stones, and quickly after entirely to lose its whole virtue; two effects which are natural to the magnetic needle when injured by the nearness of other bodies impregnated with the same quality."

Macfarlane tells us (Constantinople in 1828), that his pocket compass proved the accuracy of Chishull's statement of the magnetic qualities of these mountains. "In several places in my ascent," says he, "I found the needle affected, seeing it tremble and vary from the pole; but, on the summit of the castle hill, to the west, on producing it, it pointed due east, in the direction of a dark mass of rock, which, on examination, offered nothing to distinguish it from the general appearance of the Sipylus; and, rather lower down, behind the castle, in the deep hollow which separates the castle hill from the Sipylus, on placing it on a flat stone, the needle wavered, and stood in succession at nearly every point of the compass, and this suddenly, and as if by jerks, being any thing now rather than an emblem of constancy." The same author also mentions, that the day before his visit to the castle hill, [in] a chasm of mount Sipylus, to the east of Magnesia, near the road which leads to Sardes, he also detected the variations of his pocket compass: but the needle was not affected to such a degree, as on the hill of the Acropolis.

Certain trap rocks in Nova Scotia, recently described by Messrs. Jackson and Alger, in a memoir on the mineralogy and geology of that country, published in the Memoirs of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences, No. VIII. vol. i. p. 223, are magnetic; surveyors who have to run lines in different parts of Digby peninsula, which is occupied by

them, find their compasses very sensibly influenced.

Further particulars relating to the action of magnetic rocks upon the compass, will be found in several papers by Dr. Macculloch, published in the Transactions of the Geological Society, especially in a paper on the Geology of Glen Tilt. Trans. Geol. Soc. vol. iii. p. 324-332.-Br.

surely have been controlled by Nearchus, the admiral of Alexander, who not knowing the compass, was fain to coast that shore.

For the relation concerning Mahomet, it is generally believed his tomb, at Medina Talnabi, in Arabia, without any visible supporters, hangeth in the air between two loadstones artificially contrived both above and below; which conceit is fabulous and evidently false, from the testimony of ocular testators, who affirm his tomb is made of stone, and lieth upon the ground; as (besides others) the learned Vossius observeth, from Gabriel Sionita and Joannes Hefronita, two Maronites, in their relations hereof. Of such intentions and attempt by Mahometans we read in some relators, and that might be the occasion of the fable, which by tradition of time and distance of place [was] enlarged into the story of being accomplished. And this hath been promoted by attempts of the like nature; for we read in Pliny, that one Dinocrates began to arch the temple of Arsinoe in Alexandria, with loadstone, that so her statue might be suspended in the air to the amazement of the beholders. And, to lead on our credulity herein, confirmation may be drawn from history and writers of good authority. So is it reported by Ruffinus, that in the temple of Serapis there was an iron chariot suspended by loadstones in the air, which stones removed, the chariot fell and dashed into pieces. The like doth Beda report of Bellerophon's horse, which, framed of iron, and placed between two loadstones, with wings expanded, hung pendulous in the air.

The verity of these stories we shall not further dispute; their possibility we may in some way determine: if we conceive (what no man will deny) that bodies suspended in the air have this suspension from one or many loadstones placed both above and below it, or else by one or many placed only above it; likewise the body to be suspended in respect of the loadstone above, is either placed first at a pendulous distance in the medium, or else attracted unto that site by the vigour of the loadstone. And so we first affirm, that possible it is a body may be suspended between two loadstones; that is, it being so equally attracted unto both, that it determineth itself unto neither. But surely this position will be of no duration; for if the air be agitated,

or the body waved either way, it amits the equilibration, and disposeth itself unto the nearest attractor. Again, it is not impossible (though hardly feasible) by a single loadstone to suspend an iron in the air, the iron being artificially placed, and at a distance guided toward the stone, until it find the neutral point, wherein its gravity just equals the magnetical quality, the one exactly extolling as much as the other depresseth. And lastly, impossible it is, that if an iron rest upon the ground, and a loadstone be placed over it, it should ever so arise as to hang in the way or medium; for that vigour, which at a distance is able to overcome the resistance of its gravity, and to lift it up from the earth, will, as it approacheth nearer, be still more able to attract it; never remaining in the middle that could not abide in the extreme. Now, the way of Baptista Porta, that by a thread fasteneth a needle to a table, and then so guides and orders the same, that by the attraction of the loadstone, it abideth in the air, infringeth not this reason; for this is a violent retention, and, if the thread be loosened, the needle ascends and adheres unto the attractor.

The third consideration concerneth medical relations; wherein, whatever effects are delivered, they are either derived from its mineral and ferreous condition, or else magnetical operation. Unto the ferreous and mineral quality pertaineth, what Dioscorides, an ancient writer and soldier under Anthony and Cleopatra, affirmeth, that half a dram of loadstone given with honey and water, proves a purgative medicine and evacuateth gross humours; but this is a quality of great incertainty; for, omitting the vehicle of water and honey, which is of a laxative power itself, the powder of some loadstones in this dose doth rather constipate and bind, than purge and loosen the belly; and if sometimes it cause any laxity, it is probably in the same way with iron and steel unprepared, which will disturb some bodies, and work by purge and vomit. And therefore, whereas it is delivered in a book ascribed unto Galen, that it is a good medicine in dropsies, and evacuates the waters of persons so affected;it may, I confess, by siccity and astriction, afford a confirmation unto parts relaxed, and such as be hydropically disposed; and by these qualities it may be useful in hernia, or ruptures, and for these it is commended by Ætius, Ægineta, and Oribasius, who only affirm that it contains the virtue of hamatites, and being burnt was sometimes vended for it. Wherein notwithstanding there is an higher virtue: and in the same prepared, or in rich veins thereof though crude, we have observed the effects of chalvbeate medicines; and the benefits of iron and steel in strong obstructions. And therefore, that was probably a different vein of loadstone, or infected with other mineral mixture, which the ancients commended for a purgative medicine, and ranked the same with the violentest kinds thereof; with Hippophae. Cneoron, and Thymelæa, as we find it in Hippocrates,* and might be somewhat doubtful, whether by the magnesian stone, he understood the loadstone; did not Achilles Statius define the same, the stone that leveth iron.

To this mineral condition belongeth what is delivered by some, that wounds which are made with weapons excited by the loadstone, contract a malignity, and become of more difficult cure; which, nevertheless, is not to be found in the incision of chirurgeons with knives, and lancets touched, which leave no such effect behind them. Hither must we also refer that affirmative, which says, the loadstone is poison; and therefore in the lists of poisons we find it in many authors. But this our experience cannot confirm. and the practice of the king of Zeilan¹ clearly contradicteth, who, as Gartias ab Horto, physician unto the Spanish viceroy, delivereth, hath all his meat served up in dishes of loadstone, and conceives thereby he preserveth the vigour of vouth.

But surely from a magnetical activity must be made out what is let fall by Ætius, that a loadstone held in the hand of one that is podagrical, doth either cure or give great ease in the gout; or, what Marcellus Empericus affirmeth, that as an amulet it also cureth the headache: which are but additions unto its proper nature, and hopeful enlargements of its allowed attraction; for perceiving its secret power to draw magnetical bodies, men have invented a new attraction. to draw out the dolour and pain of any part. And from such grounds it surely became a philter, and was conceived a medicine of some venereal attraction; and therefore upon

^{*} De morbis internis.

this stone they graved the image of Venus, according unto that of Claudian, Venerem magnetica gemma figurat. Hither must we also refer what is delivered concerning its powder, to draw out of the body bullets and heads of arrows, for the like intention is mixed up in plasters. course, although as vain and ineffectual it be rejected by many good authors, yet it is not methinks so readily to be denied, nor the practice of many physicians which have thus compounded plasters thus suddenly to be condemned, as may be observed in the Emplastrum divinum Nicolai, the Emplastrum nigrum of Augspurg, the Opodeldoch and Attractivum of Paracelsus, with neveral more in the dispensatory of Wecker, and practice of Sennertus. The cure also of Hernia, or ruptures in Pareus, and the method also of curation lately delivered by Daniel Beckerus,* and approved by the professors of Leyden, that is, of a young man of Spruceland, that casually swallowed a knife about ten inches long, which was cut out of his stomach, and the wound healed up. In which cure, to attract the knife to a convenient situation, there was applied a plaster made up with the powder of loadstone. Now this kind of practice Libavius, Gilbertus, and lately Swickardus, t condemn as vain, and altogether unuseful; because a loadstone in powder hath no attractive power, for in that form it amits its polary respects, and loseth those parts which are the rule of attraction.

Wherein, to speak compendiously, if experiment hath not deceived us, we first affirm that a loadstone in powder amits not all attraction; for if the powder of a rich vein be in a reasonable quantity presented toward the needle freely placed, it will not appear to be void of all activity, but will be able to stir it; nor hath it only a power to move the needle in powder and by itself, but this will it also do if incorporated and mixed with plasters, as we have made trial in the *Emplastrum de Minio*, with half an ounce of the mass mixing a dram of loadstone. For, applying the magdaleon² or roll unto the needle, it would both stir and attract it, not

^{*} De Cultrivoro Prussiaco, 1636. + In his Ars Magnetica.

² magdaleon or roll.] An ancient word, of Hebrew origin, transmitted, through barbarous Greek, to barbarous Latin usage, denoting any kind of *emplastric* or other *pilulifiable* paste made up into cylindrical pills "or rolls."

equally in all parts, but more vigorously in some, according unto the mine of the stone more plentifully dispersed in the mass. And lastly, in the loadstone powdered, the polary respects are not wholly destroyed; for those diminutive particles are not atomical or merely indivisible, but consist of dimensions sufficient for their operations, though in obscurer effects. Thus, if unto the powder of loadstone or iron we admove the north pole of the loadstone, the powders or small divisions will erect and conform themselves thereto; but if the south pole approach they will subside, and inverting their bodies, respect the loadstone with the other extreme. And this will happen, not only in a body of powder together, but in any particle of dust divided from it.

Now, though we disavow not these plasters, yet shall we not omit two cautions in their use, that therein the stone be not too subtilely powdered, for it will better manifest its attraction in a more sensible dimension. That, where is desired a speedy effect, it may be considered whether it were not better to relinquish the powdered plasters, and to apply an entire loadstone unto the part; and though the other be not wholly ineffectual, whether this way be not more powerful, and so might have been in the cure of the young man

delivered by Beckerus.

The last consideration concerneth magical relations; in which account we comprehend effects derived and fathered upon hidden qualities, specifical forms, antipathies and sympathies, whereof, from received grounds of art, no reasons are derived. Herein relations are strange and numerous, men being apt, in all ages, to multiply wonders, and philosophers dealing with admirable bodies, as historians have done with excellent men, upon the strength of their great achievements, ascribing acts unto them not only false, but impossible, and exceeding truth as much in their relations. as they have others in their actions. Hereof we shall briefly mention some delivered by authors of good esteem: whereby we may discover the fabulous inventions of some, the credulous supinity of others, and the great disservice unto truth by both; multiplying obscurities in nature, and authorising hidden qualities that are false; whereas wise men are ashamed there are so many true.3

^{*} true.] Truly so called. - Wr.

And first, Dioscorides puts a shrewd quality upon it (and such as men are apt enough to experiment), who therewith discovers the incontinency of a wife, by placing the loadstone under her pillow, whereupon she will not be able to remain in bed with her husband. The same he also makes a help unto thievery. For thieves, saith he, having a design upon a house, do make a fire at the four corners thereof, and cast therein the fragments of loadstone, whence ariseth a fume that so disturbeth the inhabitants, that they forsake the house, and leave it to the spoil of the robbers. This relation. how ridiculous soever, hath Albertus taken up above a thousand years after, and Marbodeus, the Frenchman, hath continued the same in Latin verse, which, with the notes of Pictorius, is current unto our days. As strange must be the lithomancy or divination from this stone, whereby, as Tzetzes delivers, Helenus the prophet foretold the destruction of Troy. And the magic thereof not safely to be believed, which was delivered by Orpheus, that sprinkled with water, it will, upon a question, emit a voice not much unlike But, surely, the loadstone of Laurentius Guascus, the physician, is never to be matched, wherewith, as Cardan delivereth, whatsoever needles or bodies were touched, the wounds and punctures, made thereby, were never felt at all. And yet as strange is that which is delivered by some, that a loadstone, preserved in the salt of a remora, acquires a power to attract gold out of the deepest wells—certainly a studied absurdity, not casually cast out, but plotted for perpetuity—for the strangeness of the effect ever to be admired. and the difficulty of the trial, never to be convicted.

These conceits are of that monstrosity that they refute themselves in their recitements. There is another of better notice, and whispered through the world with some attention; credulous and vulgar auditors readily believing it, and more judicious and distinctive heads not altogether rejecting it. The conceit is excellent, and, if the effect would follow, somewhat divine, whereby we might communicate like spirits, and confer on earth, with Menippus in the moon. And this is pretended from the sympathy of two needles, touched with the same loadstone, and placed in the centre of two abecedary circles or rings, with letters described round about them, one friend keeping one, and another the other,

and agreeing upon an hour wherein they will communicate. For then, saith tradition, at what distance of place soever, when one needle shall be removed unto any letter, the other, by a wonderful sympathy, will move unto the same. But herein I confess my experience can find no truth; for, having expressly framed two circles of wood, and, according to the number of the Latin letters, divided each into twentythree parts, placing therein two stiles or needles composed of the same steel, touched with the same loadstone, and at the same point; of these two, whensoever I removed the one, although but at the distance of half a span, the other would stand like Hercules' pillars, and (if the earth stand still) have surely no motion at all. Now, as it is not possible that any body should have no boundaries, or sphere of its activity, so it is improbable it should effect that at distance, which nearer hand it cannot at all perform.4

4 Now as it is not possible, &c.] But then it is most wonderful that some things worke the same effect at distance that they doe conjoynd, as the powder of calcined Roman vitrioll strawd on a rag bloaded from a wounde heals the wounde as well and stanches the blood, as if it were applyed to the wound. I have seen strange effects by itt.—Wr.

Sir Kenelm Digby, in A late Discourse &c. touching the Cure of Wounds by the Powder of Sympathy, p. 6, &c. relates the following incident, which happened to himself in France. Mr. James Howel (author of Dendrologia and other works), had received a very severe wound in his hand in attempting to part two friends who were fighting a duel. Having been requested to endeavour to heal the wound. Sir Kenelm consented, and thus narrates his proceeding:-"I asked him then for any thing that had the blood upon it, so he presently sent for his garter wherewith his hand was first bound, and having called for a bason of water as if I would wash my hands, I took a handful of powder of vitriol, which I had in my study, and presently dissolved it. As soon as the bloody garter was brought me I put it within the bason, observing in the interim what Mr. Howel did, who stood talking with a gentleman in a corner of my chamber, not regarding at all what I was doing; but he started suddenly, as if he had found some strange alteration in himself. I asked him what he ailed? 'I know not what ails me, but I find that I feel no more pain; methinks that a pleasing kind of freshness, as it were a wet cold napkin did spread over my hand, which hath taken away the inflammation that tormented me before: I replied, 'Since that you feel already so good an effect of my medicament, I advise you to cast away all your plasters, only keep the wound clean, and in a moderate temper twixt heat and cold.' This was presently reported to the Duke of Buckingham, and a little after to the g, who were both very curious to know the circumstance of the Again, the conceit is ill contrived, and one effect inferred, whereas the contrary will ensue; for, if the removing of one of the needles from A to B should have any action or influence on the other, it would not entice it from A to B, but repel it from A to Z; for needles excited by the same point of the stone do not attract, but avoid each other, even as these also do, when their invigorated extremes approach unto one another.

Lastly, were this conceit assuredly true, yet were it not

business, which was, that after dinner I took the garter out of the water, and put it to dry, but Mr. Howel's servant came running, that his master felt as much burning as ever he had done, if not more, for the heat was such as if his hands were twixt coals of fire: I answered, that although that happened at present, yet he should find ease in a short time; for I knew the reason of this new accident, and I would provide accordingly, for his master should be free from that inflammation, it may be, before he could possibly return unto him: but in case he found no ease, I wished him to come presently back again, if not, he might forbear coming. Thereupon he went, and at the instant I did put again the garter into the water, thereupon he found his master without any pain at all. To be brief, there was no sense of pain afterward; but within five or six days the wounds were cicatrized and entirely healed."

Dr. Bostock, in his remarks on the sympathetic powder, seems to have somewhat misstated the modus operandi laid down in the aforesaid treatise, which he justly characterises as "exemplifying admirably the mode of philosophising that was fashionable in the earlier part of the seventeenth century." He says, "Every one who is acquainted with the history of surgery is acquainted with the sympathetic powder, which, about the middle of the seventeenth century, engaged the notice and received the sanction of the most learned men of the age. This celebrated remedy derived its virtues not from its composition, but from the mode of its application, for it was not to be applied to the wound, but to the weapon by which the wound was inflicted; the wound was ordered to be merely closed up, and was taken no further care of. Most men of sense, indeed, ridiculed the proposal, but after being fully tried, it was found that the sympathetic mode of treating wounds was more successful than those plans which proceeded upon what were considered scientific principles; and it continued to gain ground in the public estimation, until at length some innovator ventured to try the experiment of closing up the wound without applying the sympathetic powder to the sword. Wiseman, who wrote about fifty or sixty years after the introduction of this mysterious operation by Sir Kenelm Digby, in describing the importance of keeping the divided parts in union, says, "for here nature will act her part, by the application of blood and nourishment to both sides indifferently, and finish the coalities without your further assistance. And this is that which gives such credit to the sympathetic powder."-Elements of Phy-~'^', vol. i. p. 448.

a conclusion at every distance to be tried by every head; it being no ordinary or almanack business, but a problem mathematical, to find out the difference of hours in different places; nor do the wisest exactly satisfy themselves in all. For the hours of several places anticipate each other, according unto their longitudes, which are not exactly discovered of every place; and therefore the trial hereof, at a considerable interval, is best performed at the distance of the anteci—that is, such habitations as have the same meridian and equal parallel on different sides of the equator; or, more plainly, the same longitude, and the same latitude unto the south, which we have in the north. For, unto such situations, it is noon and midnight at the very same time.

And therefore, the sympathy of these needles is much of the same mould with that intelligence which is pretended from the flesh of one body transmuted by incision into another. For, if by the art of Taliacotius,* a permutation of flesh, or transmutation be made from one man's body into another, as, if a piece of flesh be exchanged from the bicipital muscle of either party's arm, and about them both an alphabet circumscribed, upon a time appointed, as some conceptions affirm, they may communicate at what distance soever. For, if the one shall prick himself in A, the other at the same time will have a sense thereof in the same part, and, upon inspection of his arm, perceive what letters the other points out in his. Which is a way of intelligence very strange, and would requite the lost art of Pythagoras, who could read a reverse in the moon.

Now this magnetical conceit, how strange soever, might have some original in reason; for men, observing no solid body whatsoever did interrupt its action, might be induced to believe no distance would terminate the same; and most, conceiving it pointed unto the pole of heaven, might also opinion that nothing between could restrain it. Whosoever was the author, the *Æolus* that blew it about was Famianus. Strada, that elegant Jesuit, in his rhetorical profusions, who chose out this subject to express the stile of Lucretius. But neither Baptista Porta, *De Furtivis Literarum notis*, Trithemius, in his *Steganography*, Selenus, in his *Cryptography*,

^{*} De Curtorum Chirurgia.

nor Nuncius inanimatus,* make any consideration hereof, although they deliver many ways to communicate thoughts at distance. And this we will not deny may in some manner be affected by the loadstone, that is, from one room into another, by placing a table in the wall common unto both, and writing thereon the same letters one against another; for, upon the approach of a vigorous loadstone unto a letter on this side, the needle will move unto the same on the other. But this is a very different way from ours at present; and hereof there are many ways delivered, and more may be discovered, which contradict not the rule of its operations.

As for Unguentum Armarium, called also Magneticum, it belongs not to this discourse, it neither having the loadstone for its ingredient, nor any one of its actions; but supposed other principles, as common and universal spirits, which convey the action of the remedy unto the part, and conjoins the virtue of bodies far disjoined. But perhaps the cures it doth are not worth so mighty principles; it commonly healing but simple wounds, and such as, mundified and kept clean, do need no other hand than that of nature, and the balsam of the proper part. Unto which effect, there being fields of medicines, it may be a hazardous curiosity to rely on this; and, because men say the effect doth generally follow, it might be worth the experiment to try, whether the same will not ensue, upon the same method of cure, by ordinary balsams, or common vulnerary plasters.

Many other magnetisms may be pretended, and the like attractions through all the creatures of nature. Whether the same be verified in the action of the sun upon inferior bodies, whether there be Æolian magnets, whether the flux and reflux of the sea be caused by any magnetism from the moon, whether the like be really made out, or rather metaphorically verified in the sympathies of plants and animals, might afford a large dispute; and Kircherus, in his Catena Magnetica, hath excellently discussed the same; which work came late unto our hand, but might have much advantaged this discourse.⁵

Other discourses there might be made of the loadstone, as moral, mystical, theological; and some have handsomely

^{*} By D. Goodwin, Bishop of Hereford.

⁵ Many other, &c.] Added in the 2nd edition.

done them, as Ambrose, Austine, Gulielmus Parisiensis, and many more; but these fall under no rule, and are as boundless as men's inventions. And, though honest minds do glorify God hereby, yet do they most powerfully magnify him, and are to be looked on with another eye, who demonstratively set forth its magnalities; who not from postulated or precarious inferences entreat a courteous assent, but from experiments and undeniable effects enforce the wonder of its maker.

CHAPTER IV.

Of Bodies Electrical.

HAVING thus spoken of the loadstone and bodies magnetical, I shall, in the next place, deliver somewhat of electrical, and such as may seem to have attraction like the Hereof we shall also deliver what particularly spoken or not generally known is manifestly or probably true, what generally believed is also false or dubious. Now, by electrical bodies I understand, not such as are metallical, mentioned by Pliny and the ancients, for their electrum was a mixture made of gold, with the addition of a fifth part of silver—a substance now as unknown as true aurichalcum, or Corinthian brass, and set down among things lost by Pancirollus; nor by electric bodies do I conceive such only as take up shavings, straws, and light bodies (in which number the ancients only placed jet and amber); but such as, conveniently placed unto their objects, attract all bodies palpable whatsoever. I say conveniently placed, that is, in regard of the object, that it be not too ponderous, or any way affixed: in regard of the agent, that it be not foul or sullied, but wiped, rubbed, and excitated; in regard of both, that they be conveniently distant, and no impediment interposed. I say, all bodies palpable, thereby excluding fire, which indeed it will not attract, nor yet draw through it; for fire consumes its effluxions by which it should attract.

Now, although in this rank but two were commonly mentioned by the ancients, Gilbertus discovereth many more; as diamonds, sapphires, carbuncles, iris, opals, amethysts, beryl, crystal, Bristol stones, sulphur, mastic, hard wax, hard resin.

arsenic, sal-gemma, roche alum, common glass, stibium, or glass of antimony, Unto these, Cabeus addeth white wax, gum elemi, gum quaiaci, pix hispanica, and gypsum. And unto these we add gum animi, benjamin talcum, china-dishes, sandaraca, turpentine, styrax liquida, and caranna dried into a hard consistence. And the same attraction we find not only in simple bodies, but such as are much compounded: as in the oxycroceum plaster, and obscurely that ad herniam and gratia Dei; all which, smooth and rightly prepared, will discover a sufficient power to stir the needle, settled freely upon a well pointed pin; and so as the electric may be applied unto it without all disadvantage.

But the attraction of these electrics we observe to be very different. Resinous or unctuous bodies, and such as will flame, attract most vigorously, and most thereof without frication; as animi, benjamin, and most powerfully good hard wax, which will convert the needle almost as actively as the loadstone. And we believe that all, or most of this substance, if reduced to hardness, tralucency, or clearness, would have some attractive quality. But juices concrete, or gums easily dissolving in water, draw not at all; as aloe, opium, sanguis draconis, lacca, galbanum, sagapenum. Many stones also, both precious and vulgar, although terse and smooth, have not this power attractive: as emeralds, pearl, jaspis, cornelians, agate, heliotropes, marble, alabaster, touchstone, flint, and bezoar. Glass attracts but weakly, though clear; some slick stones, and thick glasses indifferently; arsenic but weakly; so likewise glass of antimony; but crocus metallorum8 not at all. Salts generally, but weakly; as sal gemma, alum, and also talc; not very discoverably by any frication; but, if gently warmed at the fire, and wiped with a dry cloth, they will better discover their electricities.

⁶ And unto these we add gum animi, &c.] The author is perfectly correct in adding (evidently from his own experiments) these substances to the list of electrics. The "compounded bodies," which he next mentions, derive their electrical properties chiefly from the resin or wax which they contain.—Br.

islick.] Smooth.

⁸ crocus metallorum.] And yet (which is the more to be enquired) crocus martis, which hath much affinitye to, and his first original from iron, should in common reason attract more than any of the other.—W.

No metal attracts, nor animal concretion we know,9 although polite and smooth; as we have made trial in elk's hoofs, hawks' talons, the sword of a sword-fish, tortoiseshells, sea-horse, and elephants' teeth, in bones, in hart's horn, and what is usually conceived unicorn's horn. wood, though never so hard and polished, although out of some thereof electric bodies proceed; as ebony, box, liquum vitæ, cedar, &c. And, although jet and amber be reckoned among bitumens, yet neither do we find asphaltum, that is, bitumen of Judea, nor sea-coal, nor camphor, nor mummia, to attract, although we have tried in large and polished pieces. Now this attraction have we tried in straws and paleous bodies, in needles of iron equilibrated, powders of wood and iron, in gold and silver foliate; and not only in solid, but fluent and liquid bodies, as oils made both by expression and distillation, in water, in spirits of wine, vitriol. and aqua fortis.

But how this attraction is made, is not so easily determined: that it is performed by effluviums is plain, and granted by most; for electrics will not commonly attract, except they grow hot, or become perspirable. For if they be foul and obnubilated, it hinders their effluxion; nor if they be covered, though but with linen or sarsenet, or if a body be interposed, for that intercepts the effluvium. If also a powerful and broad electric of wax or animi be held over fine powder, the atoms or small particles will ascend most numerously unto it; and if the electric be held unto the light, it may be observed that many thereof will fly, and be as it were discharged from the electric, to the distance

i be as it were discharged from the electric.] The true cause of this projection of the atoms," is to be found in the law of electrical attraction and repulsion: bodies similarly electrified, repel, and dissimilarly electrified.

⁹ No metal attracts, nor animal concretion we know.] Browne is in error respecting all the substances which he mentions in this paragraph, as well as in preceding and following ones, as not susceptible of electrical excitation; for all of them are in fact electrics. But as many among the number, especially the metals, require very perfect insulation, before they can be made to manifest electricity by friction, as many others, especially the true gums, the animal concretions, and the woods, require also to be made very dry; and as some further precautions are necessary in certain cases, in order to insure the success of the experiment, our author's failure, and consequent errors on this subject, are readily explained.—Br.

sometimes of two or three inches. Which motion is performed by the breath of the effluvium issuing with agility; for as the electric cooleth, the projection of the atoms ceaseth.

The manner hereof Cabeus wittily attempteth, affirming that this effluvium attenuateth and impelleth the neighbour air, which returning home in a gyration, carrieth with it the obvious bodies unto the electric. And this he labours to confirm by experiments; for if the straws be raised by a vigorous electric, they do appear to wave and turn in their ascents. If, likewise, the electric be broad, and the straws light and chaffy, and held at a reasonable distance, they will not arise unto the middle, but rather adhere toward the verge or borders thereof. And, lastly, if many straws be laid together, and a nimble electric approach, they will not all arise unto it, but some will commonly start aside, and be whirled a reasonable distance from it. Now, that the air impelled returns unto its place in a gyration or whirling, is evident from the atoms or moats in the sun. For when the sun so enters a hole or window, that by its illumination the atoms or motes become perceptible, if then by our breath the air be gently impelled, it may be perceived that they will circularly return, and in a gyration,2 unto their places again.

Another way of their attraction is also delivered; that is, by a tenuious emanation or continued effluvium, which after some distance retracteth into itself; as is observable in drops of syrups, oil, and seminal viscosities, which spun at length, retire into their former dimensions. Now these effluviums advancing from the body of the electric, in their return do carry back the bodies, whereon they have laid hold, within the sphere or circle of their continuities; and these they do not only attract, but with their viscous arms hold fast a good while after. And if any shall wonder why these effluviums issuing forth impel and protrude not the straw before they

² gyration.] The same gyration appears in thistledowne, and small feathers, and the smoke of a snuff, &c.—Wr.

fied, attract each other. The particles are first attracted by the excited electric, because they are in a dissimilar state of electricity to it; by contact with it, however, they acquire a similar state of electricity, and are, in consequence repelled from it.—Br.

can bring it back; it is because the effluvium, passing out in a smaller thread and more enlengthened filament, stirreth not the bodies interposed, but, returning unto its original. falls into a closer substance and carrieth them back unto itself. And this way of attraction is best received, embraced by Sir Kenelm Digby in his excellent treatise of bodies, allowed by Des Cartes in his Principles of Philosophy, as far as concerneth fat and resinous bodies, and with the exception of glass, whose attraction he also deriveth from the recess of its effluxion. And this in some manner the words of Gilbertus will bear. Effluria illa tenuiora concipiunt et amplectuntur corpora, quibus uniuntur, et electris tanquam extensis brachiis, et ad fontem propinquitate invalescentibus effluviis, deducuntur. And if the ground were true, that the earth were an electric body, and the air but the effluvium thereof, we might have more reason to believe that from this attraction, and by this effluxion, bodies tended to the earth, and could not remain above it.3

Our other discourse of electricks concerneth a general opinion touching jet and amber, that they attract all light bodies, except ocymum or basil, and such as be dipped in oil or oiled; and this is urged as high as Theophrastus. But Scaliger acquitteth him; and had this been his assertion, Pliny would probably have taken it up, who herein stands out, and delivereth no more but what is sulgarly known. But Plutarch speaks positively in his Symposiacks, that amber attracteth all bodies, excepting basil and oiled substances. With Plutarch consent many authors, both ancient and modern; but the most inexcusable are Lemnius and Rueus: whereof the one, delivering the nature of minerals mentioned in Scripture, the infallible fountain of truth, confirmeth their virtues with erroneous traditions; the other, undertaking the occult and hidden miracles of nature, accepteth this for

And if the ground, &c.] That there is a constant breathing of the earth every twelve houres, where itt may easily break forthe, as in the botome of the ocean, is more than probable by the rising of the seas every twelve houres, which wee call the flow, which when it is lifted up by the volubility of its nature, is apt to follow the leading of the moone, but is not raised by itt, because itt keeps a constant course, if there be no strong impediment, as well when she is under, as when above the earthe.— W_r .

one, and endeavoureth to allege a reason of that which is more than occult, that is, not existent.

Now herein, omitting the authority of others, as the doctrine of experiment hath informed us, we first affirm, that amber attracts not basil is wholly repugnant unto truth. For if the leaves thereof or dried stalks be stripped into small straws, they arise unto amber, wax, and other electricks, no otherwise than those of wheat and rye; nor is there any peculiar fatness or singular viscosity in that plant that might cause adhesion, and so prevent its ascension. But that jet and amber attract not straws oiled, is in part true and false; for, if the straws be much wet or drenched in oil, true it is that amber draweth them not, for then the oil makes the straw to adhere unto the part whereon they are placed, so that they cannot rise unto the attractor; and this is true, not only if they be soaked in oil, but spirits of wine or water. But if we speak of straws or festucous divisions lightly drawn over with oil, and so that it causeth no adhesion, or if we conceive an antipathy between oil and amber, the doctrine is not true; for amber will attract straws thus oiled, it will convert the needles of dials made either of brass or iron. although they be much oiled; for in these needles consisting free upon their centre, there can be no adhesion. It will likewise attract oil itself, and if it approacheth unto a drop thereof, it becomethe conical, and ariseth up unto it, for oil taketh not away his attraction, although it be rubbed over For if you touch a piece of wax, already excitated, with common oil, it will, notwithstanding, attract, though, not so vigorously as before; but if you moisten the same with any chemical oil, water, or spirits of wine, or only breathe upon it, it quite amits its attraction, for either its effluences cannot get through, or will not mingle with those substances.

It is likewise probable the ancients were mistaken concerning its substance and generation: they conceiving it a vegetable concretion made of the gums of trees, especially pine and poplar, falling into the water, and after, indurated or hardened, whereunto accordeth the fable of Phaeton's sisters. But surely the concretion is mineral, according as is delivered by Boëtius. For either it is found in mountains

⁴ it becometh.] i. e. the oyle becometh.—Wr.

and mediterraneous parts, and so it is a fat and unctuous sublimation in the earth, concreted and fixed by salt and nitrous spirits wherewith it meeteth. Or else, which is most usual, it is collected upon the sea shore, and so it is a fat and bituinious juice coagulated by the saltness of the sea.

⁵ It is likewise probable, &c. The whole progress of subsequent, and especially of recent observations and experiments on amber, has tended to show that the older was the more correct opinion; and that Sir Thomas concluded too hastily from its being found on the sea-shore, and even in deep mines, that its origin could not be vegetable. Brongniart and Leman (distinguished French mineralogists), both consider it a vegetable juice concreted—partly by the lapse of time—and modified by its subterraneous locality. It is found in the greatest abundance in beds of fossilized timber, at considerable depth, and beneath several other strata, near the coast of Prussia: it occurs there in the very midst of the timber—which appears to have produced it. remarks, that a crust of dirt and other foreign substances, is often found on the surface of amber, like that which is contracted by vegetable gum in flowing over the bark of the tree, or falling on the ground. Specimens found on the sea-shore, or (occasionally) in alluwial deposits, are usually free from the crust. It is to be supposed that amber may have been the gum of a now extinct tree. This implied antiquity has been argued from the class of formations in which it is most copiously met with, and from the fact that the insects, &c. inclosed in it, are not the recent species, nor even analogous to those now existing in the same spot, tropical genera being found in the amber of northern latitudes. It may be admitted as probable, that we possess the ambers of several different trees: for very distinct varieties of it are known; one of which is noticed by Brongniart as destitute of the succinic acid, which he considers the chief criterion by which amber is distinguishable from mellite, and the fossilized resins, and from gum copal. Its original fluidity is unquestionable, from the delicacy of many species found in it.

The author of the article AMBER, in the Encyc. Brit. considers it rather likely to have been softened by the action of the sun than to have been ever liquid. One of the reasons adduced, seems to oppose rather than to support this opinion. "Drops of clear water are sometimes preserved in amber. These have doubtless been received into it. while soft, &c." More probably when fluid. The same writer mentions an assertion of Girtanner, that amber is an "animal product-a sort of honey or wax formed by the red ant, formica rufa." But after detailing some of Girtanner's observations, he represents his opinion as being that "amber is nothing but a vegetable oil, rendered concrete by the acid of ants." The article contains other incorrect statements :that amber is the basis of all varnishes; and that "it seems generally agreed upon, that amber is a true hitumen of a fossil origin." This might be more generally the opinion when the article was first written -but is not so now; and therefore it ought not to have remained unaltered in the edition now publishing of the Euc. Brit., in which the

Now, that salt spirits have a power to congeal and coagulate unctuous bodies, is evident in chymical operations; in the distillations of arsenick, sublimate, and antimony; in themixture of oil of juniper with the salt and acid spirit of sulphur; for thereupon ensueth a concretion unto the consistence of birdlime; as also in spirits of salt, or aqua fortis poured upon oil of olive, or more plainly in the manufacture of soap. And many bodies will coagulate upon commixture, whose separated natures promise no concretion. Thus, upon a solution of tin by aqua fortis, there will ensue a coagulation, like that of whites of eggs. Thus, the volatile salt of urine will coagulate aqua vite, or spirits of wine; and thus, perhaps, as Helmont excellently declareth, the stones or calculous concretions in kidney or bladder may be produced, the spirits or volatile salt of urine conjoining with the aqua

article appears nearly in its former state;—some paragraphs omitted, but no addition—no correction—no remodelling.

Patrin supposes it to be honey, gradually bitumenized by the action

of certain mineral acids.

One of the most celebrated modern experimental philosophers, Sir David Brewster, from a series of experiments on the optical properties of amber, has arrived at a conclusion precisely in accordance with the opinion of the ancients, viz. that it is "beyond a doubt an indurated vegetable juice;" and he observes, "that the traces of a regular structure, indicated by its action upon polarised light, are not the effect of the ordinary laws of crystallisation by which mellite has been formed, but are produced by the same causes which influence the mechanical condition of gum arabic, and other gums which are known to be formed by the successive deposition and induration of vegetable fluids."

An interesting addition to the above authorities, in support of the vegetable origin of amber, occurs in a paper of Dr. Mac Culloch's, in the Quarterly Journal of Science, &c. vol. xvi. p. 41. His leading object is to point out the readiest mode of distinguishing those specimens of gum copal, animi, and perhaps other resins enclosing insects, which are sometimes offered for sale as amber. On the fact of insects being often found in amber, Dr. M. mainly insists, as the proof of its vegetable origin, especially when viewed in connection with similar enclosures in unfossilized resins. He proceeds to a chemical examination and comparison of amber with similar bodies, and ends by saying, "from these analogies we may, perhaps, safely conclude, that amber has been a vegetable resin converted to its present state during the same time and by the same causes which have converted common vegetable matter into jet, and, perhaps, ultimately into coal."

6 aqua vita.] Some March beere or very stale wil turne aqua vita

into the shape of whey.—Wr.

vitæ potentially lying therein; as he illustrateth from the distillation of fermented urine; from whence ariseth an aqua vitæ or spirit, which the volatile salt of the same urine will congeal, and finding an earthy concurrence, strike into a lapideous substance.

Lastly, we will not omit what Bellabonus, upon his own experiment, writ from Dantzick, unto Mellichius, as he hath left recorded in his chapter *De Succino*, that the bodies of flies, pismires, and the like, which are said ofttimes to be included in amber, are not real, but representative, as he discovered in several pieces broke for that purpose. If so, the two famous epigrams hereof in *Martial* are but poetical, the pismire of Brassavolus, imaginary, and Cardan's mausoleum for a fly, a mere fancy. But hereunto we know not how to assent, as having met with some whose reals make good their representments.

⁷ representments.] Avicen affirms that ambar appeares plentifully in hot countries (as the south parts of Arabia Felix, neare the sea), especially after great earthquakes, which makes good the assertion [that it is most usually collected on the sea shore]. Whence it is most probable that at the eruption thereof, itt might involve and consequently intumulate Martial's viper and Cardan's fiye.—Wr.

The dean's fancy seems to have been running upon a mineral rendered fluid by heat; it might have occurred to him, that "Messrs. the viper and flye," would, in such a bath, have been more than intumulated;—they would have suffered incineration! There is, however, no accounting for the fables of antiquity, or the fancy of poets. The fabulous origin of amber, from the tears of the sister of Phaeton, lamenting his fate on the banks of Eridanus, is celebrated in Martial's Epigram on the bee in amber. But unfortunately for the poet, no authentic instance is said to have occurred of that insect having been found in amber. Sir Thomas, however, is quite correct in asserting the reality of man specimens of insects, &c. which have been found in it

CHAPTER V.

Compendiously of sundry other common tenets concerning minerals and terreous bodics, which, examined, prove either false or dubious.—That a diamond is softened or broken by the blood of a goat; that glass is posson, and that it is malleable; of the cordial quality of gold; that a pot full of askes will contain as much water as it would without them; of white powder that kills without report; that coral is soft under water, but hardeneth in the air; that porcelain lies under the earth an hundred years in preparation; that a carbuncle gives a light in the dark, of the eagle stone; of fairy stones; with some others.

1. And, first, we hear it in every mouth, and in many good authors read it, that a diamond, which is the hardest of stones, not vielding unto steel, emery, or any thing but its own powder, is yet made soft, or broke by the blood of a goat. Thus much is affirmed by Pliny, Solinus, Albertus, Cyprian, Austin, Isidore, and many christian writers: alluding herein unto the heart of man, and the precious blood of our Saviour, who was typified by the goat that was slain, and the scapegoat in the wilderness: and at the effusion of whose blood, not only the hard hearts of his enemies relented. but the stony rocks and vail of the temple were shattered. But this, I perceive, is easier affirmed than proved. For lapidaries, and such as profess the art of cutting this stone. do generally deny it; and they that seem to countenance it have in their deliveries so qualified it, that little from thence of moment can be inferred for it. For first, the holy fathers, without a further enquiry, did take it for granted, and rested upon the authority of the first deliverers. As for Albertus, he promiseth this effect, but conditionally, not except the goat drink wine, and be fed with siler montanum, petroselinum, and such herbs as are conceived of power to break the stone in the bladder. But the words of Pliny, from whom most likely the rest at first derived it, if strictly considered, do rather overthrow, than any way advantage this effect. His words are these: Hircino rumpitur sanguine, nec aliter quam recenti, calidoque macerata, et sic quoque multis ictibus, tunc etiam præterquam eximias incudes malleosque ferreos frangens. That is, it is broken with goat's blood, but not except it be fresh and

warm, and that not without many blows, and then also it will break the best anvils and hammers of iron. And answerable hereto is the assertion of Isidore and Solinus. By which account, a diamond steeped in goat's blood rather increaseth in hardness, than acquireth any softness by the infusion, for the best we have are comminuible without it, and are so far from breaking hammers, that they submit unto pistillation, and resist not an ordinary pestle.

Upon this conceit arose, perhaps, the discovery of another -that the blood of a goat was sovereign for the stone; as it stands commended by many good writers, and brings up the composition in the powder of Nicolaus,* and the electuary of the queen of Colein. Or rather, because it was found an excellent medicine for the stone, and its ability commended by some to dissolve the hardest thereof, it might be conceived by amplifying apprehensions to be able to break a diamond; and so it came to be ordered that the goat should be fed with saxifragous herbs, and such as are conceived of power to break the stone. However it were, as the effect is false in the one, so is it, surely, very doubtful in the other. For, although inwardly received, it may be very diuretic, and expulse the stone in the kidneys, yet how it should dissolve or break that in the bladder, will require a further dispute; and, perhaps, would be more reasonably tried by a warm injection thereof, than as it is commonly used. Wherein, notwithstanding, we should rather rely upon the urine in a castling's bladder, a resolution of crabs' eyes, or the second distillation of urine, as Helmont hath commended; or rather (if any such might be found) a chylifactory menstruum or digestive preparation, drawn from species or individuals whose stomachs peculiarly dissolve lapideous bodies.

2. That glass is poison, according unto common conceit, I know not how to grant. Not only from the innocency of

⁸ 1. And first, &c.] Nothing can put Ross out of conceit with "the ancients." Though he admits the fact that diamonds are mastered by hammers, and not, as asserted by the ancients, softened by goat's blood; yet doth he not a whit the less believe this assertion as applied to adamant, of which, he says, there were divers kinds.—Arcana, p. 196.

its ingredients, that is, fine sand, and the ashes of glass-wort or fern, which in themselves are harmless and useful, or because I find it by many commended for the stone, but also from experience, as having given unto dogs above a dram thereof, subtilely powdered in butter and paste, without any visible disturbance.⁹

The conceit is surely grounded upon the visible mischief of glass grossly or coarsely powdered, for that indeed is mortally noxious, and effectually used by some to destroy mice and rats; for, by reason of its acuteness and angularity, it commonly excoriates the parts through which it passeth, and solicits them unto a continual expulsion. Whereupon there ensue fearful symptoms, not much unlike those which attend the action of poison. From whence. notwithstanding, we cannot with propriety impose upon it that name, either by occult or elementary quality, which he that concedeth will much enlarge the catalogues or lists of poisons. For many things neither deleterious by substance or quality, are yet destructive by figure, or some occasional activity. So are leeches destructive, and by some accounted poison; not properly, that is, by temperamental contrariety, occult form, or so much as elemental repugnancy; but because, being inwardly taken, they fasten upon the veins and occasion an effusion of blood, which cannot be easily staunched. So a sponge is mischievous, not in itself, for in its powder it is harmless; but because, being received into the stomach it swelleth, and occasioning a continual distention, induceth a strangulation.¹ So pins, needles, ears of rye or barley may be poison.² So Daniel destroyed the

⁹ without any visible disturbance.] Edit. 1646 adds, "And the trial thereof we the rather did make in that animal, because Grevinus, in his Treatise of Poisons, affirmeth that dogs are inevitably destroyed thereby."—p. 84.

¹ So a sponge is mischievous, &c.] As to a dog, soakt in butter or grease.— \overline{Wr} .

² ears of rye or barley, &c.] A very remarkable and affecting proof of the truth of this observation occurred a few years ago in the family of the present Earl of Morley. His lordship's eldest son, Lord Boringdon, then in the twelfth year of his age, in the course of an evening walk with his father and brother, on the 17th of July, 1817, put an ear of rye into his mouth; and it appears that within a few seconds afterwards, it had become out of the power of man to, save his life.

dragon by a composition of three things, whereof none was poison alone, nor properly altogether; that is, pitch, fat, and hair, according as is expressed in the history. "Then Daniel took pitch, and fat, and hair, and did seethe them together, and made lumps thereof; these he put in the dragon's mouth, and so he burst asunder." That is, the fat and pitch being cleaving bodies, and the hair continually ex-

The lower part of the ear first entered the windpipe, and after the first fit of coughing, which lasted about five or six minutes, no more inconvenience was felt. He was about half a mile from home when the accident happened;—he walked gently home. Dr. Heath, who immediately saw him, gave him some bread, which he swallowed without difficulty. It was hoped that he had, in the field, unknowingly coughed up the corn, or that it had passed into the stomach. It appears that the ear of rye passed gently through the whole of the lungs without producing any great effect. It was at the very bottom of the lungs, where it ultimately lodged, that on the fourth day from the accident, it injured a vessel, and occasioned a hæmorrhage. In this situation it caused an abscess in the lower part of the lungs and liver, which terminated fatally on the 1st of November.

It will readily be supposed that nothing which medical skill could devise was omitted. Dr. Spurzheim and Dr. Roberton of Paris, Dr. Young and other distinguished medical men, assisted Dr. Heath. Not only the extreme rarity of the case, but the amiable character and high rank of the patient secured to him all that human ingenuity could effect. And it was a consolation to the family to ascertain, by subsequent investigation, that had the exact nature of the injury been known at the very first, no materially different treatment could have been adopted.

This account has been sketched from a highly interesting and very detailed narrative in MS. in the possession of the family, with which I have been favoured through the kind intervention of a friend.

³ Then Daniel took, &c.] Ctesias makes mention of a horse-pismire (i. e. the bigger kind of them in hollow trees) which was fed by the magi till hee grew to such a vast bulke as to devour two pound of flesh a daye. This story might possibly relate to Daniel's dragon, which was before his time at least one hundred and ninety years. For hee wrote in the 94th Olympiade, whereas the captivitye was in the 43rd.—Wr.

The gravity of Sir Thomas's burlesque explanation of this apochryphal story (for he cannot for a moment be considered as speaking servously) is happily imitated in the preceding note by the dean, whose delectable quotation from Ctesias (supported by a grave chronological computation) supplies the only point omitted by our author; viz. a conjecture as to the species of the creature who is said to have received, with so good a grace, the boluses of the prophet. Who will hesitate to admit the probability of the dean's suggestion, that the dragon of Daniel was no other than the horse-pismire of Ctesias?

timulating the parts, by the action of the one nature was provoked to expel, but by the tenacity of the other forced to retain; so that, there being left no passage in or out, the dragon brake in pieces. It must, therefore, be taken of grossly-powdered glass, what is delivered by Grevinus: and from the same must that mortal dysentery proceed which is related by Sanctorius. And in the same sense only shall we allow a diamond to be poison; and whereby, as some relate, Paracelsus himself was poisoned. So, even the precious fragments and cordial gems, which are of frequent use in physic, and in themselves confessed of useful faculties, received in gross and angular powders, may so offend the bowels, as to procure desperate languors, or cause most dangerous fluxes.

That glass may be rendered malleable and pliable unto the hammer many conceive, and some make little doubt, when they read in Dio, Pliny, and Petronius, that one unhappily effected it for Tiberius; 3 which, notwithstanding, must needs seem strange unto such as consider that bodies are ductile from a tenacious humidity, which so holdeth the parts together, that, though they dilate or extend, they part not from each other; -that bodies run into glass when the volatile parts are exhaled, and the continuating humour separated, the salt and earth (that is, the fixed parts) remaining; and therefore vitrification maketh bodies brittle, as destroying the viscous humours which hinder the disruption of parts. Which may be verified even in the bodies of metals; for glass of lead or tin is fragile, when that glutinous sulphur hath been fired out which made their bodies ductile.

He that would most probably attempt it, must experiment upon gold, whose fixed and flying parts are so conjoined, whose sulphur and continuating principle is so united unto the salt, that some may be hoped to remain to hinder fragility after vitrification. But how to proceed, though after frequent corrosion, as that upon the agency of fire it should

³ one unhappily effected it, &c.] Unhappily, because Tiberius put the artist to death for his performance. No explanation, however, is given by Dion Cassius of the mode in which he was said to have rendered whole a glass which he had broken.

171

not revive into its proper body before it comes to vitrify, will prove no easy discovery.4

3. That gold inwardly taken, either in substance, infusion, decoction, or extinction,5 is a cordial of great efficacy, in sundry medical uses, although a practice much used, is also much questioned, and by no man determined beyond dispute.6 There are, hereof, I perceive, two extreme opinions; some excessively magnifying it, and probably beyond its deserts; others extremely vilifying it, and perhaps below its Some affirming it a powerful medicine in many demerits. diseases; others averring that so used, it is effectual in none: and in this number are very eminent physicians, Erastus, Duretus, Rondeletius, Brassavolus, and many other; who, beside the strigments⁷ and sudorous adhesions from men's hands, acknowledge that nothing proceedeth from gold in the usual decoction thereof. Now the capital reason that led men unto this opinion, was their observation of the inse-

⁵ extinction.] He refers probably to taking a liquid in which gold heated red hot has been extinguished.

7 strigments.] Scrapings. Here again is a coinage of the author's, for which he is his own sole authority.

⁴ no easy discovery.] The two preceding paragraphs were added in the 2nd edition.

⁶ That gold, &c.] The whole of this examination of the question, how far gold is available as a medicine, is conducted with our author's usual acuteness and caution; and is remarkable as much for the candour with which he confesses his want of data whereby to determine the question, as for the extensive acquaintance he displays with what had been said by others. With all the advantages of subsequent experiment during nearly two centuries, it does not appear that this most precious metal has taken a prominent place among the medicines of the present day. Dr. Block, of Berlin, informs us, in his Medicinische Bemerkungen, that he has given, in obstinate constipations of the bowels, when unattended with pains or inflammation, not only pills of lead, but also of gold, with the best success, after every usual method has been resorted to in vain; whence it appeared to him that such remedies acted merely by their specific gravity. An eminent medical friend, of whom I have recently enquired, whether the chloride of gold is used in France, has favoured me with the following reply: "The chloride of gold has for several years past been used as a medicine in Paris, and its virtues much vaunted of by individuals for the cure of venereal and many other diseases; but it has not received corresponding support from French practitioners generally, and in this country I do not remember that it has been extensively tried in practice." The chloride of gold is the red tincture of gold, which was originally prepared by Glauber.

parable nature of gold, it being excluded in the same quantity as it was received, without alteration of parts, or dimi-

nution of its gravity.

Now, herein to deliver somewhat, which in a middle way may be entertained: we first affirm, that the substance of gold is invincible by the powerfullest action of natural heat; and that not only alimentally in a substantial mutation, but also medicamentally in any corporeal conversion; as is very evident, not only in the swallowing of golden bullets, but in the lesser and foliate divisions thereof; passing the stomach and guts even as it doth the throat, that is, without abatement of weight or consistence; so that it entereth not the veins with those electuaries wherein it is mixed; but taketh leave of the permeant parts, at the mouths of the mesaraicks, or lacteal vessels, and accompanieth the inconvertible portion unto the siege. Nor is its substantial conversion expectable in any composition or aliment wherein it is taken. therefore that was truly a starving absurdity which befel the wishes of Midas. And little credit there is to be given to the golden hen, related by Wendlerus. And so in the extinction of gold, we must not conceive it parteth with any of its salt or dissoluble principle thereby, as we may affirm of iron; for the parts thereof are fixed beyond division; nor will they separate upon the strongest test of fire. affirm of pure gold; for that which is current and passeth in stamp amongst us, by reason of its alloy, which is a proportion of silver or copper mixed therewith, is actually dequantitated by fire, and possibly by frequent extinction.

Secondly, although the substance of gold be not immuted, or its gravity sensibly decreased, yet that from thence some virtue may proceed either in substantial reception or infusion, we cannot safely deny. For possible it is that bodies may emit virtue and operation without abatement of weight; as is most evident in the loadstone, whose effluencies are continual and communicable without a minoration of gravity; and the like is observable in bodies electrical, whose emissions are less subtile. So will a diamond or sapphire emit an effluvium sufficient to move the needle or a straw, without diminution of weight. Nor will polished amber, although it send forth a gross and corporeal exhalement, be found a long time defective upon the exactest scales; which

is more easily conceivable in a continued and tenacious effluvium, whereof a great part retreats into its body.

Thirdly, if amulets do work by emanations from their bodies, upon those parts whereunto they are appended, and are not yet observed to abate their weight; if they produce visible and real effects by imponderous and invisible emissions, it may be unjust to deny the possible efficacy of gold, in the non-omission of weight, or dependition of any pon-

derous particles.8

Lastly, since stibium, or glass of antimony, since also its regulus will manifestly communicate unto water or wine a purging and vomitory operation, and yet the body itself, though after iterated infusions, cannot be found to abate either virtue or weight: we shall not deny but gold may do the like, that is, impart some effluences unto the infusion, which carry with them the separable subtilities thereof.9

8 Thirdly, if amulets, &c.] This paragraph is so cautiously worded, by virtue of the little if, as to convey a proposition at once safe and undeniable. But, like many other cautious propositions, it says nothing. The questions remain, what amulets do "produce visible and real effects?"-whether these "work by emanations?"-and whether they do so without "abating their weight?" Though the Hon. Robt. Boyle was pleased to attribute the cure of an hæmorrhage to wearing "some moss from a dead man's skull," our readers will probably be inclined to indulge a good deal of scepticism as to the efficacy of such charms. Camphor, volatile alkali, pungent acids, &c. which are often used, and perhaps efficaciously, as repellents of contagion, can scarcely be termed amulets; and if they are so, they most certainly do not come within Sir Thomas's definition, as "not abating their weight by emanations." The Abbé Pluche speaks of the origin of amulets, properly so called, in his Histoire du Ciel, 12mo. tom. i. p. 360. See also a very curious little work on amulets, by Petr. Frid. Arpe, entitled De prodigiosis Natura et Artis Operibus Talismanes et Amuleta dictis, 12mo. Hamburgi. 1717.

⁹ Lastly, since stibium, &c.] The antimoniall cupp was anciently in domestic medicine, on the double principle here stated, by which the metal, without losing its bulk, imparted to the wine poured into it the desired property. There occurs in the Gentleman's Magazine (vol. CII. pt. i. p. 581), a curious account of one of these "cupps." It is made of the regulus of antimony, cast in a mould; is about two inches high by about as many in diameter, and holds about four ounces; is contained in a leathern box; within are written directions for its use, prefaced by a full announcement of the "vertues of the cupp," together with some Latin and English verses. The process of preparing the cup for use

That therefore this metal thus received hath any undeniable effect, we shall not imperiously determine, although. beside the former experiments, many more may induce us to believe it. But, since the point is dubious and not yet authentically decided, it will be no discretion to depend on disputable remedies; but rather, in cases of known danger. to have recourse unto medicines of known and approved activity. For, beside the benefit accruing unto the sick. hereby may be avoided a gross and frequent error, commonly committed in the use of doubtful remedies conjointly with those which are of approved virtues, that is, to impute the cure unto the conceited remedy, or place it on that whereon they place their opinion; whose operation, although it be nothing, or its concurrence not considerable, yet doth it obtain the name of the whole cure, and carrieth often the honour of the capital energy, which had no finger in it.

Herein exact and critical trial should be made by public enjoinment, whereby determination might be settled beyond debate; for, since thereby not only the bodies of men, but great treasures might be preserved, it is not only an error of physics, but folly of state, to doubt thereof any longer.1

4. That a pot full of ashes will still contain as much water as it would without them, although by Aristotle in his problems taken for granted, and so received by most, is not effectable upon the strictest experiment I could ever make. For when the airy interstices are filled, and as much of the salt of the ashes as the water will imbibe is dissolved, there remains a gross and terreous portion at the bottom, which will possess a space by itself, according whereto, there will remain a quantity of water not receivable: so will it come to pass in a pot of salt, although decrepitated: 2 and so also in a pot of snow; for so much it will want in reception, as its solution taketh up, according unto the bulk whereof, there will remain a portion of water not to be admitted: so a

was either by letting wine stand for a certain time in it, or (if it was required to antimonize more wine than the cup would contain), by plunging the cup into the requisite quantity of wine. Regulus of antimony was also anciently used in the form of pills, which, it is asserted, were, by some frugal persons, re-employed as often as they could be recovered!

¹ Herein, &c.] Added in the 2nd edition.
² decrepitated.] Calcined till it has ceased to crackle.

glass stuffed with pieces of sponge will want about a sixth part of what it would receive without it: so sugar will not dissolve beyond the capacity of the water, nor a metal in aqua fortis be corroded beyond its reception; and so a pint of salt of tartar, exposed unto a moist air until it dissolve, will make far more liquor, or, as some term it, oil, than the former measure will contain.

Not is it only the exclusion of air by water, or repletion of cavities possessed thereby, which causeth a pot of ashes to admit so great a quantity of water, but also the solution of the salt of the ashes into the body of the dissolvent: so a pot of ashes will receive somewhat more of hot water than of cold, for the warm water imbibeth more of the salt; and a vessel of ashes more than one of pin-dust or filings of iron; and a glass full of water will yet drink in a proportion of salt or sugar without overflowing.

Nevertheless, to make the experiment with most advantage, and in which sense it approacheth nearest the truth, it must be made in ashes thoroughly burnt and well reverberated by fire, after the salt thereof hath been drawn out by iterated decoctions. For then the body, being reduced nearer unto earth, and emptied of all other principles, which had former ingression unto it, becometh more porous, and greedily drinketh in water. He that hath beheld what quantity of lead the test of saltless ashes will imbibe, upon the refining of silver, hath encouragement to think it will do very much more in water.³

5. Of white powder, and such as is discharged without report,⁴ there is no small noise in the world; but how far agreeable unto truth, few, I perceive, are able to determine. Herein therefore, to satisfy the doubts of some and amuse

³ Nevertheless, &c.] Added in 2nd edition.

^{4 5} Of white powder, &c.] The nearest approach to white powder is the fulminating powder, in which carbonate of potash is substituted for charcoal: the composition being three parts of nitre, two of carbonate of potash, and one of sulphur.—Ure's Dictionary of Chemistry.

But this detonates more loudly than gunpowder. The error which it was our author's object to correct here, was that of expecting an effective gunpowder (of whatever colour) which should be "without report." He justly observes, that, even admitting the probability of making a "white powder,"—"and such an one as may give no report,"—it would "be of little force, and the effects thereof no way to be feared."

the credulity of others, we first declare, that gunpowder consisteth of three ingredients, saltpetre, small-coal, and brimstone. Saltpetre, although it be also natural and found in several places, yet is that of common use an artificial salt, drawn from the infusion of salt earth, as that of stales, stables, dove-houses, cellars, and other covered places, where the rain can neither dissolve, nor the sun approach to resolve it: brimstone is a mineral body of fat and inflammable parts, and this is either used crude, and called sulphur vive, and is

5 we first declare, &c.] The account here given of gunpowder is upon the whole accurate; especially if we allow for the unsettled state of philosophical language at that time, which makes it sometimes difficult to feel assured of Sir Thomas's precise meaning. He was evidently aware of the necessity of employing pure ingredients in the composition of gunpowder; observing that "powder which is made of impure and greasy petre hath but a weak emission, and giveth a faint report;" and again, "that the best way to alter the noise and strength of the discharge, consists in the quality of the nitre." He assigns, with sufficient correctness, to its constituents their respective share in the general results, when he ascribes to the charcoal the "quick accension [ignition]" to the sulphur the "piercing and powerful firing," and to the nitre the "force and the report."—Modern experiment has shown that the detonation or explosion of gunpowder is attributable to the nitre, when combined with inflammable substances, viz. the sulphur and -charcoal; and arises from the sudden extrication, by combustion, of nitrogen and carbonic acid gases, which expand to a volume about two thousand times greater than that originally occupied by the powder.-The opinions of Carden and Snellius, quoted by our author, as to the degree of expansion, are erroneous. In describing the mixture of the three ingredients of gunpowder, Sir Thomas has named proportions very different from those now adopted. Barrow informs us, that the Chinese soldiery make their gunpowder (for it is there the duty of every soldier to prepare his own) in the proportion of 50lbs. of nitre to 25lbs. each of sulphur and charcoal: but the modern practice is to employ about 75 of nitre and 15 (or 16) of charcoal to 10 (or 9) of sulphur; varying the relations between the two last, according as the object is to produce a powder of greater durability or of greater strength; more usually the sulphur has been increased, and the carbon lessened—in order to obtain a more lasting article, by a slight sacrifice of strength-which may readily be compensated by increasing the charge.

⁶ Saltpetre, although it be also natural, &c.] Native saltpetre, or nitre (nitrate of potash) occurs in crusts and capillary crystals, in Spain, France, Italy, and Hungary; in Arabia, Persia, and India; at the Cape of Good Hope, in the mountains of Kentucky, and near Lima in South America. But not being naturally produced in sufficient quantity, it is obtained artificially, in what are termed nitre-beds, as is described by

Thenard (Traité de Chimie, ii. 511.)

of a sadder colour, or, after depuration, such as we have in magdaleons' or rolls, of a lighter yellow: small-coal' is known unto all, and for this use is made of sallow, willow, alder, hazel, and the like:—which three, proportionably mixed, tempered, and formed into granulary bodies, do make up that

powder which is in use for guns.

Now all these, although they bear a share in the discharge, yet have they distinct intentions, and different offices in the composition. From brimstone proceedeth the piercing and powerful firing; for small-coal and petre together will only spit, nor vigorously continue the ignition. From smallcoal ensueth the black colour and quick accension; for neither brimstone nor petre, although in powder, will take fire like small-coal, nor will they easily kindle upon the sparks of a flint; as neither will camphor, a body very inflammable; but small-coal is equivalent to tinder, and serveth to light the sulphur; it may also serve to diffuse the ignition through every part of the mixture; and being of more gross and fixed parts, may seem to moderate the activity of saltpetre, and prevent too hasty rarefaction.8 From saltpetre proceedeth the force and the report; for sulphur and smallcoal mixed will not take fire with noise or exilition,9 and powder which is made of impure and greasy petre hath but a weak emission, and giveth a faint report. And therefore, in the three sorts of powder, the strongest containeth saltpetre, and the proportion thereof is about ten parts of petre unto one of coal and sulphur.

But the immediate cause of the report is the vehement commotion of the air, upon the sudden and violent eruption of the powder; for that being suddenly fired, and almost altogether, upon this high rarefaction requireth by many degrees a greater space than before its body occupied; but finding resistance, it actively forceth his way, and by concussion of the air occasioneth the report. Now with what

⁷ small-coal.] The old term for charcoal. For magdaleon, see note at p. 150.

[§] it may also, &c.] Added in 2nd edition. That charcoal serves as a diffusing medium to facilicate ignition is true; but it is not easy to see how it can operate to "moderate the activity of saltpetre."

^{*} exilition.] "The act of springing out suddenly." The present passage is Johnson's sole authority.

violence it forceth upon the air, may easily be conceived, if we admit, what Cardan affirmeth, that the powder fired doth occupy an hundred times a greater space than its own bulk; or rather what Snellius more exactly accounteth, that it exceedeth its former space no less than 12,500 times. And this is the reason not only of this fulminating report of guns. but may resolve the cause of those terrible cracks, and affrighting noises of heaven; that is, the nitrous and sulphureous exhalations, set on fire in the clouds; whereupon requiring a larger place, they force out their way, not only with the breaking of the cloud, but the laceration of the air about it. When, if the matter be spirituous, and the cloud compact, the noise is great and terrible: if the cloud be thin, and the materials weak, the eruption is languid, ending in corruscations and flashes without noise, although but at the distance of two miles; which is esteemed the remotest distance of clouds.² And, therefore, such lightnings do seldom

If it be admitted, however, that the ideas of Sir Thomas on the point were not far from the truth; it must, on the other hand, be confessed that he has clothed them in language not only unphilosophical, but most ambiguous, when he speaks of "the breaking of the clouds, and laceration of the air,"—and of "the matter being spirituous, and the clouds compact; or "the clouds thin and the materials weak."

² the remotest distance of clouds.] The average height of clouds scarcely exceeds a mile, or a mile and half. And many (especially

And this is the reason, &c. In his comparison of gunpowder with lightning, our author proposes an opinion which was maintained by his great contemporary, Dr. Wallis; who considered their effects so similar, that they might, without hesitation, be ascribed to the same cause. The discovery of electricity, and the identity of lightning with the electric fluid, was reserved for a century later:—but the philosophy of sound is substantially the same in both cases; for, although the immediate results of the ignition of gunpowder and of the discharge of electric fluid, are directly opposite, - being rarefaction in the one case, by the evolution of gases, and in the other condensation by the combination of other gases; and although the first results on the surrounding atmosphere are also opposite,—the air in the latter case advancing in order to occupy the vacuum created by condensation, and in the former retreating in order to afford the space required by rarefaction; -yet, the subsequent results in both cases are, alternate reactions of the particles of air, till its average density is regained. Hence it follows, that in both cases sound arises from the concussion, and consequent undulation (to use Professor Brande's term) occasioned by the respective explosion of gunpowder and of lightning.

any harm; and, therefore also, it is prodigious to have thunder in a clear sky, as is observably recorded in some histories 3

From the like cause may also proceed subterraneous thunders and earthquakes, when sulphureous and nitrous veins being fired upon rarefaction do force their way through bodies that resist them.4 Where, if the kindled matter be plentiful, and the mine close and firm about it, subversion of hills and towns doth sometimes follow: if scanty, weak, and the earth hollow, or porous, there only ensueth some faint concussion or tremulous and quaking motion. Surely, a main reason why the ancients were so imperfect in the doctrine of meteors, was their ignorance of gunpowder and fireworks, which best discover the causes of many thereof.5

Now, therefore, he that would destroy the report of powder, must work upon the petre; he that would exchange the colour, must think how to alter the small-coal; for the one, that is, to make white powder, it is surely many ways feasible: the best I know, is by the powder of rotten willows; spunk, or touch-wood prepared, might, perhaps, make it russet; and some, as Beringuccio affirmeth,* have promised to make it red: all which, notwithstanding, doth little con-

* In his Pyrotechnia.

thunder clouds), are suspended much lower; occasionally so low as

apparently to touch the ground.

and therefore, also, it is prodigious, &c.] In the fall of meteoric stones, flashes of fire are seen proceeding from a cloud, and a loud rattling noise like thunder is heard. These circumstances, and the sudden stroke and detonation ensuing, long caused them to be confounded with an effect of lightning, and called thunderbolts. But one circumstance is enough to mark the difference: the flash and sound have been perceived occasionally to emanate from a very small cloud insulated in a clear sky; which never happens in a thunder storm, but which is undoubtedly intimately connected with their real origin.—Herschel, Introductory Lecture, p. 120.

⁴ From the like cause, &c.] Lemery, in the beginning of the eighteenth century, tried the following experiment. He mixed a considerable quantity of sulphur, and iron filings, with water, into a paste; enveloped it in a cloth, and buried it in the earth, which he rammed firmly about it. In a few hours the ground swelled and cracked, and sulphureous exhalations, accompanied with flame, made their appearance. In short he succeeded in producing, in miniature, an artificial

volcano.

⁵ thereof.] This paragraph was added in the 2nd edition.

BOOK II.

cern the report; for that, as we have showed, depends on another ingredient; and, therefore, also, under the colour of black, this principle is very variable; for it is made not only by willow, alder, hazel, &c., but some above all commend the coals of flax and rushes, and some also contend the same may be effected with tinder.

As for the other, that is, to destroy the report, it is reasonably attempted but two ways; either by quite leaving out, or else by silencing the saltpetre. How to abate the vigour thereof, or silence its bombulation, a way is promised by Porta, not only in general terms by some fat bodies, but in particular by borax and butter mixed in a due proportion; which, saith he, will so go off as scarce to be heard by the discharger; and indeed plentifully mixed, it will almost take off the report, and also the force of the charge. That it may be thus made without saltpetre, I have met with but one example, that is, of Alphonsus, Duke of Ferrara, who, in the relation of Brassavolus and Cardan,* invented such a powder as would discharge a bullet without report.

That therefore white powder there may be, there is no absurdity: that also such a one as may give no report we will not deny a possibility. But this, however contrived, either with or without saltpetre, will surely be of little force, and the effects thereof no way to be feared; for as it amits of report, so will it of effectual exclusion, and so the charge be of little force which is excluded. For this much is reported of that famous powder of Alphonsus, which was not of force enough to kill a chicken, according to the delivery of Brassavolus: jamque pulvis inventus est qui glandem sine bombo projicit, nec tamen vehementer ut vel pullum interficere possit.

It is not to be denied there are ways to discharge a bullet, not only with powder that makes no noise, but without any powder at all; as is done by water and wind-guns, but these afford no fulminating report, and depend on single principles. And even in ordinary powder there are pretended other ways to alter the noise and strength of the discharge; and the best, if not only way, consists in the quality of the nitre: for as for other ways which make either additions or altera-

tions in the powder or charge, I find therein no effect.6 That unto every pound of sulphur an adjection of one ounce of quicksilver, or unto every pound of petre, one ounce of sal armoniac, will much intend⁷ the force and consequently the report, as Beringuccio hath delivered, I find no success therein. That a piece of opium will dead the force and blow, as some have promised, I find herein no such peculiarity, no more than in any gum or viscose body; and as much effect there is to be found from scammony. That a bullet dipped in oil, by preventing the transpiration of air,8 will carry farther and pierce deeper, as Porta affirmeth, my experience cannot discern.9 That quicksilver is more destructive than shot, is surely not to be made out; 1 for it will scarce make any penetration, and discharged from a pistol will hardly pierce through a parchment. That vinegar, spirits of wine, or the distilled water of orangepeels, wherewith the powder is tempered, are more effectual unto the report than common water, as some do promise, I shall not affirm; but may assuredly more conduce unto the preservation and durance of the powder, as Cateneo* hath well observed.

That the heads of arrows and bullets have been discharged with that force, as to melt or grow red hot in their flight,² though commonly received, and taken up by Aristotle in his

* Avertimenti intorno a un Bombardiero.

⁶ for other ways, &c.] Quicklime, well dried and pulverized, is said, by the French translator of Henry's Epitome of Chemistry, to increase the explosive effect of gunpowder.

⁷ intend. Make more intense.

⁸ preventing the transpiration of air.] Its escape between the bullet and the side of the barrel. The definition of the term by Johnson seems quite inapplicable to the present passage, though he cites it as his authority.

⁹ That a bullet, &c.] If the bullet, especially a tampin [tampion] thus dipt, doe fitt the peece, soe as to be ramd in; this a most certaine experiment, mihi crede experto.—Wr.

¹ not to be made out.] I believe that of Porta concerning quicksilver, yf hee bee rightly understood: but hee did wel to put itt in such obscure terms, least itt should prove too pernicious.—Wr.

² That the heads of arrows and bullets, &c.] If a ball strike a plate of iron, it will be broken in pieces, and the pieces often found in a nearly fused state. But this heat is generated by the percussion, not by the motion.

Meteors, is not so easily allowable by any who shall consider, that a bullet of wax will mischief without melting; that an arrow or bullet discharged against linen or paper does not set them on fire; and hardly apprehend how³ an iron should grow red hot, since the swiftest motion at hand will not keep one red that hath been red by fire: as may be observed in swinging a red hot iron about, or fastening it into a wheel, which, under that motion, will sooner grow cold than without it. That a bullet also mounts upward upon the horizontal or point-blank discharge, many artists do not allow; who contend that it describeth a parabolical and bowing line by reason of its natural gravity inclining it always downward.⁴

But, beside the prevalence from saltpetre, 5 as master ingredient in the mixture, sulphur may hold a greater use in the composition, and further activity in the exclusion, than is by most conceived. For sulphur vive makes better powder than common sulphur, which nevertheless is of a quick accension. For small-coal, saltpetre, and camphor, made into powder will be of little force, wherein notwithstanding there wants not the accending ingredient. And camphor, though it flame well, yet will not flush so lively, or defecate saltpetre if you inject it thereon, like sulphur, as in the preparation of sal prunellæ. And, lastly, though many ways may be found to light this powder, yet is there none I know to make a strong and vigorous powder of saltpetre, without the admixtion of sulphur. Arsenic, red and yellow, that is orpiment and sandarach,6 may, perhaps, do something, as being inflammable and containing sulphur in them; but containing also a salt,

³ and hardly apprehend how.] "Neither will any readily apprehend how, &c."

⁴ That the heads, &c.] Added in the 2nd edition.

⁵ prevalence, &c.] Edit. 1646 reads, "prevalence to report from saltpetre by some antipathy or incummiscibility therewith upon the approach of fire."

o sandarach.] Nota differentiam inter Σανδαράκην et Σανδαράχην quam facili errore sed maximo vitæ periculo omittunt quidam medicastri: vide potas meas in voce apud eruditissimum Gorrhæum. Cum κ seriptum, significat, gummi Juniperi; cum Χ αυνίρήσησησησημος — primum salutare: secundum deleterium. Σανδαραξ étiam est vernigo pictoris quam e gummi Juniperi conficiunt; Σανδαράκη autem est, aliis Corinthus, aliis Erithace, aliis Propolis, apum cibus, sed amari saporis, in favis reperitur seorsim a melle positus: Græci onomastici hic muti sunt.— Wr.

and mercurial mixtion, they will be of little effect; and white or crystalline arsenic of less, for that being artificial and sublimed with salt, will not endure flammation.

This antipathy or contention between saltpetre and sulphur upon an actual fire, in their complete and distinct bodies, is also manifested in their preparations, and bodies which invisibly contain them. Thus in the preparation of crocus metallorum, the matter kindleth and flusheth like gunpowder; wherein, not withstanding, there is nothing but antimony and saltpetre. But this may proceed from the sulphur of antimony not enduring the society of saltpetre; for after three or four accensions, through a fresh addition of petre, the powder will flush no more, for the sulphur of the antimony is quite exhaled. Thus iron in aqua fortis will fall into ebullition, with noise and emication, as also a crass and fumid exhalation, which are caused from this combat of the sulphur of iron, which the acid and nitrous spirits of aqua fortis. So is it also in aurum fulminans, or powder of gold dissolved in aqua regis, and precipitated with oil of tartar, which will kindle without an actual fire, and afford a report like gunpowder; that is, not as Crollius* affirmeth, from any antipathy between sal armoniac and tartar, but rather between the nitrous spirits of aqua regis, commixed per minima with the sulphur of gold, as Sennertus hath observed.

6. That coral (which is a lithophyton or stone-plant, and groweth at the bottom of the sea) is soft under water, but waxeth hard in the air, although the assertion of Dioscorides, Pliny, and consequently Solinus, Isidore, Rueus, and many others, and stands believed by most, we have some reason to doubt, especially if we conceive with common believers, a total softness at the bottom, and this induration to be singly made by the air, not only from so sudden a petrifaction and strange induration, not easily made out from the qualities of air, but because we find it rejected by experimental enquiries. Johannes Beguinus, in his chapter of the Tincture of Coral,

⁷ antimony.] Sulphuret of antimony.

⁶ many others.] Ovid. Met. xv. 46.

[&]quot;Sic et coralium quo primum contigit auras, Tempore durescit; mollis fuit herba sub undis."—Jef.

undertakes to clear the world of this error, from the express experiment of John Baptista de Nicole, who was overseer of the gathering of coral upon the kingdom of Tunis. gentleman," saith he, "desirous to find the nature of coral, and to be resolved how it groweth at the bottom of the sea. caused a man to go down no less than a hundred fathom, with express [direction] to take notice whether it were hard or soft in the place where it groweth. Who returning, brought in each hand a branch of coral, affirming it was as hard at the bottom as in the air where he delivered it. The same was also confirmed by a trial of his own, handling it a fathom under water before it felt the air." Boëtius, in his accurate tract De Gemmis, is of the same opinion, not ascribing its concretion unto the air, but the coagulating spirits of salt, and lapidifical juice of the sea, which entering the parts of that plant, overcomes its vegetability, and converts it into a lapideous substance. And this, saith he, doth happen when the plant is ready to decay; for all coral is not hard, and in many concreted plants some parts remain unpetrified, that is, the quick and livelier parts remain as wood, and were never vet converted. Now, that plants and ligneous bodies may indurate under water without approachment of air, we have experiment in coralline, with many coralloidal concretions; and that little stony plant, which Mr. Johnson nameth hippuris coralloides, and Gesner, foliis mansu arenosis, we have found in fresh water, which is the less concretive portion of that element. We have also with us the visible petrification of wood in many waters, whereof so much as is covered with water converteth into stone; as much as is above it and in the air, retaineth the form of wood, and continueth as before.9

Now, though in a middle way we may concede, that some are soft, and others hard, yet, whether all coral were first a woody substance, and afterwards converted, or rather some thereof were never such, but from the sprouting spirit of salt were able even in their stony natures to ramify and send

⁹ and continueth, &c.] Neere the banke of Harwel, two miles from Oxon, under a stile and bridge, is a draine or drill in a ditch, out of which I took diverse small stickes, some nearly incrustated, and some petrefied.—Wr.

¹ Now, though, &c.] Added in 3rd edit.

forth branches, as is observable in some stones, in silver and metallic bodies, is not without some question. And such at least might some of those be, which Fiaravanti observed to grow upon bricks at the bottom of the sea, upon the coast of Barbary.²

² 6. That coral, &c.] It must, in the very nature of things, be occasionally the fate of him who challenges the soundness of any received opinion—especially on subjects but little understood—to take his stand on ground not less hollow, and even to make his attack from a position equally untenable. Thus has it happened to our author in the present case. He justly denounces as erroneous the popular opinion, "that coral is soft under water, but waxeth hard in the air; but seems not in the slightest degree aware of that much graver error, then prevalent, that it belongs to the mineral or vegetable, instead of the animal kingdom. But in this he erred not only with the highest authorities, but with all, both prior to, and contemporary with him. Nor was the true nature of coral ascertained till long after him. Many of the older naturalists regarded it as a mere stone, a mineral taking somewhat the form a tree: others, and especially the early botanists, regarding its form rather than its material. pronounced it, without hesitation, a tree, duly provided with root, trunk, branches, and twigs; and having observed the exterior (and most recently deposited) layer to be softer than those beneath it, they called it the bark. In 1703, the Count Marsigli, having had the opportunity of remarking the coral at the surface of the sea, throwing out from various points its radiated and flower-like inhabitants, the polypi, he congratulated himself as having completed the plant by the discovery of its flowers. No one doubted this opinion, till Peyssonel distinguished himself by the discovery, but these flowers were in fact animals. But the truth was received reluctantly by the French naturalists, till Guettard and Jussieu, sent by the Academie des Sciences, confirmed and fully established the fact. We owe, however, to the naturalists of Italy, principally, our knowledge of the structure and physiology of coral, as well as of its mode of growth. A hasty sketch may not be unacceptable to the reader.

Each coral (that is, the entire habitation of each separate colony of polypi), is a kind of shrub, or tree in miniature, about eighteen inches high, and one in diameter at the lower part of the trunk. Its base, by means of which, as by the root, the whole coral becomes firmly attached to the rock on which it grows, is spread out and flattened, like that of the larger fuci. At the height of a few inches from the base, the trunk throws out its branches, which again ramify into lesser ones, each terminated by a blunt, softer extremity.

In structure, we well as form, the coral bears a resemblance to wood: especially in its successive layers, which, viewed in section, exhibit concentric rings, less and less close to each other, as they are more distant from the centre, like those of the trunk of a tree. The outer layer, which like the bark is always softer than those beneath it, is in fact the living part of the coral. On its surface are dispersed, here and there, irregularly, tubercles, having their orifice divided into eight radii; each

7. We are not thoroughly resolved concerning porcelain³ or china dishes, that, according to common belief they are made of earth, which lieth in preparation about an hundred years under ground; for the relations thereof are not only diverse but contrary, and authors agree not herein. Guido Pancirollus will have them made of egg-shells, lobstershells, and gypsum laid up in the earth the space of eighty

tubercle being the mouth or entrance to the cell of a polype. This exterior surface or bark is longitudinally furrowed with strice, occasioned by tubes or canals running along the branches, and filled with a

milky fluid.

The reproduction or growth of coral is thus effected:—the egg is thrown out of the tubercle before described; it falls, an embryo drop of coral-jelly, and becomes agglutinated to the rock or other substance which receives it. It spreads out upon the surface thereof; and from its centre soon arises a tubercle, which at length, opens in the middle, and throws out its tentacula in search of nutriment, or for the purpose of respiration. Its growth becomes more and more rapid. In its interior is secreted the calcareous material which becomes coral. Thus it shoots up and branches out, throwing out fresh polypi at various places. The extremities of its branches, being the points of recent formation, are always softer than the other parts; which may have led the erroneous supposition that it is soft under water, and hardens by exposure to the air.

The coral is supposed to attain its full growth in about ten years:

and to lose gradually the brilliancy of its red colour by age.

It is found principally in the Mediterranean and Red Seas, at various depths from six to seven hundred feet below the surface of the sea.

The plant mentioned is probably a chara (vulgaris or hispida); but

the crust is only a calcareous deposit.

That which our author calls petrifaction of wood, is in fact merely incrustation.

³ We are not, &c.] This account of the Chinese method of making porcelain is accurate. As to the materials of which it was composed, Reaumur made some researches in the early part of the eighteenth century; the result of which was an opinion that true porcelain is made of two ingredients—the one capable of resisting the most violent heat that can be raised; while the other (which gives to porcelain its transparency) melts into glass. His conclusions were confirmed by a Father d'Entrecolles, a French missionary, in China, who sent, some time after, a memoir to the academy, describing the mode followed by the Chinese in the manufactory of their porcelain. Two substances are employed by them, the one called kaolin, and the other petunse. It is now known that kaolin is what we call percelain-clay, and that petunse is a fine white felspar. Felspar is fusible in a violent heat, but porcelain-clay is refractory in the highest temperatures that we have it in our power to produce in furnaces.

years: of the same affirmation is Scaliger, and the common opinion of most. Ramuzius, in his Navigations, is of a contrary assertion; that they are made out of earth, not laid under ground, but hardened in the sun and wind, the space of forty years. But Gonzales de Mendoza, a man employed into China from Philip the second, king of Spain, upon enquiry and ocular experience, delivered a way different from all these. For enquiring into the artifice thereof, he found they were made of a chalky earth; which, beaten and steeped in water, affordeth a cream or fatness on the top, and a gross subsidence at the bottom; out of the cream or superfluitance, the finest dishes, saith he, are made; out of the residence thereof, the coarser; which being formed, they gild or paint, and, not after an hundred years, but presently, commit unto the furnace. This, saith he, is known by experience, and more probable than what Odoardus Barbosa hath delivered, that they are made of shells, and buried under earth an hundred years. And answerable in all points hereto, is the relation of Linschotten, a diligent enquirer, in his Oriental Navigations. Later confirmation may be had from Alvarez the Jesuit, who lived long in those parts, in his relations of China: that porcelain vessels were made but in one town of the province of Chiamsi; that the earth was brought out of other provinces, but, for the advantage of water, which makes them more polite and perspicuous, they were only made in this; that they were wrought and fashioned like those of other countries, whereof some were tinted blue, some red, others yellow, of which colour only they presented unto the king.4

The latest account hereof may be found in the voyage of the Dutch ambassador, sent from Batavia unto the emperor of China, printed in French, 1665; which plainly informeth, that the earth, whereof porcelain dishes are made, is brought from the mountains of Hoang, and being formed into square loaves, is brought by water, and marked with the emperor's seal; that the earth itself is very lean, fine, and shining like sand; and that it is prepared and fashioned after the same manner which the Italians observe in the fine earthern vessels of Faventia or Fuenca; that they are so

⁴ Later confirmation, &c.] Added in 2nd edition.

reserved concerning that artifice, that it is only revealed from father unto son; that they are painted with indigo,⁵ baked in a fire for fifteen days together, and with very dry and not smoking wood: which when the author had seen, he could hardly contain from laughter at the common opinion above rejected by us.⁶

Now if any enquire, why, being so commonly made, and in so short a time, they are become so scarce, or not at all to be had; the answer is given by these last relators, that under great penalties it is forbidden to carry the first sort out of the country. And of those surely the properties must be verified, which by Scaliger and others are ascribed unto china dishes:—that they admit no poison, that they strike fire, that they will grow hot no higher than the liquor in them ariseth. For such as pass amongst us, and under the name of the finest, will only strike fire, but not discover aconite, mercury, or arsenic; but may be useful in dysenteries and fluxes beyond the other.

8.7 Whether a carbuncle (which is esteemed the best and biggest of rubies) doth flame in the dark, or shine like a coal in the night, though generally agreed on by common believers, is very much questioned by many. By Milius, who accounts it a vulgar error: by the learned Boëtius, who could not find it verified in that famous one of Rodolphus, which was as big as an egg, and esteemed the best in Europe. Wherefore, although we dispute not the possibility (and the like is said to have been observed in some

⁶ The latest account, &c.] Added in the 6th edition.

[•] indigo.] Cobalt ?

⁷ § 8.] This, and the next paragraph, were added in the 2nd edition. ⁸ Whether a carbuncle, &c.] That which Sir Thomas much doubted, has since been subjected to the test of repeated observation, and many very curious experiments, by which the phosphorescence of the diamond, sapphire, ruby, and topaz, as well as of many minerals and metals, and various other bodies, is fully established. Mr. Wedgewood has treated the subject at large in a paper in the 82nd volume of the Philosophical Transactions. This luminous property, which seems to be strictly phosphoric, is made apparent by subjecting the body in question to heat, in various ways. Several fluids (oils, spermaceti, butter, &c.) are luminous at or below the boiling point: minerals and other bodies become so by being sprinkled on a thick plate of iron, heated just below visible redness. The gems, and several of the harder minerals, emit their light upon attrition.

diamonds), yet, whether herein there be not too high an apprehension, and above its natural radiancy, is not without just doubt; however it be granted a very splendid gem, and whose sparks may somewhat resemble the glances of fire, and metaphorically deserve that name. And, therefore, when it is conceived by some, that this stone in the breast-plate of Aaron respected the tribe of Dan, who burnt the city of Laish, and Sampson of the same tribe, who fired the corn of the Philistines, in some sense it may be admitted, and is no intolerable conception.

As for that Indian stone that shined so brightly in the night, and pretended to have been shown to many in the court of France, as Andreus Chioccus hath declared out of Thuanus, it proved but an imposture, as that eminent philosopher, Licetus,* hath discovered; and, therefore, in the revised editions of Thuanus it is not to be found. As for the phosphorus or Bononian stone,† which exposed unto the sun, and then closely shut up, will afterwards afford a light in the dark; it is of unlike consideration, for that requireth calcination or reduction into a dry powder by fire, whereby it imbibeth the light in the vaporous humidity of the air about it, and therefore maintaineth its light not long, but goes out when the vaporous vehicle is consumed.

9.9 Whether the ætites or eagle-stone¹ hath that eminent property to promote delivery or restrain abortion, respectively applied to lower or upward parts of the body, we shall not discourage common practice by our question; but whether they answer the account thereof, as to be taken out of eagles' nests, co-operating in women into such effects, as they are conceived toward the young eagles; or whether the single signature of one stone included in the matrix and

^{*} De Quæsit. per Epistolas.

⁺ De Lapide Bononiense.

 $^{^9}$ \S 9.] This and the following paragraphs were first added in 3rd edition.

the ætites, or eagle-stone.] A kind of hollow geodes of oxide of iron, often mixed with a larger or smaller quantity of silex and alumina, containing in their cavity some concretions, which rattle on shaking the stone. It is of a dull pale colour, composed of concentric layers of various magnitudes, of an oval or polygonal form, and often polished. Eagles were said to carry them to their nests, whence the name; and superstition formerly ascribed wonderful virtues to them.

belly of another, were not sufficient at first, to derive this virtue of the pregnant stone upon others in impregnation, may yet be farther considered. Many sorts there are of this rattling stone, beside the geodes, containing a softer substance in it. Divers are found in England, and one we met with on the sea shore, but because many of eminent use are pretended to be brought from Iceland, wherein are divers eyries of eagles; we cannot omit to deliver what we received from a learned person in that country.* Ætites an in nidis aquilarum aliquando fuerit repertus, nescio. Nostra certe memoria, etiam inquirentibus non contigit invenisse, quare in fabulis habendum.

10. Terrible apprehensions, and answerable unto their names, are raised of fairy stones and elves' spurs,² found commonly with us in stone, chalk, and marl-pits, which, not-withstanding, are no more than echinometrites and belemnites, the sea hedge-hog, and the dart-stone, arising from some siliceous roots, and softer than that of flint, the master-stone lying more regularly in courses, and arising from the primary and strongest spirit of the mine. Of the echinites, such as are found in chalk-pits are white, glassy, and built upon a chalky inside; some, of an hard and flinty substance, are found in stone-pits and elsewhere. Common opinion commendeth them for the stone, but are most practically used against films in horses' eyes.

11. Lastly, he must have more heads than Rome had hills, that makes out half of those virtues ascribed unto stones, and their not only medical, but magical properties, which are to be found in authors of great name. In Psellus, Serapion, Evax, Albertus, Aleazar, Marbodeus; in Maiolus, Rueus, Mylius, and many more.³

That lapis lazuli hath in it a purgative faculty we know; that bezoar is antidotal, lapis judaicus diuretical, coral ante-

* Theodore Jonas, Hitterdalæ pastor.

3 many more.] And above all Cardan in De variet. uhique supersti-

tiosissime.—Wr.

² Terrible apprehensions, &c.] Though he denounces the popular superstitions attached to these fairy-stones, &c. our author, in this paragraph, gives additional evidence that he had fallen into another error of his day, in confounding fossils with minerals.—See Mr. Brayley's note, p. 105.

pileptical, we will not deny. That cornelians, jaspis, heliotropes, and blood-stones may be of virtue to those intentions they are employed, experience and visible effects will make us grant. But that an amethyst prevents inebriation; that an emerald will break if worn in copulation; that a diamond laid under the pillow, will betray the incontinency of a wife; that a sapphire is preservative against enchantments; that the fume of an agate will avert a tempest, or the wearing of a chrysophrase make one out of love with gold, as some have delivered, we are yet, I confess, to believe, and in that infidelity are likely to end our days. And therefore, they which, in the explication of the two beryls upon the ephods, or the twelve stones in the rational or breastplate 4 of Aaron, or those twelve which garnished the wall of the Holy City in the Apocalypse, have drawn their significations from such as these, or declared their symbolical verities from such traditional falsities, have surely corrupted the sincerity of their analogies, or misunderstood the mystery of their intentions.

Most men conceive that the twelve stones in Aaron's breastplate made a jewel surpassing any, and not to be paralleled; which, notwithstanding, will hardly be made out from the description of the text; for the names of the tribes were engraven thereon; which must notably abate their lustre. Besides, it is not clear made out that the best of gems, a diamond, was amongst them; 5 nor is it to be found in the list thereof, set down by the Jerusalem targum, wherein we find the darker stones of sardius, sardonynx, and jasper; and if we receive them under those names wherein they are usually described, it is not hard to contrive a more illustrious and splendent jewel. But being not ordained for mere lustre by diaphanous and pure tralucencies, their mys-

^{*} rational or breastplate.] "Rationale quoque judicii facies," dec. Exod. xxviii. 15.

⁵ not clear made out, &c.] The doubt here intimated, whether the true diamond was among the stones of the breastplate, has been expressed by commentators, on the ground that it is too hard to be engraved. Calmet, in his figure of the Pectoral, omits it. Rosenmüller however asserts, on the testimony of Busching, the existence of engraved diamonds of great antiquity. A diamond of sufficient size to admit the engraving, must have equaled the largest modern specimens. Like many other such questions, it admits of discussion, but not of solution.

terious significations became more considerable than their gemmary substances; and those, no doubt, did nobly answer the intention of the institutor. Beside, some may doubt whether there be twelve distinct species of noble tralucent gems in nature, at least yet known unto us, and such as may not be referred unto some of those in high esteem among us, which come short of the number of twelve; which to make up, we must find out some others to match and join with the diamond, beryl, sapphire, emerald, amethyst, topaz, chrysolite, jacinth, ruby, and, if we may admit it in this number, the oriental granat.

CHAPTER VI.

- Of sundry tenets concerning vegetables or plants, which, examined, prove either false or dutious:—of mandrakes; that cinnamon, ginger, cloves, mace, are but the parts or fruits of the same tree; that miseltoe is bred upon trees, from seeds which birds let fall thereon; of the rose of Jericho, that flowereth every year upon Christmas Eve; of Glastonbury thorn; that Sferra Cavallo hath a power to break or loosen iron; that bays preserve from the mischief of lightning and thunder; that
- 1. Many molas and false conceptions there are of mandrakes.8 The first, from great antiquity, conceiveth the root
- 6 whether there be twelve, &c.] If we are to understand, by the terms "noble tralucent gems," those only which were formerly called precious stones, we shall scarcely enumerate more than two distinct species, viz., the diamond and sapphire; for the oriental ruby, amethyst, and topaz, are not distinct in species from the sapphire; and the crysoberyl and spinelle ruby, though distinct species, are inferior in hardness and brilliancy to stones of the first class. But if we extend our range, as Sir Thomas has done, to gems of lesser value, though we confine ourselves to such as are, scientifically speaking, distinct species, and so emit several of the most splendid and valuable, as being only varieties, we may still enlarge his list—for example: supposing his "chrysolite" to refer to the common chrysolite or peridot, and his "oriental granat" to be the garnet; we may add the chrysoberyl, or oriental chrysolite; the almandine garnet, or carbuncle of the ancients (which he seems to consider as only a ruby of greater size and beauty); the precious tourmaline (lyncurium of the ancients), and perhaps the chrysoprase; not to mention opal and torquoise.

Most men, &c.] This whole paragraph was added in the 6th edition.
 Many molas, &c.] An excellent digest of the various and absurd

thereof resembleth the shape of man; which is a conceit not to be made out by ordinary inspection, or any other eyes, than such as, regarding the clouds, behold them in shapes conformable to pre-apprehensions.

Now, whatever encourageth the first invention, there have not been wanting many ways of its promotion. The first a catechrestical and far-derived similitude it holds with man; that is, in a bifurcation or division of the root into two parts, which some are content to call thighs; whereas, notwithstanding, they are ofttimes three, and when but two, commonly so complicated and crossed, that men, for this deceit, are fain to effect their design in other plants. And as fair a resemblance is often found in carrots, parsnips, briony. and many others. There are, I confess, divers plants which carry about them not only the shape of parts, but also of whole animals; but surely not all thereof, unto whom this conformity is imputed. Whoever shall peruse the signatures of Crollius, or rather the Phytognomy of Porta, and strictly observe how vegetable realities are commonly forced into animal representations, may easily perceive in very many, the semblance is but postulatory, and must have a more assimilating fancy than mine to make good many thereof.

Illiterate heads have been led on by the name, which, in the first syllable,* expresseth its representation; but other have better observed the laws of etymology, and deduced it from a word of the same language, because it delighteth to

* Mávôpa, spelunca.

speculations and conjectures respecting the mandrake and its properties will be found in *Dr. Harris's Dictionary of the Natural History of the Bible*.

The Abbe Mariti, in his Travels, vol. ii. p. 195, thus describes the mandrake. "At the village of St. John, in the mountains, about six miles south-west from Jerusalem, this plant is found at present, as well as in Tuscany. It grows low like lettuce, to which its leaves have a great resemblance, except that they have a dark green colour. The flowers are purple, and the root is for the most part forked. The fruit, when ripe in the beginning of May, is of the size and colour of a small apple, exceedingly ruddy, and of a most agreeable odour. Our guide thought us fools for suspecting it to be unwholesome. He ate it freely himself; and it is generally valued by the inhabitants as exhilarating their spirits, and a provocative to venery."

grow in obscure and shady places; which derivation, although we shall not stand to maintain, yet the other seemeth answerable unto the etymologies of many authors. who often confound such nominal notations. Not to enquire beyond our own profession, the Latin physicians, which most adhered unto the Arabic way, have often failed herein; particularly Valescus de Taranta, a received physician, in whose Philonium, or Medical Practice, these may be observed: Diarrhea, saith he, quia pluries venit in die.9 Herisopela, quasi hærens pilis; emmorrohis, ab emach. sanguis, et morrohis, quod est cadere. Lithargia, à litos. quod est oblivio, et tarque, morbus. Scotomia, à scotus, quod est videre, et mias, musca. Opthalmia, ab opus Græcè, quod est succus, et talmon quod est oculus. Paralisis, quasi læsio partis. Fistula, à fos sonus, et stolon quod est emissio, quasi emissio soni vel vocis. Which are derivations as strange, indeed, as the other, and hardly to be paralleled elsewhere: confirming not only the words of one language with another, but creating such as were never yet in any.

The received distinction and common notation by sexes, hath also promoted the conceit; for true it is, that herhalists, from ancient times, have thus distinguished them;

• venit in die.] Not unlike to that of είσαγωγή, which a wise man derived from (είσος and γωγους) or, as Calepin derives aqua from à qua, or as Minshew, prospero from porro and spero, where the long quantityes in the originals discover the follye of the derivations.—Wr.

1 The received distinction, &c. | Nearly a century elapsed after this paragraph was written, before the distinction adverted to was well understood and explained. The real use of the stamina of plants, to fertilize the seed, though suspected by Ray and others, was not fully established till Linnæus, in 1732, published, in his Fundamenta et Philosophia Botanica, the results of his long and laborious consideration of the opinions which had preceded him, combined with his own patient and acute investigation of vegetable phenomena, put to the test of various ingenious experiments. He proved that "flowers are always furnished, either in the same individual, or two of the same species," with stamens and pistils,—the latter containing the seeds,—the former the pollen or dust which fertilizes and perfects it. These were therefore called he male and female parts of fructification; and in those orders in which one plant contains stamens only, and another only the pistil—the one was called the male, the other the female plant. This discovery he made the foundation of the artificial system, which, under the title of the Linncan system of botany, became so universally popular.

naming that the male, whose leaves are lighter, and fruit and apples rounder; but this is properly no generative division, but rather some note of distinction in colour, figure, or operation. For though Empedocles* affirm, there is a mixed and undivided sex in vegetables, and Scaliger, upon Aristotle, doth favourably explain that opinion, yet will it not consist with the common and ordinary acceptation, nor yet with Aristotle's tefinition. For, if that be male which generates in another, that female which procreates in itself; if it be understood of sexes conjoined, all plants are female; and if of disjoined and congressive generation, there is no male or female in them at all.²

But the Atlas or main axis which supported this opinion, was daily experience, and the visible testimony of sense. For many there are, in several parts of Europe, who carry about roots and sell them unto ignorant people, which handsomely make out the shape of man or woman. But these are not productions of nature, but contrivances of art, as divers have noted, and Matthiolus plainly detected; who learned this way of trumpery from a vagabond cheater lying under his cure for the French disease. His words are these. and may determine the point: Sed profectò vanum et fabulosum, &c.; but this is vain and fabulous, which ignorant people and simple women believe; for the roots which are carried about by imposters to deceive unfruitful women, are made of the roots of canes, briony, and other plants; for in these, yet fresh and virent, they carve out the figures of men and women, first sticking therein the grains of barley or millet where they intend the hair should grow; then bury them in sand until the grains shoot forth their roots, which, at the longest, will happen in twenty days; they afterwards clip and trim those tender strings in the fashion of beards and other hairy teguments. All which, like other impostures, once discovered, is easily effected, and in the root of white briony may be practised every spring.

* De Plantis.

² no male, &c.] The name of male and female in plants is onlye tralatitious and similitudinarye, that which beares fruite beeing for distinction sake called female, and that which beares none the male.—Wr. See preceding note.

What is therefore delivered in favour thereof, by authors, ancient or modern,³ must have its root in tradition, imposture, far derived similitude, or casual and rare contingency. So may we admit of the epithet of Pythagoras, who calls it anthropomorphus,* and that of Columella, who terms it semihomo; more applicable unto the man-orchis, whose flower represents a man. Thus is Albertus to be received, when he affirmeth that mandrakes represent mankind, with the distinction of either sex.† Under these restrictions may those authors be admitted, which for this opinion are introduced by Drusius,⁴ nor shall we need to question the monstrous root of briony, described in Aldrovandus.‡

The second assertion concerneth its production.⁵ That it naturally groweth under gallowses and places of execution, arising from fat or urine that drops from the body of the

- Orchis anthropomorphus, cujus icon in Kircheri Magia parastatica.
 + De Mandragora.
 De Monstris.
- 3 What is therefore delivered, &c.]

Mark, how that rooted mandrake wears His human feet, his human hands! Oft, as his ghastly form he rears, Aghast the frighted plowman stands!

Langhorne's Beeflower.

⁴ Drusius.] Instead of the remaining part of the sentence, Ed. 1646 reads, "As David Camius, Moses filius Namanis, and Abenezra Hispanus."

⁵ The second assertion, &c.] Here again is our author the victim of the false philosophy of his age. The immortal Harvey, in his De Generatione, struck the first blow at the root of the irrational system called equivocal generation, when he laid down his brief but most pregnant law, omnia ex ovo. But the belief transmitted from antiquity, that living beings generated spontaneously from putrescent matter, long maintained its ground; and a certain modification of it is even still advocated by some naturalists of the greatest acuteness. The first few pages of the volume entitled Insect Transformations (in the Library of Entertaining Knowledge), are occupied by a very interesting investigation of this subject.

In the midst of his errors, however, Sir Thomas makes a remark, which has been verified and confirmed by much more widely extended observation since, viz.: "that hogs, sheep, goats, hawks, hens, and others, have one peculiar and proper kind of vermin." A vast number of species of pulex and pediculus are now known; and I am not aware that any instance has occurred of the same species being parasitic on different animals.

dead; a story somewhat agreeable unto the fable of the serpent's teeth sowed in the earth by Cadmus; or rather, the birth of Orion, from the urine of Jupiter, Mercury, and Neptune. Now this opinion seems grounded on the former, that is, a conceived similitude it hath with man; and therefore from him, in some way, they would make out its production. Which conceit is not only erroneous in the foundation, but injurious unto philosophy in the superstruction; making putrefactive generations correspondent unto seminal productions, and conceiving in equivocal effects an univocal conformity unto the efficient. Which is so far from being verified of animals in their corruptive mutations into plants, that they maintain not this similitude in their nearer translation into animals. So when the ox corrupteth into bees, or the horse into hornets, they come not forth in the image of their originals. So the corrupt and excrementitious humours in man are animated into lice; and we may observe that hogs, sheep, goats, hawks, hens, and others, have one peculiar and proper kind of vermin; not resembling themselves according to seminal conditions, yet carrying a settled and confined habitude unto their corruptive originals. And therefore come not forth in generations erratical, or different from each other; but seem specifically and in regular shapes to attend the corruption of their bodies, as do more perfect conceptions the rule of seminal productions.

The third affirmeth the roots of mandrakes do make a noise, or give a shriek, upon eradication; which is indeed ridiculous, and false below confute; arising, perhaps, from a small and stridulous noise, which being firmly rooted, it maketh upon divulsion of parts. A slender foundation for such a vast conception; for such a noise we sometimes observe in other plants, in parsnips, liquorice, eryngium, flags, and others.

The last concerneth the danger ensuing; that there follows an hazard of life to them that pull it up; that some

...... Wherefore should I curse them?
Would curses kill, as doth the mandrake's groan,
I would invent as bitter-searching terms,
As curs'd, as harsh, as horrible to bear.

[•] The third affirmeth, &c.] To this Shakspeare alludes :-

evil fate pursues them, and they live not very long after. Therefore the attempt hereof, among the ancients, was not in ordinary way; but, as Pliny informeth, when they intended to take up the root of this plant, they took the wind thereof, and with a sword describing three circles about it, they digged it up, looking toward the west. A conceit, not only injurious unto truth, and confutable by daily experience, but somewhat derogatory unto the providence of God; that is, not only to impose so destructive a quality on any plant, but to conceive a vegetable, whose parts are useful unto many, should, in the only taking up, prove mortal unto any. To think he suffereth the poison of Nubia* to be gathered, napellus, aconite, and thora, to be eradicated, yet this not to be moved. That he permitteth arsenic and mineral poisons to be forced from the bowels of the earth, yet not this from the surface thereof. This were to introduce a second forbidden fruit, and enhance the first malediction, making it not only mortal for Adam to taste the one, but capital unto his posterity to eradicate or dig up the other.

Now what begot, at least promoted, so strange conceptions, might be the magical opinion hereof; this being conceived the plant so much in use with Circe, and therefore named Circad (as Dioscorides and Theophrastus have delivered), which being the eminent sorceress of elder story, and by the magic of simples believed to have wrought many wonders, some men were apt to invent, others to believe any tradition or magical promise thereof.

Analogous relations concerning other plants, and such as are of near affinity unto this, have made its current smooth, and pass more easily among us. For the same effect is also delivered by Josephus concerning the root baaras; by Ælian, of cynospastas: and we read in Homer the very same opinion concerning moly:

Μώλυ δε μίν καλέουσι θεοί, χαλεπόν δε τ' όρύσσειν Ανδράσί γε θνητοϊσι, θεοί δέ τε πάντα δύνανται.

The gods it moly call, whose root to dig away Is dangerous unto man; but gods they all things may.

^{*} Granum Nubia.

⁷ Circaa.] Enchanter's nightshade.

Now parallels or like relations alternately relieve each other; when neither will pass asunder, yet are they plausible together; their mutual concurrences supporting their soli-

tary instabilities.

Signaturists⁸ have somewhat advanced it; who seldom omitting what ancients delivered drawing into inference received distinctions of sex, not willing to examine its humane resemblance, and placing it in the form of strange and magical simples, have made men suspect there was more therein than ordinary practice allowed; and so became apt to embrace whatever they heard or read comformable unto such conceptions.

Lastly, the conceit promoteth itself: for concerning an effect whose trial must cost so dear, it fortifies itself in that invention; and few there are whose experiment it need to fear. For, what is most contemptible, although not only the reason of any head, but experience of every hand may well convict it, yet will it not by divers be rejected; for prepossessed heads will ever doubt it, and timorous beliefs will never dare to try it. So these traditions, how low and ridiculous soever, will find suspicion in some, doubt in others, and serve as tests or trials of melancholy and superstitious tempers for ever.

2. That cinnamon, ginger, clove, mace, and nutmeg, are but the several parts and fruits of the same tree, is the common belief of those which daily use them; whereof to speak distinctly, ginger is the root of neither tree nor shrub, but of an herbaceous plant, resembling the water fleur-de-lis, as Garcias first described, or rather the common reed, as Lobelius since affirmed. Very common in many parts of India,1 growing either from root or seed, which in December and

⁸ Signaturists.] Those who hold the doctrine, that plants bear certain marks and signatures, indicative of their qualities or properties.

⁹ ginger. Amomum Zingiber, L. or Zingiber officinalis. of India.] And in Europe, too, for itt hath been of old, and is lately found in Austria, at the foote of the mount Cognamus; vide Helym's Austria, p. 74. Germanice. There are two kindes of itt, white and brown, which I suppose differ only in age. Itt is commonly brought to us from China: to them from some upper parts in Tartary: and therefore some call itt Radix Scythica: but this is an equivocall name. proper to glycyrisa, but applicable to ginger and rhubarbe, which both come also from thence. Offended with the earthynes of green ginger.

January they take up, and, gently dried, roll it up in earth, whereby occluding2 the pores, they conserve the natural

humidity, and so prevent corruption.

Cinnamon is the inward bark of a cinnamon tree,3 whereof the best is brought from Zeilan; this freed from the outward bark, and exposed unto the sun, contracts into those folds wherein we commonly receive it. If it have not a sufficient insolation4 it looketh pale, and attains not its laudable colour; if it be sunned too long, it suffereth a torrefaction, and descendeth somewhat below it.

Clove seems to be either the rudiment of a fruit.5 or the fruit itself, growing upon the clove tree, to be found but in few countries. The most commendable is that of the isles of Molucca; it is first white, afterward green, which beaten down and dried in the sun, becometh black, and in the com-

plexion we receive it.

Nutmeg is the fruit of a tree⁶ differing from all these, and as Garcias describeth it, somewhat like a peach; growing in divers places, but fructifying in the isle of Banda. The fruit hereof consisteth of four parts; the first, or outward part, is a thick and carnous covering like that of a walnut; the

I causd choyce to bee made of the whitest; paring of the barke totally: then bruisd itt in a stone mortar into strings; then stewd itt on a gentle fire till the water was consumed from three pintes to a quarte (the pared ginger being but a quarter of a pound). When wee thought the vertue wholy extracted (which would have tincted a pottel of water sufficiently), streyning away the ginger with some pressure, gentlye, they boyled the water into a syrup, whose vertues are such, after a meale (the quantity of a spoonfull), as noe dredg, powders, or lozenges, can equall in four times soe much: for by a gentle mixture and fermentation, itt corrects all crude humors and flatulencyes, abates not the salivation, as all hot spices doe, and never heates further then stomache only.

² occluding.] Shutting up.

4 insolation. Exposure to the sun.

Nutmeg, &c.] This is an accurate description of the fruit of marie-

taca moschata, the nutmeg.

³ Cinnamon, &c.] The bark of Laurus Cinnamomum. The perfection of this spice depends on the tree being at a fit age, and on the relative proportion of the inner part of the bark, which is the sweetest and most fragrant.

⁵ either the rudiment, &c. Cloves are the calyces, with the embryo seed, of caryophyllus aromaticus, beaten from the tree, just after the delicate peach-blossom-coloured flowers have faded. The pungent quality is lessened if the seed is suffered to become more mature.

second, a dry and flosculous coat, commonly called mace; the third a harder tegument or shell, which lieth under the mace; the fourth, a kernel included in the shell, which is the same we call nutmeg. All which, both in their parts and order of disposure, are easily discerned in those fruits which are brought in preserves unto us.

Now if, because mace and nutmegs proceed from one tree, the rest must bear them company, or because they are all from the East Indies, they are all from one plant, the inference is precipitous, nor will there such a plant be found in

the herbal of nature.

3. That viscus arboreus, or, miseltoe, is bred upon trees from seeds, which birds, especially thrushes and ringdoves, let fall thereon, was the creed of the ancients, and is still believed among us, is the account of its production, set down by Pliny, delivered by Virgil, and subscribed by many more. If so, some reason must be assigned, why it groweth only upon certain trees, and not upon many whereon these birds do light. For as exotic observers deliver, it groweth upon almond trees, chesnut, apples, oaks, and pine trees. we observe in England, very commonly upon apple, crabs, and whitethorn; sometimes upon sallow, hazel, and oak: rarely upon ash, limetree, and maple; never, that I could observe, upon holly, elm, and many more.8 Why groweth it not in all countries and places where these birds are found? for so Brassavolus affirmeth, it is not to be found in the territory of Ferrara, and he was fain to supply himself from other parts of Italy. Why, if it ariseth from a seed, if sown will it not grow again, as Pliny affirmeth, and as by setting the berries thereof, we have in vain attempted its production? Why, if it cometh from seed that falleth upon the tree, groweth it often downwards, and puts forth under the bough, where seed can neither fall nor yet remain?9 Hereof, beside some

Little did "my auncient friend" suppose that his munificence would thus be immortalized!

Yet is this apparently triumphant objection demolished, by the month

⁷ in preserves, &c.] Whereof my auncient friend, Mr. Paul Clapham, sent me a pot of two pounds.—Wr.

^{*} rarely, &c.] Ed. 1646 reads, "never upon bays, holly, ashes, elms, and many others."

winder the bough, &c.] This one objection is see vigorous and clever, as cuts off the foolish assertion for ever,—Wr.

others, the Lord Verulam hath taken notice. And they surely speak probably who make it an arboreous excres-

of experiment, as will appear on reading the following very interesting passage, from the work of my old friend and fellow-citizen, Professor Lindley:-The seed of the miseltoe will germinate in any direction. either upwards, downwards, or laterally. The first movement made by this plant consists in an extension of its cauliculus, which derives its support from the cotyledons, and which terminates, at the radicular end, in a small green tubercle of a paler colour than the radicle itself. When the seed is fixed upon a branch by its natural glue, this incipient movement is effected at right angles with the branch; the young shoot is then curved backwards, and the radicular extremity descends to the surface of the branch, to which it adheres by expanding into a kind of From this expansion the roots are emitted, and penetrate the interior of the branch whereon the seed of the miseltoe is fixed; its stem takes the direction above mentioned with reference to the centre of the branch on which it is fixed, and not with reference to the earth: so that with regard to the latter, it is sometimes ascending, sometimes descending, sometimes horizontal. The same phenomena occur if the germination takes place upon dead wood or inorganic substances: a number of seeds were glued to the surface of a cannon ball; all the radicles were directed towards the centre of the ball. Hence it is obvious that the tendency of the miseltoe is not towards the surface of its nutrition, but it obeys the attraction of the body upon which it grows. The miseltoe, which does not grow on the earth, obeys the attraction of any other body; while those plants which naturally grow in the earth obey no other attraction than that of the earth. Parasitical fungi, those which constitute mouldiness; aquatics, which originate on stones, all grow perpendicular to the body that produces them, and will therefore be placed in all kinds of positions with respect to the earth."

On the probable effect produced on the seeds by their passing through the stomachs of birds. Mr. Jesse has some observations in the second series of his Gleanings, p. 133. He had seen the young miseltoe cracking the bark of the hawthorn and sprouting out on the under side of the branch: as Sir Thomas observes. He asserts the miseltoe to abound in Herefordshire and Monmouthshire, where the miselthrush also abounds: while in Wiltshire and Devonshire both are less common. "Various attempts," he adds, "have been made by persons, with whom I am acquainted, to propagate the miseltoe, by depositing the seed between the forks of trees, and by inserting it in the bark, but the attempt has hitherto failed, as far as I can speak from my own observa-The seeds also of the ivy seldom grow, though planted with the greatest care, even under walls; yet if dropped by birds either upon or even in the crevices of walls, they will grow spontaneously and thrive luxuriantly. It is this circumstance which has led a friend of mine to suppose, and with some reason, that the seeds of the miseltoe and ivy must undergo some process, favourable to their germination, in passing through the stomach of birds."

cence, or rather super-plant, bred of a viscous and superfluous sap, which the tree itself cannot assimilate; and therefore sprouteth not forth in boughs and surcles of the same shape, and similary unto the tree that beareth it, but in a different form, and secondary unto its specifical intention, wherein once failing, another form succeedeth, and in the first place that of miseltoe, in plants and trees disposed to its production. And therefore also, wherever it groweth, it is of constant shape, and maintains a regular figure; like other supercrescences, and such as living upon the stock of others are termed parasitical plants, as polypody, moss, the smaller capillaries, and many more. So that several regions produce several miseltoes: India one, America another, according to the law and rule of their degenerations.

Now what begot this conceit, might be the enlargement of some part of truth contained in its story. For certain it is, that some birds do feed upon the berries of this vegetable, and we meet in Aristotle with one kind of thrush called the miselthrush,* or feeder upon miseltoe.² But that which hath most promoted it is a received proverb, turdus sibi malum cacat, appliable unto such men as are authors of their own misfortune. For according unto ancient tradition and Pliny's relation, the bird, not able to digest the fruit whereon she

* ἐξοβόρος.

Is it not a greater wonder that the dean should have mistaken the gall for the fruit of the oak, and called the acorn an excrescence?

^{&#}x27;an arboreous excrescence.] Arboreous excrescences of the oake are soe many as may raise the greatest wonder. Besides the gall, which is his proper fruite, hee shootes out oakerns, i.e. ut nunc vocamus (acornes) and oakes apples, and polypodye, and moss; five several sorts of excrescences.—Wr.

^{*} feeder upon miseltoe.] Sir James Smith points out the distinctness of the miseltoe of the ancients, from ours, in the following passage:—
"Loranthus europeus seems to be the original, or most common miseltoe, eco, of the Greeks, which grows usually on some kind of fir-tree. But our viscum album is likewise found in Greece, though rarely, growing on the oak; and this has been preferred from the most remote antiquity. Hence, when the superstitions of the east travelled westward, our Druids adopted a notion of the miseltoe of the oak being more holy or efficacious, in conjurations or medicine, than what any other tree afforded, the loranthus, or ordinary miseltoe, not being known here. This superstition actually remains, and a plant of viscum gathered from an oak is preferred by those who rely on virtues which, perhaps, never existed in any miseltoe whatever."

feedeth, from her inconverted muting ariseth this plant, of the berries whereof bird-lime is made, wherewith she is after entangled. But although proverbs be popular principles, yet is not all true that is proverbial; and in many thereof, there being one thing delivered and another intended, though the verbal expression be false, the proverb is true enough in the verity of its intention.

As for the magical virtues in this plant, and conceived efficacy unto veneficial intentions, it seemeth a pagan relick, derived from the ancient Druids, the great admirers of the oak, especially the miseltoe that grew thereon; which, according unto the particular of Pliny, they gathered with great solemnity. For after sacrifice, the priest, in a white garment, ascended the tree, cut down the miseltoe with a golden hook, and received it in a white coat; the virtue whereof was to resist all poisons, and make fruitful any that used it. Virtues not expected from classical practice; and did they fully answer their promise which are so commended, in epileptical intentions, we would abate these qualities. Country practice hath added another, to provoke the after-birth, and in that case the decoction is given unto cows. That the berries are poison, as some conceive, we are so far from averring, that we have safely given them inwardly, and can confirm the experiment of Brassavolus, that they have some purgative quality.

4. The rose of Jericho, that flourishes every year just about Christmas-eve, is famous in Christian reports; which, notwithstanding, we have some reason to doubt, and are plainly informed by Bellonius, it is but a monastical imposture, as he hath delivered, in his observations concerning the plants in Jericho. That which promoted the conceit, or perhaps begot its continuance, was a propriety in this plant; for, though it be dry, yet will it, upon imbibition of moisture, dilate its leaves and explicate its flowers contracted and

³ imbibition of moisture.] From this that is sayd touching imbibition of moysture, puts me in remembrance of a dry withy stake: which being robd of the barke a foote aboue ground, stood dead three years. In the third yeare, being come to rottenes, and the wood growing spungie, suckt up the moysture from the earthe, reviving the barke above, and then the tree, which grew greene againe with a large head, bigger then the plant to which itt was set. Soe there was a perfect greene withy, and yet noe roote, nor string of a roote, in the earthe below.—Wr.

seemingly dried up. And this is to be effected not only in the plant yet growing, but in some manner also in that which is brought exsuccous and dry unto us. Which quality being observed, the subtilty of contrivers did commonly play this show upon the eve of our Saviour's nativity; when by drying the plant again, it closed the next day, and so presented a double mystery, referring unto the opening and closing of the womb of Mary.⁴

There wanted not a specious confirmation from a text in Ecclesiasticus, quasi palma exaltata sum in Cades, et quasi plantatio rosæ in Jericho: "I was exalted like a palm-tree in Engaddi, and as a rose in Jericho." The sound whereof, in common ears, begat an extraordinary opinion of the rose of that denomination. But herein there seemeth a mistake: for, by the rose in the text, is implied the true and proper rose, as first the Greek, and ours accordingly, rendereth it. But that which passeth under this name, and by us is commonly called the rose of Jericho, is properly no rose, but a small thorny shrub or kind of heath, bearing little white flowers, far differing from the rose; whereof Bellonius, a very inquisitive herbalist, could not find any in his travels through Jericho. A plant so unlike a rose, it hath been mistaken by some good simplist for amonum; which truly understood, is so unlike a rose that, as Dioscorides delivers, the flowers thereof are like the white violet, and its leaves resemble brionv.

Suitable unto this relation almost in all points is that of the thorn at Glastonbury, and perhaps the daughter thereof;

⁴ referring unto, &c.] Note this gross imposture.—Wr.

⁵ thorn at Glastonbury.] A variety of the cratagus oxyacantha, whose usual period of flowering is May, whence its common name, Mayblossom. "Gilpin mentions that one of its progeny, which grew in the gardens at Bulstrode, had its flower-buds perfectly formed so early as the 21st December." In the arboretum at the royal gardens, Kew, a similar thorn flowers at the same season. The belief, that certain trees put forth their flowers on Christmas-day, was not confined to the Glastonbury thorn. In the new forest at Cadenham, near Lyndhurst, an oak used to bud about that period; but the people, for two centuries, believed that it never budded all the year, except on Old Christmasday. The superstition was destroyed by careful investigation; and the circumstance is thus recorded in the Salisbury newspaper of January 10th, 1786:—'In consequence of a report that has prevailed in this county for upwards of two centuries, and which, by many, has been considered as a matter of faith, that the oak at Cadenham, in the new

herein our endeavours as yet have not attained satisfaction, and cannot therefore enlarge. Thus much in general we may observe, that strange effects are naturally taken for miracles by weaker heads, and artificially improved to that apprehension by wiser. Certainly many precocious trees, and such as spring in the winter, may be found in most parts of Europe, and divers also in England.* For most trees do

* Such a thorn there is in Parham-park, in Suffolk, and elsewhere.

forest, shoots forth leaves on every Old Christmas-day, and that no leaf is ever to be seen on it either before or after that day, during the winter, a lady, who is now on a visit in this city, and who is attentively curious in every thing relative to art or nature, made a journey to Cadenham, on Monday the 3rd instant, purposely to enquire on the spot, about the production of this famous tree. On her arrival near it, the usual guide was ready to attend her; but on his being desired to climb the oak, and to search whether there were any leaves then on it, he said it would be to no purpose; but that if she would come on the Wednesday following, (Christmas-day,) she might certainly see thousands. However, he was prevailed upon to ascend, and on the first branch which he gathered appeared several fair new leaves, fresh sprouted from the buds, and nearly an inch and a half in length. It may be imagined that the guide was more amazed at this premature production than the lady, for, so strong was his belief in the truth of the whole tradition, that he would have pledged his life that not a leaf was to have been discovered on any part of the tree before the usual hour."

The preceding passage affords a good contrast to the following note,

by Dean Wren, on the "Glastonbury thorn."

"-And the oake in the new forest. King James could not bee induced to believe the τὸ ὅτι of this, till Bishop Andrewes, in whose diocese the tree grew, caused one of his own chaplaines, a man of known integritye, to give a true information of itt, which he did: for upon the eve of the nativitye, he gathered about a [100] slips, with the leaves newly opened, which he stuck in claye in the bottom of long white boxes, and soe sent them post to the courte, where they deservedly raised not only admiration, but stopt the mouth of infidelitye and contradiction for ever. Of this I was both an eye-witness, and did distribute many of them to the great persons of bothe sexes in court and others, ecclesiastical persons. But in these last troublesome times, a divelish fellow (of Herostratus humour) having hewen itt round at the roote, made his last stroke on his own legg, whereof he died, together with the old wondrous tree: which now sprowtes up againe, and may renew his oakye age againe, yf some such envious chance doe not hinder or prevent itt: from which the example of the former villane may perchance deterr the attempte. This I thought to testifie to all future times, and therefore subscribe with the same hand through which those little oakye slips past." Ita testor Chr. Wren, Dno Lancbegin to sprout in the fall of the leaf or autumn, and if not kept back by cold and outward causes, would leaf about the solstice. Now if it happen that any be so strongly constituted, as to make this good against the power of winter, they may produce their leaves or blossoms in that season; and perform that in some singles, which is observable in whole kinds; as in ivy, which blossoms and bears at least twice a year, and once in the winter; as also in furze, which flowereth in that season.

5. That ferrum equinum, or sferra cavallo, hath a virtue attractive of iron,6 a power to break locks and draw off the shoes of a horse that passeth over it; whether you take it for one kind of securidaca, or will also take in lunaria, we know it to be false, and cannot but wonder at Matthiolus, who upon a parallel in Pliny was staggered into suspension. Who notwithstanding in the imputed virtue to open things close and shut up, could laugh himself at that promise from the herb Æthiopis or Æthiopian mullein, and condemn the judgment of Scipio, who having such a pick-lock, would spend so many years in battering the gates of Carthage; which strange and magical conceit seems to have no deeper root in reason than the figure of its seed; for therein indeed it somewhat resembles a horse-shoe: which, notwithstanding, Baptista Porta hath thought too low a signification, and raised the same unto a lunary representation.

6. That bays will protect from the mischief of lightning and thunder, is a quality ascribed thereto, common with the fig-tree, eagle, and skin of a seal. Against so famous a quality, Vicomercatus produceth experiment of a bay-tree blasted in Italy. And therefore, although Tiberius for this intent did wear a laurel upon his temples, yet did Augustus take a more probable course, who fled under arches and hollow vaults for protection. And though Porta conceive, because in a streperous eruption it riseth against fire, it doth therefore resist lightning, yet is that no emboldening illation. And if we consider the threefold effect of Jupiter's trisulk, to burn, discuss, and terebrate; and if that be true which

celoto à sacris domesticis αὐτόπτης tunc : et Carolo Regi patrono opt. maxo [postea] ex αὐτοπσία fidus assertor.

 ⁶ That ferrum equinum, &c.] Some species of Hippocrepis?
 7 discuss.] Dissipate.—Wr.

is commonly delivered, that it will melt the blade, yet pass the scabbard,—kill the child, yet spare the mother,—dry up the wine, yet leave the hogshead entire,8—though it favour

8 that it will melt, &c.] This passage is strikingly illustrated by a very extraordinary case of lightning, related in the London and Edinburgh Philosophical Magazine, for Sept. 1832. Mr. and Mrs. Boddington, while seated in the barouche seat of their carriage, were struck senseless by a flash of lightning, which at the same time killed one of the borses, threw the post-boy to a considerable distance, and then entered the earth, making four large holes. The passage of the electric fluid is thus described :- "It struck Mrs. B'.s cotton umbrella. which was literally shivered to pieces, both the springs in the handle forced out, the wires that extended the whalebone broken, and the cotton covering rent into a thousand shreds. From the wires of the umbrella the fluid passed to the wire that was attached to the edge of her bonnet, the cotton-thread that was twisted round that wire, marking the place of entrance over the left eye, by its being burnt off from that spot all round the right side, crossing the back of the head and down into the neck above the left shoulder: the hair that came in contact with it was singed: it here made a hole through the handkerchief that was round her throat, and zigzagged along the skin of her neck to the steel busk of her stays, leaving a painful, but not deep, wound, and also affecting the hearing of the left ear. It entered the external surface of the busk :- this is clearly proved by the brown paper case in which it was inclosed, being perforated on the outside, and the busk itself fused for about a quarter of an inch on the upper surface, presenting a blistered appearance. Its passage down the busk could not be traced in any way; there was no mark whatever on the steel, nor was the paper that covered it discoloured or altered in the slightest degree: its exit at the bottom, however, was as clearly indicated as its entrance at the top: the steel was fused in the same manner, and the paper was perforated in the same way, but on the opposite side.

"There were marks of burning on the gown and petticoat above the steel; and the inside of the stays, and the garments under the stays, were pierced by the passage of the fluid to her thighs, where it made wounds on both; but that on the left so deep, and so near the femoral artery, that the astonishment is, that she escaped with life;—even as it was, the hæmorrhage was very great. Every article on which she sat was perforated to the cushion of the seat, the cloth of which was torn in a much more extensive way than the clothes; and the leather that covered the iron forced off in the same spot, clearly marking its egress at this place. In the case of Mr. B. the umbrella also was the conductor; it was made of silk, and was but little damaged; a small portion of the upper part only being torn where it joins the stick, and none of the springs or wires being displaced. The main force of the shock, however, appears to have passed down the handle to the left arm, though a portion of it made a hole through the brim of his hat, and burnt off all the hair that was below it together with the eye-brows and eye-lashes. The electric stream

the amulet, it may not spare us; it will be unsure to rely on any preservative, it is no security to be dipped in Styx, or clad in the armour of Ceneus. Now that beer, wine, and other liquors, are spoiled with lightning and thunder, we conceive it proceeds not only from noise and concussion of the air, but also noxious spirits which mingle therewith, and draw them to corruption; whereby they become not only dead themselves, but sometimes deadly unto others, as that which Seneca mentioneth; whereof whosoever drank, either lost his life or else his wits upon it.

7. It hath much deceived the hopes of good fellows, what is commonly expected of bitter almonds; and though in Plutarch confirmed from the practice of Claudius his physician, that antidote against ebriety hath commonly failed. Surely men much versed in the practice do err in the theory of inebriation; conceiving in that disturbance the brain doth only suffer from exhalations and vaporous ascensions from the stomach, which fat and oily substances may suppress; whereas the prevalent intoxication is from the spirits of drink dispersed into the veins and arteries; from whence by common conveyances they creep into the brain, insinuate into its ventricles, and beget those vertigoes accompanying

shattered the left hand, fused the gold shirt buttons, and tore the clothes in a most extraordinary manner, forcing parts of them, together with the buttons, to a considerable distance, and a deep wound was inflicted under its position on the wrist. The arm was laid bare to the elbow, which is presumed to have been at the moment very near his left waistcoat-pocket, in which there was a knife; this also was forced from its situation, and forced on the ground; a severe wound was made on his body, and every article of dress torn away as if it had been done by gunpowder. From the knife it passed to the iron of the seat, wounding his back, and setting fire to his clothes in its passage. Another portion descended to the right arm, which had hold of the lower part of the stick of the umbrella; was attracted by the sleeve-button, where it made a wound, but slight, compared to that on the left, passed down the arm (which it merely discoloured, and broke the skin of in two small places) to a gold pencil-case in the right waistcoat-pocket. The great coat he had on was torn to pieces, and the coat immediately above the waistcoat-pocket much rent; but the waistcoat itself was merely perforated: on the external part, where the discharge entered by a hole about the size of a pea, and on the inside by a similar hole at the other extremity of the pencil-case, where it passed out, setting fire to his trousers and drawers, and inflicting a deep wound round his back, the whole of which was literally flayed."

VOL. I.

that perversion. And therefore the same effect may be produced by a glister; the head may be intoxicated by a medicine at the heel. So the poisonous bites of serpents, although on parts at distance from the head, yet having entered the veins, disturb the animal faculties, and produce the effects of drink, or poison swallowed. And so, as the head may be disturbed by the skin, it may the same way be relieved; as is observable in balneations, washings, and fomentations, either of the whole body, or of that part alone.

CHAPTER VII.2

Of some insects, and the properties of several plants:—of the death-watch; the presages drawn from oak-apple insects; whether all plants have seeds; whether the sap of trees runs to the ground in winter; of the effects of camphor; with many others.

1.3 Few ears have escaped the noise of the death-watch, that is, the little clickling sound heard often in many rooms, somewhat resembling that of a watch; and this is conceived to be of an evil omen or prediction of some person's death: wherein notwithstanding there is nothing of rational presage or just cause of terror unto melancholy and meticulous heads. For this noise is made by a little sheathwinged grey insect, found often in wainscot benches and wood-work in the summer. We have taken many thereof, and kept them in thin boxes, wherein I have heard and seen them

by the skin.] Affections of the skin.—Wr.

^{&#}x27;that part alone.] The most present way of bringing the drunken to the use of his senses, is to apply large sponges dipt in strong white wine vinegar, which a Doctor of Physic, of prime note and name, does assure mee is, upon manifold experience, found most true; yf they be for a while applied not to the head, but to the testicles.—Wr.

² Chap. VII.] A considerable portion of the contents of this chapter was added in the 2nd, 3rd, and 6th editions: the rest formed the conclusion of chap. VI. in the 1st edition, and was first made a separate chapter in the 2nd edition.

³ § 1.] Added in the 6th edition, as also the 7th paragraph: the intervening five, and the four succeeding ones, appeared first in the 2nd edition.

sheathwinged, &c.] Anobium tessellatum.

work and knock with a little proboscis or trunk against the side of the box, like a picus martius, or woodpecker against a tree. It worketh best in warm weather, and for the most part giveth not over under nine or eleven strokes at a time. He that could extinguish the terrifying apprehensions hereof, might prevent the passions of the heart, and many cold sweats in grandmothers and nurses, who, in the sickness of children, are so startled with these noises.

2. The presage of the year succeeding, which is commonly made from insects or little animals in oak-apples, according to the kinds thereof, either maggot, fly or spider; that is, of famine, war, or pestilence; whether we mean that woody excrescence, which shooteth from the branch about May, or that round and apple-like accretion which groweth under the leaf about the latter end of summer, is, I doubt, too distinct, nor verifiable from event.

For flies and maggots are found every year, very seldom spiders: and Helmont affirmeth, he could never find the spider and the fly upon the same trees, that is the signs of war and pestilence, which often go together: beside, that the flies found were at first maggots, experience hath informed us; for keeping these excrescences, we have observed their conversions, beholding in magnifying glasses the daily progression thereof. As may be also observed in other vegetable excretions, whose maggots do terminate in flies of constant shapes; as in the nut-galls of the outlandish oak, and the mossy tuft of the wild briar; which having gathered in November, we have found the little maggots, which lodged in wooden cells all winter, to turn into flies in June.⁵

We confess the opinion may hold some verity in the analogy, or emblematical fancy. For pestilence is properly signified by the spider, whereof some kinds are of a very venomous nature; famine by maggots, which destroy the fruits of the earth; and war not improperly by the fly, if we rest in the fancy of Homer, who compares the valiant Grecian unto a fly.

Some verity it may also have in itself, as truly declaring the corruptive constitution in the present sap and nutrimental juice of the tree; and may consequently discover the disposition of that year, according to the plenty or kinds of these productions. For if the putrefying juices of bodies bring forth plenty of flies and maggots, they give forth testimony of common corruption, and declare that the elements are full of the seeds of putrefaction, as the great number of caterpillars, gnats, and ordinary insects do also declare. If they run into spiders, they give signs of higher putrefaction, as plenty of vipers and scorpions are confessed to do; the putrefying materials producing animals of higher mischiefs, according to the advance and higher strain of corruption.⁶

3. Whether all plants have seed, were more easily determinable, if we could conclude concerning hartstongue,7 fern, the capillaries, lunaria,7 and some others. But whether those little dusty particles, upon the lower side of the leaves, be seeds and seminal parts; or rather, as it is commonly conceived, excremental separations; we have not as yet been able to determine by any germination or univocal production from them when they have been sowed on purpose; but having set the roots of hartstongue in a garden, a year or two after, there came up three or four of the same plants, about two yards distance from the first. Thus much we observe, that they seem to renew yearly, and come not fully out till the plant be in its vigour; and, by the help of magnifying glasses, we find these dusty atoms to be round at first, and fully representing seeds, out of which at last proceed little mites almost invisible; so that such as are old stand open, as being emptied of some bodies formerly included; which, though discernable in hartstongue, is more notoriously discoverable in some differences of brake or fern.

But exquisite microscopes and magnifying glasses have at last cleared this doubt, whereby also long ago the noble Fredericus Cæsius beheld the dusts of polypody as big as peppercorns; and as Johannes Faber testifieth, made draughts on paper of such kind of seeds, as big as his glasses represented them: and set down such plants under the classes of herbæ tergifætæ, as may be observed in his notable botanical tables.⁸

⁶ For if the putrefying, &c.] See note at page 196.

hartstongue, lunaria.] Scolopendrium and moonwort.
 Whether all plants have seeds, &c.] This doubt has been cleared

- 4. Whether the sap of trees runs down to the roots in winter, whereby they become naked and grow not; or whether they do not cease to draw any more, and reserve so much as sufficeth for conservation, is not a point indubitable.⁹ For we observe, that most trees, as though they would be perpetually green, do bud at the fall of the leaf, although they sprout not much forward until the spring and warmer weather approacheth; and many trees maintain their leaves all winter, although they seem to receive very small advantage in their growth. But [that] the sap doth powerfully rise in the spring, to repair that moisture whereby they barely subsisted in the winter, and also to put the plant in a capacity of fructification,—he that hath beheld how many gallons of water may in a small time be drawn from a birch tree in the spring, hath slender reason to doubt.
- 5. That camphor eunuchates, or begets in men an impotency unto venery, observation will hardly confirm; and we have found it to fail in cocks and hens, though given for many days: which was a more favourable trial than that of Scaliger, when he gave it unto a bitch that was proud. For the instant turgescence is not to be taken off, but by medicines of higher natures; and with any certainty but one way that we know, which notwithstanding, by suppressing that natural evacuation, may incline unto madness, if taken in the summer.
- 6. In the history of prodigies we meet with many showers of wheat; how true or probable, we have not room to debate: only thus much we shall not omit to inform; that

up by the laborious investigations of subsequent botanists. Sir James Smith, in speaking of the *dorsal terns*, remarks—"The production of perfect germinating seeds, contained in capsules, and consequently produced by impregnated *fertile flowers*, is as clear in ferns as in mosses,"

9 4. Whether the sap, d.c.] Du Petit Thouars supposes that the sap begins to move at the extremities of the branches before itstirs at the roots,—and this has been confirmed by experience. He theorises that the first budding in spring absorbs the sap from adjacent parts—which draw on those parts still further removed, and so on, till the whole mass of fluid is set in motion down to the roots. Dutrochet has formed a theory to account for the motion of vegetable fluids, by supposing galty vanic action. See a curious account of his experiments and deductions in Lindley's Introd. to Botany, p. 237, 238.

what was this year found in many places, and almost preached for wheat rained from the clouds, was but the seed of ivy-berries, which somewhat represent it; and though it were found in steeples and high places, might be conveyed thither, or muted out by birds; for many feed thereon, and in the crops of some we have found no less than three ounces.

- 7. That every plant might receive a name according unto the disease it cureth, was the wish of Paracelsus, a way more likely to multiply empiricks than herbalists: yet what is practised by many is advantageous unto neither; that is, relinquishing their proper appellations to re-baptize them by the name of saints, apostles, patriarchs, and martyrs, to call this the herb of John, that of Peter, this of James or Joseph, that of Mary or Barbara. For hereby apprehensions are made additional unto their proper natures; whereon superstitious practices ensue, and stories are framed accordingly, to make good their foundations.
- 8. We cannot omit to declare the gross mistake of many in the nominal apprehension of plants. To instance but in few. An herb, there is, commonly called betonical Pauli, or Paul's betony; hereof the people have some conceit in reference to St. Paul; whereas, indeed, that name is derived from Paulus Ægineta, an ancient physician of Ægina, and is no more than speedwell, or fluellin. The like expectations are raised from herba trinitatis; which, notwithstanding, obtaineth that name from the figure of its leaves, and is one kind of liverwort, or hepatica. In milium solis, the epithet of the sun hath enlarged its opinion; which hath, indeed, no reference thereunto, it being no more than lithospermon, or grummel, or rather milium soler; which as Serapion from Aben Juliel hath taught us, because it grew plentifully in the mountains of Soler, received that appellation. In Jews' ears something is conceived extraordinary from the name, which is in propriety but fungus sambucinus, or an excrescence about the roots of elder, and concerneth not the nation of the Jews, but Judas Iscariot, upon a conceit he hanged on this tree; and is become a famous medicine in quinsies,2 sore throats,3

¹ betonica.] Pauli Æginetæ betonica; nobis est Flewellin.—Wr.

² quinsies.] Lege quinancyes.—Wr.
³ sore throats.] A correspondent of the Gentleman's Magazine,

and strangulations,⁴ ever since. And so are they deceived in the name of horse-radish, horse-mint, bull-rush, and many more: conceiving therein some prenominal consideration, whereas, indeed, that expression is but a Grecism, by the prefix of hippos and bous; that is, horse and bull, intending no more than great.⁵ According whereto the great dock is called hippolapathum; and he that calls the horse of Alexander Great-head,⁶ expresseth the same which the Greeks do in Bucephalus.

9. Lastly, many things are delivered and believed of other plants, wherein at least we cannot but suspend. That there is a property in basil to propagate scorpions, and that by the smell thereof they are bred in the brains of men, is much advanced by Hollerius, who found this insect in the brains of a man that delighted much in that smell. Wherein beside that we find no way to conjoin the effect unto the cause assigned; herein the moderns speak but timorously, and some of the ancients quite contrarily. For according unto Oribasius, physician unto Julian, the Africans, men best experienced in poisons, affirm, whosoever hath eaten basil, although he be stung with a scorpion, shall feel no pain

dotally destroying, than seminally promoting its production. That the leaves of *cataputia* or spurge, being plucked upward or downward, respectively perform their operations by

thereby: which is a very different effect, and rather anti-

vol. lxxix. p. 38, relates a cure of sore throat by the use of sliced horse-radish—chewed, and then passed to the root of the tongue.

* strangulations.] Supple [inward].—Wr. i. e. lege 'inward strangulations.'

 5 great.] As is manifest in Hipposelinum, but especially in hipposetos, the great eagle. Hippelaphus, hippomærathon, $^{\circ}$ 1ππόγυποι, $^{\circ}$ 1ππομόμηκες, &c.—Wr.

To this list may be added horse-ant, bullhead, bullfinch, &c. But the prefix does not always mean "great." Evelyn says, that the horse-chestnut is so called because it cures horses and other cattle of coughs. And certainly both horse-chestnut and horse-radish are among the medicines used in farriery. Horse-beans, which are smaller than some other species, are so called because horses are fed with them:—horse-leeches, probably because they fasten on the legs of horses while drinking. Horse-hoe, through drawn by horses because it is large, owes its prefix to the former, not to the latter circumstance. Why is the epithet, dog, prefixed to the scentless violet and the wild rose?

6 Great-head] Or, as I knew a gallant horse, whom his lord called Club.—Wr.

purge or vomit, as some have written, and old wives still do preach, is a strange conceit, ascribing unto plants positional operations, and after the manner of the loadstone; upon the pole⁷ whereof, if a knife be drawn from the handle unto the point, it will take up a needle; but if drawn again from the point to the handle, it will attract it no more.

That cucumbers are no commendable fruits; that being very waterish, they fill the veins with crude and windy serosities; that containing little salt or spirit, they may also debilitate the vital acidity, and fermental faculty of the stomach, we readily concede; but that they should be so cold, as be almost poison by that quality, it will be hard to allow, without the contradiction of Galen;* who accounteth them cold but in the second degree, and in that classis have most physicians placed them.⁸

That elder-berries are poison, as we are taught by tradition, experience will unteach us. And besides the promises of Blochwitius, the healthful effects thereof will convict us.

That an ivy cup will separate wine from water, if filled with both, the wine soaking through, but the water still remaining, as after Pliny many have averred, we know not how to affirm; who making trial thereof, found both the liquors to soak indistinctly through the bowl.

That sheep do often get the rot, by feeding in boggy grounds where ros solis groweth, seems beyond dispute.

* In his Anatomia Sambuci.

⁹ to soak indistinctly, &c.] The fayling might bee by the weakenes of our racked wines.—Wr.

"Fixed or essential oils, or naphtha, and similar bodies, in mixture with water or aqueous solutions, in which they are not soluble, may be separated from the latter by a paper filter, previously moistened with pure water." Faraday's Chemical Manipulation, p. 241, No. 514.

1 ros solis.] This plant (drosera rotundifolia and longifolia, the

1 ros solis.] This plant (drosera rotundifolia and longifolia, the round and long leaved sundew, and the butterwort, and white rot, pin-guicula rulgaris, and hydrocotyle), have been accused as the cause of dry rot; but they do not occur in every rotting soil. Various other causes have been assigned. But nothing seems so uniformly to occasion the

⁷ pole.] Upon an armed stone there are two poles, one northe and the other southe. Now as the back of the knife layd on both these, being drawn from the southe to the northe, imprints the magneticall vertue, see drawne back against akes itt off.—Wr.

⁸ That cucumbers, &c.] Added in the 2nd edition.

That this herb is the cause thereof, shepherds affirm and deny; whether it hath a cordial virtue by sudden refection, sensible experiment doth hardly confirm, but that it may have a balsamical and resumptive virtue, whereby it becomes a good medicine in catarrhs and consumptive dispositions, practice and reason conclude. That the lentous drops upon it are not extraneous, and rather an exudation from itself, than a rorid concretion from without; beside other grounds, we have reason to conceive: for having kept the roots moist and earthed in close chambers, they have, though in lesser plenty, sent out these drops as before.²

That flos Africanus is poison, and destroyeth dogs, in two

experiments we have not found.3

That yew, and the berries4 thereof, are harmless, we know.

That a snake will not endure the shade of an ash, we can deny.⁵ Nor is it inconsiderable what is affirmed by Bellonius:* for if his assertion be true, our apprehension is often-

* Lib. 1. Observat.

disease as certain paludal effluria, from whatever circumstances of locality of soil, or vegetation, such effluria may be occasioned.

² That sheep, &c.] Added in the 3rd edition.

³ not found.] There are diverse sorts of them. Some, by longe translations into our colder clymes, now grown harmlesse: as it happened in pexches, which in their original soyle were counted pernicious in an extreme degree of cold, and moyst; but by transplantation and long mangonization among us, prove to bee beneficial to hot complexions: and with Spanish wine not much hurtful to any in a small quantitye.—Wr.

¹ That yew, &c.] I have often seen children eate them without hurt; but in hot countries the ixia grows to such a hight of clammines.

as cannot bee dissolved in the stomack.—Wr.

"Nihil æque facere ad viperæ morsum, quam taxi arboris succum.— Sueton. Claud. § 16.

"Cativulcus—taxo—se exanimavit."—Casar. de Bell. Gall. l. v. 31. See an instance of two cows being killed by eating the leaves of yew, at High Lorton, Cumberland, in 1817. Hampshire Chronicle, Jan. 26, 1807.—"Three cows died a few days ago, at Drayton, in consequence of eating yew leaves."—Erening Mail, May 3rd, 1811.—"Two horses killed by eating yew in a close near Chelmsford; a great quantity being found in the stomachs of the dead animals. A filly was saved by powerful antidotes being quickly administered."—Phil. Gazette, Feb. 12, 1823.—Jef.

5 deny. Tedit. 1646 and 1650 add here the following sentence:—
"That cats have such delight in the herb wreta, called therefore

times wide in ordinary simples, and in common use we mistake one for another. We know not the true thyme; the savory in our gardens is not that commended of old; and that kind of hyssop the ancients used, is unknown unto us, who make great use of another.

We omit to recite the many virtues and endless faculties ascribed unto plants, which sometimes occur in grave and serious authors; and we shall make a bad transaction for truth to concede a verity in half. To reckon up all, it were employment for Archimedes, who undertook to write the number of the sands. Swarms of others there are, some whereof our future endeavours may discover: common reason, I hope, will save us a labour in many, whose absurdities stand naked unto every eye; errors, not able to deceive the emblem of justice, and needing no Argus to descry them. Herein there surely wants expurgatory animadversions, whereby we might strike out great numbers of hidden qualities; and having once a serious and conceded list, we might, with more encouragement and safety, attempt their reasons

cattaria, our experience cannot discover."—I have met with the probable reason for the suppression of this passage (3rd edit. 1658, and subsequent editions) in a letter from Dr. How to the author, dated 1655. "I have numbered, about two rootes of nep. in my garden, 16 cats, who never destroyed those plants, but have totally despoyled the neighbouring births in that bed to a yard's distance, rendring the place hard and smooth, like a walke with their frequent treddings."

THE THIRD BOOK:

THE PARTICULAR PART CONTINUED.

OF POPULAR AND RECEIVED TENETS CONCERNING ANIMALS.

CHAPTER I.

That an Elephant hath no joints, &c.

THE first shall be of the elephant, whereof there generally passeth an opinion it hath no joints: 6 and this absurdity is seconded with another, that, being unable to lie down, it sleepeth against a tree; which the hunters observing do saw

⁶ The first shall be of the elephant, &c.] The "popular and received tenet" concerning this animal, which it is the main object of the chapter before us to refute, appears either to have been first delivered, or first recorded from tradition, by Ctesias the Cnidian, who is the earliest writer to whom I have been able to trace it; and who, according to Professor Schlegel, was the first among the Greeks who gave, from his own personal observation, a description of the elephant in any way copious, which was written about 380 A.C. The probability that Ctesias was the originator, or the first recorder, of this vulgar error, is confirmed by the circumstance that many idle tales, regarding other animals, appear to have been also first promulgated by him; and also by the fact, that Aristotle, in his details on the elephant, twice refutes the assertions of Ctesias, naming him; and when refuting this particular error, does so in such a manner, that although no name is given, his allusions, as Professor Schlegel has shown, can refer only to that The absurdity respecting the elephant's posture in sleep and the consequent mode of capturing him, is also derived from Ctesias.

It is very true, therefore, that, the "conceit" in question "is not the daughter of later times, but an old and grey-headed error;" and it is also true that it is delivered as such by Aristotle. I have found it necessary, for reasons that will be evident in the course of these annotations.

it almost asunder; whereon the beast relving, by the fall of the tree falls also down itself, and is able to rise no more.

always to compare what our author has attributed to that philosopher, with the original statements made in his works; and as there are several curious points in the history of our knowledge respecting the elephant connected with the subject, and which contribute to elucidate Browne's remarks, I shall here introduce Aristotle's observasions.

It will be proper to premise, however, that it has been shown by Professor Schlegel, in his learned and interesting History of the Elephant and Sphinx, (Class. Journ. vol. xxxi.), that the first battle between any of the nations of the western world and those of the eastern, in which elephants were used, was that of Arbela, and that some of these, taken by Alexander, and sent by him into Greece, were the first elephants seen in that country, and very probably the actual subjects of the admirable natural history of this animal contained in the works of Aristotle, which is manifestly, and indeed professedly, the result of frequent and minute actual examination of elephants of both sexes. And, "what he himself could not ascertain," as Professor Schlegel remarks, "viz. the beast's mode of life in his wild state, he doubtless ascertained from the Indian conductors who led the elephants." (Ib. p. 53.)

Aristotle, in the ninth chapter of his book, On the Progressive Motion of Animals, when showing that without inflexion there could be no progression, to which demonstration Browne's argument on the subject is greatly indebted (as he indeed indirectly acknowledges), has occasion to notice some partial exceptions to this rule, which he introduces thus: "It is possible, however, for the leg to be moved when not inflected, in the same manner as infants creep. And there is an ancient report of this kind about elephants, which is not true; for such animals as these are moved in consequence of an inflexion taking place either in their shoulders or hips. No animal, however, is capable of moving with a continued progressive motion, and with security, with its members straight; but it may be moved as they are in the palæstræ, who proceed on their knees through the dust."-T. Taylor's Treatises of Aristotle on the Parts and Progressive Motion of Animals, p. 181.

In the second book of his History of Animals, chap. i. when treating of the accordance of viviparous animals in general with each other. and with man, in configuration and in motions, the Stagyrite observes: "The legs, however, of other animals, as well the fore as the hind legs, have flexions contrary to each other, and to the flexions of the legs and aims of man, the elephant being excepted What is asserted of the elephant, however, by some, is not true (i.e. that he cannot hend his legs, nor sit); for he can do both, except that he cannot, on account of his weight, at one and the same time, bend each fore leg, and recline on each side, but he can alone bend one leg, either the right or the left, and alone recline on one side, and in this manner he sleeps (leaning against some wall or tree). But he bends his hind legs in the Which conceit is not the daughter of later times, but an old and grey-headed error, even in the days of Aristotle, as he delivereth in his book, *De Incessu Animalium*, and stands successively related by several other authors; by Diodorus Siculus, Strabo, Ambrose, Cassiodore, Solinus, and many more. Now, herein, methinks, men much forget themselves, not well considering the absurdity of such assertions.

For first, they affirm it hath no joints, and yet concede it walks and moves about; whereby they conceive there may

same manner as men."—Taylor's Translation of Aristotle's History of Animals, p. 36.

In the latter passage, however, Aristotle, though he corrects the error of Ctesias in a satisfactory manner, appears, on another point, to be mistaken himself. For it would seem to imply that the elephant, having bent one fore-leg, cannot then bend the other so as to kneel with both—which is contrary to the fact. And, what is perhaps still more curious in the history of the subject, Mr. Taylor, in his concluding interpolation, has actually adopted a portion of the original error of Ctesias, to complete the sense of his author. Something, certainly, appears to be wanting, in order to complete the sense. But, that a statement by a writer who is never mentioned by Aristotle except for the purpose of refuting him, and which is in itself so well known to be untrue, should have been employed for the purpose, is very extraordinary. As the amplifications of Mr. Taylor's version of this passage also tend in some degree to obscure the sense. I will add the closer and more concise version of Du Val. "Flectunt autem crura, priora contrà, atque posteriora: et e contrario, quam homo, membra inflectunt, excepto elephanto Elephas non, ut aliqui retulerunt, agit: sed considendo crura inflectit, nequit tamen præ nimio pondere utrumque in latus equilibrio quodam vergere · sed aut lævo incubat, aut dextro, atque eo ipso habitu requiescit."—Arist. Opera Omnia, curá Du Val, tom, i. p. 771, B.—Br.

⁷ For first, they affirm it hath no joints, &c.] This argument of our author, showing, from reason, anatomy, and general analogy with other animals, the absurdity of the error he is refuting, is exceedingly logical and pertinent.

Ross, with his usual dogmatism, represents that "the doctor, prying too narrowly into the sayings of the ancients, reckoneth them amongst his Vulgar Errors, which being rightly understood, are no errors at all; as when they say the elephant hath no joynts, they meant their joynts were stiffe, and not so easily flexible as those of other animals." (Arcan. Microc. p. 152). But unfortunately for this explanation, Ctesias explicitly affirms, "that the elephant hath no joints in the bone of his leg," which fully justifies the importance given by Browne to the popular misrepresentation founded on the statement of that writer.

Robinson, by implication, condemns Browne for censuring the views of the ancients on this subject; observing, "that elephants have no

be a progression or advancement made in motion, without inflexion of parts. Now all progression or animal locomotion being (as Aristotle teaches) performed tractu et pulsu, that is by drawing on or impelling forward some part which was before in station, or at quiet,—where there are no joint or flexures, neither can there be the actions. And this is true. not only in quadrupeds, volatiles, and fishes, which have distinct and prominent organs of motion,—legs, wings, and fins, but in such also as perform their progression by the trunk, as serpents, worms and leeches; whereof, though some want bones, and all, extended articulations, yet have they arthritical analogies,* and, by the motion of fibrous and musculous parts, are able to make progression. Which to conceive in bodies flexible, and without all protrusion of parts, were to expect a race from Hercules' pillars or hope to behold the effects of Orpheus' harp, when trees found joints, and danced after his music.

Again, while men conceive they never lie down,8 and enjoy not the position of rest ordained unto all pedestrious animals,

* Joint-like-parts.

joynts, though by some it be delivered in generall termes; yet was not their Minerva so dull, to except all; but did intend the suffragineous or knee joynts onely: without which there may be a progression in man; as upon stilts; by the sole motion of the hippe: in quadrupedes, as in full gallop." But though he proceeds to quote Cæsar as affirming such to be the case with the elk (alces), he adduces no facts whatever in contravention of Browne's representations and arguments; although, on the other hand, he has some good instances of animals to which station is rest, as many birds, and ordinarily horses also. Thus this commentator, in his defence of the ancients against our author, actually admits that they made the very statement which we have just seen to be that of Ctesias, the original promulgator of the story.—Br.

⁸ Again, while men conceive they never lie down.] The argument contained in this and the following paragraph, is deserving of the same praise as has been awarded to the preceding direct argument on the necessity of the elephant having joints; that necessity being now shown, in an indirect manner, from the general necessity of change and alternation of posture in animals. But our author, from the deficiency of his knowledge both of the natural history and the anatomy of the elephant, happens not to have been aware that station, to it, is rest (except when greatly fatigued, or in great weakness from disease), as we have seen, when citing Robinson's animadversions, to be the case also with some other animals. From the construction of all the joints in the legs of this animal, and especially from that of the knee-joint,

hereby they imagine (what reason cannot conceive), that an animal of the vastest dimension and longest duration, should live in a continual motion, without that alternity and vicissitude of rest whereby all others continue; and yet must thus much come to pass, if we opinion they lie not down and enjoy no decumbence at all. For station is properly no rest, but one kind of motion, relating unto that which physicians (from Galefi) do name extensive or tonical; that is, an extension of the muscles and organs of motion, maintaining the body at length, or in its proper figure.

Wherein although it seem to be unmoved, it is not without all motion; for in this position the muscles are sensibly extended, and labour to support the body; which, permitted unto its proper gravity, would suddenly subside and fall unto the earth; as it happeneth in sleep, diseases, and death. From which occult action and invisible motion of the muscles, in station (as Galen declareth), proceed more offensive lassi-

the elephant, when standing still, rests, as it were, upon four pillars, with scarcely any need of muscular exertion, and of none but what slight mental excitement can supply. Thus the elephant, which died some years since in the menagerie of the Jardin des Plantes, at Paris, was observed never to he down, even in his last illness, until immediately before his death; and that which was so long exhibited at Exeter 'Change, London, and killed there in 1826, received 152 balls in almost every anterior part of his body, before he fell.

The following relation, however, is still more illustrative of the fact, that the elephant rests while standing, expecially when under any excitement. Mr. Corse (now Mr. Corse Scott), under whose direction the elephant hunters of Tiperah, in Bengal, where placed for several years, states, that it is always a good sign when an elephant lies down to sleep within a few months after he is taken; as it shows him to be of a good temper, not suspicious, but reconciled to his fate. "Elephants," he observes, "particularly goondahs (which are large male animals that have strayed from the woods and from the herds), have been known to stand twelve months at their pickets without lying down to sleep; though they sometimes take a short nap standing." Obs. on the Manners, Habits, and Nat. Hist. of the Elephant—Phil. Trans. 1799, p. 44.

From the observation of some remarkable case of this description, in a country where the rarity of the animal precluded the correction of the inference deduced from it, in addition perhaps to the "cylindrical composure of the legs," to which it is attributed by our author, the story of the want of knee-joints in the elephant, in all probability, must have originated.—Br.

tudes than from ambulation.9 And therefore the tyranny of some have tormented men with long and enforced station; and though Ixion and Sisyphus, which always moved, do seem to have the hardest measure, yet was not Tityus favoured, that lav extended upon Caucasus, and Tantalus suffered somewhat more than thirst, that stood perpetually Thus Mercurialis, in his Gymnastics, justly makes standing one kind of exercise: and Galen, when we lie down, commends unto us middle figures, that is, not to lie directly, or at length, but somewhat inflected, that the muscles may be at rest; for such as he termeth hypobolemaioi, or figures of excess, either shrinking up or stretching out, are wearisome positions, and such as perturb the quiet of those parts. Now various parts do variously discover these indolent and quiet positions, some in right lines, as the wrists; some at right angles, as the cubit; others at oblique angles, as the fingers and knees: all resting satisfied in postures of moderation, and none enduring the extremity of flexure or extension.2

Moreover, men herein do strangely forget the obvious relations of history, affirming they have no joints, whereas they daily read of several actions which are not performable without them. They forget what is delivered by Xiphilinus, and also by Suetonius, in the lives of Nero and Galba, that elephants have been instructed to walk on ropes, in public shows, before the people: which is not easily performed by man, and requireth not only a broad foot, but a pliable flexure of joints, and commandable disposure of all parts of progression. They pass by that memorable place in Curtius, concerning the elephant of King Porus; Indus qui elephantem regebat, descendere eum ratus, more solito procumbere jussit

⁹ From which, &c.] N.B. et cave! The mischeef which cometh by standing long (as at studyes) appears in old age, by the swelling of the legs, and (ofttimes) the gout.— $\hat{W}r$.

Would not Darwin have said that this swelling was no other than the appetency of the leg towards an attainment of the columnar formation of the elephantine leg—an appetency excited by the stationary discipline of its studious owner, the dean?

^{&#}x27; cubit.] The fore-arm.

² Now various parts, &c.] This sentence was first added in the 2nd edit.

in genua, cæteri quoque (ita enim instituti erant) demisere corpora in terram. They remember not the expression of Osorius,* when he speaks of the elephant presented to LeoX.; Pontificem ter genibus flexis, et demisso corporis habitu venerabundus salutavit. But above all, they call not to mind that memorable show of Germanicus, wherein twelve elephants danced unto the sound of music, and after laid them down in the tricliniums, or places of festival recumbency.

They forget the etymology of the knee, approved by some grammarians.† They disturb the position of the young ones in the womb; which upon extension of legs is not easily conceivable, and contrary unto the general contrivance of nature. Nor do they consider the impossible exclusion thereof, upon extension and rigour of the legs.³

Lastly, they forget or consult not experience,4 whereof not

* De rebus gestis Emanuelis.

† Γόνυ from γωνία.

³ They forget, &c.] This paragraph was first added in the 2nd edit. 4 they forget or consult not experience, dec.] This will be the proper place to make a remark or two on the modern history and prevalence of this tale, that the legs of the elephant are devoid of joints. In the volume on the elephant, published in the Menageries of the Library of Entertaining Knowledge, are some quotations on the subject from early English works, for which the compiler of that volume is indebted to Steevens's notes on Shakspeare, though he does not acknowledge it. In a curious specimen of our early natural history, The Dialogues of Creatures Moralyzed, is mention, Steevens informs us, of "the olefawnte that bowyth not the kneys," In the play of All Fools, 1605, occurs this passage: "I hope you are no elephant—you have joints." Shakspeare, in his Troilus and Cresside, 1609, makes Ulysses say (act ii. sc. 3), "The elephant hath joints, but none for courtesy: his legs are legs for necessity, not for flexure." In All's Lost by Lust, 1633, a woman is said to be "stubborn as an elephant's leg-no bending in her." It will not follow from these expressions, that the authors of all the works in which they appear were actually believers in this story; nor could it be proved from them that it was generally believed at the times when they wrote: for, with respect to the three plays, the allusion may be regarded as founded only on the known prevalence, at some period, of the belief in question. Still, even these evince, at least, the former existence of the notion, as well as its extensive prevalence and popular currency. But the mention of it in The Dialogues of Creatures Moralyzed, shows it to have been a generally received opinion in this country at the date of their publication, early in the sixteenth century. Browne mentions it as a general opinion (the first edition of the Vulgar Errors being published in 1646, and the last in 1686), though he states it to be "at present well suppressed" in England by an elephant shown, " not many many years past we have had the advantage in England, by an elephant shown in many parts thereof, not only in the posture of standing, but kneeling and lying down. Whereby, although the opinion at present be well suppressed, yet, from some strings of tradition, and fruitful recurrence of error, it is not improbable it may revive in the next generation again; this being not the first that hath been seen in England: for, besides some others, as Polydore Virgil relateth, Lewis the French king sent one to Henry III., and Emanuel of Portugal another to Leo X. into Italy, where, notwithstanding, the error is still alive and epidemical, as with us.

The hint and ground of this opinion might be, the gross and somewhat cylindrical composure of the legs, the equality and less perceptible disposure of the joints; especially in the former legs⁵ of this animal; they appearing, when he standeth, like pillars of flesh, without any evidence of articulation. The different flexure and order of the joints might also countenance the same, being not disposed in the elephant as they

years past,"....." in many parts thereof, not only in the posture of standing, but kneeling and lying down." He expresses an apprehension, however, that it will revive again, citing the case of Italy, where, notwithstanding the opportunity of witnessing the habits of the animal, afforded by the elephant sent to Leo X., by Emanuel, King of Portugal, "the error," he observes, " is still alive and epidemical, as with us." And it remains, even to the present day, a "vulgar error" among the uneducated classes. It has long been the custom for the exhibitors of itinerant collections of wild animals, when showing the elephant, to mention the story of its having no joints, and its consequent inability to kneel; and they never fail to think it necessary to demonstrate its untruth by causing the animal to bend one of its fore-legs and to kneel also; but I never saw this done (and I have been present many times on such occasions), without observing that it was witnessed with astonishment and almost with incredulity, by several persons present, whether the exhibition has been in London or in a provincial town. We have thus an instance of an error of the grossest and most palpable description, and one which has often from time to time been refuted, respecting an animal which is not found in the countries in which that error has been entertained, prevailing for a period of at least 2,200 years, though for the last two centuries, to a greatly diminished extent. This is a fact which it will be well to bear in mind, in any enquiries respecting the probable truth of certain relations in natural history, which have at various periods, and among various nations, been generally received, but respecting the truth or falsity of which, we may not be in possession of decisive evidence.—Br. ⁵ former legs. Fore-legs: used in this case by Spenser.

are in other quadrupeds, but carrying a nearer conformity unto those of man; that is, the bought⁶ of the fore-legs, not directly backward, but laterally, and somewhat inward; but the hough or suffraginous flexure behind, rather outward: somewhat different unto many other quadrupeds,7 as horses, camels,8 deer, sheep, and dogs; for their fore-legs bend like our legs, and their hinder legs like our arms, when we move them to our shoulders. But quadrupeds oviparous, as frogs, lizards, crocodiles, have their joints and motive flexures more analogously framed unto ours: and some among viviparous. that is, such thereof as can bring their fore-feet and meat therein unto their mouths, as most can do, that have the clavicles or collar-bones, whereby their breasts are broader, and their shoulders more asunder; as the ape, the monkey, the squirrel, and some others.9 If, therefore, any shall affirm the joints of elephants are differently framed from most of other quadrupeds, and more obscurely and grossly almost than any, he doth herein no injury unto truth. But if, \dot{a} dicto secundum quid ad dictum simpliciter, he affirmeth also they have no articulations at all, he incurs the controlment of reason, and cannot avoid the contradiction also of sense.

As for the manner of their venation, if we consult historical experience, we shall find it to be otherwise than, as is commonly presumed, by sawing away of trees. The accounts whereof are to be seen at large at Johannes, Hugo,

⁶ the bought.] The bend or flexure.

⁷ other quadrupeds.] First edition added, "and such as can scratch the ear with the hinder foot."

^{*} camels.] In the beginning of March, 1652-3, I saw a dromedary, which at the command of his master, by the word (busy) began to lye downe, first, by bending his fore-knees, and then the upper knee of the hinder legg, which is next the groine.—Wr.

⁹ some others.] As mice sometimes, and dormice always, and among birds, the parat.—Wr.

¹ If, therefore, any shall affirm, &c.] There is some inaccuracy in this sentence: the joints of the elephant are framed upon the same general plan as those of other quadrupeds belonging to the same group of mammalia, and they certainly are not more obscurely and grossly formed than those of any others; having merely the variation of structure rendered necessary by the magnitude and the consequent weight of the animal, as we shall presently show; but being, at the same time, as admirably formed, and as exquisitely adapted to its particular exigencies, as those of any other creature whatever.—Br.

Edwardus Lopez, Garcias ab Horto, Cadamustus, and many more.

Other concernments there are of the elephant, which might admit of discourse. And if we should question the teeth of elephants,² that is, whether they be properly so termed, or might not rather be called horns,³ it were no new enquiry of mine, but a paradox as old as Oppianus.* Whether, as Pliny and divers since affirm it, that elephants are terrified and make away upon the grunting of swine,⁴ Garcias ab Horto may decide, who affirmeth upon experience, they enter their stalls, and live promiscuously in the woods of

* Cygenet, lib. 2.

² elephants.] There is another error concerning the teeth, which grow not, as most suppose, but as the tuskes of a boare proceed (like horns) from out the upper chawe, and soe bend up againe.—Wr.

3 might not rather be called horns.] It is scarcely necessary to observe, that the tusks, as they are commonly called, of this animal, are truly teeth, being implanted in bones corresponding to those which carry the incisors of other animals: see Cuvier, Règne Animal, édit. nouv. tom. i.

p. 237.—Br.

⁴ making away upon the grunting of swine.] This aversion is alluded to in the following interesting passage from the Menageries: "But the elephant may be endued with this acute hearing, in addition to his exquisite touch, for the protection of the lesser animals from the accidents to which they would be subject from lying in his path. He has an extraordinary dislike to all small quadrupeds. Dogs running near him produce a great annoyance; if a hare start from her cover, he is immediately alarmed; and that pigs are his aversion, has been recorded by every naturalist, from Pliny to Buffon. It is even mentioned by Procopius, the historian of the Persian and Gothic wars, that, at the siege of Edessa, by Chosroes, King of Persia, in the time of Justinian, the besieged Greeks employed the cry of a pig to frighten from the walls the elephants of their enemy. The old naturalists explained this peculiarity by the doctrine of antipathies: in the same way that they affirmed that the elephant was fond of an ox, upon the principle of sympathies. It may appear something equally fanciful, to suggest the possibility that the elephant may dislike the smaller animals to come in his way from his instinctive disinclination to destroy them by an accidental tread. He always avoids a contest with inferior quadrupeds whenever he can; and if a helpless living creature, such as an infant or a wounded man, lie in his way, he will move the object. The elephant is naturally gentleanxious alone to procure his own food without molesting others. That he is so, is a merciful, as well as a wise dispensation. If he had possessed a ferocity equal to his power, he must have exterminated a very large part of the animal creation."—Menageries, vol. ii. p. 69, 70.—Br.

CHAP. I.

Malavar. That the situation of the genitals is averse,⁵ and their copulation like that which some believe of camels, as Pliny hath also delivered, is not to be received; for we have beheld that part in a different position; and their coition is made by supersaliency, like that of horses, as we are informed by some who have beheld them in that act. That some elephants have not only written whole sentences, as Ælian ocularly testifieth, but have also spoken, as Oppianus delivereth, and Christophorus à Costa particularly relateth,⁶—although it sound like that of Achilles' horse in Homer, we do not conceive impossible: nor, beside the affinity of reason in this animal, any such intolerable incapacity in the organs of divers quadrupeds, whereby they might not be taught to speak, or become imitators of speech, like birds.⁷ Strange it is, how the curiosity of men, that

⁵ That the situation of the genitals, dc.] Browne is quite correct in his statement of the manner in which coition is effected in the elephant; and from his mode of authenticating that statement, it might have been inferred, even in his time, that the notion of the modesty of the animal, or of its unwillingness or inability to continue its race in captivity, was merely a vulgar error; this, however, is not mentioned by our author.—Br.

⁶ have also spoken, as Oppianus delivereth, and Christophorus à Costa particularly relateth. In the volume on the elephant, in the Library of Entertaining Knowledge, before cited, occurs the following satisfactory explanation of this relation of Acosta :-- "At Cochin, according to this writer, there was an elephant that worked at the port with all the skill of a human labourer. One day, when he was much fatigued, the governor of the port desired him to assist in launching a boat. The elephant refused; and the man of authority, having in vain employed all his caresses, commanded him to do it in the name of the King of Portugal. The loyal beast, it is added, instantly replied, 'I will, I will,' and performed his task. This story may explain some of the old fables of the elephant speaking; for, in the Malabar language, 'I will,' is expressed by 'hoo,'—a very natural sound for an elephant to make, not upon the invocation of the King of Portugal, but upon the more effectual stimulus of the blow which probably accompanied the utterance of the magical name."—Menageries, vol. ii. p. 154.—Br.

7 might not be taught to speak, &c.] To expatiate on the futility of our author's reasoning, as to the probability of animals being taught to speak (to speak rationally, as he would seem to insinuate), is needless; but it will be proper to make a few remarks on the imperfect knowledge of his subject, which renders his reasoning futile. Like almost every other author who has written upon subjects connected with the instinct of brutes, he regards their perceptive faculties as lower degrees of reason;

have been active in the instruction of beasts, has never fallen upon this artifice; and among those many paradoxical and unheard of imitations, should not attempt to make one speak. The serpent that spake unto Eve, the dogs and cats that usually speak unto witches, might afford some encouragement. And since broad and thick chaps are required in birds that speak, ince lips and teeth are also organs of speech; from

mistaking the analogical relation to reason which they exhibit (using the term analogical in the same sense, mutatis mutandis, as that in which it has lately been employed in natural history, by Mr. W. S. Macleay), and by which they represent its attributes, for an actual community of nature with reason. The truth seems to be, with respect to the particular subject now before us, that brute animals, not having reason, and being consequently devoid of analytical thought, which is the activity of reason, are equally devoid of the means of uttering articulate speech. which is merely the exponent and vehicle of such thought in man. That this is the true nature of articulate speech, is proved by the fact, that those unfortunate individuals of the human race (of whom we may cite Peter, the wild boy, as an example), who have never been taught to think, and are devoid of reason, are equally devoid of the power of articulation, though their vocal organs are as perfect as those of educated men possessed of the full powers of speech. Some animals can be taught to produce sounds by their organs of voice, which closely resemble those of human speech; but sounds of this description can also be produced by inanimate machinery, as in the speaking automata, &c. of Kempelen and Kratzenstein; and such sounds, when uttered by animals, are indicative only of their own instinctive perceptions, like their ordinary inarticulate cries, and they are not indicative of these even in any greater degree than those cries are.

The only accurate view of the nature of the analogue of reason in brutes, with which I am acquainted, is contained in an enquiry into the nature of instinct, by Mr. John O. French, published in the first and second volumes of the Zoological Journal.

But, to proceed with our author, quadrupeds have been taught to "become imitators of speech like birds." Leibnitz has recorded the history of a dog, who had been taught, by the son of his master, a peasant in Saxony, to pronounce thirty different words. This fact may be regarded as throwing some degree of light upon, and rendering credible, many old relations of a similar kind, some long anterior to the time of our author. The substance of Leibnitz's account will be found in Rees's Cyclopædia, under the article pog.—Br.

 8 serpent, dc.] See my notes at the very end, and on book v. c. 4.—Wr.

⁹ And since broad and thick chaps are required in birds that speak.] An error is involved in this expression parallel to that popular one, which ascribes the ability of parrots to imitate the human voice, essentially to their broad and human-like tongue. Mr. Yarrell has remarked, in his Menoir or the Organs of Voice in Birds, that the raven, magpie,

these there is also an advantage in quadrupeds, and a proximity of reason in elephants and apes above them all. Since also an echo will speak without any mouth at all,² jay, and starling, produce a close imitation of the human voice with tongues long, slender, and horny. But the proper source of correction of both errors is the knowledge we now possess, that the organ of voice, in all birds, is the inferior larynx, situated at the bifurcation of the truchea, where the bronchiae go off from it to the lungs, or in other words, at the bottom of the windpipe; the superior larynx or glottis, opening into the cavity of the mouth, being little more than a simple slit, giving utterance to the sounds produced below, or being at most one of the accessary organs for their regulation. The true cause of the accuracy with which the birds having "broad and thick chaps." especially the parrots, imitate speech, seems to be their accurate ear for sounds of every description, together with the arrangement and functions of the muscles of their organ of voice, giving them a greater compass of voice than other birds; by which means they are enabled to imitate any kind of sound they hear: for parrots, &c. it will be remembered, imitate the ticking of a clock, or the sharpening of a saw, or a whistled tune, as accurately as they do the voice itself.

This error, however, like the greater number of those entertained by our author himself, was an almost universal one, and continued to be so until the true nature and situation of the organs of voice in birds were first accurately shown by Cuvier, about the commencement of the present century. A summary view of the results of his investigation will be found near the end of the article, BIRDS, Anatomy of, in Rees's Cyclopædia, from the pen, we believe, of Professor Macartney, of Trinity College, Dublin, an accomplished comparative anatomist: and an excellent general account of the organs of voice in birds, illustrated by details and figures of them in many individual species, is given by Mr. Yarrell, in the paper before referred to, published in the Transactions of the Linnean Society, vol. xvi. p. 305.—Br.

¹ apes.] In February, 1652-3 itt was constantly reported from some of the Portugal embassador's followers, that the present King of Spain had a baboone that went upright and spake many things: whether itt bee è Satyrorum or Cynocephalorum genere is not sayde. The way were to gain a pregnant female, and to traine the younge by language: they about Conge believe they can speake, but will not, fearing least soe they might be forced to labor. Sed de hujusmodi monstris, consule Gassen-

dum in Vitâ Pereskii, p. 397, mira edisserentem.—Wr.

The author here falls into the still prevalent error, of attributing an extraordinary degree of sagacity to the apes, which, as has been observed by Cuvier (Regne Anim. tom. i. p. 88), do not in reality greatly surpass the dog in this respect, being chiefly indebted to their bodily conformation for the close resemblance of their gestures and actions to those of man.

It is almost needless to add, that Dean Wren's stories about apes speaking, or being taught to speak, are all futile and unfounded.—Br.

² Since also an echo, de. The "query of no great doubt," with

articulately returning the voice of man, by only ordering the vocal spirit in concave and hollow places; whether the musculous and motive parts about the hollow mouths of beasts may not dispose the passing spirit into some articulate notes, seems a query of no great doubt.³

CHAPTER II.

That the Horse hath no gall.

The second assertion, that an horse hath no gall, is very general, nor only swallowed by the people and common farriers, but also received by good veterinarians, and some who have laudably discoursed upon horses. It seemeth also very ancient; for it is plainly set down by Aristotle; "an horse, and all solidungulous, or whole-hoofed animals, have no gall;" and the same is also delivered by

which the chapter concludes, is certainly void of doubt; void, that is, of doubt that our author is wrong. It will be sufficient to observe, that an echo of human speech is merely a reflection of certain undulatory motions, previously impressed upon the air by the organs of speech, and that the reflected are identical with the original sounds, being in fact those very sounds merely caused to proceed in a new direction. The place of echo, therefore, has no share in the articulation of the sounds which are heard from it. Articulation, as before observed, is the result of analytical thought, which is peculiar to man; the brute animals which are taught to imitate it, merely frame sounds closely resembling those which they have heard from man; they never utter an original articulation of their own, whatever may be the mechanism of their organs of voice.—Br.

³ Since also, &c.] First added in the 1st edit.

⁴ it is plainly set down by Aristotle, dc.] It is evident, from an examination of the passage in Aristotle's History of Animals (lib. ii. cap. xv.) here referred to, that the word χολη is sometimes used by that authoto denote the gall-bladder, and sometimes to denote the gall or bile itself, considered as one of the animal fluids. In the passage under consideration, it is used in the former sense, and thus understood, the assertion is strictly accurate. The gall-bladder is wanting in the horse and other solipedes. But while it is thus clear that the absence of bile in the horse is not affirmed by Aristotle, neither the passage itself, nor its context, prove him to have been aware of its presence; and there is some ground, therefore, for our author's animalversion. For while the bile itself in the stag and elephant is expressly alluded to, after the absence of the gall-bladder in those animals has been mentioned, that

Pliny, which, notwithstanding, we find repugnant unto experience and reason. For first, it calls in question the providence or wise provision of nature, who, not abounding in superfluities, neither is deficient in necessities. Wherein nevertheless there would be a main defect, and her improvision justly accusable, if such a feeding animal, and so subject unto diseases from bilious causes, should want a proper conveyance for choler, or have no other receptacle for that humour than the veins and general mass of blood.

It is again controllable by experience, for we have made some search and enquiry herein; encouraged by Absyrtus, a Greek author, in the time of Constantine, who, in his *Hippiatricks*, obscurely assigneth the gall a place in the liver; but more especially by Carlo Ruini, the Bononian, who, in his *Anatomia del Cavallo*, hath more plainly described it, and

of the horse, an animal, as we have seen, in the same predicament, is not mentioned or alluded to. At the same time, from an examination of the entire chapter, it would appear, I think, that the main subject being the gall-bladder as annexed or not to the liver, in various tribes of animals, the absence of the bile, in those described as devoid of that organ, is by no means intended to be expressly stated by the writer. -Bc.

be the same is also delivered by Pliny.] This is true; Pliny evidently borrowed his statement from the passage of Aristotle, considered above, and translating $\chi o\lambda \eta$ by the Latin word, fel, applies that, as Aristotle does the former, sometimes to the gall-bladder, and sometimes to the fluid it contains.—Hist. Nat. lib. xi. cap. lxxiv.

A curious fact in the history of the subject appears from the notes of Hardouin, on this chapter of Pliny.—Hist. Nat. tom. i. p. 628. The absence of the gall-bladder in the solipedes was affirmed prior to Aristotle, by Ctesias, a circumstance which may assist, with some other correct statements now known to have been made by that writer (see notes on book ii. c. 8), to caution us from absolutely rejecting all his extraordinary relations; notwithstanding that (as we have seen in the notes on the preceding chapter) some of them are erroneous.—Br.

of It is again controllable by experience.] The contents of this paragraph evince our author's care to determine disputed points, and refute prevalent errors, by actual enquiry and observation. By a misconstruction of ancient authorities, he finds it believed that the bile is altogether absent in the horse; but, reason showing the improbability of this, and finding its presence affirmed by some authors, he dissects the liver and adjacent organs of that animal, in order to ascertain the fact. The vessel containing bile, which he discovered, is the hepatic duct, the dilatation of which, at its origin, in the horse and some other animals devoid of the gall-bladder, is so large as to form a sort of reservoir for the bile.—Br.

in a manner as I found it. For in the particular enquiry into that part, in the concave or sinuous part of the liver, whereabout the gall is usually seated in quadrupeds, I discover an hollow, long, and membraneous substance, of a pale colour without, and lined with choler and gall within, which part is by branches diffused into the lobes and several parcels of the liver; from whence receiving the fiery superfluity, or choleric remainder, by a manifest and open passage, it conveyeth it into the duodenum or upper gut, thence into the lower bowels; which is the manner of its derivation in man and other animals. And, therefore, although there be no eminent and circular follicle, no round bag or vesicle which long containeth this humour, yet is there a manifest receptacle and passage of choler from the liver into the guts; which, being not so shut up, or at least not so long detained, as it is in other animals, procures that frequent excretion, and occasions the horse to dung more often than many other, which, considering the plentiful feeding, the largeness of the guts and their various circumvolution, was prudently contrived by Providence in this animal. For choler is the natural glister, or one excretion whereby nature excludeth another, which descending daily into the bowels, extimulates those parts, and excites them unto expulsion. And, therefore, when this humour aboundeth or corrupteth, there succeeds, oft-times, a cholerica passio, that is a sudden and vehement purgation upward and downward: and when the passage of gall becomes obstructed, the body grows costive, and the excrements of the belly white; as it happeneth in the jaundice.

If any, therefore, affirm an horse hath no gall, that is, no receptacle or part ordained for the separation of choler, or not that humour at all, he hath both sense and reason to oppose him. But if he saith it hath no bladder of gall, and

⁷ If any therefore affirm, dc.] The concluding remarks on the subject appear to give a very just view of it, and partake of our author's logical acuteness. In the passage of Pliny, here alluded to (Nat. Hist. lib. xxxviii. cap. xl.), as is manifest from the entire contents of the chapter in which it occurs, the word fel means the bile itself; whereas, in the former citation from that writer, it means the receptacle for the bile, or gall-bladder. The two statements, therefore, are, in reality, in perfect harmony with each other.—Br.

such as is observed in many other animals, we shall oppose our sense if we gainsay him. Thus must Aristotle be made out when he denieth this part; by this distinction we may relieve Pliny of a contradiction, who, in one place affirming an horse hath no gall, delivereth yet in another, that the gall of an horse was accounted poison; and, therefore, at the sacrifices of horses in Rome, it was unlawful for the flamen to touch it. But with more difficulty, or hardly at all, is that reconcileable which is delivered by our countryman, and received veterinarian; whose words in his master-piece, and chapter of diseases from the gall, are somewhat too strict. and scarce admit a reconciliation. The fallacy, therefore, of this conceit, is not unlike the former, à dicto secundum quid ad dictum simpliciter:—because they have not a bladder of gall, like those we usually observe in others, they have no gall at all: which is a paralogism not admittable—a fallacy that dwells not in a cloud, and needs not the sun to scatter it.

CHAPTER III.

That a Pigeon hath no gall.

The third assertion is somewhat like the second, that a dove or pigeon hath no gall, which is affirmed from very great antiquity; for, as Pierius observeth,⁸ from this consideration the Egyptians did make it the hieroglyphic of meekness.⁹ It hath been averred by many holy writers,

⁸ as Pierius observeth.] In his Hieroglyphica, p. 221, B. 27; but he cites no authority for his assertion. See a remark on Pierius in note at p. 251-36.

g' of meekness.] And not without excellent reason: for, whereas, all angry eruptions proceed from the more or less mixture of gall, not only in man, but other creatures; and that, when it is seated in the liver, it is the easier spread into all parts of the bodye, together with the blood, except he doe the more vigorously doe his office in the defæcation of the blood: it must of necessity thence follow, that where the gall is drainde from the blood by some other vessel than the liver, as by the gutts, from which it is impossible to regurgitate into the blood, such creatures, and among them the dove especially, may be well sayd to have none in such a sense as is intended, i. e., whereby the vital parts should bee enflamed with such hot and fierye motions, as other creatures are, which have the cista, or vesicle of gall in the liver, the condus

commonly delivered by postillers and commentators; who, from the frequent mention of the dove in the Canticles, the precept of our Saviour, "to be wise as serpents and innocent as doves," and especially the appearance of the Holy Ghost in the similitude of this animal, have taken occasion to set down many affections of the dove, and, what doth most commend it is, that it hath no gall. And hereof have made use, not only minor divines, but Cyprian, Austin, Isidore, Beda, Rupertus, Jansenius, and many more.

Whereto, notwithstanding, we know not how to assent, it being repugnant unto the authority and positive determination of ancient philosophy. The affirmative of Aristotle, in his History of Animals, is very plain—fel aliis ventri, aliis intestino jungitur,—some have the gall adjoined to the guts; as the crow, the swallow, sparrow, and the dove; the same is also attested by Pliny, and not without some passion by Galen, who, in his book, De Atra Bile, accounts him ridiculous that denies it.

It is not agreeable to the constitution of this animal, nor can we so reasonably conceive there wants a gall; that is, the hot and fiery humour in a body so hot of temper, which phlegm or melancholy could not effect. Now, of what complexion it is, Julius Alexandrinus* declareth, when he affirmeth, that some, upon the use thereof, have fallen into fevers and quinsies. The temper of their dung and intestinal excretions do also confirm the same; which topically applied, become a phxnigmus or rubifying medicine, and are

* Salubrium, 31.

and promus of the blood; and by the accident of all those noxious humours which the second concoctions cannot mend: the sense, therefore, stands uncontrold, that the dove is, therefore, the embleme of meeknes, in that the gall (which begets those fiery motions in other creatures, by the neernes itt hathe to the principal enterails) is either none at all, or at least removed soe farr into the gutts, that it cannot produce such effects in her as in most other creatures itt dothe. So true is that maxime, in things of nature, Idem est non esse et non apparere: and non operari (heere) is as much as non apparere, and (by consequent) the same with non esse.—Wr.

The dean's ignorance of the true nature of bile is not to be wondered at; but it is very remarkable that he should have believed the Creator to have placed it, in any of his creatures, in such a situation as would prevent its exerting that influence which he had intended it to possess in the animal economy.

of such fiery parts, that, as we read in Galen, they have of themselves conceived fire, and burnt a house about them. And therefore, when, in the famine of Samaria (wherein the fourth part of a cab of pigeon's dung was sold for five pieces of silver), it is delivered by Josephus, that men made use hereof instead of common salt: although the exposition seem strange, it is more probable than many other. For, that it containeth very much salt, as besides the effects before expressed, is discernible by taste, and the earth of columbaries or dove-houses, so much desired in the artifice of saltpetre. And to speak generally, the excrement of birds hath more of salt and acrimony, than that of any other pissing animals. Now if, because the dove is of a mild and gentle nature, we cannot conceive it should be of an hot temper, our apprehensions are not distinct in the measure of constitutions, and the several parts which evidence such conditions. For the irascible passions do follow the temper of the heart, but the concupiscible distractions the crasis of the liver. Now, many have hot livers, which have but cool and temperate hearts; and this was probably the temper of Paris, a contrary constitution to that of Ajax, and both but short of Medea, who seemed to exceed in either.

Lastly, it is repugnant to experience; for anatomical enquiry discovereth in them a gall: 1 and that, according to the determination of Aristotle, not annexed unto the liver, but adhering unto the guts. Nor is the humour contained in smaller veins or obscurer capillations, but in a vesicle or little bladder, though some affirm it hath no bag at all. And therefore the hieroglyphic of the Egyptians, though allowable in the sense, is weak in the foundation: who, expressing meekness and lenity by the portrait of a dove with a tail erected, affirmed it had no gall in the inward parts, but only in the rump, and as it were out of the body. 2 And

¹ anatomical enquiry discovereth, dc.] It is now known that the gall-bladder does not exist in the dove: the vessel mentioned by our author is merely a dilation of the hepatic or of the hepatocystic duct, serving to contain the bile. This fact is in agreement with the statements of Aristotle and Pliny, which are cited in this and in the preceding page.

² And therefore, &c.] This statement is from Pierius, on the authority of Horapollo or Orus Apollo, in his Hieroglyphica, curâ Pauw, p. 105. See note 9, p. 251-3.

therefore also, if they conceived their gods were pleased with the sacrifice of this animal, as being without gall, the ancient heathens were surely mistaken in the reason, and in the very oblation. Whereas, in the holocaust or burnt-offering of Moses, the gall was cast away: for, as Ben Maimon instructeth, the inwards, whereto the gall adhereth, were taken out with the crop (according unto the law), which the priest did not burn, but cast unto the east; that is, behind his back, and readiest place to be carried out of the sanctuary. And if they also conceived that for this reason they were the birds of Venus, and, wanting the furious and discording part, were more acceptable unto the deity of love, they surely added unto the conceit; which was, at first, venereal, and in this animal may be sufficiently made out from that conception.

The ground of this conceit is partly like the former, the obscure situation of the gall, and out of the liver, wherein it is commonly enquired. But this is a very unjust illation, not well considering with what variety this part is seated in In some, both at the stomach and the liver, as in the capriceps; in some at the liver only, as in cocks, turkeys, and pheasants; in others at the guts and liver, as in hawks and kites; in some at the guts alone, as crows, doves,³ and many more. And these, perhaps, may take up all the ways of situation, not only in birds, but also other animals; for what is said of the anchovy—that (answerable unto its name*) it carrieth the gall in the head, is farther to be enquired. And though the discoloured particles in the skin of an heron be commonly termed gall, yet is not this animal deficient in that part, but containeth it in the liver. And thus, when it is conceived that the eyes of Tobias were cured by the gall of the fish callionymus or scorpius marinus, commended to that effect by Dioscorides, although that part were not in the liver, yet there were no reason to doubt that probability. And whatsoever animal it was, it may be received without exception, when it is delivered, the married couple, as a testimony of future concord, did cast the gall of the sacrifice behind the altar.

^{* &#}x27;Εγκρασίχολος.

³ doves.] Sparows, swalows (as before).—Wr.

A strict and literal acception of a loose and tropical expression was a second ground hereof.⁴ For while some affirmed it had no gall, intending only thereby no evidence of anger or fury; others have construed it anatomically, and denied that part at all. By which illation we may infer (and that from sacred text), a pigeon hath no heart; according to that expression, Factus est Ephraim sicut columba seducta non habens cor.* And so, from the letter of Scripture, we may conclude it is no mild, but a fiery and furious animal, according to that of Jeremy, † Facta est terra in desolationem, à facie iræ columbæ: and again,‡ revertamur ad terram nativitatis nostræ, à facie gladii columbæ. Where, notwithstanding, the dove is not literally intended; but thereby may be implied the Babylonians, whose queen, Semiramis, was called by that name, and whose successors did bear the dove in their standard. So is it proverbially said, Formicæ sua bilis inest, habet et musca splenem; whereas we know philosophy doubteth these parts, nor hath anatomy so clearly discovered them in those insects.5

Hosea vii. + Cap. xxv. 38. ‡ Cap. xlvi. 16.

⁴ A strict and literal acception, &c.] This, and the concluding paragraph, furnish a very satisfactory explanation of the error discussed in the chapter; but it is probable that the absence of the gall-bladder in the dove, by being supposed to imply that of the bile itself, has also contributed to it.—Br.

See the English version of the passages referred to in Jeremiah.

5 doubteth these parts, dec.] I doe believe that, as the gall has severall receptacles in severall creatures (as above is mentioned) soe there's scarce any creature but hath that emunctorye somewhere. What is the poyson in the tayle of the scorpion, and the sting raye or male thornback but his gall! And soe in hornets, bees, wasps, the same. What is the poyson in the tooth of serpents, and of the lamprey, and the mus araneus, and the tarantula, but the gall ! which according to the condition and qualitye of the creature, as the spirits that accompany those ejaculations are more subtile, aerial, or fierye, soe they appeare more or lesse furious in their effects; whereas, those parts (by which they ejaculate this gall) being taken away, the other parts become not only edible and of high nourishment, as in the thornback and lamprey, and in the honey of the bee; but in some they become the most soveraigne antidotes, as in the flesh of vipers: nay, the very spirits of some of these being received into apte bodyes, in their full strength, imprint such an alexipharmacal or alexitarial virtue into those bodyes, against all poyson, as seemes almost miraculous, as in viper wine and oyle of scorpions, - W.

If, therefore, any affirm a pigeon hath no gall, implying no more thereby than the lenity of this animal, we shall not controvert his affirmation. Thus may we make out the assertions of ancient writers, and safely receive the expressions of divines and worthy fathers. But if, by a transition from rhetoric to logic, he shall contend it hath no such part or humour, he committed an open fallacy, and such as was probably first committed concerning Spanish mares, whose swiftness tropically expressed from their generation by the wind, might after be grossly taken, and a real truth conceived in that conception.

CHAPTER IV.

That a Beaver, to escape the hunter, bites off his testicles or stones.

That a beaver, to escape the hunter, bites off his testicles or stones,⁶ is a tenet very ancient; and hath had, thereby, advantage of propagation. For the same we find in the hieroglyphics of the Egyptians; in the Apologue of Æsop, an author of great antiquity, who lived in the beginning of the Persian monarchy, and in the time of Cyrus; the same is touched by Aristotle in his Ethics; but seriously delivered by Ælian, Pliny, and Solinus; the same we meet with in Juvenal, who by an handsome and metrical expression, more welcomely engrafts it into our junior memories;

it hath been propagated by emblems; and some have been

⁶ That a beaver, &c.] The arrangement, conduct, and logic, of the entire train of arguments in this chapter, are equally admirable. It displays, also, extensive and accurate knowledge of natural history and comparative anatomy.

Ross, after himself delivering a tissue of gross errors relating to eunuchs, first repeats that of the beaver, as just refuted by our author; of course, quand true testicles; and then, by a singular inconsistency contends, that Browne checks the ancients for this opinion without cause; and, after admitting the extirpated organs not to be true testicles, that, "if then, this be an error, it is nominal, not real."—Arcan. 117.—Br.

so bad grammarians as to be deceived by the name, deriving castor à castrando; whereas the proper Latin word is fiber, and castor but borrowed from the Greek, so called quasi $\gamma \acute{a}\sigma \tau \omega \rho$, that is, animal ventricosum, from his swaggy and prominent belly.

Herein, therefore, to speak compendiously, we first presume to affirm that, from a strict enquiry, we cannot maintain the evulsion or biting off any parts; and this is declarable from the best and most professed writers: for though some have made use hereof in a moral or tropical way, yet have the professed discoursers by silence deserted, or by experience rejected, this assertion. Thus was it in ancient times discovered, and experimentally refuted, by one Sestius, a physician, as it stands related by Pliny—by Dioscorides, who plainly affirms that this tradition is false—by the discoveries of modern authors, who have expressly discoursed hereon, as Aldrovandus, Matthiolus, Gesnerus, Bellonius by Olaus Magnus, Peter Martyr, and others, who have described the manner of their venations in America; they generally omitting this way of their escape, and have delivered several other, by which they are daily taken.

The original of the conceit was probably hieroglyphical, which after became mythological unto the Greeks, and so set down by Æsop; and by process of tradition, stole into a total verity, which was but partially true, that is, in its covert sense and morality. Now, why they placed this invention upon the beaver (beside the medicable and merchantable commodity of castoreum, or parts conceived to be bitten away), might be the sagacity and wisdom of that animal, which from the works it performs, and especially its artifice in building, is very strange, and surely not to be matched by any other. Omitted by Plutarch, De Solertia Animalium, but might have much advantaged the drift of that discourse.

If, therefore, any affirm a wise man should demean himself like the beaver, who, to escape with his life, contemneth

VOL. I.

⁷ fiber.] Which the Polonians by a more elegant name call bi-fer, quasi animal bi-ferup quod tam in terra quam in mari prædetur: and from (bi-fer) wee call itt (corruptlye) bever.—Wr.

⁶ Bellonius.] And particularly Baricellus, in his Hortus Genealis, p. 288 — Wr.

the loss of his genitals, that is, in case of extremity, not strictly to endeavour the preservation of all, but to sit down in the enjoyment of the greater good, though with the detriment and hazard of the lesser, we may hereby apprehend a real and useful truth. In this latitude of belief, we are content to receive the fable of Hippomanes, who redeemed his life with the loss of a golden ball; and, whether true or false, we reject not the tragedy of Absyrtus, and the dispersion of his members by Medea, to perplex the pursuit of her father. But if any shall positively affirm this act, and cannot believe the moral, unless he also credit the fable, he is surely greedy of delusion, and will hardly avoid deception in theories of The error, therefore, and alogy, in this this nature. opinion, is worse than the last; that is, not to receive figures for realities, but expect a verity in apologues, and believe, as serious affirmations, confessed and studied fables.

Again, if this were true, and that the beaver, in chace, makes some divulsion of parts, as that which we call castoreum, yet are not the same to be termed testicles or stones; for these cods or follicles are found in both sexes, though somewhat more protuberant in the male. There is, hereto, no derivation of the seminal parts, nor any passage from hence, unto the vessels of ejaculation: some perforations only in the part itself, through which the humour included doth exudate, as may be observed in such as are fresh, and not much dried with age. And lastly, the testicles, properly so called, are of a lesser magnitude, and seated inwardly upon the loins: and, therefore, it were not only a fruitless attempt, but impossible act, to eunuchate or castrate themselves; and neight be an hazardous practice of art, if at all attempted by others.

Now, all this is confirmed from the experimental testimony of five very memorable authors;—Bellonius, Gesnerus, Amatus, Rondeletius, and Matthiolus,—who, receiving the hint hereof from Rondeletius, in the anatomy of two beavers, did find all true that had been delivered by him; whose words are these, in his learned book, De Piscibus:—Fibri in inquinibus geminos tumores habent, utrinque unicum, ovi

g alogy.] Unreasonableness, absurdity; from an old French word, alogie.
loins.] Idem Baricellus (ut supra).—W/.

anserini magnitudine; inter hos est mentula in maribus, in fæminis pudendum: hi tumores testes non sunt, sed folliculi membrana contecti, in quorum medio singuli sunt meatus, è quibus exudat liquor pinquis et cerosus, quem ipse castor sæpe admoto ore lambit et exugit, postea veluti oleo, corporis partes oblinit. Hos tumores testes non esse hinc maximè colligitur, quòd ab illis nulla est ad mentulam via neque ductus quò humor in mentulæ meatum derivetur, et foras emittatur; præterea quòd testes intus reperiuntur, eosdem tumores moscho animali 2 inesse puto, è quibus odoratum illud Than which words there can be no plainer, pus emanat. nor more evidently discovering the impropriety of this appellation. That which is included in the cod or visible bag about the groin, being not the testicle or any spermatical part, but rather a collection of some superfluous matter deflowing from the body, especially the parts of nutrition, as unto their proper emunctories, and as it doth in musk and civet cats; though in a different and offensive odour; proceeding partly from its food—that being especially fish whereof this humour may be a garous³ excretion and olidous⁴ separation.

Most, therefore, of the moderns, before Rondeletius, and all the ancients, excepting Sestius, have misunderstood this part, conceiving castoreum the testicles of the beaver; as Dioscorides, Galen, Ægineta, Ætius, and others have pleased to name it. The Egyptians also failed in the ground of their hieroglyphic, when they expressed the punishment of a altery by the beaver depriving himself of his testicles, which was amongst them the penalty of such incontinency. Nor is Ætius, perhaps, too strictly to be observed, when he prescribeth the stones of the otter, or river-dog, as succedaneous unto castoreum. But most inexcusable of all, is Pliny; who having before him, in one place, the experiment of Sestius

² moscho, &c.] Hee means the civit cat. Wr.

³ garous.] Resembling garum, a pickle in which fish had been preserved.

⁴ otidous. Stinking.

⁵ ground of their hieroglyphic, dv.]—Pierius (131, c.) is the authority for this explanation;—but he differs therein from Horapollo, who says, "quomodo hominem, qui sibi ipsi damni et perniciei autor sit."—Hor. Hier. p. 117. See note (9) at page 251-23.

the loss of his genitals, that is, in case of extremity, not strictly to endeavour the preservation of all, but to sit down in the enjoyment of the greater good, though with the detriment and hazard of the lesser, we may hereby apprehend a real and useful truth. In this latitude of belief, we are content to receive the fable of Hippomanes, who redeemed his life with the loss of a golden ball; and, whether true or false, we reject not the tragedy of Absyrtus, and the dispersion of his members by Medea, to perplex the pursuit of her father. But if any shall positively affirm this act, and cannot believe the moral, unless he also credit the fable, he is surely greedy of delusion, and will hardly avoid deception in theories of this nature. The error, therefore, and alogy,9 in this opinion, is worse than the last; that is, not to receive figures for realities, but expect a verity in apologues, and believe, as serious affirmations, confessed and studied fables.

Again, if this were true, and that the beaver, in chace, makes some divulsion of parts, as that which we call castoreum, yet are not the same to be termed testicles or stones; for these cods or follicles are found in both sexes, though somewhat more protuberant in the male. There is, hereto, no derivation of the seminal parts, nor any passage from hence, unto the vessels of ejaculation: some perforations only in the part itself, through which the humour included doth exudate, as may be observed in such as are fresh, and not much dried with age. And lastly, the testicles, properly so called, are of a lesser magnitude, and seated inwardly upon the loins: and, therefore, it were not only a fruitless attempt, but impossible act, to eunuchate or castrate themselves; and might be an hazardous practice of art, if at all attempted by others.

Now, all this is confirmed from the experimental testimony of five very memorable authors;—Bellonius, Gesnerus, Amatus, Rondeletius, and Matthiolus,—who, receiving the hint hereof from Rondeletius, in the anatomy of two beavers, did find all true that had been delivered by him; whose words are these, in his learned book, De Piscibus:—Fibri in inquinibus geminos tumores habent, utrinque unicum, ovi

loins.] Idem Baricellus (ut supra).—Wr.

⁹ alogy.] Unreasonableness, absurdity; from an old French word, alogie.

anserini magnitudine; inter hos est mentula in maribus, in fæminis pudendum: hi tumores testes non sunt, sed folliculi membrana contecti, in quorum medio singuli sunt meatus, è quibus exudat liquor pinquis et cerosus, quem ipse castor sæpe admoto ore lambit et exugit, postea veluti oleo, corporis partes oblinit. Hos tumores testes non esse hinc maximè colligitur, quòd ab illis nulla est ad mentulam via neque ductus quò humor in mentulæ meatum derivetur, et foras emittatur; præterea quòd testes intus reperiuntur, eosdem tumores moscho animali i inesse puto, è quibus odoratum illud pus emanat. Than which words there can be no plainer, nor more evidently discovering the impropriety of this appellation. That which is included in the cod or visible bag about the groin, being not the testicle or any spermatical part, but rather a collection of some superfluous matter deflowing from the body, especially the parts of nutrition, as unto their proper emunctories, and as it doth in musk and civet cats; though in a different and offensive odour; proceeding partly from its food—that being especially fish whereof this humour may be a garous3 excretion and olidous4 separation.

Most, therefore, of the moderns, before Rondeletius, and all the ancients, excepting Sestius, have misunderstood this part, conceiving castoreum the testicles of the beaver; as Dioscorides, Galen, Ægineta, Ætius, and others have pleased to name it. The Egyptians also failed in the ground of their hieroglyphic, when they expressed the punishment of acaltery by the beaver depriving himself of his testicles, which was amongst them the penalty of such incontinency. Nor is Ætius, perhaps, too strictly to be observed, when he prescribeth the stones of the otter, or river-dog, as succedaneous unto castoreum. But most inexcusable of all, is Pliny; who having before him, in one place, the experiment of Sestius

² moscho, &c.] Hee means the civit cat.—Wr.

³ garous.] Resembling garum, a pickle in which fish had been preserved.

⁴ olidous. Stinking.

⁵ ground of their hieroglyphic, &c.]—Pierius (131, c.) is the authority for this explanation;—but he differs therein from Horapollo, who says, "quomodo hominem, qui sibi ipsi damni et perniciei autor sit."—Hor. Hier. p. 117. See note (9) at page 251-23.

against it, sets down in another, that the beavers of Pontus bite off their testicles; and in the same place affirmeth the like of the hyæna: which was indeed well joined with the beaver, as having also a bag in those parts; if, thereby, we understand the hyæna odorata, or civet cat, as is delivered and graphically described by Castellus.⁶*

Now, the ground of this mistake might be the resemblance and situation of these tumours about those parts, wherein we observe the testicles in other animals; which, notwithstanding, is no well-founded illation; for the testicles are defined by their office, and not determined by place or situation: they having one office in all, but different seats in many. For,—beside that no serpent or fishes oviparous, that neither biped nor quadruped oviparous, have any exteriorly or prominent in the groin,—some also that are viviparous contain these parts within, as beside this animal, the elephant and the hedgehog.8

If any, therefore, shall term these testicles, intending metaphorically, and in no strict acception, his language is tolerable, and offends our ears no more than the tropical names of plants, when we read in herbals, of dogs, fox, and goatstones. But if he insisteth thereon, and maintaineth a propriety in this language, our discourse hath overthrown his assertion, nor will logic permit his illation; that is, from things alike, to conclude a thing the same, and from an accidental convenience, that is, a similitude in place or figure, to infer a specifical congruity or substantial concurrence in nature.

* Castellus de Hyæna Odorifera.

⁶ Which was indeed. &c.] First added in the 2nd edition.

⁷ quadruped oriparous.] As the crocodile, which is both quadruped and oviparous, and next the tortoise.—Wr.

b hadgehog.] And the porcupine.—Wr.

CHAPTER V.

That a Badger hath the legs of one side shorter than of the other.

That a brock, or badger, hath the legs on one side shorter than of the other, though an opinion, perhaps, not very ancient, is yet very general; received not only by theorists and unexperienced believers, but assented unto by most who have the opportunity to behold and hunt them daily. Which, notwithstanding, upon enquiry, I find repugnant unto the three determinators of truth—authority, sense, and reason. For first, Albertus Magnus speaks dubiously, confessing he could not confirm the verity hereof; but Aldrovandus plainly affirmeth there can be no such inequality observed: and for my own part, upon indifferent enquiry, I cannot discover this difference, although the regardable side be defined, and the brevity by most imputed unto the left.

Again, it seems no easy affront unto reason, and generally repugnant unto the course of nature; for if we survey the total set of animals, we may, in their legs, or organs of progression, observe an equality of length, and parity of numeration; that is, not any to have an odd leg, or the supporters and movers of one side not exactly answered by the other. Although the hinder may be unequal unto the fore and middle legs, as in frogs, locusts, and grasshoppers; or both unto the middle, as in some beetles and spiders, as is determined by Aristotle.* Perfect and viviparous quadrupeds, so standing in their position of proneness, that the opposite joints of neighbour legs consist in the same plane; and a line descending from their navel intersects at right angles the axis of the earth. It happeneth often, I confess, that a lobster hath the chely or great claw of one side longer than the other; but this is not properly their leg, but a part of

* De Incessy Animalium.

⁹ ossented unto, dec.] The popular belief among the peasantry is, that, in running through a ploughed field, the animal always runs with his longer legs in the furrow.

a lobster, &c.] This never happens, but when one is by chance wrung off, when they are young, by a bigger lobster, which growing out againe, can never reach the greatnes of the other: the fishermen finde

apprehension, and whereby they hold or seize upon their prey; for the legs and proper parts of progression are inverted backward, and stand in a position opposite unto these.

Lastly, the monstrosity is ill contrived, and with some disadvantage; the shortness being affixed unto the legs of one side, which might have been more tolerably placed upon the thwart or diagonal movers. For the progression of quadrupeds being performed per diametrum, that is, the cross legs moving or resting together, so that two are always in motion, and two in station at the same time,² the brevity had been more tolerable in the cross legs. For then the motion and station had been performed by equal legs; whereas, herein, they are both performed by unequal organs, and the imperfection becomes discoverable at every hand.

this continually to be true, and saye they seldome have a drafte of them, wherein some of them come not up thus grappled by the claw. I have often seene them brought up with half the claw newly nipt off, or else closed up againe with a cartilage, and sometimes with one only chlea, for soe itt should be written, cominge manifestly from $\chi\eta\lambda\dot{\eta}$, which signifies properly the tongs or pincher, the chlea of a lobster or of a crab.—Wr.

Upon this theory, the vulgar pronunciation, cla, is more correct than claw.

The dean assigns the true cause of that inequality often observed in the legs of crabs. But he is wrong in supposing the lost claw to have been bitten off by other crabs. There exists in this tribe (as well as in spiders and some other insects) a very curious provision, enabling the animal to throw off instantly a limb (or antenna) which has been so injured as to be useless; thus making way for the reproduction of the part. In the great majority of cases, the mutilation observed has resulted from the exercise of this power. See some curious instances detailed by Dr. Heineken, in the Zoological Journal (vol. iv. p. 285); and Dr. Mac Culloch's anatomical description of the process, in the 20th vol. of the Journal of the Royal Institution.

² For the progression, &c.] From this rule must be excepted the camel. "The mode of the camel's walk, as described by Aristotle (Hist. Anim. lib. ii. cap. i. p. 480, Casaubon. Luqdun. 1590), is, by raising the two legs of the same side, the one immediately after the other; not moving the legs diagonally, in the manner of most other quadrupeds."—Rees's Cyclopedia, article, CAMEUS.—Br.

CHAPTER VI.

That a Bear brings forth her Cubs informous or unshaped.

That a bear brings forth her young informous and unshapon, which she fashioneth after by licking them over, is an opinion not only vulgar, and common with us at present, but hath been of old delivered by ancient writers. Upon this foundation it was an hieroglyphic with the Egyptians; Aristotle seems to countenance it; Solinus, Pliny, and Ælian, directly affirm it, and Ovid smoothly delivereth it;

Nec catulus partu quem reddidit ursa recenti, Sed malè viva caro est, lambendo mater in artus Ducit, et in formam qualem cupit ipsa reducit.

Which, notwithstanding, is not only repugnant unto the sense of every one that shall enquire into it, but the exact and deliberate experiment of three authentic philosophers. The first, of Matthiolus in his Comment on Dioscorides, whose words are to this effect:-" In the valley of Anania, about Trent, in a bear which the hunters eventerated or opened, I beheld the young ones with all their parts distinct, and not without shape, as many conceive-giving more credit unto Aristotle and Pliny, than experience and their proper senses." Of the same assurance was Julius Scaliger, in his Exercitations; Ursam fætus informes potius ejicere, quam parere, si vera dicunt, quos postea linctu effingat. Quid hujusce fabulæ authoribus fidei habendum, ex hac historia cognosces; in nostris alpibus venatores fætam ursam cepêre, dissectà ea fætus plane formatus intus inventus est. And lastly, Aldrovandus, who from the testimony of his own eyes affirmeth, that in the cabinet of the senate of Bononia, there was preserved in a glass, a cub, taken out of a bear, perfectly formed, and complete in every part.

It is, moreover, injurious unto reason, and much impugneth

it was an hieroglyphic.] Pierius, 131, c. and Horapollo, 117. See note 9, at page 251.53.

^{*} contended.] Ript up, by opening the belly. Browne is the only authority given in Johnson.

the course and providence of nature, to conceive a birth should be ordained before there is a formation. For the conformation of parts is necessarily required, not only unto the pre-requisites and previous conditions of birth, as motion and animation, but also unto the parturition or very birth itself: wherein not only the dam, but the younglings play their parts, and the cause and act of exclusion proceedeth from them both. For the exclusion of animals is not merely passive like that of eggs, nor the total action of delivery to be imputed unto the mother, but the first attempt beginneth from the infant, which, at the accomplished period, attempteth to change his mansion, and struggling to come forth, dilacerates and breaks those parts which restrained him before.

Besides (what few take notice of), men hereby do, in an high measure, vilify the works of God, imputing that unto the tongue of a beast, which is the strangest artifice in all the acts of nature; that is, the formation of the infant in the womb, not only in mankind, but all viviparous animals. Wherein the plastic or formative faculty, from matter appearing homogeneous, and of a similary substance, erecteth bones, membranes, veins, and arteries; and out of these contriveth every part in number, place, and figure, according to the law of its species: which is so far from being fashioned by any outward agent, that one omitted or perverted by a slip of the inward Phidias, it is not reducible by any other whatsoever: and therefore Mirè me plasmaverunt manus tuæ, though it originally respected the generation of man, yet is it appliable unto that of other animals; who, entering the womb in bare and simple materials, return with distinction of parts, and the perfect breath of life. He that shall consider these alterations without, must needs conceive there have been strange operations within: which to behold, it were a spectacle almost worth one's being—a sight beyond all; except that man had been created first, and might have seen the show of five days after.

Now, as the opinion is repugnant both unto sense and

⁵ For the exclusion, &c.] The fœtus is passive, and is expelled wholly by the efforts of the mother: a dead fœtus is as readily born as a living one; although a yulgar error prevails to the contrary.

reason, so hath it probably been occasioned from some slight ground in either. Thus in regard the cub comes forth involved in the chorion, a thick and tough membrane obscuring the formation, and which the dam doth after bite and tear asunder; the beholder at first sight conceives it a rude and informous lump of flesh, and imputes the ensuing shape unto the mouthing of the dam; which addeth nothing thereunto, but only draws the curtain, and takes away the vail which concealed the piece before. And thus have some endeavoured to enforce the same from reason; that is, the small and slender time of the bear's gestation, or going with her young; which lasting but a few days (a month some say), the exclusion becomes precipitous, and the young ones, consequently, informous, according to that of Solinus, Trigesimus dies

⁶ informous.] The bearling, though blind like most other beastlings, is not informous. It owes the discipline in question to that instinct which secures to the young of all animals, on their first appearance, the same species of maternal attention. Cuvier describes the cub of the black bear as measuring six or eight inches, devoid of teeth, covered with hairs, and having the eyes closed.

There is, however, another popular saying about the young of the bear which does not seem so easily disposed of :- its deriving nutriment from sucking its paws. The following graphic passage explains the fact. Speaking of a cub of the Norway bear, in the French Menagerie, Cuvier says, it "was particularly fond of sucking its paws, during which operation it always sent forth a uniform and constant murmur, something like the sound of a spinning-wheel. This appeared to be an imperious want with it, and it was surprising to observe the ardour with which it commenced the operation, and the enjoyment which it seemed to derive from it. The belief, which once so generally obtained, that these animals, during the season which they pass without eating, and surrounded by snows, support themselves by sucking their paws, seems not utterly without foundation. In truth, every natural action must have a tendency to some useful end, though it has not been observed that the bear extracts any thing from its paws by the act of suction. After all, it is more probable that bears lick their paws, as cats do. from a love of cleanliness, or merely in consequence of some pleasing sensation which nature has attached to the act, for inexplicable reasons, rather than for sustenance."—Cuvier's Animal Kingdom, by Griffiths. vol. ii. 220.—Ed.

The following note occurs in Dr. Richardson's account of the quadrupeds and birds collected in Captain Parry's second voyage to the Arctic Regions, published in the Zoological Appendix to the journal of that voyage, p. 290. ** The female black or brown bears conceal their retreats with such care that they are extremely rarely killed when with young. Hence the ancients had an opinion that the bear brought forth

uterum liberat ursæ: unde evenit ut præcipitata fæcunditas informes creet partus. But this will overthrow the general method or nature in the works of generation. For therein the conformation is not only antecedent, but proportional unto the exclusion; and if the period of the birth be short, the term of conformation will be as sudden also. may, I confess, from this narrow time of gestation, ensue a minority or smallness in the exclusion; but this, however, inferreth no informity, and it still receiveth the name of a natural and legitimate birth: whereas, if we affirm a total informity, it cannot admit so forward a term as an abortment,* for that supposeth conformation; so we must call this constant and intended act of nature, a slip or effluxion, that is, an exclusion before conformation,—before the birth can bear the name of the parent, or be so much as properly called an embryon.

CHAPTER VII.

Of the Basilisk.

Many opinions are passant concerning the basilisk, or little king of serpents, commonly called the cockatrice; some affirming, others denying, most doubting the relation made hereof. What, therefore, in these uncertainties we may more safely determine; that such an animal there is, if we evade not the testimony of Scripture and human writers we cannot safely deny. So it is said, Psalm xci. Super aspidem et basiliscum ambulabis, wherein the vulgar translation retaineth the word of the Septuagint, using in other places the

* "Εκρυσις.

unformed masses, and afterwards licked them into shape and life. Sir Thomas Browne cites many facts in opposition to this notion, some of which are quoted in Shaw's Zoology and similar and more recent facts are noticed in Warden's Account of the United States, vol. i. p. 195. After numerous enquiries amongst the Indians of Hudson's Bay, only one was found who had killed a pregnant bear. He stated that the den she had constructed was smaller than that usually made by the unimpregnated female."—Br.

Latin expression, regulus; as Proverbs xxiii. Mordebit ut coluber, et sicut regulus venena diffundet: 7 and Jeremy viii. Ecce ego mittam vobis serpentes regulos, &c.—that is, as ours translate it, "Behold I will send serpents, cockatrices among you, which will not be charmed, and they shall bite you." And as for human authors, or such as have discoursed of animals, or poisons, it is to be found almost in all: in Dioscorides, Galen, Pliny, Solinus, Ælian, Ætius, Avicen, Ardoynus, Grevinus, and many more. In Aristotle, I confess, we find no mention thereof, but Scaliger in his Comment and Enumeration of Serpents hath made supply; and in his Exercitations delivereth, that a basilisk was found in Rome, in the days of Leo the Fourth. The like is reported by Sigonius; and some are so far from denying one, that they have made several kinds hereof; for such is the Catoblepas's of Pliny conceived to be by some, and the Dryinus of Ætius by others.

But although we deny not the existence of the basilisk, yet, whether we do not commonly mistake in the conception hereof, and call that a basilisk which is none at all, is surely to be questioned. For certainly that, which, from the conceit of its generation, we vulgarly call a cockatrice, and wherein (but under a different name) we intend a formal identity and adequate conception with the basilisk, is not the basilisk of the ancients, whereof such wonders are delivered. For this of ours is generally described with legs, wings, a serpentine and winding tail, and a crest or comb somewhat like a cock. But the basilisk of elder times was a proper kind of serpent, not above three palms long, a some account, and differenced

⁷ diffundet.] Note the worde diffundet, which intimates a strange kind of poysoning (undequaque), most probably infecting the heart of him that approaches, by the breath drawne into the very heart immediately, then by the eye, which requires a longer way then the maner of infection is wont to take, killing in an instant, irrecoverablye, and diverse have perished by his spreading poyson in the dark holes, where they could never see the serpent. To which the story in Sennertus seems to add strong proofe.—Wr.

⁸ Catoblepas.] This name is now appropriated to a genus containing the gnoo, and several species. The animal so called by Ælian is supposed by Cuvier to have been of this genus.

⁹ was a proper kind of serpent, dc.] A distinction must be taken between the basilisk (or cockatrice) of Scripture, and that which is so called

from other serpents by advancing his head, and some white marks or coronary spots upon the crown, as all authentic writers have delivered.

Nor is this cockatrice only unlike the basilisk, but of no real shape in nature, and rather an hieroglyphical fancy, to express different intentions, set forth in different fashions.¹

by modern naturalists; it seems most probable that the former was intended to denote the naja or cobra capello of the Portuguese.

Under the name of basilisk is at present designated a genus of reptiles, of the saurian order, which exhibit many affinities with the iguanes and monitors. No animal, perhaps, has been the subject of so great a number of prejudices as the one now under consideration. most ancient authors have spoken of the basilisk as of a serpent which had the power of striking its victim dead by a single glance. Others have pretended that it could not exercise this faculty, unless it first perceived the object of its vengeance before it was itself perceived by it. It was also most absurdly imagined to proceed from the eggs of old cocks. Aldrovandus, and several other writers, have given figures of it. They have represented it with eight feet, a crown on the head, and a hooked and recurved beak. Pliny assures us that the serpent, named basilisk, has a voice so terrible, that it strikes terror into all other species—that it thus chases them from the spot which it inhabits, and of which it retains the sole and undisputed dominion. The name indeed, basilisk, in Greek, signifies royal. The fantastic forms and fabulous properties thus attributed to an animal which, most probably, never had an existence. rendered this name too celebrated for naturalists not to endeavour to apply it to another species, which accordingly they did. Seba figured a species of lizard, whose head is surmounted with projecting lines, and the back furnished with a broad vertical crest, which extends as far as over the tail, and which that author believed to be intended for the purposes of flight. He has designated it under the name of basilisk, or dragon of America, a flying amphibious animal. This is the animal which has subsequently been described in all works of natural history, under the name of basilisk.—Curier's Animal Kingdom, vol. ix. p. 226.

of Dashisk.—Urver's Animal Kingdom, vol. ix. p. 226.

1 an hieroglyphical fancy, &c.] This is also from Pierius (175, A.)

The Bembine, or Isiac table, Dr. Young has shown to be the work of a Roman sculptor, imitating only the general style of the separate delineations of the Egyptian tablets. The inscriptions neither have any relation to the figures over which they are placed, nor form any connected sense of their own. It may be concluded, therefore, that although (presuming the imitation to be accurate) the Isiac table may be regarded as second-rate authority for the delineation of the separate figures and hieroglyphics it contains, it is devoid of all authority as showing their collocation.—Egypt, in Sup. to Ency. Brit. 74. Isis is sometimes personifed as a basilisk.—1b. 58. Mneuis, as a basilisk and a tear.—Ib. 59, D. The asp and basilisk are both employed as the symbol of divinity.—

1b. 55.

Sometimes with the head of a man, sometimes with the head of an hawk, as Pierius hath delivered, and as with addition of legs, the heralds and painters still describe it. Nor was it only of old a symbolical and allowable invention, but is now become a manual contrivance of art and artificial imposture;

The ibis, mentioned in this chapter, is the hieroglyphic of the Egyptian

god, Thoth, or Hermes, the secretary of Osiris.—Ib. 11.

With the exception of the basilisk, and perhaps the deer, not one of the animals named by Sir Thomas, as used hieroglyphically, is mentioned as an Egyptian hieroglyphic in Dr. Young's article, Egypt. Indeed, in my opinion, the others have the character of a spurious origin, having probably arisen towards the dark ages, when significations were invented for the ancient fables.

Nor are they, if we add to the exceptions "le lézard" (as the salamander), les quadrupèdes à tête d'oiseau (as the griffin), and "le vipère," either mentioned or figured by Champollion; but as the hieroglyphic texts present images of all kinds of natural objects, including mammalia, birds, reptiles, amphibia, fishes, and insects; and of the second class "une foule" (Champollion enumerating, among the eight hundred and sixty-four characters contained in those texts, thirty-four quadrupeds and fifty birds and their parts), it is probable that the real animals may have been used among the objects hieroglyphically employed; but the alleged grounds of their respective use are most likely erroneous. I should rather doubt, however, the use of the beaver, an animal scarcely likely to have been known to the Egyptians.

The bear may possibly be in the same predicament, especially as there appears to be no name for that animal in Egyptian for Champollion informs us, that the name for lion in that language (labo, laboi, or lifoi), is a compound word, meaning valde hirsutus, "et que c'est dans ce sens qu'on aurait aussi quelquefois appliqué ce nom à l'ours, dans la version Egyptienne des livres saints; Apocalypse, xiii. 2." This indicates that there was no name for the bear in Egyptian, as above noted, and if that

were the case, it is clear there could be no hieroglyphic of it.

Browne's authority for the alleged Egyptian hieroglyphics he mentions in this book, are—Horapollo and Pierius—but principally the latter. From looking over Pierius, his explanations appear to be, perhaps always, fallacious; being founded on the misconception, before noted, as

arising in the dark ages.

With respect to Horapollo, the following extract, from Dr. Young's Discoveries in Hieroglyphical Literature, will show the degree of reliance to be placed in him. After speaking of the traditional record of the true sense of the handled cross, he proceeds:—"We also find some imperfect hints of a partial knowledge of the sense of the hieroglyphics in the puerile work of Horapollo, which is much more like a collection of conceits and enigmas, than an explanation of a real system of serious literature; and while such scattered truths were confounded with a multitude of false assertions, it was impossible to profit by any of them, without some clue to assist us in the selection."

whereof, besides others, Scaliger hath taken notice: Basilisci formam mentiti sunt vulgò gallinaceo similem, et pedibus binis; neque enim absimiles sunt cæteris serpentibus, nisi macula quasi in vertice candida, unde illi nomen regium; that is, "men commonly counterfeit the form of a basilisk with another like a cock and with two feet; whereas, they differ not from other serpents, but in a white speck upon their crown." Now, although in some manner it might be counterfeited in Indian cocks and flying serpents, yet is it commonly contrived out of the skins of thornbacks, skaits, or maids, as Aldrovand hath observed, and also graphically described in his excellent book of fishes, and for satisfaction of my own curiosity, I have caused some to be thus contrived out of the same fishes.

Nor is only the existency of this animal considerable, but many things delivered thereof, particularly its poison and its generation. Concerning the first, according to the doctrine of the ancients, men still affirm, that it killeth at a distance, that it poisoneth by the eye, and by priority of vision. Now, that deleterious it may be at some distance, and destructive without corporal contaction, what uncertainty soever there be in the effect, there is no high improbability in the relation. For if plagues or pestilential atoms have been conveyed in the air from different regions—if men at a distance have infected each other—if the shadows of some trees be noxious 3—if torpedos deliver their opium at a distance, and stupify beyond themselves, 4 we cannot reasonably deny, that (beside

² pedibus binis.] As was that kept in the physick schooles in Oxon, of a most elegant forme, and as it seemes of a dusky, but transparent, substance, like glew, and as if shaped in a molde.—Wr.

that the awful stories put forth in the latter end of the eighteenth century, of the poisonous character of the upas-tree, were impudent forgeries. For the assertion to which this passage alludes, viz., that its shadow is poisonous, there is certainly no foundation. In the island of Java, there are two trees which produce a very deadly poison; but the birds, nevertheless, perch on their branches in safety, and the natives collect their poisonous juices with impunity, and even wear a coarse stuff prepared from their bark.

⁴ at a distance, &c.] The electrical shock of the terpedo, although it may be received without actual contact, cannot be communicated from a distance but by means of some conducting medium. Indeed, it is found that both the gymnote and torpedo are limited to precisely the

our gross and restrained poisons requiring contiguity unto their actions), there may proceed, from subtiler seeds, more agile emanations, which contemn those laws, and invade at distance unexpected.

That this venenation shooteth from the eye,5 and that this

same conducting and non-conducting mediums as are met with in common electricity.

⁵ That this venenation, &c.] Cuvier, on this point, makes the following observation in reference to the rattlesnake:—"It was for a long time believed it had the power of torpifying by its breath, and even of fascinating, that is, of forcing its prey, by its glance alone, to precipitate themselves into its mouth. It appears, however, that it is enabled to seize them only during those irregular movements which the fear of its aspect causes them to make."—See Burton's Memoir on the Faculty of Fascination attributed to the Rattle-snake: Philadel. 1796. But the subject is more fully adverted to in the following passage, in the supplementary observations on the Ophidians.

"It has been almost universally believed, that by certain special emanations, by the fear which they inspire, or even by a sort of magnetic or magic power, the serpents can stupify and fascinate the prey which they are desirous to obtain. Pliny attributes this kind of asphyxia to a nauseous vapour proceeding from these animals; an opinion which seems to receive confirmation from the facility with which, by the assistance of smell alone, the negroes and native Indians can discover serpents in the savannahs of America. Count de Lacépède seems

inclined to adopt this notion in his History of Serpents.

"P. Kalm assures us, that being fixedly regarded by a serpent hissing, and darting its forked tongue out of its mouth, the squirrels are, as it were, constrained to fall from the summit of the trees into the mouth of the reptile, which swallows them up. According to the report of many travellers, one would think that by the effect of some charm, the durissus and boiquira, those redoubtable rulers of the steppes of America, possess the power of forcing their prey into their mouths. At their aspect, it is said, that hares, rats, frogs, and other reptiles, seem petrified with terror, and far from attempting to fly, will precipitate themselves upon the fate which awaits them. Even at a sufficient distance for escape, they are paralyzed by the sight of their tremendous foe, and deprived of all their faculties in a manner that appears wholly supernatural.

"But this fact, which is so interesting in animal physiology, is not only far from being clearly explained, but even far enough from being sufficiently demonstrated. Notwithstanding the ingenious conjectures of Sir Hans Sloane on this subject, the observations of Kalm, whose assertions were implicitly received by Linnsus; those of Lawson, Catesby, Brickel, Beverley, Bancroft, and Bartram; notwithstanding a work published ex professo on the matter, by Dr. Burton, of Philadelphia, and notwithstanding some recent accounts by Major Gorden, of this stupifying power in the serpents, which he attributes both to

way a basilisk may empoison-although thus much be not agreed upon by authors, some imputing it unto the breath, others unto the bite—it is not a thing impossible. For eyes receive offensive impressions from their objects, and may have influences destructive to each other. For the visible species of things strike not our senses immaterially, but streaming in corporal rays, do carry with them the qualities of the object from whence they flow, and the medium through which they pass. Thus, through a green or red glass, all things we behold appear of the same colours; thus sore eyes affect those which are sound, and themselves also by reflection, as will happen to an inflamed eye that beholds itself long in a glass; thus is fascination made out, and thus also it is not impossible, what is affirmed of this animal, the visible rays of their eyes carrying forth the subtilest portion of their poison, which received by the eye of man or beast, infecteth first the brain,6 and is from thence communicated unto the heart.7

But lastly, that this destruction should be the effect of the first beholder, or depend upon priority of aspection, is a point not easily to be granted,⁸ and very hardly to be made

the terror which they inspire, and to certain narcotic emanations from their bodies at particular times, it must be confessed that this subject is still liable to controversy, and still involved in a considerable degree of obscurity."—Griffith's Cunier, ix. 311, 312. There is a very interesting lecture on this subject, in Dr. Good's Book of Nature, vol. ii. lec. 6.

⁶ brain.] And why not by the smel rather, and from thence to the braine, as for the most part happens by contagion in time of the plague. Soe the poysonous breath of the basiliske, spreading far through the aire in those hot countryes of Africa, may easily surprise

those that unawares come neer his denn.—Wr.

? heart.] But yf by the serpent's priority of vision, how comes itt to effect the eye first, but that coming unawares within the contagion of his deadly breath, a man is infected before he sees his mischeef. And which is most likely? by the poyson some smel immediately drawne to the harte with the pestilent air in those burning countryes; or by the eye into the braine, and thence to the harte, whereof noe man can justify the trueth, and may more justly bee denyed then granted, being farther fetcht, only infered by way of consequence to make good their assertion. Yf, then, the infection bee not receaved by the eye, as heere the learned Dr. [seems?] to opine, by what other way can it bee possibly received, but by the infected ayre immediately drawne into the heart? which I suppose the following discourse will cleere.—Wr.

6 not easily, &c. This velitation will [be] needles, yf as before, and

out upon the principles of Aristotle, Alhazen, Vitello, and others, who hold, that sight is made by reception, and not by extramission; by receiving the rays of the object into the eye, and not by sending any out. For hereby, although he behold a man first, the basilisk should rather be destroyed, in regard he first receiveth the rays of his antipathy and venomous emissions, which objectively move his sense; but how powerful soever his own poison be, it invadeth not the sense of man, in regard he beholdeth him not. And therefore this conceit was probably begot by such as held the opinion of sight by extramission; as did Pythagoras, Plato, Empedocles, Hipparchus, Galen, Macrobius, Proclus, Simplicius, with most of the ancients, and is the postulate of Euclid in his Opticks, but now sufficiently convicted from observations of the dark chamber.1

As for the generation of the basilisk, that it proceedeth from a cock's egg, hatched under a toad or serpent, it is a conceit as monstrous² as the broad itself. For if we should

is most probable, wee conceive the infection of the basiliske to fasten upon the smel rather then the eye: both these senses, and indeed the five senses, being made by reception only, and not by extramission. See that his powerful poyson, which proceeds from his breath, rather then his eye, may invade the sense of smelling, and consequently destroy a man hereby; or may sudenly destroy the harte by drawing in that poysonous aire.—Wr.

VOL. I.

 sense.] Eye.—Wr.
 but now, &c.] Instead of this concluding line (first added in the 2nd edit.), the following curious passage terminated the paragraph in the 1st edit. p. 120; "and of this opinion might they be, who from this antipathy of the basilisk and man, expressed first the enmity of Christ and Satan, and their mutual destruction thereby; when Satan. being elder than his humanity, beheld Christ first in the flesh, and so he was destroyed by the serpent; but elder than Satan in his divinity, and so beholding him first, he destroyed the old basilisk, and overcame the effect of his poison, sin, death, and hell."

On this passage, Dean Wren (who used the 1st edition) drily remarks:-"This argument is but symbolical, and concludes nothing." ² a conceit as monstrous.] At the end of the volume for 1710, of the History of the French Royal Academy, is a curious account, transmitted by M. Lapeyronie from Montpellier, of some "cock's eggs," which a farmer had brought to him, with the assurance that they were laid by a cock, and would be found to contain, instead of yolk, the embryo of a serpent. One of these eggs, opened in the presence of several scavans. was found devoid of yolk, but exhibiting a coloured particle in the

grant, that cocks growing old, and unable for emission, amass within themselves some seminal matter, which may after conglobate into the form of an egg, yet will this substance be unfruitful. As wanting one principle of generation, and a commixture of the seed of both sexes, which is required unto production, as may be observed in the eggs of hens not trodden, and as we have made trial in some which are termed cock's eggs. It is not indeed impossible, that from the sperm of a cock, hen, or other animal, being once in putrescence, either from incubation or otherwise, some generation may ensue; not univocal and of the same species, but some imperfect or monstrous production, even as in the body of man, from putrid humours and peculiar ways of corruption, there have succeeded strange and unseconded shapes of worms,3 whereof we have beheld some ourselves, and read of others in medical observations. And so may strange and venomous serpents be several ways engendered; but that this generation should be regular, and always produce a basilisk, is beyond our affirmation, and we have good reason to doubt.

Again, it is unreasonable to ascribe the equivocancy of this form unto the hatching of a toad, or imagine that diversifies the production. For incubation alters not the species, nor if we observe it, so much as concurs either to the sex or colour: as appears in the eggs of ducks or partridges hatched under a hen, there being required unto their exclusion only a gentle and continued heat, and that not particular or confined unto the species or parent. So have I known the seed of silkworms hatched on the bodies of

centre, which was considered as the young serpent. The cock having been given up to M. Lapeyronie for dissection, the farmer very soon brought some more of these little eggs,—having discovered that they were laid by a hen! Anatomical figures accompany the paper.

The conceit, however, is not too monstrous for the belief of Al. Ross—who asks, "Why may not this serpent be ingendred of a cock's putrified seminal materials, being animated by his heat and incubation as well as other kinds of serpents are bred of putrified matter?"—Arcana, p. 146.

worms.] Of which you may see the many strange and horrible shapes in Parceus his Chirurgerye, lib. xx. cap. iii. et iv. pp. 762-4.

—Wr.

women: 4 and Pliny reports, that Livia, the wife of Augustus, hatched an egg in her bosom. Nor is only an animal heat required hereto, but an elemental and artificial warmth will suffice: for, as Diodorus delivereth, the Egyptians were wont to hatch their eggs in ovens, and many eyewitnesses confirm that practice unto this day. And, therefore, this generation of the basilisk seems like that of Castor and Helena; he that can credit the one, may easily believe the other; that is, that these two were hatched out of the egg which Jupiter, in the form of a swan, begat on his mistress Leda.

The occasion of this conceit might be an Egyptian tradition concerning the bird ibis, which after became transferred unto cocks. For an opinion it was of that nation, that the ibis, feeding upon serpents, that venomous food so inquinated their oval conceptions or eggs within their bodies, that they sometimes came forth in serpentine shapes, and therefore they always brake their eggs, nor would they endure the bird to sit upon them. But how causeless their fear was herein, the daily incubation of ducks, peahens, and many other testify; and the stork might have informed them; which bird they honoured and cherished, to destroy their serpents.

⁴ on the bodies of women.] Betweene the breasts of a woman, rolled in fine lawne, and they are stronger then those hatcht in the cases, how warme soever kept. But itt must bee by election in virgin's breasts, antequam sororiant, aut menstrua patiantur, næ prorsus intereant, alioqui prodituræ feliciter.—Wr.

⁵ ibis. Black ibis. Wr.

^{** *}serpents.**] Heer the learned author mistakes the story: for Tully, in the 2nd De Natura Decorum says, the Ægyptians justly honored the ibis; quia pestem ab Ægypto avertunt quum serpentes volucros, Africo & Libya advertos, interficiant. Soe farr were they from breaking their eggs, which had been to destroy the breed of those whom they honored. And what madnes had it been to honor the stork that destroyed the serpents and to destroy the ibides' eggs, by which creature (and not by the storke) those fiery flying serpents were destroyed. But mistake grew for want of right advertisement herein. For St. Hierom, that wel knew Egypt, tels us there were 2 kinds of the ibides: one coale black (and itt seemes pernicious some waye, and therefore hated by them), the other not much unlike the stork, though not the same. Soe that in honoring the second kinde, they might seem to honor the stork, which was (indeed) the right ibis, their preserver.—Wr.

That which much promoted it, was a misapprehension in Holy Scripture upon the Latin translation in Isa. li. Ova aspidum ruperunt, et telas aranearum texuerunt, qui comedet de ovis eorum morietur, et quod confotum est, erumpet in regulum. From whence, notwithstanding, beside the generation of serpents from eggs, there can be nothing concluded; and what kind of serpents are meant, not easy to be determined: for translations are very different: Tremellius rendering the asp hæmorrhous, and the regulus or basilisk, a viper; and our translation for the asp sets down a cockatrice in the text, and an adder in the margin.

Another place of Isaiah doth also seem to countenance it, chap. xiv.: Ne læteris Philistæa, quoniam diminuta est virga percussoris tui; de radice enim colubri egredietur regulus, et semen ejus absorbens volucrem; which ours somewhat favourably rendereth: "Out of the serpent's root shall come forth a cockatrice, and his fruit shall be a fiery flying serpent." But Tremellius, è radice serpentis prodit hæmorrhous, et fructus illius prester volans; wherein the words are different, but the sense is still the same; for therein are figuratively intended Uzziah and Ezechias; for though the Philistines had escaped the minor serpent, Uzziah, yet from his stock a fiercer snake should arise, that would more terribly sting them, and that was Ezechias.

But the greatest promotion it hath received from a misunderstanding of the hieroglyphical intention. For being conceived to be the lord and king of serpents, to awe all others, nor to be destroyed by any, the Egyptians hereby implied eternity, and the awful power of the supreme deity; and therefore described a crowned asp or basilisk upon the heads of their gods: as may be observed in the Bembine table, and other Egyptian monuments.

⁷ as may be observed, &c.] This is from Pierius (141, B.) by whom a basilisk is figured from the Bembine, or Isiac table, as a serpent, with a crest, or crown, upon an obelisk, and having rudiments of wings and a long head and snout.

⁶ But, &c.] This paragraph was first added in the 3rd edit.

CHAPTER VIII.

That a Wolf first seeing a man, begets a dumbness in him.

Such a story as the basilisk, is that of the wolf, concerning priority of vision, that a man becomes hoarse, or dumb, if a wolf have the advantage first to eye him. And this is in plain language affirmed by Pliny: In Italia, ut creditur, luporum visus est noxius, vocemque homini, quem priùs contemplatur, adimere; so is it made out what is delivered by Theocritus, and after him by Virgil:

· Vox quoque Mœrim Jam fugit ipsa, lupi Mœrim videre priores.

And thus is the proverb to be understood, when, during the discourse, if the party or subject interveneth, and there ensueth a sudden silence, it is usually said, *lupus est in fabula*. Which conceit being already convicted, not only by Scaliger, Riolanus, and others, but daily confutable almost everywhere out of England, we shall not further refute.

The ground, or occasional original hereof, was probably the amazement and sudden silence the unexpected appearance of wolves doth often put upon travellers; not by a supposed vapour, or venomous emanation, but a vehement fear, which naturally produceth obmutescence, and sometimes irrecoverable silence. Thus birds are silent in the presence of an hawk, and Pliny saith that dogs are mute in the shadow of an hyæna. But thus could not the mouths of worthy martyrs be silenced, who being exposed not only unto the eyes, but the merciless teeth of wolves, gave loud expressions of their faith, and their holy clamours ² were heard as high as heaven.

9 that a man becomes hoarse.] When any one becomes hoarse, the French say, il a vu le loup. See Howell's Familiar Letters, vol. iv. p. 52. See Erasmi Colloquia. De Amicitià.—Leff

p. 52. See Erasmi Colloquia, De Amicitià.—Jeff.
Ross uses the argumentum ad hominem in this case: he says, "Dr.
Browne did unadvisedly reckon this among his vulgar errors, for I
believe he would find this no error, if he were suddenly surprised by a
wolf, having no means to escape or save himself!"

Scaliger.] Exercitatione 344.—Wr.

² clamours.] Shouts. Clamours is improper here, for 'twas not

That which much promoted it, beside the common proverb, was an expression in Theocritus, a very ancient poet, ου φθέγξη, Λύκον είδες, Edere non poteris vocem, Lycus est tibi visus; which Lycus was rival unto another, and suddenly appearing, stopped the mouth of his corrival. Now Lycus signifying also a wolf occasioned this apprehension; men taking that appellatively which was to be understood properly, and translating the genuine acception: which is a fallacy of equivocation, and in some opinions begat the like conceit concerning Romulus and Remus, that they were fostered by a wolf—the name of the nurse being Lupa—and founded the fable of Europa, and her carriage over the sea by a bull, because the ship or pilot's name was Taurus. And thus have some been startled at the proverb, bos in lingua, confusedly apprehending how a man should be said to have an ox in his tongue, that would not speak his mind; which was no more than that a piece of money had silenced him; for by the ox was only implied a piece of coin stamped with that figure, first current with the Athenians, and after among the Romans.3

CHAPTER IX.

Of the long life of the Deer.

THE common opinion concerning the long life of animals is very ancient, especially of crows, choughs, and deer, in moderate accounts exceeding the age of man, in some the days of Nestor, and in others surmounting the years of Artephius or Methuselah. From whence antiquity hath raised

feare of death that made them cry out at all; but an assured certainty of their neer approaching glorification made them kiss their persequutors, as promoters to eternity, and to sing in the midst of their torments aloud! Soe that, instead of "clamours," I put "shouts," wherewith they daunted those wolves, and made them stand amazed at their courage; which they concluded must needs proceed from the hope of something after death, to bee farr better then the present life, and by this meanes were many of them converted.—Wr.

³ first current with the Athenians, &c.] Wherewith the embassadors stopt Demosthenes his mouth, that hee should not inveigh against their countrye.—Wr.

proverbial expressions, and the real conception of their duration hath been the hyperbolical expression of many others. From all the rest we shall single out the deer, upon concession a long-lived animal, and in longevity by many conceived to attain unto hundreds; wherein, permitting every man his own belief, we shall ourselves crave liberty to doubt, and our reasons are these ensuing.

The first is that of Aristotle, drawn from the increment and gestation of this animal, that is, its sudden arrivance unto growth and maturity, and the small time of its remainder in the womb. His words in the translation of Scaliger are these—De ejus vitæ longitudine fabulantur; neque enim aut gestatio aut incrementum hinnulorum ejusmodi sunt, ut præstent argumentum longævi animalis; that is, "fables are raised concerning the vivacity4 of deer, for neither are their gestation or increment such as may afford an argument of long life." And these, saith Scaliger, are good mediums conjunctively taken, that is, not one without For of animals viviparous, such as live long go long with young, and attain but slowly to their maturity and stature. So the horse, that liveth about thirty, arriveth unto his stature about six years, and remaineth about ten months in the womb,—so the camel, that liveth unto fifty, goeth with young no less than ten months, and ceaseth not to grow before seven,—and so the elephant, that liveth an hundred, beareth its young above a year,5 and arriveth unto perfection at twenty. On the contrary, the sheep and goat, which live but eight or ten years, go but five months,6 and attain to their perfection at two years: and the like proportion is observable in cats, hares, and conies. And so the deer, that endureth the womb but eight months, and is complete at six years, from the course of nature we cannot expect to live an hundred, nor in any proportional allowance much

^{*} vwacity.] i. e. long life. The passage is from the Hist. Animal. lib. vi. c. xxix.

⁵ above a year.] The periods here assigned to the horse, camel, and elephant, are all shorter than the fact. That of the horse is twelve months, the camel eleven and a half, and the elephant twenty.

⁶ five months.] The 1st of August was (of old) cal'd Lammas day, bycause the rams, going then to the flocks, made the fall of the lambs alwayes about the Nativitye; the 19th of December terminating the full time of gestation, i. e. five months, or twenty weeks. - Wr.

more than thirty. As having already passed two general motions observable in all animations, that is, its beginning and increase, and having but two more to run through, that is, its state and declination, which are proportionally set out by nature in every kind, and naturally proceeding admit of inference from each other.

The other ground that brings its long life into question, is the immoderate felicity, and almost unparalleled excess of venery, which every September may be observed in this animal, and is supposed to shorten the lives of cocks, partridges, and sparrows. Certainly a confessed and undeniable enemy unto longevity, and that not only as a sign in the complexional desire and impetuosity, but also as a cause in the frequent act, or iterated performance thereof. For though we consent not with that philosopher, who thinks a spermatical emission, unto the weight of one drachm, is equivalent unto the effusion of sixty ounces of blood, yet considering the exolution and languor ensuing that act in some—the extenuation and marcour in others, and the visible acceleration it maketh of age in most, we cannot but think it much abridgeth our days. Although we also concede that this exclusion is natural, that nature itself will find a way hereto without either act or object; and although it be placed among the six non-naturals, that is, such as, neither naturally constitutive, nor merely destructive, do preserve or destroy according unto circumstance; yet do we sensibly observe an impotency, or total privation thereof, prolongeth life; and they live longest in every kind that exercise it not at all. And this is true, not only in eunuchs by nature, but spadoes by art; for castrated animals, in every species, are longer lived than they which retain their virilities; for the generation of bodies is not merely effected, as some conceive of souls, that is, by irradiation, or answerably unto the propagation of light, without its proper diminution; but therein a transmission is made materially from some parts, with the idea of every one; and the propagation of one is, in a strict acception, some minoration of another. And, therefore, also, that axiom in philosophy, that the generation of one thing is the corruption of another, although it be substantially true concerning the form and matter, is also dispositively verified in the efficient or producer.

As for more sensible arguments, and such as relate unto experiment, from these we have also reason to doubt its age. and presumed vivacity; for where long life is natural, the marks of age are late; and when they appear, the journey unto death cannot be long. Now the age of the deer (as Aristotle long ago observed) is best conjectured by view of the horns and teeth. From the horns there is a particular and annual account unto six years, they arising first plain, and so successively branching; after which the judgment of their years, by particular marks, becomes uncertain. when they grow old, they grow less branched, and first do lose their ἀμυντῆρες, or propugnacula, that is, their browantlers, or lowest furcations next the head; which, Aristotle saith, the young ones use in fight, and the old, as needless, have them not at all. The same may be also collected from the loss of their teeth, whereof in old age they have few or none before in either jaw. Now these are infallible marks of age, and when they appear, we must confess a declination; which notwithstanding (as men inform us in England, where observations may well be made), will happen between twenty and thirty. As for the bone, or rather induration of the roots of the arterial vein and great artery, which is thought to be found only in the heart of an old deer, and therefore becomes more precious in its rarity, it is often found in deer much under thirty, and we have known some affirm they have found it in one of half that age. And therefore, in that account of Pliny, of a deer with a collar about his neck, put on by Alexander the Great, and taken alive an hundred years after, with other relations of this nature, we much suspect imposture or mistake. And if we grant their verity, they are but single relations, and very rare contingencies in individuals, not affording a regular deduction upon the species. For though Ulysses' dog lived unto twenty, and the Athenian mule unto fourscore, yet do we not measure their days by those years, or usually say they live thus long. Nor can the three hundred years of John of times, or Nestor, overthrow the assertion of Moses,* or afford a reasonable encouragement beyond his septuagenary determination.

The ground and authority of this conceit was first hiero-

^{*} Psalm xc.

glyphical, the Egyptians expressing longevity by this animal; but upon what uncertainties, and also convincible falsities they often erected such emblems, we have elsewhere delivered. And if that were true which Aristotle * delivers of his time, and Pliny was not afraid to take up long after, the Egyptians could make but weak observations herein: for though it be said that £neas feasted his followers with venison, yet Aristotle affirms that neither deer nor boar were to be found in Africa. And how far they miscounted the lives and duration of animals, is evident from their conceit of the crow, which they presume to live five hundred livereth) the Egyptians do reckon no less than at seven hundred.

The second, which led the conceit unto the Grecians, and probably descended from the Egyptians, was poetical; and that was a passage of Hesiod, thus rendered by Ausonius.

Ter binos deciésque novem super exit in annos, Justa senescentûm quos implet vita virorum. Hos novies superat vivendo garrula cornix, Et quater egreditur cornicis sæcula cervus, Alipedem cervum ter vincit corvus.

To ninety-six the life of man ascendeth, Nine times as long that of the chough extendeth, Four times beyond the life of deer doth go, And thrice is that surpassed by the crow.

So that, according to this account, allowing ninety-six for the age of man, the life of a deer amounts unto three thousand four hundred and fifty-six; a conceit so hard to be made out, that many have deserted the common and literal construction. So Theon, in Aratus, would have the number of nine not taken strictly, but for many years. In other opinions, the compute so far exceedeth the truth, that they have thought it more probable to take the word genea, that

* Histor. Animal. lib. viii.

⁷ was first hieroglyphical, &c.] Obtained from Horapollo. The antelope is mentioned by Dr. Young, with the bullock, the ram, and the tortoise, as being sometimes representations of the things which they resemble, and sometimes having probably a metaphorical sense (S. E. B. Egypt, 75-78). Champollion mentions the gazelle, but not the deer.

is, a generation consisting of many years, but for one year, or a single revolution of the sun; which is the remarkable measure of time, and within the compass whereof, we receive our perfection in the womb. So that by this construction, the years of a deer should be but thirty-six, as is discoursed at large in that tract of Plutarch, concerning the cessation of oracles, and whereto in his discourse of the crow, Aldrovandus also inclineth. Others, not able to make it out, have rejected the whole account, as may be observed from the words of Pliny; Hesiodus qui primus aliquid de longævitate vitæ prodidit, fabulosè (reor) multa de hominum ævo referens, cornici novem nostras attribuit ætates, quadruplum ejus cervis, id triplicatum corvis, et reliqua fabulosiùs de phænice et nymphis. And this, how slender soever, was probably the strongest ground antiquity had for this longevity of animals; that made Theophrastus expostulate with nature concerning the long life of crows; that begat that epithet of deer * in Oppianus, and that expression of Juvenal.

Longa et cervina senectus.

The third ground was philosophical, and founded upon a probable reason in nature, that is, the defect of a gall: which part (in the opinion of Aristotle and Pliny), this animal wanted, and was conceived a cause and reason of their long life: according (say they) as it happeneth unto some few men, who have not this part at all. But this assertion is first defective in the verity concerning the animal alleged: for though it be true, a deer hath no gall in the liver like many other animals, yet hath it that part in the guts, as is discoverable by taste and colour: and therefore Pliny doth well correct himself, when, having affirmed before, it had no gall, he after saith, some hold it to be in the guts; and that for their bitterness, dogs will refuse to eat them. assertion is also deficient in the verity of the induction or connumeration of other animals conjoined herewith, as having also no gall; that is, as Pliny accounteth, equi, muli, &c. Horses, mules, asses, deer, goats, boars, camels, dolphins, have no gall. In dolphins and porpoises I confess I could find no gall. But concerning horses, what truth there is

^{*} Τετρακόρωνος.

herein we have declared before; as for goats, we find not them without it; what gall the camel hath, Aristotle declareth: that hogs also have it we can affirm; and that not in any obscure place, but in the liver, even as it is seated in man.⁸

That, therefore, 'the deer is no short-lived animal, we will acknowledge; that comparatively, and in some sense long-lived, we will concede; and thus much we shall grant, if we commonly account its days⁹ by thirty-six or forty;¹ for thereby it will exceed all other cornigerous animals. But that it attaineth unto hundreds, or the years delivered by authors, since we have no authentic experience for it—since we have reason and common experience against it—since the grounds are false and fabulous which do establish it, we know no ground to assent.

Concerning deer, there also passeth another opinion, that the males thereof do yearly lose their pizzle: for men, observing the decidence of their horns, do fall upon the like conceit of this part, that it annually rotteth away, and successively reneweth again.² Now the ground hereof, was surely the observation of this part in deer after immoderate venery, and about the end of their rut, which sometimes becomes so relaxed and pendulous, it cannot be quite retracted: and being often beset with flies, it is conceived to rot, and at last to fall from the body. But herein experience will contradict us; for deer, which either die or are

⁸ Horses, &c.] This statement is correct. It is asserted that the gall-bladder is common to all carnivorous animals possessing a liver, and that it seems to be wanting only in those which feed on vegetables alone. The gall-bladder is contained between the peritonæum and the liver.

⁹ days.] Yeares.—Wr.

¹ thirty-six or forty.] A correct conclusion. Ross, however, is not inclined to give up the opinion of the "ancient sages," on "so weak grounds" as those advanced by Sir Thomas. His faith, however, might well admit such assertions as are here discussed; since he avowed his belief that old men may grow young again;—"that the decayed nature may be so renewed and repaired, as an old man may perform the function of a young man!"

² this part, &c.] Itt may sometimes rott, as the deers often doe; yf a sharpe and stervinge winter take them before they can repaire the strength lost by immoderate rutt: whence it seems the terme (rott) first came: but that part wherein the rott always beginnes to appeare, is never renewed.—Wr.

killed at that time, or any other, are always found to have that part entire. And reason will also correct us; for spermatical parts, or such as are framed from the seminal principles of parents,3 although homogeneous or similary, will not admit a regeneration; much less will they receive an integral restoration, which being organical and instrumental members, consist of many of those. Now this part, or animal of Plato, containeth not only sanguineous and reparable particles, but is made up of veins, nerves, arteries, and in some animals of bones: 4 whose reparation is beyond its own fertility, and a fruit not to be expected from the fructifying part itself. Which faculty, were it communicated unto animals whose originals are double, as well as unto plants whose seed is within themselves, we might abate the art of Taliacotius, and the new inarching of noses.5 And therefore the fancies of poets have been so modest, as not to set down such renovations, even from the powers of their deities: for the mutilated shoulder of Pelops was pieced out with ivory, and that the limbs of Hippolytus were set together, not regenerated by Æsculapius, is the utmost assertion of poetry.

³ such as are framed, &c.] There seems some difficulty in determining the precise meaning of this phrase:—but Sir Thomas was not aware of what has been ascertained by the experiments of Bonnet and Spallanzani on snails and worms; and by those of Drs. Heineken and Mac Culloch on spiders and crabs; viz. that these comparatively imperfect animals have the wonderful power (not bestowed on those of far more complete organization) of reproducing parts of which they have been deprived—limbs, antennæ, and even the head.

bones.] As in poll-cats and ferrets, which I caused to bee dissected,

and found in one a bone as big as a walnut shaled.—Wr.

** new inarching of noses.] In the Gents. Mag. vol. 54, p. 891, is an account of this operation as performed in India, in 1792. An old work, entitled Chirurgorum Comes, 1687, concludes with an account of a similar operation, performed two hundred before, at Lausanne, by a surgeon named Greffonius, on a young woman. The physiological principles, on which this celebrated process has been successful, are discussed by Dr. Bostock, in his Elementary System of Physiology, vol. i. p. 450. Sir Kenelm Digby adds this marvellous assertion, that when a man, whose nose had been lost by extreme cold, was supplied with an artificial nose made of the flesh of some other person, "his new nose would putrify as soon as the person, out of whose substance it was taken, came to die!"

⁶ Pelops] So Virgil;—Georgic. iii. 7:

CHAPTER X.

That a Kingfisher, hanged by the bill, showeth where the wind lay.

THAT a kingfisher, hanged by the bill, showeth in what quarter the wind is, by an occult and secret propriety, converting the breast to that point of the horizon from whence the wind doth blow, is a received opinion, and very strange introducing natural weathercocks, and extending magnetical positions as far as animal natures. A conceit supported chiefly by present practice, yet not made out by reason or experience.

Unto reason it seemeth very repugnant, that a carcass or body disanimated, should be so affected with every wind, as to carry a conformable respect and constant habitude thereto. For although in sundry animals we deny not a kind of natural meteorology or innate presention both of wind and weather, yet, that proceeding from sense receiving impression from the first mutation of the air, they cannot in reason retain that apprehension after death, as being affections which depend on life, and depart upon disanimation. And therefore with more favourable reason may we draw the same effect or sympathy upon the hedgehog, whose presention of winds is so exact, that it stoppeth the north or southern hole of its nest, according to the prenotion of these winds ensuing;7 which some men observing, have been able to make predictions which way the wind would turn, and been esteemed hereby wise men in point of weather. Now this proceeding from sense in the creature alive, it were not reasonable to hang up an hedgehog dead, and to expect a conformable motion unto its living conversion. And though in sundry plants their virtues do live after death—and we know that scammony, rhubarb, and senna will purge without any vital assistance-yet in animals and sensible creatures, many actions are mixed, and depend upon their living form, as well as that of mistion; and though they wholly seem to retain unto the body, depart

⁷ whose presention of winds, &c. The popular belief of this "presention" (faculty of perceiving beforehand), in the hedgehog, seems to be without foundation.

upon disunion. Thus glow-worms alive project a lustre in the dark; which fulgour, notwithstanding, ceaseth after death; and thus the torpedo, which being alive stupifies at a distance, applied after death, produceth no such effect; which had they retained, in places where they abound they might have supplied opium, 8 and served as frontals in phrensies.

As for the experiment, we cannot make it out by any we have attempted; for if a single kingfisher be hanged up with untwisted silk in an open room, and where the air is free, it observes not a constant respect unto the mouth of the wind. but, variously converting, doth seldom breast it aright. two be suspended in the same room, they will not regularly conform their breasts, but ofttimes respect the opposite points of heaven. And if we conceive that, for exact exploration, they should be suspended where the air is quiet and unmoved,—that, clear of impediments, they may more freely convert upon their natural verticity—we have also made this way of inquisition, suspending them in large and capacious glasses closely stopped; wherein nevertheless we observed a casual station, and that they rested irregularly upon conversion: wheresoever they rested, remaining inconverted; and possessing one point of the compass, whilst the wind, perhaps, had passed the two and thirty.

The ground of this popular practice might be the common opinion concerning the virtue prognostick of these birds; as also the natural regard they have unto the winds, and they unto them again; more especially remarkable in the time of their nidulation and bringing forth their young. For at that time, which happeneth about the brumal solstice, it hath been observed, even unto a proverb, that the sea is calm, and the winds do cease, till the young ones are excluded, and forsake their nest; which floateth upon the sea, and by the roughness of winds, might otherwise be overwhelmed. But how far hereby to magnify their prediction we have no certain rule; for whether out of any particular prenotion they choose to sit at this time, or whether it be

⁸ opium.] This term, used before (page 254) to express the stupifying effect of the gymnotic electricity, is, of course, employed figuratively.

proverb.] Halcionian dayes, i. e. dayes of peace.—Wr.

thus contrived by concurrence of causes and providence of nature, securing every species in their production, is not yet determined. Surely many things fall out by the design of the general motor and undreamt-of contrivance of nature which are not imputable unto the intention or knowledge of the particular actor. So, though the seminality of ivy be almost in every earth, yet that it ariseth and groweth not, but where it may be supported; we cannot ascribe the same unto the distinction of the seed, or conceive any science therein which suspends and conditionates its eruption. So if, as Pliny and Plutarch report, the crocodiles of Egypt so aptly lay their eggs, that the natives thereby are able to know how high the flood will attain, it will be hard to make out how they should divine the extent of the inundation, depending on causes so many miles remote; that is, the measure of showers in Ethiopia; and whereof, as Athanasius in the Life of Anthony delivers, the devil himself upon demand could make no clear prediction. So are there likewise many things in nature which are the forerunners or signs of future effects,3 whereto they neither concur in causality or prenotion, but are secretly ordered by the providence of causes and concurrence of actions collateral to their signations.

It was also a custom of old to keep these birds in chests, upon opinion that they prevented moths. Whether it were not first hanged up in rooms, to such effects, is not beyond all doubt; or whether we mistake not the posture of suspension, hanging it by the bill, whereas we should do it by the back, that by the bill it might point out the quarters of the wind; for so hath Kircherus described the orbis and the

¹ not yet determined.] All creatures know not only the meanes but the times of their preservation: and therefore that the halcyon knowing that at the winter solstice there is such a calm, chooseth that time to hatch his young, as the crowes did in 1652, when the mildnes of January was such, that they, supposing the spring was come on, did build their nests, and as I was credibly informed, some did hatche their broode.—Wr.

² groweth not, but, &c.] The ground affords a sufficient support for the purpose; for ivy will certainly grow where it has no other, and will cover the surface of the ground, growing among the herbage, and in some cases supplanting it.

³ So are there, &c.] See an interesting chapter on prognostics in Forster's Researches into Atmospheric Phanomena, p. 128.

sea-swallow. But the eldest custom of hanging up these birds was founded upon a tradition, that they would renew their feathers every year as though they were alive: in expectation whereof, four hundred years ago, Albertus Magnus was deceived.⁴

CHAPTER XI.

Of Griffins.

That there are griffins in nature, that is, a mixed and dubious animal, in the forepart resembling an eagle, and behind the shape of a lion, with erected ears, four feet, and a long tail, many affirm, and most, I perceive, deny not.⁵ The same is averred by Ælian, Solinus, Mela, and Herodotus—countenanced by the name sometimes found in Scripture,

and was an hieroglyphic of the Egyptians.6

Notwithstanding we find most diligent enquirers to be of a contrary assertion. For beside that Albertus and Pliny have disallowed it, the learned Aldrovandus hath, in a large discourse rejected it; Matthias Michovius, who writ of those northern parts wherein men place these griffins, hath positively concluded against it; and, if examined by the doctrine of animals, the invention is monstrous, nor much inferior unto the figment of sphynx, chimæra, and harpies; for though there be some flying animals of mixed and participating natures, that is, between bird and quadruped, yet are their

4 It was a custom, &c.] First added in the 2nd edition.

⁶ and was an hieroglyphic, &c.] Pierius (p. 233, E.), on the authority of the Isiac table; of which see note 1, at page 252.

7 of mixed and participating natures.] Modern discovery has greatly added to our knowledge of those animals which form connecting links in the great chain. "There is nothing more wonderful and admirable in nature than this sort of connection between the classes, orders, groups, and genera of the animal kingdom. It is not a regular gradation of being, like the steps of a ladder, according to the Platonic system, nor do we think that it can be very easily reduced to any defi-

⁵ That there are griffins, &c.] Ross, as usual, defends the ancient opinion, at considerable length; and accounts for their not being now known to exist, by supposing them to have removed to places inaccessible to men, whereof he observes there are many such in the great and vast countries of Scythia, &c. &c.!—Arcana, p. 199.

wings and legs so set together, that they seem to make each other, their being a commixion of both, rather than an adaptation or cement of prominent parts unto each other; as is observable in the bat, whose wings and fore-legs are contrived in each other. For though some species there be of middle and participating natures, that is, of bird and beast, as bats and some few others; yet are their parts so conformed and set together, that we cannot define the beginning or end of either; there being a commixion of both in the whole, rather than an adaptation or cement of the one unto the other.

Now for the word $\gamma\rho\dot{\nu}\psi$ or gryps, sometimes mentioned in Scripture, and frequently in human authors, properly understood it signifies some kind of eagle or vulture, from whence the epithet grypus, for an hooked or aquiline nose. when the Septuagint makes use of this word,* Tremellius, and our translation, hath rendered it the ossifrage, which is one kind of eagle. And although the vulgar translation, and that annexed unto the Septuagint, retain the word gryps, which in ordinary and school construction is commonly rendered a griffin, yet cannot the Latin assume any other sense than the Greek, from whence it is borrowed. And though the Latin gryphes be altered somewhat by the addition of an h, or aspiration of the letter π , yet is not this unusual; so what the Greeks call τρόπαιον, the Latin will call trophæum; and that person, which in the gospel is named Κλέοπας, the Latins will render Cleophas. And therefore the quarrel of Origen was unjust, and his conception erroneous, when he conceived the food of griffins forbidden by the law of Moses;8 that is, poetical animals, and things of no existence. And

nite plan, notwithstanding the very ingenious and laudable attempts, in this way, of some recent naturalists. But we find in every class, and every order of animals, connecting links with all the other classes, and all the other orders. Somewhere or other, we are sure to find the existing bond of affinity. Thus we have flying mammalia, and walking birds—swimming birds, and flying fishes—in short, some out of each borrow the characters of others, and lose some of those peculiar to their own division."—Cuvier, by Griffith, vol. ix. p. 284.

⁸ Moses.] The most learned among the Jews can give us noe certaine information concerning the names of animals, plants, mettals, vestments, or instruments. saith Gesner. in his learned book, De Quadrupedibus.

therefore, when in the hecatombs and mighty oblations of the Gentiles, it is delivered they sacrificed gryphes or griffins, hereby we may understand some stronger sort of eagles. And therefore also, when it is said in Virgil, of an improper match, or Mopsus marrying Nysa, Jungentur jam gryphes equis, we need not hunt after other sense, than that strange unions shall be made, and different natures be conjoined together.

As for the testimonies of ancient writers, they are but derivative, and terminate all in one Aristeus, a poet of Proconesus, who affirmed that near the Arimaspi, or one-eyed nation, griffins defended the mines of gold. But this, as Herodotus delivereth, he wrote by hearsay; and Michovius, who had expressly written of those parts, plainly affirmeth, there is neither gold nor griffins in that country, nor any such animal extant; for so doth he conclude, Ego vero contra veteres authores, gruphes nec in illa septentrionis, nec in aliis

orbis partibus inveniri affirmârim.

Lastly, concerning the hieroglyphical authority, although it nearest approach the truth, it doth not infer its existency. The conceit of the griffin, properly taken, being but a symbolical fancy, in so intolerable a shape including allowable morality. So doth it well make out the properties of a guardian, or any person entrusted; the ears implying attention the wings, celerity of execution—the lion-like shape, courage and audacity—the hooked bill, reservance and tenacity. It is also an emblem of valour and magnanimity, as being compounded of the eagle and lion, the noblest animals in their kinds; and so is it appliable unto princes, presidents, generals, and all heroic commanders; and so is it also borne in the coat-arms of many noble families of Europe.

But the original invention seems to be hieroglyphical, derived from the Egyptians, and of an higher signification; by the mystical conjunction of hawk and lion, implying either the genial or the syderous sun, the great celerity thereof, and the strength and vigour in its operations: and therefore, under such hieroglyphics Osyris was described; and in

by the mystical conjunction, &c.] Most of the above statements are from Pierius; but he does not mention Osiris. Horapollo has no griffins. Plutarch says, that Osiris is typified by a hawk. - Young, ut

ancient coins we meet with griffins conjointly with Apollo's tripodes and chariot-wheels; and the marble griffins at St. Peter's in Rome, as learned men conjecture, were first translated from the temple of Apollo. Whether hereby were not also [mystically implied the activity of the sun in Leo, the power of God in the sun, or the influence of the celestial Osyris, by Moptha, the genius of Nilus, might also be considered. And than the learned Kircherus, no man were likely to be a better Oedipus.¹

CHAPTER XII.

Of the Phanix.

That there is but one phoenix in the world, which after many hundred years burneth itself, and from the ashes thereof ariseth up another,² is a conceit not new or altogether popular, but of great antiquity; not only delivered by human authors, but frequently expressed also by holy writers: by Cyril, Epiphanius, and others; by Ambrose in his Hexameron, and Tertullian in his poem, De Judicio Domini; but more agreeably unto the present sense, in his excellent tract, De Resurrectione Carnis; Illum dico alitem orientis peculiarem, de singularitate famosum, de posteritate monstruosum; qui semetipsum libenter funerans renovat, natali fine decedens, atque succedens iterum phoenix. Ubi jam nemo, iterum ipse; quia non jam, alius idem. The Scripture also seems to favour it, particularly that of Job xxi. In the in-

1 But the original, &c.] First added in the 3rd edition.

sup. 45. "The pictorial delineation of Osiris has indifferently a human head or that of a hawk; but never that of any other animals."—Ib. 57. Champollion mentions these, as "quadrupèdes à tête d'oiseau."—Précis du Système Hiéroglyphique, &c. 1828, p. 305.

² That there is but one phonix, &c.] It is really amusing to observe the humorous obstinacy of honest master Ross in defending every thing, however absurd, which is derived from "the ancient sages." That the phonix is but rarely seen he thinks no marvel; its instinct teaching it to keep out of the way of man, the great tyrant of the creatures;—"for had Heliogabalus, that Roman glutton, met with him, he had devoured him, though there were no more in the world!"—Arcana; p. 202.

terpretation of Beda, Dicebam, in nidulo meo moriar, et sicut phænix multiplicabo dies: and Psalm xxxi. δίκαιος ὥσπερ φοῖνιξ ἀνθήσει, vir justus ut phænix florebit, as Tertullian renders it, and so also expounds it in his book, before alleged.

All which notwithstanding, we cannot presume the existence of this animal, nor dare we affirm there is any phœnix in nature. For first, there wants herein the definitive confirmator and test of things uncertain, that is, the sense of man. For though many writers have much enlarged hereon, yet is there not any ocular describer, or such as presumeth to confirm it upon aspection. And therefore Herodotus, that led the story unto the Greeks, plainly saith, he never attained

the sight of any, but only in the picture.

Again, primitive authors, and from whom the stream of relations is derivative, deliver themselves very dubiously; and, either by a doubtful parenthesis or a timorous conclusion. overthrow the whole relation. Thus Herodotus, in his Euterpe, delivering the story hereof, presently interposeth έμοι μεν ου πιστα λέγοντες; that is, "which account seems to me improbable." Tacitus, in his Annals, affordeth a larger story, how the phænix was first seen at Heliopolis, in the reign of Sesostris, then in the reign of Amasis, after in the days of Ptolemy, the third of the Macedonian race; but at last thus determineth, sed antiquitas obscura, et nonnulli falsum esse hunc phænicem neque Arabum è terris credidere. Pliny makes yet a fairer story, that the phænix flew into Egypt in the consulship of Quintus Plancius, that it was brought to Rome in the censorship of Claudius, in the eight hundredth year of the city, and testified also in their records; but after all concludeth, Sed quæ falsa nemo dubitabit, as we read it in the fair and ancient impression of Brixa. as Aldrovandus hath quoted it, and it is found in the manuscript copy, as Dalechampius hath also noted.3

Moreover, such as have naturally discoursed hereon, have so diversely, contrarily, or contradictorily, delivered themselves, that no affirmative from thence can reasonably be deduced; for most have positively denied it, and they which affirm and believe it, assign this name unto many, and mistake two or three in one. So hath that bird been taken for

³ as we read, &c.] First added in 3rd edition.

the phonix, which liveth in Arabia, and buildeth its nest with cinnamon; by Herodotus called cinnamulgus, and by Aristotle cinnamomus: and as a fabulous conceit is censured by Scaliger. Some have conceived that bird to be the phonix, which by a Persian name with the Greeks is called rhyntace; but how they make this good, we find occasion of doubt, whilst we read in the life of Artaxerxes, that this is a little bird brought often to their tables, and wherewith Parysatis cunningly poisoned the queen. The manucodiata, or bird of paradise, hath had the honour of this name, and their feathers, brought from the Moluccas, do pass for those of the phenix. Which, though promoted by rarity with us, the eastern travellers will hardly admit: who know they are common in those parts, and the ordinary plume of Janizaries among the Turks. And lastly, the bird semenda hath found the same appellation, for so hath Scaliger observed and refuted: nor will the solitude of the phænix allow this denomination, for many there are of that species, and whose trifistulary bill and crany we have beheld ourselves. Nor are men only at variance in regard of the phænix itself, but very disagreeing in the accidents ascribed thereto; for some affirm it liveth three hundred, some five, others six, some a thousand, others, no less than fifteen hundred years; some say it liveth in Æthiopia, others, in Arabia, some in Egypt, others. in India, and some in Utopia,—for such a one must that be which is described by Lactantius; that is, which neither was singed in the combustion of Phaeton, nor overwhelmed by the inundation of Deucalion.4

Lastly, many authors, who have discoursed hereof, have so delivered themselves, and with such intentions, that we cannot from thence deduce a confirmation. For some have written poetically, as Ovid, Mantuan, Lactantius, Claudian, and others. Some have written mystically, as Paracelsus in his book, De Azoth, or De Ligno et Linea Vitæ; and as several hermetical philosophers, involving therein the secret of their elixir, and enigmatically expressing the nature of their great work. Some have written rhetorically and con-

⁴ the combustion of Phaeton, &c.] The combustion of Phaeton was but in Italy only, and Deucalion's flood only in $Attick^{\bullet}$: both farr inoughe from Arabia or Ægypt; soe that the phænix, yf any were, might live secure inoughe from those 2 mischeefs.— Wr.

cessively, not controverting, but assuming the question, which taken as granted advantaged the illation. So have holy men made use hereof as far as thereby to confirm the resurrection; for discoursing with heathens, who granted the story of the phænix, they induced the resurrection from principles of their own, and positions received among themselves. Others have spoken emblematically and hieroglyphically; and so did the Egyptians, unto whom the phænix was the hieroglyphic of the sun.⁵ And this was probably the ground of the whole relation; succeeding ages adding fabulous accounts, which laid together built up this singularity, which every pen proclaimeth.

As for the texts of Scripture which seem to confirm the conceit, duly perpended they add not thereunto. For whereas, in that of Job, according to the Septuagint or Greek translation, we find the word phænix, yet can it have no animal signification; for therein it is not expressed φοῖνιξ, but στέλεχος φοίνικος, the trunk of the palm-tree, which is also called phenix, and therefore the construction will be very hard, if not applied unto some vegetable nature. Nor can we safely insist upon the Greek expression at all; for though the vulgar translates it palma, and some retain the word phænix, others do render it by a word of a different sense: for so hath Tremellius delivered it; Dicebam quòd apud nidum meum expirabo, et sicut arena multiplicabo dies; so hath the Geneva and ours translated it, "I said I shall die in my nest, and shall multiply my days as the sand." As for that in the book of Psalms, Vir justus ut phænix⁶ florebit, as Epiphanius and Tertullian render it, it was only a mistake upon the homonymy* of the Greek word phænix. which signifies also a palm-tree. Which is a fallacy of equivocation, from a community in name inferring a common nature, and whereby we may as firmly conclude, that diaphanicon, a purging electuary, hath some part of the phanix for its ingredient: which receiveth that name from dates.

* Consent of names.

⁵ have spoken, &c.] From Pierius, whose authority is Pliny (lib. x. c. ii.); but Pliny does not allude to the hieroglyphic. It is also adduced from Horapollo, 49, 111.

[&]quot; ut phænix.] i. e. ut palma.—Wr.

or the fruit of the palm-tree, from whence, as Pliny delivers, the phænix had its name.

Nor do we only arraign the existence of this animal, but many things are questionable which are ascribed thereto, especially its unity, long life, and generation. As for its unity or conceit, there should be but one in nature, it seemeth not only repugnant unto philosophy, but also Holy Scripture; which plainly affirms, there went of every sort, two at least into the ark of Noah, according to the text, "Every fowl after his kind, every bird of every sort, they went into the ark, two and two of all flesh, wherein there is the breath of life; and they that went in, went in both male and female of all flesh."* It infringeth the benediction of God concerning multiplication. God blessed them, saying, "Be fruitful and multiply, and fill the waters in the seas, and let fowl multiply in the earth:" + and again, "Bring forth with thee every living thing, that they may breed abundantly in the earth, and be fruitful and multiply upon the earth;" t which terms are not appliable unto the phænix, whereof there is but one in the world, and no more now living than at the first benediction. For, the production of one being the destruction of another, although they produce and generate, they increase not, and must not be said to multiply, who do not transcend an unity.

As for longevity, that it liveth a thousand years or more; beside that from imperfect observations and rarity of appearance, no confirmation can be made, there may be probably a mistake in the compute. For the tradition being very ancient and probably Egyptian, the Greeks, who dispersed the fable, might sum up the account by their own numeration of years; whereas the conceit might have its original in times of shorter compute. For if we suppose our present calculation, the phænix now in nature will be the sixth from the creations but in the middle of its years; and, if the rabbins' prophecy succeed, shall conclude its days, not in its own, but the last and general flames, without all hope of reviviction.

^{*} Gen. vii. + Gen. i. ‡ Gen. viii. § That the world should last but six thousand years.

⁷ its name.] Phanix dactylifera, the date-palm.

Concerning its generation, that without all conjunction it begets and reseminates itself, hereby we introduce a vegetable production in animals, and unto sensible natures transfer the propriety of plants; that is, to multiply within themselves, according to the law of the creation, "Let the earth bring forth grass, the herb yielding seed, and the tree yielding fruit, whose seed is in itself." * Which is indeed the natural way of plants, who, having no distinction of sex, and the power of the species contained in every individuum, beget and propagate themselves without commixtion; and therefore the fruits, proceeding from simpler roots, are not so unlike or distinguishable from each other as are the offsprings of sensible creatures and prolifications descending from double originals. But animal generation is accomplished by more, and the concurrence of two sexes is required to the constitution of one.9 And therefore such as have no distinction of sex, engender not at all, as Aristotle conceives of eels1 and testaceous animals.2 And though plant-animals

* Gen. i.

² testaceous animals.] They present examples of all the modes of generation. Several of them possess the faculty of self-impregnation;

^{*} having no distinction of sex, &c.] In correction of this assertion see note 1, p. 194.

⁹ But animal generation, &c.] Sir Everard Home first suspected, and then proved, that in a particular tribe of fishes, comprising the lamprey, the organs of both sexes are present in the same individual.—See Phil. Trans. 1815, part ii. p. 266.

eels. Aristotel's conceyte of eeles was not unlike that other of his, of the galaxia and of comets, whereof the knowlege then was small. But in the end of April, 1654, and after some firce storms, which they say make eeles wander, a large one was brought, out of which wee tooke neer (50) young eeles alive, each above 1 inche and a halfe long, of the bignes of a bristle, which moved as quick as the old one. From whence it appeares manifestly that they doe engender and become viviparous, contrary to the opinion of the world hitherto. Soe that now wee may conclude that the eele, as well as the viper, is vermiparous and viviparous, and not only (as the natrix) oviparous. And in the Severne they finde clots of young lampreys, which they call elvers, a finger's length, white, as big as a wheete straw, 40 or more in a cluster, which I have found of a very pleasant taste, and are accompted, daintyes. That which deceaved the world hitherto was, that the brood of the eele comes to life sooner then the spawne of any fish, bycause being never severed from the matrix, till itt have life, itt is of soden growth, in which time the damm never ranges, and as soon as they are formed, are layd in bankes, or beds of mud, undiscernable.—Wr.

do multiply, they do it not by copulation, but in a way analogous unto plants. So hermaphrodites, although they include the parts of both sexes, and may be sufficiently potent in either, yet unto a conception require a separated sex, and cannot impregnate themselves. And so also, though Adam included all human nature, or was (as some opinion) an hermaphrodite, yet had he no power to propagate himself; and therefore God said, "It is not good that man should be alone, let us make him an help meet for him;" that is, an help unto generation; for, as for any other help, it had been fitter to have made another man.

Now, whereas some affirm that from one phoenix there doth not immediately proceed another, but the first corrupteth into a worm, which after becometh a phoenix, it will not make probable this production. For hereby they confound the generation of perfect animals with imperfectsanguineous with exsanguineous—vermiparous with oviparous; and erect anomalies, disturbing the laws of nature. Nor will this corruptive production be easily made out in most imperfect generations: for although we deny not that many animals are vermiparous, begetting themselves at a distance, and as it were at the second hand (as generally insects, and more remarkably butterflies and silkworms), yet proceeds not this generation from a corruption of themselves, but rather a specifical and seminal diffusion, retaining still the idea of themselves, though it act that part awhile, in other shapes. And this will also hold in generations equivocal, and such as are not begotten from parents like themselves; so from frogs corrupting, proceed not frogs again; so if there be anatiferous trees,3 whose corruption breaks forth into bernacles, yet if they corrupt, they degenerate into maggots, which produce not them again. For this were a confusion of corruptive and seminal production, and a frustration of that seminal power committed to animals at the The problem might have been spared, "Why we love not our lice as well as our children?" Noah's ark had been needless, the graves of animals would be the fruitfullest

others, although hermaphrodites, have need of a reciprocal intercourse. Many have the sexes separated. Some are oviparous, others viviparous.—Griffith's Cuvier, vol. xii. p. 4.

³ if there be, &c.] See note at end of book iii.

womb; for death would not destroy, but empeople the world again.

Since, therefore, we have so slender grounds to confirm the existence of the phœnix,—since there is no ocular witness of it—since, as we have declared, by authors from whom the story is derived, it stands rather rejected—since they who have seriously discoursed hereof have delivered themselves negatively, diversely, or contrarily—since many others cannot be drawn into the argument as writing poetically, rhetorically, enigmatically, hieroglyphically—since Holy Scripture alleged for it, duly perpended, doth not advantage it;—and lastly, since so strange a generation, unity and long life, hath neither experience nor reason to confirm,—how far to rely on this tradition we refer unto consideration.

But surely they were not well-wishers unto parable⁴ physic, or remedies easily acquired, who derived medicines from the phœnix, as some have done, and are justly condemned by Pliny; Irridere est, vitæ remedia post millesimum annum reditura monstrare; "It is a folly to find out remedies that are not recoverable under a thousand years," or propose the prolonging of life by that which the twentieth generation may never behold. More veniable is a dependence upon the philosopher's stone, potable gold, or any of those arcanas whereby Paracelsus, that died himself at forty-seven, gloried that he could make other men immortal. Which, although extremely difficult, and tantum non infesible, yet are they not impossible, nor do they (rightly understood) impose any violence on nature. And therefore, if strictly taken for the phœnix, very strange⁶ is that which is

4 parable.] Easily obtained ;-parables.

6 And therefore, &c.] Itt seemes the learned man was staggerd at Plutarch's assertion, by mistaking the worde φοῦνιξ, which there signifies the palm-tree (not the bird soe much talkt off, but never seene as yet). Now itt is this φοῦνιξ, or palm-tree, whereof Plutarch speakes, whose fruite (sayth hee) is sweet, but breeds headach, which is most true of the dates, which they call dactylos the Greekes cald it

⁵ Paracelsus, &c.] This is noe wonder in them that convert soules; but to make bodyes immortall argues him either of folly or falsehood, that yf he could, would not make demonstration upon himselfe of such an admirable skill, as would have advanced him to sitt next the greatest monarchs of the world. But itt seemes that bragg descended from him to all his disciples (the chymicks) among whom, scarce one of a 1000, but dyes a beggar.—Wr.

delivered by Plutarch,* that the brain thereof is a pleasant bit, but that it causeth the headache. Which, notwithstanding, the luxurious emperor + could never taste, though he had at his table many a phænicopterus, yet had he not one phænix; for though he expected and attempted it, we read not in Lampridius that he performed it; and, considering the unity thereof, it was a vain design, that is, to destroy any species, or mutilate the great accomplishment of six days. And although some conceive—and it may seem true, that there is in man a natural possibility to destroy the world in one generation; that is, by a general conspire to know no woman themselves, and disable all others also,vet will this never be effected. And therefore Cain, after he had killed Abel, were there no other woman living, could not have also destroyed Eve; which, although he had a natural power to effect, yet the execution thereof the providence of God would have resisted; for that would have imposed another creation upon him, and to have animated a second rib of Adam.

CHAPTER XIII.

Of Frogs, Toads, and Toad-stone.

CONCERNING the venomous urine of toads, of the stone in the toad's head,⁷ and of the generation of frogs, concep-

* De Sanitate Tuenda.

+ Heliogabalus.

ἐγκέφαλον, and the Latines cerebrum, and wee the brain. But of this ridiculous mistake, and the occasion of itt, see that merie passage of Muret (lib. XII. cap. xii. Variorum), worth the view, which itt seemes this doctor had not read.—Wr.

A similar criticism occurs in the Gentleman's Magazine for 1820, p. 420. It is very singular that these critics, especially the dean, should not have remarked that Sir Thomas was perfectly aware of this homonymy, as he called it (page 279), and by the expression here used, 'if strictly taken for the phænix," he evidently means that it is not so to be taken, but to be understood as referring to the fruit of the palmtree.

7 Concerning, &c.] The story of the jewel in the toad's head, celebrated in Shakspeare, must be classed among fables. Toads have uniformly been considered objects of aversion, and very generally are believed to be venomous. On this point contrary opinions have been held even by naturalists of the present day. Cuvier expressly denies it; the

tions are entertained which require consideration. And first, that a toad pisseth, and this way diffuseth its venom,

English editors of Cuvier's Animal Kingdom discountenance, though they do not absolutely deny, the accusation (vol. ix. 451); observing that toads are comparatively harmless: that when surprised, they distil from the tubercles on the skin a white and fetid humour; -shoot a peculiar fluid from the anus; and attempt to bite. But their bite occasions no great inconvenience, merely producing at times a slight inflammation. They assert that neither the liquid ejaculated from the anus. nor that which cozes from the skin, is venomous; yet they admit that, when swallowed, these fluids have produced violent nausea, &c. M. Bosc asserts that the same symptoms will be occasioned by putting the hand to the mose after handling the toad. Schelhammer mentions a child which had a severe pustulory eruption from having had a toad held some minutes before its mouth. They describe the liquid as very bitter, acrid, and caustic. In the 64th vol. of Tilloch's Philosophical Magazine, there is a paper, by Mr. Fothergill, on the manners and habits of the toad, in which he professes to prove "not only its innocency, but its usefulness." He relates many observations, proving its utility as a destroyer of caterpillars, &c.;—but in proof of their harmlessness he only offers the following expression of his own opinion. "The writer kopes he has established the character of toads as to their usefulness; and that they are devoid of all poisonous or venomous qualities whatever, he is perfectly satisfied, from many years' observation and experience, having handled them in all directions, opened their mouths, and given them every opportunity and every provocation to exert their venomous powers, if possessed of any." In short, he believes them to be the most patient and harmless of all reptiles!

Dr. John Davy, in a paper read before the Royal Society, Dec. 22, 1825, asserts the accuracy of the ancient opinion, that the toad is poisonous, but he does not appear to have made any new discovery of importance, unless it be that the fluid, secreted on the back, and existing in the bile, the blood, and the urine of the animal, is not injurious, much less fatal, when absorbed and carried into circulation. Other naturalists have admitted the acrid nature of the fluid, and even, in certain cases, its deleterious effects when taken into the stomach, who maintain that it is not venomous. On the whole, Dr. Davy does not appear to have proved that the toad is to be classed among venomous reptiles, properly so called.

White says, "he well remembers the time, when a quack, at this village, ate a toad to make the country people stare." He mentioned, from undoubted authority, that "some ladies took a fancy to a toad, which they nourished summer after summer for many years, till he grew to a monstrous size, with the maggots which turn to flesh flies. The reptile used to come forth every evening from a hole under the garden steps, and was taken up, after supper, on the table to be fed. He fell a sacrifice at length to a tame raven."

The fluid, ejected from the anus of toads and frogs (especially R. temporaria), is not urine.

is generally received, not only with us, but also in other parts: for so hath Scaliger observed in his comment, Aversum urinam reddere ob oculos persecutoris perniciosam ruricolis persuasum est; and Matthiolus hath also a passage, that a toad communicates its venom not only by urine, but by the humidity and slaver of its mouth; 8 which, notwithstanding, strictly understood, may admit of examination: for some doubt may be made whether a toad properly pisseth, that is, distinctly and separately voideth the serous excretion; for though not only birds, but oviparous quadrupeds and serpents have kidneys and ureters, and some fishes also bladders; yet for the moist and dry excretion they sam at last to have but one vent and common place of exclusion; and with the same propriety of language we may ascribe that action unto crows and kites. And this not only in frogs and toads, but may be enquired in tortoises: that is, whether that be strictly true, or to be taken for a distinct and separate miction, when Aristotle affirmeth, that no oviparous animal, that is, which either spawneth or layeth eggs, doth urine, except the tortoise.

The ground or occasion of this expression might from hence arise, that toads are sometimes observed to exclude or spirt out a dark and liquid matter behind: which we have observed to be true, and a venomous condition there may be perhaps therein; but some doubt there may be, whether this is to be called their urine, not because it is emitted aversely

^{*} not only by wrine, &c.] A strange and horrible example of this (toade killing by the mouth) there fel out in Dorset, not far from my habitation. A countrywoman, having the young sonne of a great person to nurse, willing to visit her reapers in the next field, but not willing to leave the childe alone in the house asleep, took itt with her; and while shee distributed some drinke to the workers, layd the childe at the foote of a barley-cock: whome, when shee came to take up againe, shee found dade and swolen, and turning up the cloaths of the childe, found a huge toade hanging fast on the bellicock of the child, which the venomous beast had wholy swalowed, and by that quil diffused his deadly poison into all the vital parts of the infant; at which sight the poore woman fell distracted.—Wr.

⁹ miction.] Not in Johnson: evidently a coinage from the Latin word, mingo.

^{&#}x27;behind.] And I have often seen this spirting, which the vulgar rationally call pissing, though itt be not urine, but certainlye something analogicall.—Wr.

or backward by both sexes, but because it is confounded with the intestinal excretions and egestions of the belly; and this way is ordinarily observed, although possible it is that the liquid excretion may sometimes be excluded without the other.²

As for the stone commonly called the toad-stone, which is presumed to be found in the head of that animal, we first conceive it not a thing impossible; nor is there any substantial reason why, in a toad, there may not be found such hard and lapideous concretions: for the like we daily observe in the heads of fishes, as cods, carps, and perches; the like also in snails, a soft and exosseous animal, whereof in the naked and greater sort, as though she would requite the defect of a shell on their back, nature, near the head, hath placed a flat white stone, or rather testaceous concretion: which, though Aldrovandus affirms, that after dissection of many he found but in some few, yet of the great grey snails I have not met with any that wanted it; and the same indeed so palpable, that without dissection it is discoverable by the hand.

Again, though it be not impossible, yet it is surely very rare; as we are induced to believe from some enquiry of our own, from the trial of many who have been deceived, and the frustrated search of Porta, who, upon the explorement of many, could scarce find one. Nor is it only of rarity, but may be doubted whether it be of existency, or really any such stone in the head of a toad at all. For although lapidaries and questuary enquirers affirm it, yet the writers of minerals and natural speculators are of another belief: conceiving the stones, which bear this name, 5 to be a mineral

² and this way is, &c.] This sentence was first added in the 6th edit. ³ near the head.] In the very same place on the top of the back, where the shell of the other snavle is fastened.—Wr.

^{*} grey snails.] I have heard itt avowched by persons of great quality, contemporarye to the old Lord Burleigh, Lord Treasurer of Englande, that hee alwayes wore a blue ribbon (next his leg, garter-wise) studded (thick) with these shels of the grey snayles, to allaye the heate of the goute, and that hee profest that hee found manifest releef in itt; and that yf by chance hee lefte itt off, the paine would ever returne most vehementlye.—Wr.

⁵ this name.] Toadstone, or bufonite, a species of traprock, called amygdaloid. It occurs in the traprock of Derbyshire, near Matlock.

concretion, not to be found in animals, but in fields. And therefore Boëtius refers it to asteria or some kind of lapis stellaris, and plainly concludeth, reperiuntur in agris, quos tamen alii in annosis, as qui diu in arundinetis, inter rubos sentesque delituerunt, bufonis capitibus generari pertinaciter affirmant.

Lastly, if any such thing there be, yet must it not, for aught I see, be taken as we receive it, for a loose and moveable stone, but rather a concretion or induration of the crany itself; for being of an earthy temper, living in the earth, and as some say feeding thereon, such indurations may sometimes happen. Thus when Brassavolus after a long search had discovered one, he affirms it was rather the forehead bone petrified, than a stone within the crany; and of this belief was Gesner. Which is also much confirmed from what is delivered in Aldrovandus, upon experiment of very many toads, whose cranies or sculls in time grew hard, and almost of a stony substance.⁶ All which considered, we must with circumspection receive those stones which commonly bear this name, much less believe the traditions, that in envy to mankind they are cast out, or swallowed down by the toad; 7 which cannot consist with anatomy, and with the rest enforced this censure from Boëtius, ab eo tempore pro nugis habui quod de bufonio lapide, ejúsque origine traditur.

What therefore best reconcileth these divided determinations, may be a middle opinion; that of these stones some may be mineral, and to be found in the earth, some animal, to be met with in toads, at least by the induration of their cranies. The first are many and manifold, to be found in Germany and other parts; the last are fewer in number, and in substance not unlike the stones in crabs' heads. This is agreeable unto the determination of Aldrovandus,* and is also the judgment of the learned Spigelius in his epistle unto Pignorius.

But these toadstones, at least very many thereof, which are esteemed among us, are at last found to be taken not

^{*} De Mineral. lib. iv. † Musei Calceolariani, sect. iii.

⁶ Which is also, &c.] First in 2nd edition.

⁷ toad.] See an account of a toad being found in a duck's egg, Literary Panorama, Aug. 1807, p. 1088.—Jeff.

8 What, therefore, &c.] First in 2nd edition.

out of toads' heads, but out of a fish's mouth, being handsomely contrived out of the teeth of the lupus marinus, a
fish often taken in our northern seas, as was publickly declared by an eminent and learned physician.* But, because
men are unwilling to conceive so low of their toad-stones
which they so highly value, they may make some trial
thereof by a candent or red-hot iron applied unto the hollow and unpolished part thereof, whereupon, if they be true
stones, they will not be apt to burn or afford a burnt odour,
which they may be apt to do, if contrived out of animal parts
or the teeth of fishes.⁹

Concerning the generation of frogs, we shall briefly deliver that account which observation hath taught us. By frogs I understand, not such as, arising from putrefaction, are bred without copulation, and because they subsist not long, are called temporariæ; 1 nor do I mean the little frog of an excellent parrot-green, that usually sits on trees and bushes, and is therefore called ranunculus viridis, or arboreus; but hereby I understand the aquatile or water-frog, whereof, in ditches and standing plashes, we may behold many millions every spring in England. Now these do not, as Pliny conceiveth, exclude black pieces of flesh, which after become frogs; but they let fall their spawn in the water, of excellent use in physic,2 and scarce unknown unto any. In this spawn, of a lentous and transparent body, are to be discerned many specks, or little conglobations, which in a small time become of a deep black, a substance more

* Sir George Ent.

⁹ But these toad-stones, &c.] First in 6th edition.

¹ temporaria.] It is truly wonderful that Sir Thomas, who was not unacquainted with the generation of the frog, and who in this paragraph has correctly distinguished three species, the temporaria, or common garden-frog, the tree-frog, and the water-frog (the esculenta), should propose a position so gratuitous and absurd as that one of these species owes its origin to putrefaction.

² spawn in the water, &c.] The happiest experiment of this water, that I ever yet saw, was at Sir Thomas Coghil's, of Bletchington; where his eldest sonne, the squire (a widower) after a full liberal use of new claret in the must, for (5) continuate days fell into such an hæmorraghia at the nose, as by all applications inward and outward could not in 30 hours bee stopt; at last, sending for the surgeon, diverted itt by phlebotomy: the surgeon advisedly refusing to do itt, till he had given a scruple of dissoordium in that water which sayed it.— Wr.

compacted and terrestrious than the other; for it riseth not in distillation, and affords a powder when the white and aqueous part is exhaled. Now of this black or dusky substance is the frog at last formed; as we have beheld, including the spawn with water in a glass, and exposing it unto the sun. For that black and round substance, in a few days, began to dilate and grow longer, after awhile, the head, the eyes, the tail, to be discernible, and at last to become that which the ancients called qyrinus, 3 we a porwigle, or tadpole.4 This in some weeks after becomes a perfect frog, the legs growing out before, and the tail wearing away, to supply the other behind; as may be observed in some which have newly forsaken the water; for in such, some part of the tail will be seen, but curtailed and short, not long and finny as before. A part provided them awhile to swim and move in the water, that is, until such time as nature excluded legs, whereby they might be provided not only to swim in the water, but

 3 gyrinus.] This is the name of a genus of beetles.
 4 tadpole.] Upon tryall I found that the tayle, after the space of a moone from the spawning, by degrees parted itt self into 2 legs, drawing dayly more and more till itt came to the vent of the belly. This experiment I made at Bishop's Fountill, Wiltes, where having digd a new pond, in a fatty soil of white malme, upon the head of a strong spring (the midst of October, 1625), I let it rest till February following, at what time observing the banks full of spawne, I causd a bottomless tubb, perforated with small holes, to bee sett in the pond, into which I putt a great quantity of spawne, at the full of the moone watching itt every day till the next full moone in March: by which times the tayles being growne 2 inches (like the tayle of a bleake or small gogeon) began visibly to grow bifide, and after one weeke was perfectly shaped into 2 legs, by help whereof, they gott over the tub into the neighbor pond, where they became an excellent food for some store of trouts, which used to feed from my hand, and grew so large thereby, that one of them was full 22 inches fish between the head and the tayle; as some worthy friends yet living can well remember, being present both at the taking and the eating.

Since this observation of the fishye tayle of a frog cleaving into 2 legs, I conceave that the Spaniards make a wholesome viand, and count itt a dish fitt for a princes table: which putts mee in minde of a storye which I received from my brother, the new Lord Bp. of Elye, and Count Palatine: what time following (the then) Prince Charles into Spain by appointment, and were come into the porte at Laredo, they were invited by the governor to dinner, and at the second course had a dish of the hinder legs of these frogs fryed, as a dainty of more esteem with them then the patrich. - Wr.

move upon the land, according to the amphibious and mixt intention of nature, that is to live in both. So that whoever observeth the first progression of the seed before motion, or shall take notice of the strange indistinction of parts in the tadpole, even when it moveth about, and how successively the inward parts do seem to discover themselves, until their last perfection, may easily discern the high curiosity of nature in these inferior animals, and what a long line is run to make a frog.

And because many affirm and some deliver, that in regard it hath lungs and breatheth, a frog may be easily drowned, though the reason be probable, I find not the experiment answerable; for fastening one about a span under water, it lived almost six days. Nor is it only hard to destroy one in water, but difficult also at land: for it will live long after the lungs and heart be out; how long it will live in the seed, or whether the spawn of this year being preserved, will not arise into frogs in the next, might also be enquired: and we are prepared to try.⁵

CHAPTER XIV.

That a Salamander lives in the fire.

That a salamander is able to live in flames, to endure and put out fire, is an assertion, not only of great antiquity, but confirmed by frequent and not contemptible testimony. The Egyptians have drawn it into their hieroglyphicks, Aristotle seemeth to embrace it; more plainly Nicander, Sarenus Sammonicus, Ælian, and Pliny, who assigns the cause of this effect: an animal (saith he) so cold, that it extinguisheth the fire like ice. All which notwithstanding, there is on the negative, authority and experience; Sextius a physician, as Pliny delivereth, denied this effect; Dioscorides affirmed it a point of folly to believe it; Galen, that it endureth the fire awhile, but in continuance is consumed therein. For expe-

⁵ Nor is it only, &c.] First added in 5th edition.

⁶ The Egyptians, &c.] So says Pierius (p. 162, H), but without authority. "Le lézard" is mentioned by Champollion as an Egyptian hieroglyphick.—Précis, p. 303.

rimental conviction, Matthiolus affirmeth, he saw a salamander burnt in a very short time; and of the like assertion is Amatus Lusitanus; and most plainly Pierius, whose words in his hieroglyphicks are these: "Whereas it is commonly said, that a salamander extinguisheth fire, we have found by experience, that it is so far from quenching hot coals, that it dyeth immediately therein." As for the contrary assertion of Aristotle, it is but by hearsay, 'as common opinion believeth,'—Hæc enim (ut aiunt) ignem ingrediens eum extinguit; and therefore, there was no absurdity in Galen, when as a septical medicine* he commended the ashes of a salamander; and magicians in vain, from the power of this tradition, at the burning of towns and houses expect a relief from salamanders.

The ground of this opinion might be some sensible resistance of fire observed in the salamander; which being, as Galen determineth, cold in the fourth, and moist in the third degree, and having also a mucous humidity above and under the skin, by virtue thereof it may awhile endure the flame; which being consumed it can resist no more. Such an humidity there is observed in newts or water-lizards, especially if their skins be perforated or pricked; thus will frogs and snails endure the flame; thus will whites of eggs, vitreous or glassy phlegm, extinguish a coal; thus are unguents made which protect awhile from the fire; and thus, beside the Hirpini, there are later stories of men that have passed untouched through the fire. And therefore some truth we allow in the tradition: truth according unto Galen, that it may for a time resist a flame, or, as Scaliger avers, extinguish or put out a coal; for thus much will many humid bodies perform: but that it perseveres and lives in that destructive element, is a fallacious enlargement. Nor do we reasonably conclude, because for a time it endureth fire, it subdueth and extinguisheth the same,—because by a cold and aluminous moisture it is able awhile to resist it, from a peculiarity of nature it subsisteth and liveth in it.

It hath been much promoted by stories of incombustible napkins and textures which endure the fire, whose materials are called by the name of salamander's wool. Which many

^{*} A corruptive medicine, destroying the parts like arsenic.

too literally apprehending, conceive some investing part, or tegument of the salamander: wherein, beside that they mistake the condition of this animal (which is a kind of lizard, a quadruped corticated and depilous, that is, without wool, fur, or hair),7 they observe not the method and general rule of nature; whereby all quadrupeds oviparous, as lizards, frogs, tortoises, chameleons, crocodiles, are without hair, and have no covering part or hairy investment at all. And if they conceive that, from the skin of the salamander, these incremable⁸ pieces are composed, beside the experiments made upon the living, that of Brassavolus will step in, who, in the search of this truth, did burn the skin of one dead.

Nor is this salamander's wool desumed from any animal, but a mineral substance, metaphorically so called from this received opinion. For (besides Germanicus's heart, and Pyrrhus's great toe, which would not burn with the rest of their bodies), there are, in the number of minerals, some bodies incombustible; more remarkably that which the ancients named asbeston, and Pancirollus treats of in the chapter of linum vivum. Whereof by art were weaved nap-kins,² shirts, and coats, inconsumable by fire; and wherein

⁷ which is a kind of lizard, &c.] Lacerta Salamandra, Lin. The salamanders constitute a separate group among the order Batrachia, of the class Reptilia: - divided into land and water salamanders; to the former of which belongs the Linnean salamander, and to the latter, the water-lizard, or newt. It is scarcely necessary to say that the fire story is a mere fable.

^{*} incremable.] Incombustible.

⁹ desumed.] Obtained, taken from.

asbeston.] Asbeston is a mineral, of which there are five varieties;— 1. Amianthus, or fibrous. The ancients manufactured cloth of this; and several moderns have succeeded in doing the same. 2. Common asbestus. 3. Mountain leather, or when very thin, mountain paper: consists of fibrous parts so interwoven as to become tough. 4. Mountain cork, or elastic asbestus: resembles the preceding, but elastic. It swims on water; receives an impression from the nail; and is very tough. 5. Mountain wood, or ligniform asbestus, has the aspect of wood; internal lustre glimmering; soft, sectile, and tough. (Ure.)

Fibres of asbestus have been employed to make lamps.

It is not, however, absolutely indestructible by fire, though it long resists its action.

² napkins.] Sir Henrye Wooton (embassador att Venice almost twenty yeares) among many other choyce rarittyes had one of these

in ancient times, to preserve their ashes pure and without commixture, they burnt the bodies of kings. A napkin hereof Pliny reports that Nero had; and the like, saith Paulus Venetus, the emperor of Tartary sent unto Pope Alexander: and also affirms that in some part of Tartary there were mines of iron whose filaments were woven into incombustible cloth. Which rare manufacture, although delivered for lost by Pancirollus, yet Salmuth, his commentator, affirmeth, that one Podocaterus, a Cyprian, had showed the same at Venice; and his materials were from Cyprus, where indeed, Dioscorides placeth them; the same is also ocularly confirmed by Vives upon Austin, and Maiolus in his Colloquies. And thus in our days do men practise to make long-lasting snasts3 for lamps out of alumen plumosum; and by the same we read in Pausanias, that there always burnt a lamp before the image of Minerva.

CHAPTER XV.

Of the Amphisbæna.

That the amphisbena, that is, a smaller kind of serpent, which moveth forward and backward, hath two heads, or one at either extreme, was affirmed first by Nicander, and after by many others—by the author of the book, De Theriaca ad Pisonem, ascribed unto Galen; more plainly Pliny, Geminum habet caput, tanquam parum esset uno ore effundi venenum; but Ælian most confidently, who referring the conceit of chimæra and hydra unto fables, hath set down this as an undeniable truth.

Whereunto while men assent, and can believe a bicipitous conformation in any continued species, they admit a gemination of principal parts, not naturally discovered in any animal. True it is, that other parts in animals are not equal; for some make their progression with many legs, even to the number of an hundred, as juli, seologendræ, or

napkins, which hee told mee hee could never gaine for moneye, till the Duke sent him that one for a new year's gifte.—Wr.

snasts.] The burnt wicks of candles. A Norfolk provincialism.

See Forby's Vocab.

such as are termed centipedes; some fly with two wings, as birds and many insects; some with four, as all farinaceous or mealy-winged animals, as butterflies and moths; all vaginipennous or sheath-winged insects, as beetles and dorrs; some have three testicles, as Aristotle speaks of the buzzard; and some have four stomachs, as horned and ruminating animals; but, for the principal parts, the liver, heart, and especially the brain, regularly they are but one in any kind of species whatsoever.

And were there any such species or natural kind of animal, it would be hard to make good those six positions of body, which according to the three dimensions are ascribed unto every animal; that is, infra, supra, ante, retro, dextrorsum, sinistrorsum: for if (as it is determined) that be the anterior and upper part wherein the senses are placed, and that the posterior and lower part which is opposite thereunto, there is no inferior or former part in this animal: for the senses being placed at both extremes, doth make both ends anterior, which is impossible, the terms being relative, which mutually subsist, and are not without each other. And therefore this duplicity was ill contrived, to place one head at both extremes, and had been more tolerable to have settled three or four at one. And, therefore, also, poets have been more reasonable than philosophers, and Geryon or Cerberus less monstrous than amphisbæna.

Again, if any such thing there were, it were not to be obtruded by the name of amphisbæna, or as an animal of one denomination; for properly that animal is not one, but multiplicious or many, which hath a duplicity or gemination of principal parts. And this doth Aristotle define, when he affirmeth a monster is to be esteemed one or many, according to its principle, which he conceived the heart; whence he derived the original of nerves, and thereto ascribed many acts which physicians assign unto the brain. And therefore, if it cannot be called one, which hath a duplicity of hearts in his sense, it cannot receive that appellation with a plurality of heads in ours. And this the practice of Christians hath acknowledged, who have baptized these geminous births and double connascencies, with several names, as conceiving in them a distinction of souls, upon the divided execution of their functions; that is, while one wept, the other laughing: while one was silent, the other speaking; while one awaked, the other sleeping; as is declared by three remarkable examples in Petrarch, Vincentius, and the Scottish history of Buchanan.

It is not denied there have been bicipitous serpents with the head at each extreme, for an example hereof we find in Aristotle, and of the like form in Aldrovandus we meet with the icon of a lizard; and of this kind, perhaps, might that amphisbæna be, the picture whereof Cassianus Puteus showed unto the learned Faber.⁴ Which double formations do often happen unto multiparous generations, more especially that of serpents; whose productions being numerous, and their eggs in chains or links together (which sometime conjoin and inosculate into each other), they may unite into various shapes, and come out in mixed formations. But these are monstrous productions, beside the intention of nature, and the statutes of generation, neither begotten of like parents, nor begetting the like again; but, irregularly produced, do stand as anomalies in the general book of nature. Which being shifts and forced pieces, rather than genuine and proper effects, they afford us no illation; nor is it reasonable to conclude from a monstrosity unto a species, or from accidental effects unto the regular works of nature.

Lastly, the ground of the conceit was the figure of this animal, and motion ofttimes both ways; for described it is to be like a worm, and so equally framed at both extremes, that at an ordinary distance it is no easy matter to determine which is the head; and therefore, some observing them to move both ways, have given the appellation of heads unto both extremes, which is no proper and warrantable denomination; for many animals, with one head, do ordinarily perform both different and contrary motions; crabs move sideling, lobsters will swim swiftly backward, worms and leeches

and of this kind, &c. | First in 3rd edition.

⁵ so equally framed, &c.] This explanation is quite correct. The amphisbæna is characterized by the rings of square scales which surround its body, and by its tail, being nearly similar in form and size to the head, so that it is not easy at a glance to distinguish the one from the other, the eyes being remarkably small. They are not venomous; and have the power of moving both backwards and forwards—whence their name. It is very unaccountably spelt amphisbæna, in Griffith's Cuvier, and in Gray's Synopsis, at the end of the 9th vol. of that work.

will move both ways, and so will most of those animals whose bodies consist of round and annulary fibres, and move by undulation; that is, like the waves of the sea, the one protruding the other, by inversion whereof they make a backward motion.

Upon the same ground hath arisen the same mistake concerning the scolopendra or hundred-footed insect, as is delivered by Rhodiginus from the scholiast of Nicander: Dicitur à Nicandro, ἀμφικαρης, id est, dicephalus aut biceps fictum vero, quoniam retrorsum (ut scribit Aristotles) arrepit, observed by Aldrovandus, but most plainly by Muffetus, who thus concludeth upon the text of Nicander: Tamen pace tanti authoris dixerim, unicum illi duntaxat caput, licèt pari facilitate, prorsum capite, retrorsum ducente caudá, incedat, quod Nicandro aliisque imposuisse dubito: that is, under favour of so great an author, the scolopendra hath but one head, although with equal facility it moveth forward and backward, which I suspect deceived Nicander and others.

And therefore we must crave leave to doubt of this double-headed serpent until we have the advantage to behold, or have an iterated ocular testimony concerning such as are sometimes mentioned by American relators, and also such as Cassianus Puteus showed in a picture to Joannes Faber, and that which is set down under the name of amphisbæna europæa, in his learned discourse upon Hernandez's History of America.⁶

CHAPTER XVI.

That young Vipers force their way through the bowels of their Dam.

That the young vipers force their way through the bowels of their dam, or that the female viper, in the act of generation, bites off the head of the male, in revenge whereof the young ones eat through the womb and belly of the female, is a very ancient tradition; in this sense entertained in the hieroglyphicks of the Egyptians; affirmed by Herodotus, Nicander, Pliny, Plutarch, Ælian, Jerome, Basil, Isidore;

⁶ And therefore, &c.] First added in 6th edition.

⁷ in this sense, &c. Also from Pierius, 143, c. and Horapollo, 115.

seems countenanced by Aristotle and his scholar Theophrastus: from hence is commonly assigned the reason why the Romans punished parricides by drowning them in a sack with a viper. And so perhaps, upon the same opinion, the men of Melita, when they saw a viper upon the hand of Paul, said presently, without conceit of any other sin, "No doubt this man is a murderer, who, though he have escaped the sea, yet vengeance suffereth him not to live:" that is, he is now paid in his own way, the parricidous animal and punishment of murderers is upon him. And though the tradition were current among the Greeks, to confirm the same, the Latin name is introduced, Vipera quasi vi pariat. That passage also in the gospel, "O ye generation of vipers!" hath found expositions which countenance this conceit. Notwithstanding which authorities, transcribed relations and conjectures, upon enquiry we find the same repugnant unto experience and reason.8

And first, it seems not only injurious unto the providence of nature, to ordain a way of production which should destroy the producer, or contrive the continuation of the species by the destruction of the continuator, but it overthrows and frustrates the great benediction of God, "God blessed them, saying, be fruitful and multiply." Now, if it be so ordained that some must regularly perish by multiplication, and these be the fruits of fructifying in the viper, it cannot be said that God did bless, but curse, this animal; "Upon thy belly shalt thou go, and dust shalt thou eat all thy life," was not so great a punishment unto the serpent after the fall, as "increase, be fruitful, and multiply," was, before. This were to confound the maledictions of God, and translate the curse of the woman upon the serpent; that is, in dolore paries, "in sorrow shalt thou bring forth;" which, being proper unto the woman, is verified best in the viper, whose delivery is not only accompanied with pain, but also

⁸ and reason.] Honest master Ross is very pertinacious in his opposition to the arguments of our author, as to the improbability and unreasonableness of the vulgar tenet respecting the viper—that it loses its own life in giving life to its progeny; and is some respects he opposes them with some plausibility. (See Arcana, page 149.) For there are not wanting parallels and well-authenticated cases in which the act of propagation is fatal: though in the present case it is not so.

with death itself. And lastly, it overthrows the careful course and parental provision of nature, whereby the young ones newly excluded are sustained by the dam, and protected until they grow up to a sufficiency for themselves. All which is perverted in this eruptive generation; for the dam being destroyed, the younglings are left to their own protection; which is not conceivable they can at all perform, and whereof they afford us a remarkable confirmance many days after birth: for the young ones, supposed to break through the belly of the dam, will, upon any fright, for protection run into it; for then the old one receives them in at her mouth, which way, the fright being past, they will return again; which is a peculiar way of refuge, and although it

⁹ will upon any fright, &c.] This is admitted to be true of the rattle-snake, but denied of the viper. I subjoin two passages from Cuvier, by Griffith, vol. ix. pp. 344, 356.

"The crotali are viviparous; at Martinique it is the general persuasion that the offspring are eaten by the vipers when they are very young, and a little after their birth. According to M. Palisot de Beauvois, this prejudice derives its origin from a fact wrongly interpreted. In the first journey made by this naturalist, in the country of the native Teharlokee, he saw a crotalus horridus in a path, and approached it as softly as possible. At the moment when it was about to be struck, the animal agitated its rattles, opened a wide throat, and received into it five little ones, about as thick each as a goose-quill. But at the end of ten minutes, beheving itself out of danger, it opened its mouth again and let the young ones out, which, however, entered there again, on the appearance of a new danger. M. Guillemart, a country-

man of our own, has verified the same fact."

"In the fine days of early spring, the vipers may be seen basking in the morning sun, on little hills exposed to an eastern aspect, and they speedily occupy themselves in the great work of propagating their species. The act of generation takes a very long time in its accomplishment, and its result is the vivification of from twelve to twenty-five eggs, almost as large as these of wrens or titmice. These exclude the young, in the womb of the mother, and there they remain coiled up, and come to the length of three or four inches before they issue forth, which they generally do in the course of the fourth month after fecundation. Having thus, by a sort of parturition, quitted their mother, the young vipers, for some time after, carry with them the remains of the egg which enclosed them, and which then have the appearance of irregularly torn membranes. But from that time they are entirely strangers to the being which gave them birth, and do not seek refuge in her mouth, on the approach of danger, as the ancients erroneously imagined."

This resemblance of the remains of the egg which the young vipers

seem strange, is avowed by frequent experience and undeniable testimony.1

As for the experiment, although we have thrice attempted it, it hath not well succeeded; for though we fed them with milk, bran, cheese, &c. the females always died before the young ones were mature for this eruption; but rest sufficiently confirmed in the experiments of worthy enquirers. Wherein to omit the ancient conviction of Apollonius, we shall set down some few of modern writers. The first, of Amatus Lusitanus, in his comment upon Dioscorides, Vidimus nos viperas prægnantes inclusas pixidibus parere, quæ

carry about with them, to "irregularly torn membranes," may possibly have promoted the popular error under discussion. White has the fol-

lowing remarks.

"Though they are oviparous, yet they are viviparous also, hatching their young within their bellies, and then bringing them forth. Whereas snakes lay chains of eggs every summer in my melon-beds, in spite of all that my people can do to prevent them; which eggs do not hatch till the spring following, as I have often experienced. Several intelligent folks assure me that they have seen the viper open her mouth and admit her helpless young down her throat on sudden surprises, just as the female opossum does her brood into the pouch under her belly, upon the like emergencies; and yet the London viper-catchers insist on it, to Mr. Barrington, that no such thing ever happens."

"On August the 4th, 1775, we surprised a large viper, which seemed very heavy and bloated, as it lay in the grass basking in the sun. When we came to cut it up, we found that the abdomen was crowded with young, fifteen innumber; the shortest of which measured full seven inches, and were about the size of full-grown earth-worms. This little fry issued into the world with the true viper-spirit about them, showing great alertness as soon as disengaged from the belly of the dam: they twisted and wriggled about, and set themselves up, and gaped very wide when touched with a stick, showing manifest tokens of menace and defiance, though as yet they had no manner of fangs that we could find,

even with the help of our glasses."

"There was little room to suppose that this brood had ever been in the open air before; and that they were taken in for refuge, at the mouth of the dam, when she perceived that danger was approaching; because then probably we should have found them somewhere in the

neck, and not in the abdomen."

¹ undeniable testimony.] Particularly by Scaliger, Exercit. 101, it abrowliq. The like is sayde of the weasel, that shee brings forth at the mouth, bycause they saw her remove her young ones with her mouth. And that Juno turned Galanthis, Alcmena's mayd, into a weasel, $\epsilon(c,\tau)\nu \gamma(\lambda)\mu\nu$, bycause shee had cousened her with a lye, that her mistress was brought a bed.—Wr.

inde ex partu nec mortuæ, nec visceribus perforatæ manserunt. The second is that of Scaliger, Viperas ab impatientibus moræ fætibus numerosissimis rumpi atque interire, falsum esse scimus, qui in Vincentii Camerini circulatoris lignea theca vidimus enatas viperellas, parente salvâ. and most plain of Franciscus Bustamantinus, a Spanish physician of Alcala de Henares, whose words, in his third De Animantibus Scripturæ, are these: Cùm verò per me et per alios hæc ipsa disquisissem servatā viperina progenie, &c. that is, when by myself and others, I had enquired the truth hereof, including vipers in a glass, and feeding them with cheese and bran, I undoubtedly found, that the viper was not delivered by the tearing of her bowels; but I beheld the voung ones excluded by the passage of generation, near the orifice of the siege.2 Whereto we might also add the ocular confirmation of Lacuna upon Dioscorides, Ferdinandus Imperatus, and that learned physician of Naples, Aurelius Severinus.3

Now, although the tradition be untrue, there wanted not many grounds which made it plausibly received. The first was, a favourable indulgence and special contrivance of nature, which was the conceit of Herodotus, who thus delivereth himself:—"Fearful animals, and such as serve for food, nature hath made more fruitful; but upon the offensive and noxious kind she hath not conferred fertility. So the hare, that becometh a prey unto man, unto beasts, and fowls of the air, is fruitful even to superfectation; but the lion, a fierce and ferocious animal, hath young ones but seldom, and also but one at a time. Vipers indeed, although destructive, are fruitful: but, lest their numbers should increase, Providence hath contrived another way to abate it; for in copulation the female bites off the head of the male, and the young ones destroy the mother." But this will not consist with reason. as we have declared before. And if we more nearly consider the condition of vipers and noxious animals, we shall discover another higher provision of nature: how, although in their paucity she hath not abridged their malignity, yet hath she notoriously effected it by their secession or latitancy. For not

3 Whereto, &c.] First added in 3rd edition.

² I undoubtedly found, &c.] This is perfectly correct. See note 9, p. 299.

only offensive insects, as hornets, wasps, and the like, but sanguineous corticated animals, as serpents, toads, and lizards, do lie hid and betake themselves to coverts in the winter. Whereby most countries enjoying the immunity of Ireland and Candy, there ariseth a temporal security from their venoms, and an intermission of their mischiefs, mercifully requiting the time of their activities.

A second ground of this effect was conceived, the justice of nature, whereby she compensates the death of the father by the matricide or murder of the mother; and this was the expression of Nicander. But the cause hereof is as improbable as the effect; and were indeed an improvident revenge in the young ones, whereby in consequence, and upon defect of provision, they must destroy themselves. whereas he expresseth this decollation of the male by so full a term as ἀποκόπτειν, that is, to cut or lop off, the act is hardly conceivable; for the female viper hath but two considerable teeth, and those so disposed, so slender and needlepointed, that they are apter for puncture than any act of And if any like action there be, it may be only some fast retention or sudden compression in the orgasmus or fury of their lust, according as that expression of Horace is construed concerning Lydia and Telephus;

> · Sive puer furens, Impressit memorem dente labris notam.

Others ascribe this effect unto the numerous conception of the viper; and this was the opinion of Theophrastus; who, though he denieth the exesion or forcing through the belly, conceiveth nevertheless that, upon a full and plentiful impletion there may, perhaps, succeed a disruption of the matrix, as it happeneth sometimes in the long and slender fish acus.* Now, although in hot countries, and very numerous conceptions, in the viper or other animals, there may sometimes ensue a dilaceration of the genital parts, yet is this a rare and contingent effect, and not a natural and constant way of exclusion. For the wise Creator hath formed the organs of animals unto their operations, and in whom he ordaineth a

^{*} Needle-fish; found sometimes upon the sea-shore, consisting of four lines unto the vent, and six from thence unto the head.

numerous conception, in them he hath prepared convenient receptacles, and a suitable way of exclusion.

Others do ground this disruption upon their continued or protracted time of delivery, presumed to last twenty days; whereat, excluding but one a day, the latter brood, impatient, by a forcible proruption anticipate their period of exclusion; and this was the assertion of Pliny, Cæteri tarditatis impatientes prorumpunt latera, occisà parente; which was occasioned upon a mistake of the Greek text in Aristotle, τίκτει δὲ έν μία ημέρα καθ εν, τίκτει δε πλείω η είκοσιν, which are literally thus translated, Parit autem una die secundum unum, parit autem plures quam viginti, and may be thus Englished: "She bringeth forth in one day, one by one, and sometimes more than twenty:" and so hath Scaliger rendered it, Sigillatim parit, absolvit una die, interdum plures quam viginti: but Pliny, whom Gaza followeth, hath differently translated it, Singulos diebus singulis parit, numero fere viginti: whereby he extends the exclusion unto twenty days. which in the textuary sense is fully accomplished in one.

But what hath most advanced it, is a mistake in another text of Aristotle, which seemeth directly to determine this disruption, τίκτει μικρὰ ἐχίδεια ἐν ὑμέσιν, αι περιβρήγνωνται τριταίοι, ἐνίοτε δὲ καὶ ἔσωθεν διαφαγόντα αὐτὰ ἑξέρχεται, which Gaza hath thus translated: Parit catulos obvolutos membranis, quæ tertio die rumpuntur, evenit interdum ut qui in utero adhuc sunt abrosis membranis prorumpant. Now herein probably Pliny, and many since have been mistaken; for the disruption of the membranes or skins, which include the young ones, conceiving a dilaceration of the matrix and belly of the viper; and concluding, from a casual dilaceration, a regular and constant disruption.

As for the Latin word, vipera, which in the etymology of Isidore promoteth this conceit, more properly it may imply vivipera.⁴ For whereas other serpents lay eggs,⁵ the viper excludeth living animals; and though the cerastes be also viviparous, and we have found formed snakes in the belly of the cæcilia or slow-worm, yet may the viper emphatically

^{*} As for the Latin word, &c.] The correct derivation of the word is here assigned.

⁵ eggs. That the eele is vivipara, see my demonstrative note 1, supra, p. 281.

bear the name. For the notation or etymology is not of necessity adequate unto the name; and therefore, though animal be deduced from anima, yet are there many animations beside, and plants will challenge a right therein as well as sensible creatures.

As touching the texts of Scripture, and compellation of the Pharisees by "generation of vipers," although constructions be made hereof conformable to this tradition, and it may be plausibly expounded, that out of a viperous condition they conspired against their prophets and destroyed their spiritual parents; yet (as Jansenius observeth) Gregory and Jerome do make another construction; apprehending thereby what is usually implied by that proverb, Mali corvi, malum ovum; that is, "of evil parents, an evil generation," a posterity not unlike their majority, of mischievous progenitors a venomous and destructive progeny.

And lastly, concerning the hieroglyphical account, according to the vulgar conception set down by Orus Apollo, the authority thereof is only emblematical; for were the conception true or false, to their apprehensions it expressed filial impiety: 6 which strictly taken, and totally received for truth, might perhaps begin, but surely promote this conception.

More doubtful assertions have been raised of no animal than the viper, as we have dispersedly noted; and Francisco Redi hath amply discovered in his noble observations of vipers:7 from good reasons and iterated experiments affirming, that a viper containeth no humour, excrement, or part which, either drank or eat, is able to kill any; that the remorsores or dog-teeth, are not more than two in either sex; that these teeth are hollow, and though they bite and prick therewith, yet are they not venomous, but only open a way and entrance unto the poison, which notwithstanding is not poisonous except it touch or attain unto the blood; and that there is no other poison in this animal, but only that almost insipid liquor like oil of almonds, which stagnates in the sheaths and cases that cover the teeth; and that this pro-

graph, have been confirmed by later observations.

it expressed filial impiety.] Correct, so far as the vulgar conception set down by Orus Apollo, 115. See Champollion, Précis, p. 303.
 Francisco Redi, &c.] Redi's experiments, as detailed in this para-

ceeds not from the bladder of gall, but is rather generated in the head, and perhaps demitted and sent from thence into these cases by salival conduits and passages, which the head communicateth unto them.⁸

CHAPTER XVII.

That Hares are both male and female.

THE double sex of single hares, or that every hare is both male and female, beside the vulgar opinion, was the affirmative of Archelaus, of Plutarch, Philostratus, and many more. Of the same belief have been the Jewish rabbins. same is likewise confirmed from the Hebrew word. * which. as though there were no single males of that kind, hath only obtained a name of the feminine gender. As also from the symbolical foundation of its prohibition in the law, and what vices therein it figured; that is, not only pusillanimity and timidity from its temper, feneration9 or usury from its feecundity and superfetation, but from this mixture of sexes, unnatural venery and degenerous effemination. Nor are there hardly any who either treat of mutation or mixtion of sexes, who have not left some mention of this point; some speaking positively, others dubiously, and most resigning it unto the enquiry of the reader. Now hereof to speak distinctly, they must be male and female by mutation and succession of sexes, or else by composition, mixture, or union thereof.

As for the mutation of sexes, or transition into one another, we cannot deny it in hares, it being observable in man. For hereof, beside Empedocles or Tiresias, there are not a few examples: and though very few, or rather none which have emasculated or turned women, yet very many, who from an esteem or reality of being women, have infallibly proved men.

* Arnabeth. † Levit. ii.

⁸ More doubtful, &c.] This paragraph was first added in 6th edition.

⁹ feneration.] Usury.

¹ Of the same belief, &c.] This passage was first added in the 3rd edition.

Some at the first point of their menstruous eruptions; some in the day of their marriage; others many years after, which occasioned disputes at law, and contestations concerning a restore of the dowry. And that not only mankind, but many other animals, may suffer this transexion, we will not deny, or hold it at all impossible; although I confess, by reason of the postick and backward position of the feminine parts in quadrupeds, they can hardly admit the substitution of a protrusion effectual unto masculine generation, except it be in retromingents, and such as couple backward.

Nor shall we only concede the succession of sexes in some, but shall not dispute the transition of reputed species in others; that is, a transmutation, or (as Paracelsians term it) transplantation of one into another. Hereof in perfect animals of a congenerous seed, or near affinity of natures, examples are not unfrequent, as in horses, asses, dogs, foxes, pheasants, cocks, &c., but in imperfect kinds, and such, where the discrimination of sex is obscure, these transformations are more common, and in some within themselves without commixion, as particularly in caterpillars or silkworms, wherein there is a visible and triple transfiguration. plants, wherein there is no distinction of sex, these transplantations are conceived more obvious than any; as that of barley into oats, of wheat into darnel; and those grains which generally arise among corn, as cockle, aracus, ægilops, and other degenerations, which come up in unexpected shapes, when they want the support and maintenance of the primary and master-forms. And the same do some affirm concerning other plants in less analogy of figures; as the mutation of mint into cresses, basil into serpoil, and turnips into radishes. In all which, as Severinus* conceiveth, there may be equivocal seeds and hermaphroditical principles, which contain the radicality and power of different forms; thus in the seed of wheat their lieth obscurely the seminality of darnel, although in a secondary or inferior way, and at some distance of production; which, nevertheless, if it meet with convenient promotion, or a conflux and conspiration of causes more powerful than the other, it then beginneth to edify in chief, and contemning the superintendent form, produceth the signatures of itself.

^{*} In Idea Medicinæ Philosophicæ.

Now therefore, although we deny not these several mutations, and do allow that hares may exchange their sex,2 yet this we conceive doth come to pass but sometimes, and not in that vicissitude or annual alternation as is presumed: that is, from imperfection to perfection, from perfection to imperfection; from female unto male, from male to female again, and so in a circle to both, without a permansion in either. For beside the inconceivable mutation of temper, which should yearly alternate the sex, this is injurious unto the order of nature, whose operations do rest in the perfection of their intents, which, having once attained, they maintain their accomplished ends, and relapse not again into their progressional imperfections. So if, in the minority of natural vigour, the parts of seminality take place, when upon the increase or growth thereof the masculine appear, the first design of nature is achieved, and those parts are after maintained.

But surely it much impeacheth this iterated transexion of hares, if that be true which Cardan and other physicians affirm, that transmutation of sex is only so in opinion; and that these transfeminated persons were really men at first, although succeeding years produced the manifesto or evidence of their virilities: which, although intended and formed, was not at first excluded; and that the examples hereof have undergone no real or new transexion, but were androgynally born, and under some kind of hermaphrodites. For though Galen do favour the opinion, that the distinctive parts of sexes are only different in position, that is inversion or protrusion, yet will this hardly be made out from the anatomy of those parts; the testicles being so seated in the female, that they admit not of protrusion, and the neck of the matrix wanting those parts which are discoverable in the organ of virility.

The second, and most received acception is, that hares are male and female by conjunction of both sexes, and such as are found in mankind, poetically called hermaphrodites; supposed to be formed from the equality, or non victorie of

² sex.] Why may not the sex seem to change in hares rather than in men? Frequent storyes wee have of some taken for maydes till ripe age or marriage have discovered the instruments of the male to have been but hidden.—Wr.

either seed; carrying about them the parts of man and woman although with great variety in perfection, site, and ability, not only as Aristotle conceived, with a constant impotency in one, but as later observers affirm, sometimes with ability of either venery. And therefore the providence of some laws have thought good, that at the years of maturity they should elect one sex, and the errors in the other should suffer a severer punishment. Whereby, endeavouring to prevent incontinency, they unawares enjoined perpetual chastity; for being executive in both parts, and confined unto one, they restrained a natural power, and ordained a partial virginity. Plato, and some of the rabbins, proceeded higher, who conceived the first man an hermaphrodite; and Marcus Leo, the learned Jew, in some sense hath allowed it; affirming that Adam in one suppositum, without division, contained both male and female. And therefore, whereas it is said in the text, that "God created man in his own image, in the image of God created he him, male and female created he them:" applying the singular and plural unto Adam, it might denote, that in one substance, and in himself he included both sexes, which was after divided, and the female called woman. The opinion of Aristotle extendeth farther, from whose assertion all men should be hermaphrodites; for affirming that women do not spermatize, and confer a place or receptacle rather than essential principles of generation, he deductively includes both sexes in mankind; for from the father proceed not only males and females, but from him also must hermaphroditical and masculo-feminine generations be derived, and a commixion of both sexes arise from the seed of one. But the schoolmen have dealt with that sex more hardly than any other; who, though they have not much disputed their generation, yet have they controverted their resurrection, and raised a query, whether any at the last day should arise in the sex of women; as may be observed in the supplement of Aquinas.

Now, as we must acknowledge this androgynal* condition in man, so can we not deny the like doth happen in beasts. Thus do we read in Pliny, that Nero's chariot was drawn by four hermaphroditical mares; and Cardan affirms, he also beheld one at Antwerp. And thus may we also concede, that

^{*} Consisting of man and woman.

hares have been of both sexes, and some have ocularly confirmed it; but that the whole species or kind should be bisexous or double-sexed, we cannot affirm, who have found the parts of male and female respectively distinct and single in any wherein we have enquired; and the like success had Bacchinus in such as he dissected.* And whereas it is conceived, that being an harmless animal, and delectable food unto man, nature hath made them with double sexes, that actively and passively performing, they might more numerously increase, we forget an higher providence of nature whereby she especially promotes the multiplication of hares, which is by superfetation; that is, a conception upon a conception, or an improvement of a second fruit before the first be excluded; preventing hereby the usual intermission and vacant time of generation, which is very common and frequently observable in hares, mentioned long ago by Aristotle, Herodotus, and Pliny; and we have often observed, that after the first cast, there remain successive conceptions, and other younglings very immature, and far from their term of exclusion.

Nor need any man to question this in hares, for the same we observe doth sometime happen in women: for although it be true, that upon conception the inward orifice of the matrix exactly closeth, so that it commonly admitteth nothing after, yet falleth it out sometime, that in the act of coition, the avidity of that part dilateth itself, and receiveth a second burden; which if it happen to be near in time unto the first, they do commonly both proceed unto perfection, and have legitimate exclusions, periodically succeeding each other: but if the superfetation be made with considerable intermission, the latter most commonly proves abortive; for the first being confirmed, engrosseth the aliment from the other. However, therefore, the project of Julia seems very plausible, and that way infallible, when she received not her passengers before she had taken in her lading, yet was there a fallibility therein; nor indeed any absolute security in the policy of adultery after conception: for the matrix (which some have called another animal within us, and which is not subjected unto the law of our will), after reception of its proper tenant. may yet receive a strange and spurious inmate: as is con-

^{*} Bacch, de Hermaphroditis,

firmable by many examples in Pliny; by Larissæa in Hippocrates, and that merry one in Plautus urged also by Aristotle; that is, of Iphicles and Hercules, the one begat by Jupiter, the other by Amphitryon upon Alcmæna; as also in those superconceptions, where one child was like the father, the other like the adulterer; the one favoured the servant, the other resembled the master.

Now the grounds that begat, or much promoted the opinion of a double sex in hares, might be some little bags or tumours, at first glance representing stones or testicles, to be found in both sexes about the parts of generation; which men observing in either sex, were induced to believe a masculine sex in both. But to speak properly, these are no testicles or parts official unto generation, but glandulous substances that seem to hold the nature of emunctories. For herein may be perceived slender perforations, at which may be expressed a black and fæculent matter. If therefore from these, we shall conceive a mixtion of sexes in hares, with fairer reason we may conclude it in beavers; whereof both sexes contain a double bag or tumour in the groin, commonly called the cod of castor, as we have delivered before.

Another ground were certain holes or cavities observable about the siege; which being perceived in males, made some conceive there might be also a feminine nature in them. And upon this very ground, the same opinion hath passed upon the hyæna, and is declared by Aristotle, and thus translated by Scaliger: Quòd autem aiunt utriusque sexus habere genitalia, falsum est; quod videtur esse fæmineum sub cauda, est simile figura fæminino, verùm pervium non est; and thus is it also in hares, in whom these holes, although they seem to make a deep cavity, yet do they not perforate the skin, nor hold a community with any part of generation; but were (as Pliny delivereth) esteemed the marks of their age, the number of those deciding their number of years. In which opinion what truth there is we shall not contend; for if in other animals there be authentic notations, if the characters of years be found in the horns of cows, or in the antlers of deer; if we conjecture the age of horses from joints in their docks, and undeniably presume it from their teeth, we cannot affirm, there is in this conceit any affront

unto nature; although, whoever enquireth shall find no assurance therein.

The last foundation was retromingency or pissing backward; for men observing both sexes to urine backward, or aversely between their legs, they might conceive there was a feminine part in both; wherein they are deceived by the ignorance of the just and proper site of the pizzle, or part designed unto the excretion of urine: which in the hare holds not the common position, but is aversely seated, and in its distention inclines unto the coccyx or scut. Now from the nature of this position, there ensueth a necessity of retrocopulation, which also promoteth the conceit: for some observing them to couple without ascension, have not been able to judge of male or female, or to determine the proper sex in either. And to speak generally, this way of copulation is not appropriate unto hares, nor is there one, but many ways of coition, according to divers shapes and different conformations. For some couple laterally or sidewise, as worms: some circularly or by complication, as serpents: some pronely, that is, by contaction of the ventral parts in both, as apes, porcupines, hedgehogs, and such as are termed mollia, as the cuttle-fish and the purple; some mixtly, that is, the male ascending the female, or by application of the ventral parts of the one, unto the postick parts of the other, as most quadrupeds: some aversely, as all crustacious animals, lobsters, shrimps, and crevises, and also retromingents, as panthers, tigers, and hares.4 This is the constant law of their coition, this they observe and transgress not: only the vitiosity of man hath acted the varieties hereof; nor content with a digression from sex or species, hath in his own kind run through the anomalies of venery: and been so bold, not only to act, but represent to view, the irregular ways of lust.

 $^{^3}$ retrocopulation.] Which is true in lions alsoe, and partlye in dogs. -Wr

⁴ hares.] Hares and lions: which I sawe at the tower, and remember itt is specified expresly by Aristotle of them.—Wr.

CHAPTER XVIII.

That Moles are blind.

That moles are blind and have no eyes, though a common opinion, is received with much variety; some affirming only they have no sight, as Oppianus, the proverb talpa cœcior, and the word $\sigma\pi\alpha\lambda\alpha\chi$ ia, or talpitas, which in Hesychius is made the same with cœcitas; some that they have eyes, but no sight, as the text of Aristotle seems to apply; some neither eyes nor sight, as Albertus, Pliny, and the vulgar opinion; some both eyes and sight, as Scaliger, Aldrovandus, and some others. Of which opinions, the last, with some restriction, is most consonant unto truth; for that they have eyes in their head, is manifested unto any that wants them not in his own; and are discoverable, not only in old ones, but as we have observed in young and naked conceptions, taken out of the belly of the dam. And he that exactly enquires into the cavity of their cranies, may perhaps discover

⁵ That moles are blind, dc.] The eyes of the mole are so extremely minute, and so perfectly hid in its hair, that it is not wonderful if careless and casual observers have pronounced it blind.—Still less is it wonderful, that so absurd a personage as Alexander Ross, should have declared them to be but "forms of eyes," given by nature "rather for ornament than use; as wings are given to the ostrich, which never flies, and a long tail to the rat, which serves for no other use but to be catched sometimes by it!"—Arc. 151.

"It appears," however, observe the editors of Cuvier's Animal Kingdom, "that this animal was not known to the ancients, who have been very wrongfully accused of having fallen into the gross error of supposing that the mole had no eyes. Aristotle, it is true, in two places of his History of Animals, repeats this assertion. But the researches of modern times have ascertained that this illustrious naturalist was perfectly right in refusing the organs of vision to the mole of his native country, to the σκαλαξ or ἀσκαλαξ, of ancient Greece. There does, in fact, exist, in that country, a little subterraneous animal totally deprived of sight: naturalists have only recently become acquainted with it, and have designated it under the appellation of the rat-mole. They have been obliged to confess, after many ages of injustice towards the ancients, that these last had truth altogether on their side, with regard to the mole known in Greece, and had correctly observed, that this animal was not only completely blind, but did not possess even the smallest rudiment of an external eye."—Vol. ii. p. 197.

some propagation of nerves communicated unto these parts. But that the humours, together with their coats, are also distinct (though Galen seem to affirm it), transcendeth our discovery; for separating these little orbs, and including them in magnifying glasses, we discerned no more than Aristotle mentions, $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \ \dot{o}\phi \theta a \lambda \mu \bar{\omega} \nu \ \mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda a \iota \nu a$, that is, a black humour, nor any more if they be broken. That therefore they have eyes, we must of necessity affirm; but that they be comparatively incomplete, we need not to deny: so Galen affirms the parts of generation in women are imperfect, in respect of those of men, as the eyes of moles in regard of other animals: so Aristotle terms them $\pi \eta \rho o \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \nu c$, which Gaza translates oblæsos, and Scaliger by a word of imperfection, inchoatos.

Now as that they have eyes is manifest unto sense; so that they have sight, not incongruous unto reason; if we call not in question the providence of this provision, that is, to assign the organs, and yet deny the office; to grant them eyes, and withhold all manner of vision. For as the inference is fair, affirmatively deduced from the action to the organ, that they have eyes because they see; so is it also from the organ to the action, that they have eyes, therefore some sight designed, if we take the intention of nature in every species, and except the casual impediment, or morbosities in individuals. But as their eyes are more imperfect than others, so do we conceive of their sight or act of vision, for they will run against things, and huddling forwards fall from high places. So that they are not blind, nor yet distinctly see; there is in them no cecity, yet more than a cecutiency; they have sight enough to discern the light, though not perhaps to distinguish of objects or colours; so are they not exactly blind, for light is one object of vision. And this (as Scaliger observeth) might be as full a sight as nature first intended, for living in darkness under the earth. they had no further need of eyes than to avoid the light: and to be sensible whenever they lost that darkness of earth, which was their natural confinement. And therefore, however translators do render the word of Aristotle or Galen. that is imperfectos, oblasos, or inchoatos, it is not much considerable; for their eyes are sufficiently begun to finish this action, and competently perfect for this imperfect vision,

And lastly, although they had neither eyes nor sight, yet could they not be termed blind. For blindness being a private term unto sight,6 this appellation is not admittible in propriety of speech, and will overthrow the doctrine of privations; which presuppose positive forms or habits, and are not indefinite negations, denying in all subjects, but such alone wherein the positive habits are in their proper nature, and placed without repugnancy. So do we improperly say a mole is blind, if we deny it the organs or a capacity of vision from its created nature; so when the text of John had said, that person was blind from his nativity, whose cecity our Saviour cured, it was not warrantable in Nonnus to sav he had no eyes at all, as in the judgment of Heinsius, he describeth in his paraphrase; and as some ancient fathers affirm, that by this miracle they were created in him. And so though the sense may be accepted, that proverb must be candidly interpreted, which maketh fishes mute; and calls them silent which have no voice in nature.

Now this conceit is erected upon a misapprehension or mistake in the symptoms of vision; men confounding abolishment, diminution, and depravement, and naming that an abolition of sight, which indeed is but an abatement. For if vision be abolished, it is called cacitas, or blindness; if depraved, and receive its objects erroneously, hallucination; if diminished, hebetudo visus, caligatio, or dimness. Now instead of a diminution or imperfect vision in the mole, we affirm an abolition or total privation; instead of a caligation or dimness, we conclude a cecity or blindness. Which hath been frequently inferred concerning other animals. So some affirm the water-rat is blind, so Sammonicus and Nicander do call the mus araneus, the shrew or ranney,7 blind. And because darkness was before light, the Egyptians worshipped the same. So are cæciliæ or slow-worms accounted blind:8 and the like we affirm proverbially of the

^{*} a private term unto sight.] "A term expressing privation of sight."

7 ranney.] This is the very word, araneus; castinge away the first
a, and turning the Latine termination of eus into our English form.

Wr

⁸ So some affirm, &c.] Erroneously.—Neither the water-rat, the shrew, nor the slow-worm is blind. The eyes of the former are very small, and (especially in the shrew) much concealed by fur. Bewick

beetle; although their eyes be evident, and they will fly against lights, like many other insects: and though also Aristotle determines, that the eyes are apparent in all flying insects, though other senses be obscure, and not perceptible at all. And if from a diminution we may infer a total privation, or affirm that other animals are blind which do not acutely see, or comparatively unto others, we shall condemn unto blindness many not so esteemed; for such as have corneous or horny eyes, as lobsters and crustaceous animals, are generally dim-sighted; all insects that have antennæ, or long horns to feel out their way, as butterflies and locusts; or their fore-legs so disposed, that they much advance before their heads, as may be observed in spiders; and if the eagle were judge, we might be blind ourselves. The expression therefore of Scripture in the story of Jacob, is surely without circumspection: "And it came to pass, when Jacob was old and his eyes were dim," quando caligarunt oculi, saith Jerome and Tremellius, which are expressions of diminution, and not of absolute privation.

Other concerns there are of moles, which, though not commonly opinioned, are not commonly enough considered: as the peculiar formation of their feet, the slender ossa jugalia, and dog-teeth, and how hard it is to keep them alive out of the earth. As also the ferity and voracity of these animals; for though they be contented with roots, and stringy parts of plants, or worms under ground, yet when they are above it, they will sometimes tear and eat one another, and in a large glass wherein a mole, a toad, and a viper were inclosed, we have known the mole to dispatch

them, and to devour a good part of them both.9

says, that the water-shrew (Sorex fodiens) is called in Lincolnshire the blind mouse. The slow-worm is more commonly called the blind-worm,

Other concerns, &c.] This paragraph first added in 6th edition.

CHAPTER XIX.

That Lampreys have many eyes.

Whether lampreys have nine eyes, as is received, we durst refer it unto Polyphemus, who had but one to judge An error concerning eyes, occasioned by the error of eyes; deduced from the appearance of divers cavities or holes on either side, which some call eyes that carelessly behold them; and is not only refutable by experience, but also repugnant unto reason. For, beside the monstrosity they fasten unto nature, in contriving many eyes, who hath made but two unto any animal, that is, one of each side, according to the division of the brain; it were a superfluous inartificial act to place and settle so many in one plane; for the two extremes would sufficiently perform the office of sight without the help of the intermediate eyes, and behold as much as all seven joined together. For the visible base of the object would be defined by these two; and the middle eyes, although they behold the same thing, yet could they not behold so much thereof as these; so were it no advantage unto man to have a third eye between those two he hath already; and the fiction of Argus seems more reasonable than this: for though he had many eyes, yet were they placed in circumference and positions of advantage, and so are they placed in several lines in spiders.

Again, these cavities which men call eyes are seated out of the head, and where the gills of other fish are placed; containing no organs of sight, nor having any communication with the brain. Now all sense proceeding from the brain, and that being placed (as Galen observeth) in the upper part of the body, for the fitter situation of the eyes, [and conveniency required unto sight; it is not reasonable to imagine that they are anywhere else, or deserve that name which are seated in other parts. And therefore, we relinquish as fabulous what is delivered of sternophthalmi, or men with

¹ holes on either side.] These are the bronchial apertures, of which the lamprey has seven on each side.—It has two eyes; but it is remarkable that there are no holes in the skin, but only transparent round spots, over the eyes.

eyes in their breast; and when it is said by Solomon, "A wise man's eyes are in his head," it is to be taken in a second sense, and affordeth no objection. True it is, that the eyes of animals are seated with some difference, but in sanguineous animals in the head, and that more forward than the ear or hole of hearing. In quadrupeds, in regard of the figure of their heads, they are placed at some distance; in latirostrous and flat-billed birds they are more laterally seated; and therefore, when they look intently they turn one eye upon the object; and can convert their heads to see before and behind, and to behold two opposite points at once. But at a more easy distance are they situated in man, and in the same circumference with the ear; for if one foot of the compass be placed upon the crown, a circle described thereby will intersect, or pass over both the ears.

The error in this conceit consists in the ignorance of these cavities, and their proper use in nature; for this is a particular disposure of parts, and a peculiar conformation whereby these holes and sluices supply the defect of gills, and are assisted by the conduit in the head; for, like cetaceous animals and whales, the lamprey hath a fistula, spout or pipe at the back part of the head, whereat it spurts out water. Nor is it only singular in this formation, but also in many other; as in defect of bones, whereof it hath not one, and for the spine or backbone, a cartilaginous substance without any spondyles, processes, or protuberance whatsoever. As also in the provision which nature hath made for the heart; which in this animal is very strangely secured, and lies immured in a cartilage or gristly substance. And lastly, in the colour of the liver; which is in the male of an excellent grass-green, but of a deeper colour in the female, and will communicate a fresh and durable verdure.

CHAPTER XX.

That Snails have two eves.

WHETHER snails have eyes some learned men have doubted.2 For Scaliger terms them but imitations of eyes, and Aristotle upon consequence denieth them, when he affirms that testaceous animals have no eves.3 But this now seems sufficiently asserted by the help of exquisite glasses, which discover those black and atramentous spots or globules to be their eyes.4

Whether snails, &c. The snavle hath but 3 senses, that is, the touch, the smell, and the tast; he sees not, he hears not. The touch is principally in his hornes; the smel and taste in his mouth, in which I found he hath a little black toung not bigger then a hair, with which he frets herbes, bread, and all things that he fastens upon for foode, as

I once made a visible and certaine experiment.—Br.

³ Aristotle, &c.] Mr. E. W. Brayley, jun., in a very elaborate and highly interesting paper, in the second volume of the Zoological Journal. has very successfully advocated this opinion of the great father of zoology; and after detailing the various opinions (or rather enquiries) of the most able modern naturalists, he concludes by stating his opinion that Aristotle was right in believing that all the testaceous molusca are without the organ and sense of sight, and that the feelers of snails are only organs endued with the most delicate sense of touch and feeling. In a note, however, Mr. Brayley suggests that as they are certainly capable of conveying to the sensorium a perception of those vibrations of air, which impart to more perfect animals the sense of sound, so they may also "convey a perception of those undulations of the luminiferous ether, which (adopting the Huygenian undulatory theory of light, as revived and explained by Dr. T. Young), enable those animals which possess true eyes to enjoy the sense of vision!"

4 But this now seems, &c.] This sentence was substituted, in the 6th edition, for the following passage. "And for my own part, after much enquiry, I am not satisfied that these are eyes, or that those black and atramentous spots which seem to represent them are any ocular realities: for if any object be presented unto them, they will sometimes seem to decline it, and sometimes run against it; if also these black extremities, or presumed eyes be clipped off, they will notwithstanding make use of their protrusions or horns, and poke out their way as before: again, if they were eyes or instruments of vision, they would have their originals in the head, and from thence derive their motive and optic organs, but their roots and first extrematies are seated low upon the sides of the back, as may be perceived in the whiter sort of

snails when they retract them."

That they have two eyes is the common opinion; but if they have two eyes, we may grant them to have no less than four, that is, two in the larger extensions above, and two in the shorter and lesser horns below; and this number may be allowed in these inferior and exsanguineous animals, since we may observe the articulate and latticed eyes in flies, and nine in some spiders: and in the great phalangium spider of America, we plainly number eight.

But in sanguineous animals, quadrupeds, bipeds, or man, no such number can be regularly verified, or multiplicity of eyes confirmed; and therefore what hath been under this

⁵ and this number may be allowed, &c.] This remark, in the 6th edition, supplies the place of the following:—the succeeding paragraph which also occurs in all the earlier editions, was omitted in the 6th—"which will be monstrous and beyond the affirmation of any.

"Now the reason why we name these black strings eyes, is because we know not what to call them else, and understand not the proper use of that part, which indeed is very obscure, and not delivered by any, but may probably be said to assist the protrusion and retraction of their horns, which being a weak and hollow body, require some inward establishment to confirm the length of their advancement, which we observe they cannot extend without the concurrence hereof; for if with your finger you apprehend the top of the horn, and draw out this black and membranous emission, the horn will be excluded no more; but if you clip off the extremity, or only singe the top thereof with aqua fortis, or other corrosive water, leaving a considerable part behind, they will nevertheless exclude the horns, and therefore explorate their way as before; and indeed the exact sense of these extremities is very remarkable, for if you dip a pen in aqua fortis, oil of vitriol, or turpentine, and present it towards these points, they will at a reasonable distance decline the acrimony thereof, retiring or distorting them to avoid it; and this they will nimbly perform, if objected to the extremes, but slowly or not at all if approached unto their roots."

The various readings given in this and the preceding note, prove that the earlier opinions of Sir Thomas were more in conformity with the sagacious assertion of the great naturalist of antiquity,—and, I may add, with the conclusions which the investigation of Sir Everard Home, and other distinguished naturalists, have recently led them to form. The paper by Mr. Brayley, referred to in note 3, p. 318, will be found to contain a detailed and very interesting account of those investigations.

Sir E. Home has pointed out the mistake of Swammerdam, whose microscopic examinations led him to consider the black rete mucosum, at the point of the horn, as nigrum pigmentum, and a pellucid part which he found there, as the cornea. Sir Thomas was probably misled by similar investigations, or he might have seen Swammerdam's work, which appeared in Dutch some years before the sixth edition of the Vulgar Errors.

kind delivered, concerning the plurality, paucity, or anomalous situation of eyes, is either monstrous, fabulous, or under things never seen, includes good sense or meaning. And so may we receive the figment of Argus, who was an hieroglyphick of heaven, in those centuries of eyes expressing the stars, and their alternate wakings, the vicissitude of day and Which strictly taken cannot be admitted, for the subject of sleep is not the eye, but the common sense, which once asleep, all eves must be at rest. And therefore what is delivered as an emblem of vigilancy, that the hare and lion do sleep with one eye open, doth not evince they are any more awake than if they were both closed. For the open eve beholds in sleep no more than that which is closed, and no more one eve in them than two in other animals that sleep with both open, as some by disease, and others naturally, which have no evelids at all.

As for Polyphemus, although the story be fabulous, the monstrosity is not impossible. For the act of vision may be performed with one eye, and in the deception and fallacy of sight, hath this advantage of two, that it beholds not objects double, or sees two things for one. For this doth happen when the axis of the visive cones, diffused from the object, fall not upon the same plane, but that which is conveved into one eye, is more depressed or elevated than that which enters the other. So if, beholding a candle, we protrude either upward or downward the pupil of one eye, the object will appear double; but if we shut the other eye, and behold it with one, it will then appear but single, and if we adduce the eye unto either corner, the object will not duplicate, for in that position the axis of the cones remains in the same plane, as is demonstrated in the optics and delivered by Galen, in his tenth, De usu partium.

Relations also there are of men that could make themselves invisible, which belongs not to this discourse, but may

^{. &}lt;sup>6</sup> it beholds not objects double.] In connection with this very curious question of single vision with two eyes, Dr. Wollaston read a short paper to the R. S. in February, 1824, on semi-decusation of the optic nerves. A subject to which he had been led by a singular species of blindness which had affected him—in which he had suffered a temporary loss of sight on the left side only of both eyes. See Quarterly Journal, vol. xvii. p. 227.

serve as notable expressions of wise and prudent men, who so contrive their affairs, that although their actions be manifest, their designs are not discoverable. In this acception there is nothing left of doubt, and Giges ring remaineth still amongst us, for vulgar eyes behold no more of wise men than doth the sun; they may discover their exterior and outward ways, but their interior and inward pieces he only sees, that sees into their beings.

CHAPTER XXI.

That the Chameleon lives only upon air.

Concerning the Chameleon,⁷ there generally passeth an opinion that it liveth only upon air, and is sustained by no other aliment. Thus much is in plain terms affirmed by Solinus, Pliny, and others, and by this periphrasis is the same described by Ovid.⁸ All which notwithstanding, upon enquiry I find the assertion mainly controvertible, and very much to fail in the three inducements of belief.

And first for its verity, although asserted by some, and traditionally delivered by others, yet is it very questionable.

⁷ Concerning the Chameleon, &c.] It is singular that Sir Thomas has not mentioned the vulgar opinion that this reptile undergoes frequent changes of colour according to that of the bodies near it. He has assigned some probable grounds for its being supposed to feed on air. viz. its powers of abstinence and its faculty of self-inflation. It lives on insects, which it catches by means of its long gluey tongue, and crushes between its jaws. It has been ascertained by careful experiment that the chameleon can live without eating for four months. It can inflate. not only its lungs but its whole body, including even the feet and tail. The frequent variations of colour observed in the chameleon are by no means determined by those of surrounding objects. They depend on the volition of the animal, or the state of its feelings, on its good or bad health, and are, besides, subordinate to climate, age, and sex. A. Ross so resolutely withstands the Doctor's arguments against the common opinion, as even to assert that flies are eaten by the chameleon, "rather out of wantonness or for physic." He adverts indeed to the fact, only as giving a reason for the animal being provided with digestive organs; but says that the slime on the tongue is not intended for catching the flies, but for destroying serpents, on whose approach the chameleon drops some of the slime on the head of the serpent, which presently dies. • Ovid.] See Metam. l. xv. fab. 4. l. 411.

For beside Ælian, who is seldom defective in these accounts, Aristotle, distinctly treating hereof, hath made no mention of this remarkably propriety, which either suspecting its verity, or presuming its falsity, he surely omitted; for that he remained ignorant of this account, it is not easily conceivable, it being the common opinion, and generally received by all men. Some have positively denied it, as Augustinus, Niphus, Stobæus, Dalechampius, Fortunius Licetus, with many more; others have experimentally refuted it, as namely Johannes Landius, who in the relation of Scaliger, observed a chameleon to lick up a fly from his But Bellonius* hath been more satisfactorily experimental, not only affirming they feed on flies, caterpillars, beetles, and other insects, but upon exenteration he found these animals in their bellies; whereby we might also add the experimental decisions of the worthy Peireschius and learned Emanuel Tizzanius, in that chameleon which had been often observed to drink water, and delight to feed on meal-worms. And although we have not had the advantage of our own observation, yet we have received the like confirmation from many ocular spectators.

2. As touching the verisimility or probable truth of this relation, several reasons there are which seem to overthrow For first, there are found in this animal, the guts, the stomach, and other parts official unto nutrition; which, were its aliment the empty reception of air, their provisions had been superfluous. Now the wisdom of nature abhorring superfluities, and effecting nothing in vain, unto the intention of these operations, respectively contriveth the organs, and therefore where we find such instruments, we may with strictness expect their actions, and where we discover them not, we may with safety conclude the non-intention of their operations. So when we perceive that bats have teats, it is not unreasonable to infer they suckle their younglings with milk; but whereas no other flying animal hath these parts, we cannot from them expect a viviparous exclusion. but either a generation of eggs, or some vermiparous separation, whose navel is within itself at first, and its nutrition after not connexedly depending of its original.

^{*} Comment in Ocell. Lucan.

Again, nature is so far from leaving any one part without its proper action, that she ofttimes imposeth two or three labours upon one, so the pizzle in animals is both official unto urine and to generation; but the first and primary use is generation, for some creatures enjoy that part which urine not. So the nostrils are useful both for respiration and smelling; but the principal use is smelling, for many have nostrils which have no lungs, as fishes, but none have lungs or respiration, which have not some show or some analogy of nostrils. Thus we perceive the providence of nature, that is, the wisdom of God, which disposeth of no part in vain, and some parts unto two or three uses, will not provide any without the execution of its proper office, nor where there is no digestion to be made, make any parts inservient to that intention.

Beside the remarkable teeth, the tongue of this animal is a second argument to overthrow this airy nutrication; and that not only in its proper nature, but also its peculiar figure. For of this part, properly taken, there are two ends; that is, the formation of the voice and the execution of taste; for the voice, it can have no office in chameleons, for they are mute animals; as, beside fishes, are most other sorts of lizards.

As for their taste, if their nutriment be air, neither can it be an instrument thereof; for the body of that element is ingustible, void of all sapidity, and, without any action of the tongue, is by the rough artery or weazand conducted into the lungs. And therefore Pliny much forgets the strictness of his assertion, when he alloweth excrements unto that animal, that feedeth only upon air; which notwithstanding, with the urine of an ass, he commends as a magical medicine upon our enemies.

The figure of the tongue seems also to overthrow the presumption of this aliment, which, according to exact delineation, is in this animal peculiar, and seemeth contrived for prey. For in so little a creature it is at the least a palm long, and being itself very slow in motion, hath in this part a very great agility; withal its food being flies, and such as suddenly escape, it hath in the tongue a mucous and slimy extremity, whereby upon a sudden emission it inviscates and tangleth those insects. And therefore some have thought its name not unsuitable unto its nature; the nomination in Greek* is a little lion; not so much for the resemblance of shape, as affinity of condition; that is, for vigilancy in its prey, and sudden rapacity thereof, which it performeth not like the lion with its teeth, but a sudden and unexpected ejaculation of the tongue. This exposition is favoured by some, especially the old gloss upon Leviticus, whereby in the translation of Jerome and the Septuagint this animal is forbidden; whatever it be, it seems as reasonable as that of Isidore, who derives this name, à camelo et leone, as presuming herein resemblance with a camel.

3. As for the possibility hereof, it also is not unquestionable, and wise men are of opinion the bodies of animals cannot receive a proper aliment from air: for, beside that, taste being (as Aristotle terms it) a kind of touch, it is required the aliment should be tangible and fall under the palpable affections of touch; beside also that there is some sapor in all aliments, as being to be distinguished and judged by the gust, which cannot be admitted in air; beside these, I say, if we consider the nature of aliment, and the proper use of air in respiration, it will very hardly fall under the name hereof, or properly attain the act of nutrication.

And first, concerning its nature, to make a perfect nutrition into the body nourished, there is required a transmutation of the nutriment. Now where this conversion or aggeneration is made, there is also required in the aliment

* χαμαιλέων.

9 camel.] In the first edition he goes on thus:—" For this derivation offendeth the rules of etymology, wherein indeed the notation of names should be orthographical, not exchanging diphthongs for vowels, or converting consonants into each other." But notwithstanding this observation, he has spelled the word cameleon in every edition. Dean Wren criticised the spelling, and noticed its inconsistency with the above remark of that author, who was probably induced, in every edition subsequent to the first, to suppress the observation, lest he might seem to condemn himself.

1 aggeneration.] Generic assimilation. Johnson defines this, "the state of growing or uniting to another body." Webster defines it, "the state of growing to another." Both definitions are erroneous, or liable at least to be misunderstood. They would apply to the attachment of parasitic plants. Certainly they do not express the signification in

a familiarity of matter, and such a community or vicinity unto a living nature, as by one act of the soul may be converted into the body of the living, and enjoy one common soul: which cannot be effected by air, it concurring only with our flesh in common principles, which are at the largest distance from life, and common also unto inanimated constitutions. And therefore, when it is said by Fernelius, and asserted by divers others, that we are only nourished by living bodies, and such as are some way proceeding from them, that is, the fruits, effects, parts, or seeds thereof, they have laid out an object very agreeable unto assimilation; for these indeed are fit to receive a quick and immediate conversion, as holding some community with ourselves, and containing approximate dispositions unto animation.

Secondly (as is argued by Aristotle against the Pythagoreans), whatsoever properly nourisheth before its assimilation, by the action of natural heat it receiveth a corpulency or incrassation progressional unto its conversion; which notwithstanding, cannot be effected upon air, for the action of heat doth not condense but rarify that body, and by attenuation disposeth it for expulsion rather than for nu-

trition.

Thirdly (which is the argument of Hippocrates), all aliment received into the body, must be therein a considerable space retained, and not immediately expelled. Now air, but momentally remaining in our bodies, it hath no proportionable space for its conversion, not only of length enough to refrigerate the heart, which having once performed, lest being itself heated again it should suffocate that part, it maketh no stay, but hasteth back the same way it passed in.

Fourthly, the use of air attracted by the lungs, and without which there is no durable continuation in life, is not the nutrition of parts, but the contemperation and ventilation of that fire always maintained in the forge of life; whereby, although in some manner it concurreth unto nu-

which the word is used in the present passage. It is here meant to express the transmutation of that which is eaten, from its own nature, into that of the animal receiving it. It becomes assimilated, generically, to the nature of that animal.

trition, yet can it not receive the proper name of nutriment. And therefore by Hippocrates* it is termed alimentum non alimentum, a nourishment and no nourishment. That is, in a large acception, but not in propriety of language; conserving the body, not nourishing the same, nor repairing it by assimilation, but preserving it by ventilation; for thereby the natural flame is preserved from extinction, and so the individuum supported in some way like nutrition.

And though the air so entereth the lungs, that by its nitrous spirit it doth affect the heart and several ways qualify the blood; and though it be also admitted into other parts, even by the meat we chew, yet that it affordeth

a proper nutriment alone, is not easily made out.2

Again, some are so far from affirming the air to afford any nutriment, that they plainly deny it to be any element, or that it entereth into mixed bodies as any principle in their compositions, but performeth other offices in the universe; as to fill all vacuities about the earth or beneath it, to convey the heat of the sun, to maintain fires and flames, to serve for the flight of volatiles, respiration of breathing animals, and refrigeration of others. And although we receive it as an element, yet, since the transmutation of elements and simple bodies is not beyond great question; since also it is no easy matter to demonstrate that air is so much as convertible into water; how transmutable it is into flesh, may be of deeper doubt.3

And although the air attracted may be conceived to nourish the invisible flame of life, inasmuch as common and culinary flames are nourished by the air about them, we make some doubt whether air is the pabulous supply of fire, much less that flame is properly air kindled. And the same before us hath been denied by the Lord of Verulam. in his tract of Life and Death: and also by Dr. Jordan, in his book of mineral waters. For that which substantially maintaineth the fire is the combustible matter in the kindled body, and not the ambient air, which affordeth exhalation to

* De Alimento.

² And though, &c.] This paragraph was altered in 6th edition. 3 Again, &c. | This paragraph first added in 2nd edition.

its fuliginous atoms, nor that which causeth the flame properly to be termed air, but rather, as he expresseth it, the accension of fuliginous exhalations, which contain an unctuosity in them, and arise from the matter of fuel'; which opinion will salve many doubts, whereof the common conceit affordeth no solution.

As first, how fire is stricken out of flints? that is, not by kindling the air from the collision of two hard bodies; for then diamonds should do the like better than flints; but rather from sulphureous,4 inflamed, and even vitrified effluviums and particles, as hath been observed of late. The like, saith Jordan, we observe in canes, and woods⁵ that are unctuous and full of oil, which will yield fire by frication or collision, not by kindling the air about them, but the inflammable oil within them. Why the fire goes out without air? that is, because the fuliginous exhalations, wanting evaporation, recoil upon the flame and choke it, as is evident in cupping-glasses, and the artifice of charcoals, where, if the air be altogether excluded, the fire goes out. Why some lamps included in close bodies have burned many hundred years, as that discovered in the sepulchre of Tullia, the sister of Cicero, and that of Olibius many years after, near Padua? because, what ever was their matter, either a preparation of gold or naptha, the duration proceeded from the purity of their oil, which yielded no fuliginous exhalations to suffocate the fire; for if air had nourished the flame, it had not continued many minutes, for it would have been spent and

6 fire. And with the fire a smel as of ovlye substance fired. Wr.

^{*} sulphureous.] It is manifest to sense, that in the collision of the steele and the flint there is a sulphureous odour, which thoughe but fainte (in regard of the small splinters from whence it comes) yet to an acute and unobstructed braine is plainly perceptible.— Wr. See note at page 102.

saith Jordan, dc.] Dr. Jordan's observation appears to have been an anticipation of Sir H. Davy's, who having been informed that two pieces of bonnet cane rubbed together produced a faint light, examined the phænomenon, and found that all canes of this kind "when briskly rubbed together, produced sparks of white light. The luminous appearance was much more vivid on collision. When the canes were violently struck together, sparks nearly as vivid as those from the gunlock were produced." The cause he ascertained to be that the epidermis of the cane was composed chiefly of silica.—Br.

wasted by the fire. Why a piece of flax will kindle, although it touch not the flame? because the fire extendeth further than indeed it is visible, being at some distance from the wick, a pellucid and transparent body, and thinner than the air itself. Why metals, in their liquation, although they intensely heat the air above their surface, arise not yet into a flame, nor kindle the air about them? because their sulphur is more fixed, and they emit not inflammable exhalations. And lastly, why a lamp or candle burneth only in the air about it, and inflameth not the air at a distance from it? because the flame extendeth not beyond the inflammable effluence, but closely adheres unto the original of its inflammation; and therefore it only warmeth, not kindleth Which notwithstanding it will do, if the the air about it. ambient air be impregnate with subtle inflammabilities, and such as are of quick accension, as experiment is made in a close room, upon an evaporation of spirits of wine and camphor: as subterraneous fires do sometimes happen,8 and as Creusa and Alexander's boy in the bath were set on fire by naptha.

⁷ Why some lamps, &c.] For a curious discussion on these marvellous lamps, see Ozanam's Philosophical Recreations, by Hutton, vol. i. p. 496.

⁸ as subterraneous fires do sometimes happen.] This remark, and indeed the whole of Browne's enquiries and observations in the two preceding paragraphs, respecting the nature of flame, very naturally remind us of one of the most splendid (because most useful) achievements of modern science—Sir Humphrey Davy's invention of the safety-lamp, for the purpose of obviating those "subterraneous" explosions which had pre-

lieries.

The causes and character of these terrific explosions, the means used in the early part of the present century to induce the efforts of scientific men to discover a remedy, and the perfect success which attended those of Sir Humphrey for that purpose, form the subject of a detailed and most interesting narrative in the 11th chapter of Dr. Paris's Life of Sir H. Davy.

viously occurred with destructive frequency, in the working of our col-

The carburetted hydrogen given out by coal, and found frequently in vast masses in the crevices, or fissures, which are opened in working the mines, forms by combination with atmospheric air that inflammable gas, technically called fire-damp. The manner in which this gas explodes, is thus graphically described by Dr. Paris:—"On the approach of a candle, it is in an instant kindled: the expanding fluid drives before it a roaring whirlwind of flaming air, which tears up every thing in its progress, scorching some of the miners to a cinder, and burying others under enormous ruins shaken from the roof; when thundering to the

Lastly, the element of air is so far from nourishing the body, that some have questioned the power of water; many

shafts, it converts the mine, as it were, into an enormous piece of artillery, and wastes its fury in a discharge of thick clouds of coal-dust, stones, and timber, together with the limbs and mangled bodies of men

and horses."-Vol. ii. p. 63.

A society was established on the 1st of October, 1813, at Bishop-Wearmouth, by Sir Ralph Milbanke, Dr. Gray (afterwards Bishop of Bristol), and other gentlemen, "for preventing accidents in coalmines," which obtained the patronage of the Bishop of Durham, the Duke of Northumberland, and other noblemen and gentlemen. society established a correspondence with others, and at length, through the chairman, Dr. Gray, engaged Sir Humphrey Davy in the investigation. He soon ascertained, by experiment on fire-damp, that it is a combination of hydrogen and carbon: that it will not explode if mixed with less than six times, nor more than fourteen times its volume of atmospheric air: -that an explosive mixture of gas, admitted into a vessel having apertures only above and below, merely enlarges the light, and then gradually extinguishes it without explosion —that the explosive gas will not explode in a tube less than one-eighth of an inch in diameter: -and that red hot charcoal does not explode, but gives light in the explosive gas. On these principles various lamps were constructed by Sir Humphrey, which were perfectly safe; but their light was extinguished when the air became so polluted with fire-damp as to be explosive. It remained then for him (as Dr. Paris observes), after having disarmed the fire-damp of its terror, to enlist it into his service.—"The simple means by which this was effected are as interesting as their results are important. He had previously arrived at the fact, that wire-gauze might be substituted as air-feeders to the lamp, in the place of his tubes or safety canals: but not until the lapse of several weeks. did the happy idea of constructing the lamp entirely of wire gauze occur to him :- the history of this elaborate enquiry affords a striking proof of the inability of the human mind to apprehend simplicities, without a process of complication, which works as the grappling machinery of truth. His original lamp, with tubes or canals, as already described, was perfectly safe in the most explosive atmosphere, but its light was necessarily extinguished by it; whereas in the wire-gauze cage, the fire-damp itself continues to burn, and thus to afford to the miner a useful light, while he is equally secured from the fatal effects of explosion.

"Nothing now remained but to ascertain the degree of fineness which the wire-gauze ought to possess in order to form a secure barrier against the passage of flame. For this purpose, Davy placed his lighted lamps in a glass receiver, through which there was a current of air which passed into the lamp more or less explosive, and caused it to change rapidly or slowly at pleasure, so as to produce all possible varieties of inflammable and explosive mixtures: and he found that iron wire-gauze, composed of wires from one fortieth to one sixtieth of an inch in diameter, and

conceiving it enters not the body in the power of aliment, or that from thence there proceeds a substantial supply. For beside that some creatures drink not at all; even unto ourselves, and more perfect animals, though many ways assistant thereto, it performs no subtantial nutrition, serving for refrigeration, dilution of solid aliment, and its elixation in the stomach; which from thence, as a vehicle, it conveys through less accessible cavities, and so in a rorid substance through the capillary cavities, into every part; which having performed, it is afterward excluded by urine, sweat, and serous separations. And this opinion surely possessed the ancients; for when they so highly commended that water which is suddenly hot and cold, which is without all savour, the lightest, the thinnest, and which will soonest boil beans or peas, they had no consideration of nutrition; whereunto had they had respect, they would have surely commended gross and turbid streams, in whose confusion at least, there might be contained some nutriment; and not jejune or limpid water, nearer the simplicity of its element. Although, I confess, our clearest waters, and such as seem simple unto sense, are much compounded unto reason, as may be observed in the evaporation of large quantities of water, wherein beside a terreous residence, some salt is also found, as is also observable in rain water; which appearing pure and empty, is full of seminal principles, and carrieth vital atoms of plants and animals in

containing twenty-eight wires, or seven hundred and eighty-four apertures to the inch, was safe under all circumstances, in atmospheres of this kind: and he consequently employed that material in guarding lamps for the coal-mines, where, in January, 1816, they were immediately adopted, and have long been in general use."—Vol. ii. pp. 97-9.

Such is a rapid and very slight sketch of the history of a discovery which (to use Dr. P.'s words), "whether considered in relation to its scientific importance, or to its great practical value, must be regarded as one of the most splendid triumphs of human genius. It was the fruit of elaborate experiment and close induction: chance, or accident, which comes in for so large a share of the credit of human invention, has no claim to prefer upon this occasion: step by step may he be followed throughout the whole progress of his research, and so obviously does the discovery of each new fact spring from those that preceded it, that we never for a moment lose sight of our philosopher, but keep pace with him during the whole of his enquiry."

⁹ elixation.] Boiling or stewing.

¹ nutrition.] But only of puritye for refreshing the harte.—Wr.

it, which have not perished in the great circulation of nature; as may be discovered from several insects generated in rain water from the prevalent fructification of plants thereby; and (beside the real plant of Cornerius*) from vegetable figurations, upon the sides of glasses, so rarely delineated in frosts.²

All which considered, severer heads will be apt enough to conceive the opinion of this animal, not much unlike that of the astomi, or men without mouths, in Pliny; suitable unto the relation of the mares in Spain, and their subventaneous conceptions from the western wind; and in some way more unreasonable than the figment of Rabican, the famous horse in Ariosto, which being conceived by flame and wind, never tasted grass, or fed on any grosser provender than air; for this way of nutrition was answerable unto the principles of his generation. Which being not airy, but gross and seminal in the chameleon, unto its conservation there is required a solid pasture, and a food congenerous unto the principles of its nature.

The grounds of this opinion are many: the first observed by Theophrastus, was the inflation or swelling of the body made in this animal upon inspiration or drawing in its breath: which people observing, have thought it to feed upon air. But this effect is rather occasioned upon the greatness of its lungs, which in this animal are very large, and by their backward situation afford a more observable dilatation; and though their lungs be less, the like inflation is observable in toads, but especially in sentortoises.³

A second is the continual hiation or holding open its mouth, which men observing, conceive the intention thereof to receive the aliment of air; but this is also occasioned by the greatness of its lungs; for repletion whereof, not having a sufficient or ready supply by its nostrils, it is enforced to dilate and hold open the jaws.

The third is the paucity of blood observed in this animal, scarce at all to be found but in the eye, and about the heart;

^{*} Zibavius, tom. iv. Chym.

⁹ Although, I confess, &c.] This sentence was first added in 2nd edition.

³ but especially in sentortoises.] These gentry were first mentioned in the 6th edition.

which defect being observed, inclined some into thoughts, that the air was a sufficient maintenance for these exsanguinous parts. But this defect, or rather paucity of blood, is also agreeable unto many other animals, whose solid nutriment we do not controvert; as may be observed in other sorts of lizards, in frogs, and divers fishes; and therefore an horse-leech will not readily fasten upon every fish; and we do not read of much blood that was drawn from frogs by mice, in that famous battle of Homer.⁴

The last and most common ground which begat or promoted this opinion is, the long continuation hereof without any visible food, which some observing, precipitiously conclude they eat not any at all. It cannot be denied it is (if not the most of any) a very abstemious animal, and such as by reason of its frigidity, paucity of blood, and latitancy in the winter (about which time the observations are often made), will long subsist without a visible sustentation. But a like condition may be also observed in many other animals; for lizards and leeches, 5 as we have made trial, will live some months without sustenance; and we have included snails in glasses all winter, which have returned to feed again in the spring. Now these, notwithstanding, are not conceived to pass all their lives without food: for so to argue is fallacious, and is moreover sufficiently convicted by experience. therefore probably other relations are of the same verity, which are of the like affinity; as is the conceit of the rhintace in Persia, the canis levis of America, and the manucodiata or bird of paradise in India.

⁴ that famous battle of Homer.] This passage was but a friske of his stile.—Wr.

⁵ leeches.] Leeches are kept by all apothecaryes in glasses of water, without any other nourishment; which can bee little, or none at all. The often change of the water serving for two intentions, and both contrary to the worke of nourishment; viz., first to preserve itt from putrefaction, which is the principal aliment which they sucke from thick and muddye standing waters; and secondly, to cleanse them from that venome, which they had formerlye contracted, which nothing could soe properly or speedily effect as the dailye supply of fresh cleere water; by which consequentially they become the more hungry, and apte to catche holde, and to holde the faster when they are on: evident arguments that from the pure water alone they drew no aliment, but fedd on that store which they had formerlye contracted in putrified standing waters.— Wr.

To assign a reason of this abstinence in animals, or declare how, without a supply, there ensueth no destructive exhaustion, exceedeth the limits and intention of my discourse. Fortunius Licetus, in his excellent tract, De his qui diu vivunt sine alimento, hath very ingeniously attempted it; deducing the cause hereof from an equal conformity of natural heat and moisture, at least no considerable exuperancy in either; which concurring in an unactive proportion, the natural heat consumeth not the moisture (whereby ensueth no exhaustion) and the condition of natural moisture is able to resist the slender action of heat, (whereby it needeth no reparation), and this is evident in snakes, lizards, snails, and divers insects, latitant many months in the year; which being cold creatures, containing a weak heat in a crass or copious humidity, do long subsist without nutrition: for, the activity of the agent being not able to over-master the resistance of the patient, there will ensue no deperdition. And upon the like grounds it is, that cold and phlegmatic bodies, and (as Hippocrates determineth) that old men will best endure fasting. Now the same harmony and stationary constitution, as it happeneth in many species, so doth it fall out sometimes in individuals. For we read of many who have lived long time without aliment; and beside deceits and impostures, there may be veritable relations of some, who without a miracle, and by peculiarity of temper, have far out-fasted Elias. Which notwithstanding, doth not take off the miracle; for that may be miraculously effected in one, which is naturally causable in another. Some naturally living unto an hundred; unto which age others, notwithstanding, could not attain without a miracle.6

⁶ Which notwithstanding, &c.] This sentence first added in 2nd edition.

⁶ miracles.] The reader will have remarked in the course of this chapter, some false positions and unphilosophical observations, into which the author was led by the ignorance which at that time existed of some of those laws which modern discoveries have established in chemistry and physics; more especially with reference to the components of air, and the nature of combustion.

CHAPTER XXII.

That the Ostrich digesteth iron.

THE common opinion of the Ostrich, Struthiocamelus or Sparrow Camel, conceives that it digesteth iron, and this is confirmed by the affirmations of many: besides swarms of others, Rhodiginus in his prelections taketh it for granted, Johannes Langius in his epistles pleadeth experiment for it: the common picture also confirmeth it, which usually describeth this animal with an horseshoe in its mouth. Notwithstanding upon inquiry we find it very questionable, and the negative seems most reasonably entertained, whose verity indeed we do the rather desire, because hereby we shall relieve our ignorance of one occult quality, for in the list thereof it is accounted, and in that notion imperiously obtruded upon us. For my part, although I have had the sight of this animal, I have not had the opportunity of its experiment, but have received great occasion of doubt from learned discourses thereon.

For Aristotle and Oppianus, who have particularly treated hereof, are silent in this singularity, either omitting it as dubious, or as the comment saith, rejecting it as fabulous. Pliny, speaking generally, affirming only the digestion is wonderful in this animal; Ælian delivereth that it digested stones without any mention of iron; Leo Africanus, who lived in those countries wherein they most abound, speaketh diminutively, and but half way into this assertion, Surdum ac simplex animal est, quicquid invenit, absque delectu, usque ad ferrum devorat; Fernelius in his second De Abditis rerum causis, extenuates it, and Riolanus in his comment thereof positively denies it. Some have experimentally refuted it, as Albertus Magnus, and most plainly Ulysses Aldrovandus, whose words are these, Ego ferri frusta devorare, dum Tridenti essem, observavi, sed quæ incocta rursus excerneret, that is, "at my being at Trent, I observed the ostrich to swallow iron, but yet to exclude it undigested again."7

⁷ and most plainly, &c. But though Aldrovandus saw this once, "one swallow makes not a summer," says Master Ross, "who fully believes the iron to be digested; he is satisfied that even in that one

Now beside experiment, it is in vain to attempt against it by philosophical argument, it being an occult quality, which contemns the law of reason, and defends itself by admitting no reason at all. As for its possibility we shall not at present dispute: nor will we affirm that iron ingested, receiveth in the stomach of the ostrich no alteration at all; but if any such there be, we suspect this effect rather from some way of corrosion than any of digestion; not any liquid reduction or tendence to chylification by the power of natural heat, but rather some attrition from an acid and vitriolous humidity in the stomach, which may absterse and shave the scorious parts thereof. So rusty iron crammed down the throat of a cock, will become terse and clear again in its gizzard. the counter, which, according to the relation of Amatus, remained a whole year in the body of a youth, and came out much consumed at last, might suffer this diminution rather from sharp and acid humours, than the strength of natural heat, as he supposeth. So silver swallowed and retained for some time in the body will turn black, as if it had been dipped in aqua fortis, or some corrosive water, but lead will remain unaltered, for that metal containeth in it a sweet salt or sugar, whereby it resisteth ordinary corrosion, and will not easily dissolve even in aqua fortis. So when for medical uses we take down the filings of iron or steel, we must not conceive it passeth unaltered from us, for though the grosser parts be excluded again, yet are the dissoluble parts extracted, whereby it becomes effectual in deoppila-

instance the stomach suckt something out of it!" The ostrich is naturally herbivorous; but though vegetable matter constitutes the basis of its food, and though it is often seen pasturing in the south of Africa, it is yet so voracious, and its senses of taste and smell are so obtuse, that it devours animal and mineral substances indiscriminately. until its enormous stomach is completely full. It swallows without any choice, and merely as it were for ballast, wood, stones, grass, iron, copper, gold, lime, or, in fact, any other substance equally hard, indigestible, and deleterious. The powers of digestion in this bird are certainly very great, but their operation is confined to matters of an alimentary But copper, far from being converted into nutriment, acts upon its stomach like poison, and nails very frequently pierce its coats and membranes. Vaillant mentions that one of these birds died in consequence of having devoured an immense quantity of quick lime. - Curier. În Loudon's Magazine of Natural History, No. 6, p. 62, is a relation of an ostrich having been killed by swallowing glass.

tions,⁸ and therefore for speedier operation we make extinctions, infusions, and the like, whereby we extract the salt and active parts of the medicine, which being in solution, more easily enter the veins. And this is that the chemists mainly drive at in the attempt of their Aurum Potabile, that is, to reduce that indigestible substance into such a form as may not be ejected by siege, but enter the cavities, and less accessible parts of the body, without corrosion.

The ground of this conceit is its swallowing down fragments of iron, which men observing, by a froward illation, have therefore conceived it digesteth them, which is an inference not to be admitted, as being a fallacy of the consequent, that is, concluding a position of the consequent, from the position of the antecedent. For many things are swallowed by animals rather for condiment, gust or medicament, than any substantial nutriment. So poultry, and especially the turkey, do of themselves take down stones, and we have found at one time in the gizzard of a turkey no less than seven hundred. Now these rather concur unto digestion, than are themselves digested, for we have found them also in the guts and excrements; but their descent is very slow, for we have given them stones and small pieces of iron, which eighteen days after we have found remaining in the gizzard; and therefore the experiments of Langius and others might be fallible, whilst after the taking they expected it should come down within a day or two after. we swallow cherry stones, but void them unconcocted, and we usually say they preserve us from surfeit, for being hard bodies they conceive a strong and durable heat in the stomach, and so prevent the crudities of their fruit: and upon the like reason do culinary operators observe, that flesh boils best when the bones are boiled with it. Thus dogs will eat grass, which they digest not; thus camels to make the water sapid, do raise the mud with their feet; thus horses will knable at walls, pigeons delight in salt stones; rats will gnaw iron, and Aristotle saith the elephant swalloweth stones; and thus may also the ostrich swallow iron, not as

8 deoppilations.] Clearing away obstructions.

⁹ knable.] "Probably to be found no where else," says Johnson, "than in this passage." Very probably; the fact is, that it is a frequent Norfolk vulgarization of the word wibble.

his proper aliment, but for the ends above expressed, and even as we observe the like in other animals.

And whether these fragments of iron and hard substances swallowed by the ostrich have not also that use in their stomachs which they have in other birds, that is, in some way to supply the use of teeth, by commolition, grinding and compressing of their proper aliment, upon the action of the strongly conformed muscles of the stomach, as the honoured Dr. Harvey discourseth, may also be considered.¹

What effect therefore may be expected from the stomach of an ostrich by application alone to further digestion in ours beside the experimental refute of Galen, we refer it unto considerations above alleged. Or whether there be any more credit to be given unto the medicine of Ælian, who affirms, the stones they swallow have a peculiar virtue for the eyes, than that of Hermolaus and Pliny drawn from the urine of this animal,—let them determine who can swallow so strange a transmission of qualities, or believe that any bird or flying animal doth separately and distinctly urine beside the bat.

That therefore an ostrich will swallow and take down iron is easily to be granted; that oftentimes it passes entire away, if we admit of ocular testimony, is not to be denied. And though some experiment may also plead that sometimes they are so altered as not to be found or excluded in any discernible parcels, yet whether this be not effected by some way of corrosion, from sharp and dissolving humidities, rather than any proper digestion, chylifactive mutation, or alimental conversion is with good reason doubted.²

CHAPTER XXIII.

Of the Unicorn's horn.

Great account and much profit is made of unicorn's horn, at least of that which beareth the name thereof; wherein notwithstanding, many, I perceive, suspect an im-

¹ And whether, de.] This paragraph first added in third edition.

² That therefore, &c.] This paragraph was first added in second edition.

VOL. I.

posture, and some conceive there is no such animal extant.³ Herein, therefore, to draw up our determinations: beside the several places of Scripture mentioning this animal (which some may well contend to be only meant of the rhinoceros) we are so far from denying there is any unicorn at all, that we affirm there are many kinds thereof. In the number of quadrupeds, we will concede no less than five; that is, the Indian ox, the Indian ass, the rhinoceros, the oryx, and that which is more eminently termed monoceros or unicornis. Some in the list of fishes; as that described by Olaus, Albertus, and others; and some unicorns we will allow even among insects, as those four kinds of nasicornous beetles, described by Muffetus.

Secondly, although we concede there be many unicorns, yet are we still to seek; for whereunto to affix this horn in question, or to determine from which thereof we receive this magnified medicine, we have no assurance, or any satisfactory decision. For although we single out one, and eminently thereto assign the name of the unicorn, yet can we

3 some conceive, &c.] Some information, on this much debated subject, was obtained by M. Rüppell, in Kordofan, where the unicorn was said to be known, and to bear the name of millekma. Persons of various conditions in life agreed to the statement, that the millekma was of a reddish colour, of the size of a small horse, of the slender make of a gazelle, and furnished with a long, straight, slender horn in the male, which was wanting in the female. Some added that it had divided hoofs, while others declared it to be single-hoofed. According to these statements it inhabits the deserts of the south of Kordofan, is uncommonly fleet, and comes only occasionally to the Koldagi slave mountain on the borders of Kordofan. Three several Arabs asserted to M. Rüppell that they had themselves seen the animal in question; and one of his slaves from Koldagi, on seeing the antelopes brought from the desert of Korti, gave, of his own free motion, a description of the millekma, exactly coinciding with the notices afterwards obtained by the traveller.

The unicorn of Scripture, however, which is there spoken of as an animal of great size and strength, is probably one of the species of two-horned rhinoceros. Mr. Burchell has described one in the Bulletin des Sciences, Juin, 1817. In the 15th number of the Missionary Sketches, published by the London Missionary Society, is a description, accompanied by a wood-cut, of a species shot in South Africa—the head of which is preserved in the museum of the society, Old Jewry, London: which seems, on account of its great size, strength, and ferocity, and of the extraordinary length of its anterior horn, not unlikely to have been the unicorn of Scripture.

not be secure what creature is meant thereby, what constant shape it holdeth, or in what number to be received. For as far as our endeavours discover, this animal is not uniformly described, but differently set forth by those that undertake Pliny affirmeth it is a fierce and terrible creature; Vartomannus, a tame and mansuete animal; those which Garcias ab Horto described about the Cape of Good Hope, were beheld with heads like horses; those which Vartomannus beheld, he described with the head of a deer; Pliny, Ælian, Solinus, and after these from ocular assurance, Paulus Venetus affirmeth the feet of the unicorn are undivided, and like the elephants; but those two which Vartomannus beheld at Mecca were, as he describeth, footed like a goat. As Ælian describeth, it is in the bigness of an horse: as Vartomannus, of a colt; that which Thevet speaketh of was not so big as an heifer; but Paulus Venetus affirmeth they are but little Which are discriminations very mateless than elephants. rial, and plainly declare, that under the same name authors describe not the same animal: so that the unicorn's horn of one, is not that of another, although we proclaim an equal virtue in all.

Thirdly, although we were agreed what animal this was, or differed not in its description yet would this also afford but little satisfaction; for the horn we commonly extol is not the same with that of the ancients. For that, in the description of Ælian and Pliny, was black; this which is showed amongst us is commonly white, none black; and of those five which Scaliger beheld, though one spadiceous, or of a light red, and two inclining to red, yet was there not any of this complexion among them.

Fourthly, what horns soever they be which pass amongst us, they are not surely the horns of any one kind of animal, but must proceed from several sorts of unicorns. For some are wreathed, some not: that famous one which is preserved at St. Denis, near Paris, hath wreathy spires, and cochleary turnings about it, which agreeth with the description of the unicorn's horn in Ælian. Those two in the treasure of St. Mark are plain and best accord with those of the Indian ass, or the descriptions of other unicorns: that in the repository of the Elector of Saxony is plain and not hollow, and is believed to be a true land unicorn's horn. Albertus

Magnus describeth one ten feet long, and at the base about thirteen inches compass: and that of Antwerp, which Goropius Becanus describeth, is not much inferior unto it; which best agree unto the descriptions of the sea-unicorns; for these, as Olaus affirmeth, are of that strength and bigness, as to be able to penetrate the ribs of ships. The same is more probable, because it was brought from Iceland, from whence, as Becanus affirmeth, three other were brought in his days: and we have heard of some which have been found by the sea-side, and brought unto us from America. So that, while we commend the unicorn's horn, and conceive it peculiar but unto one animal, under apprehension of the some virtue we use very many, and commend that effect from all, which every one confineth unto some one he hath either seen or described.

Fifthly, although there be many unicorns, and consequently many horns, yet many there are which bear that name, and currently pass among us, which are no horns at Such are those fragments and pieces of lapis ceratites, commonly termed cornu fossile, whereof Boëtius had no less than twenty several sorts presented him for unicorn's horns. Hereof, in subterraneous cavities, and under the earth, there are many to be found in several parts of Germany, which are but the lapidescencies and petrifactive mutations of hard bodies: sometimes of horn, of teeth, of bones, and branches of trees, whereof there are some so imperfectly converted, as to retain the odour and qualities of their originals, as he relateth of pieces of ash and walnut. Again, in most, if not all, which pass amongst us, and are extolled for precious horns, we discover not an affection common unto other horns; that is, they mollify not with fire, they soften not upon decoction or infusion, nor will they afford a jelly or mucilaginous concretion in either; which notwithstanding we may effect in goat's horns, sheep's, cow's, and hart's horn; in the horn of the rhinoceros, the horn of the pristis, or sword-fish.4 Nor do they become friable or easily powder-

^{*} an affection common unto other horns, &c.] It would appear that Browne had confounded true horn (which is composed of coagulated albumen, with a little gelatin, and about a half per cent. of phosphate of lime), with hart's horn, and others of a similar nature, intermediate between bone and horn.

able by philosophical calcination, that is, from the vapour or steam of water, but split and rift contrary to other horns. Briefly, many of those commonly received, and whereof there be so many fragments preserved in England, are not only no horn, but a substance harder than a bone, that is, parts of the tooth of a morse or sea-horse: in the midst of the solider part containing a curdled grain, which is not to be found in ivory. This, in northern regions, is of frequent use for hafts of knives or hilts of swords, and being burnt, becomes a good remedy for fluxes; but antidotally used, and exposed for unicorn's horn, it is an insufferable delusion, and with more veniable deceit it might have been practised in hart's horn.

The like deceit may be practised in the teeth of other sea animals; in the teeth also of the hippopotamus, or great animal which frequenteth the river Nilus: for we read that the same was anciently used instead of ivory, or elephant's tooth. Nor is it to be omitted, what hath been formerly suspected, but now confirmed by Olaus Wormius, and Thomas Bartholinus, and others, that those long horns, preserved as precious rarities in many places, are but the teeth of narwhals, to be found about Iceland, Greenland, and other northern regions, of many feet long, commonly wreathed, very deeply fastened in the upper jaw, and standing directly forward, graphically described in Bartholinus,* according unto one sent from a bishop of Iceland, not separated from the crany. Hereof Mercator hath taken notice in his description of Iceland: some relations hereof there seem to be in Purchas, who also delivereth, that the horn at Windsor was in his second voyage brought hither by Forbisher. These, before the northern discoveries, as unknown rarities, were carried by merchants into all parts of Europe; and though found on the sea-shore, were sold at very high rates; but are now become more common, and probably in time will prove of little esteem; and the bargain of Julius the Third be accounted a very hard one, who stuck not to give many thousand crowns for one.

Nor is it great wonder we may be so deceived in this, being daily gulfed in the brother antidote, bezoar; whereof

though many be false, yet one there passeth amongst us of more intolerable delusion, somewhat paler than the true stone, and given by women in the extremity of great diseases, which, notwithstanding is no stone, but seems to be the stony seed of some *lithospermum* or greater grumwell; or the *lobus echinatus* of Clusius, called also the bezoar nut; for being broken, it discovereth a kernel of a leguminous smell and taste, bitter like a lupine, and will swell and sprout if set in the ground, and therefore more serviceable for issues, than dangerous and virulent diseases.⁵

Sixthly, although we were satisfied we had the unicorn's horn, yet were it no injury unto reason to question the efficacy thereof, or whether those virtues pretended do properly belong unto it. For what we observed (and it escaped not the observation of Paulus Jovius many years past), none of the ancients ascribed any medicinal or antidotal virtue unto the unicorn's horn; and that which Ælian extolleth, who was the first and only man of the ancients who spake of the medical virtue of any unicorn, was the horn of the Indian ass; whereof, saith he, the princes of those parts make bowls and drink therein, as preservatives against poison, convulsions, and the falling sickness. Now the description of that horn is not agreeable unto that we commend; for that (saith he) is red above, white below, and black in the middle; which is very different from ours, or any to be seen amongst us. And thus, though the description of the unicorn be very ancient, yet was there of old no virtue ascribed unto it; and although this amongst us receive the opinion of the same virtue, yet is it not the same horn whereunto the ancients ascribed it.

Lastly, although we allow it an antidotal efficacy, and such as the ancients commended, yet are there some virtues ascribed thereto by moderns not easily to be received; and it hath surely fallen out in this, as other magnified medicines, whose operations, effectual in some diseases, are presently extended unto all. That some antidotal quality it may have, we have no reason to deny; for since elk's hoofs and horns are magnified for epilepsies, since not only the

⁵ The like deceit, &c.] These two paragraphs were first added in the 2nd edition.

bone in the hart, but the horn of the deer is alexipharmical,* and ingredient into the confection of hyacinth, and the electuary of Maximilian, we cannot without prejudice except against the efficacy of this. But when we affirm it is not only antidotal to proper venoms, and substances destructive by qualities we cannot express; but that it resisteth also sublimate, arsenick, and poisons which kill by second qualities, that is, by corrosion of parts; I doubt we exceed the properties of its nature, and the promises of experiment will not secure the adventure. And therefore in such extremities, whether there be not more probable relief from fat and oily substances, which are the open tyrants over salt and corrosive bodies, than precious and cordial medicines which operate by secret and disputable properties; or whether he that swallowed lime, and drank down mercury water, did not more reasonably place his cure in milk, butter, or oil, than if he had recurred unto pearl and bezoar, common reason at all times, and necessity in the like case, would easily determine.

Since therefore, there be many unicorns; since that whereto we appropriate a horn is so variously described, that it seemeth either never to have been seen by two persons, or not to have been one animal; since though they agreed in the description of the animal, yet is not the horn we extol the same with that of the ancients; since what horns soever they be that pass among us, they are not the horns of one, but several animals: since many in common use and high esteem are no horns at all; since if they were true horns, yet might their virtues be questioned; since though we allowed some virtues, yet were not others to be received; with what security a man may rely on this remedy, the mistress of fools hath already instructed some, and to wisdom (which is never too wise to learn), it is not too late to consider.

^{*} Expulsive of poisons.

CHAPTER XXIV.

That all Animals of the Land are in their kind in the Sea.

That all animals of the land are, in their kind, in the sea, although received as a principle, is a tenet very questionable, and will admit of restraint. For some in the sea are not to be matched by any enquiry at land, and hold those shapes which terrestrious forms approach not; as may be observed in the moon-fish, or orthragoriscus, the several sorts of rays, torpedos, oysters, and many more; and some there are in the land which were never maintained to be in the sea, as panthers, hyænas, camels, sheep, moles, and others, which carry no name in icthyology, nor are to be found in the exact descriptions of Rondeletius, Gesner, or Aldrovandus.

Again, though many there be which make out their nominations, as the hedgehog, sea serpents, and others; yet are there also very many that bear the name of animals at land. which hold no resemblance in corporal configuration; in which account, we compute vulpecula, canis, rana, passer, cuculus, asellus, turdus, lepus, &c. Wherein while some are called the fox, the dog, the sparrow or frog fish, and are known by common names with those at land; yet as their describers attest, they receive not these appellations from a total similitude in figure, but any concurrence in common accidents, in colour, condition or single conformation. As for sea-horses, which much confirm this assertion, in their common descriptions, they are but grotesco delineations, which fill up empty spaces in maps, and mere pictorial inventions, not any physical shapes: suitable unto those which (as Pliny delivereth) Praxiteles long ago set out in the temple of Domitius. For that which is commonly called a sea-horse, is properly called a morse, and makes not out

⁶ descriptions.] But Scaliger, in his 187th exercitation, relates a particular description of them, and that 2 of them having got from the Portugals (watching at Capo Viride in the mouth of Gambra) as soone as they sawe the men returne to the long boote, set upon them most fiercely, and were not driven away with blowes; but as despairinge of doing any hurt to the men.—Wr.

that shape. That which the ancients named hippocampus, is a little animal about six inches long, and not preferred beyond the class of insects. That which they termed hippopotamus, an amphibious animal, about the river Nile, so little resembleth an horse, that, as Matthiolus observeth, in all except the feet it better makes out a swine. That which they termed a lion, was but a kind of lobster; that which they called the bear, was but one kind of crab; and that which they named bos marinus, was not as we conceive a fish resembling an ox, but a skait or thornback, so named from its bigness, expressed by the Greek word bous, which is a prefix of augmentation to many words in that language.

And therefore, although it be not denied that some in the water do carry a justifiable resemblance to some at land, yet are the major part which bear their names, unlike; nor do they otherwise resemble the creatures on earth, than they on earth the constellations which pass under animal names in heaven; nor the dog-fish at sea much more make out the dog of the land, than that his cognominal or namesake in the heavens. Now if from a similitude in some, it be reasonable to infer a correspondence in all, we may draw this analogy of animals upon plants; for vegetables there are which carry a near and allowable similitude unto animals.* We might also conclude that animal shapes were generally made out in minerals: for several stones there are that bear their names in relation to animals or their parts, as lapis anguinus, conchites, echinites, encephalites, ægophthalmus, and many more; as will appear in the writers of minerals, and especially in Boëtius and Aldrovandus.

Moreover, if we concede that the animals of one element might bear the names of those in the other, yet in strict reason the watery productions should have the prenomination, and they of the land rather derive their names than nominate those of the sea; for the watery plantations were first existent, and as they enjoyed a priority in form, had

^{*} Fab. Column. de stirp. rarioribus, Orchis, Cercopithecophora, \boldsymbol{A} nthropophora.

not preferred, &c.] A mistake. The hippocampus is one of the osseous fishes, belonging to the tribe called, by Cuvier, lophobranches.—syngnathus hippocampus, Lin.; but now constituted a distinct genus, hippocampus vulgaris.

also in nature precedent denominations; but falling not under that nomenclature of Adam, which unto terrestrious animals assigned a name appropriate unto their natures, from succeeding spectators they received arbitrary appellations, and were respectively denominated unto creatures known at land, who in themselves had independent names, and not to be called after them which were created before them.

Lastly, by this assertion we restrain the hand of God,⁸ and abridge the variety of the creation, making the creatures of one element, but an acting over those of another, and conjoining as it were the species of things which stood at distance in the intellect of God, and though united in the chaos, had several seeds of their creation. For although in that indistinguished mass all things seemed one, yet separated by the voice of God, according to their species, they came out in incommunicated varieties, and irrelative seminalities, as well as divided places, and so although we say the world was made in six days, yet was there as it were a world in every one, that is, a distinct creation of distinguished creatures; a distinction in time of creatures divided in nature, and a several approbation and survey in every one

CHAPTER XXV.9

Concerning the common course of our Diet, in making choice of some animals, and abstaining from eating others.

Why we confine our food unto certain animals, and totally reject some others, how these distinctions crept into several nations, and whether this practice be built upon solid reason, or chiefly supported by custom or opinion, may admit consideration.

For first, there is no absolute necessity to feed on any, and if we resist not the stream of authority, and several deductions from Holy Scripture, there was no sarcophagy* before

* Eating of flesh.

This chapter was new in 2nd edition.

⁸ we restrain the hand of God.] This is a greate inconsequent, for both baboons and tritons imitate the shape of man, without disparagement to him, or (the Creator) Him that made man.—Wr.

the flood, and without the eating of flesh, our fathers, from vegetable aliments, preserved themselves unto longer lives than their posterity by any other. For whereas it is plainly said, "I have given you every herb which is upon the face of all the earth, and every tree; to you it shall be for meat:"—presently after the deluge, when the same had destroyed or infirmed¹ the nature of vegetables, by an expression of enlargement it is again delivered, "Every moving thing that liveth shall be meat for you; even as the green herb have I given you all things."

And therefore, although it be said that Abel was a shepherd, and it be not readily conceived the first man would keep sheep, except they made food thereof; great expositors will tell us, that it was partly for their skins wherewith they were clothed, partly for their milk whereby they were sustained, and partly for sacrifices, which they also offered.

And though it may seem improbable that they offered flesh yet ate none thereof, and Abel can hardly be said to offer the firstlings of his stock, and the fat or acceptable part, if men used not to taste the same, whereby to raise such distinctions; some will confine the eating of flesh unto the line of Cain, who extended their luxury, and confined not unto the rule of God. That if at any time the line of Seth ate flesh, it was extraordinary, and not only at their sacrifices; or else, as Grotius hinteth, if any such practice there were, it was not from the beginning, but from that time when the ways of men were corrupted, and whereof it is said, that the wickedness of man's heart was great; the more righteous part of mankind probably conforming unto the diet prescribed in Paradise, and the state of innocency; and vet however the practice of man conformed, this was the injunction of God, and might be therefore sufficient, without the food of flesh.

That they fed not on flesh, at least the faithful party, before the flood, may become more probable, because they refrained the same for some time after. For so it was generally delivered of the golden age and reign of Saturn, which is conceived the time of Noah, before the building of Babel.

infirmed.] What scriptural evidence have we that the flood had "impaired the properties" of the vegetables which had been and still remained as food for man?

And he that considereth how agreeable this is unto the traditions of the Gentiles; that that age was of one tongue; that Saturn devoured all his sons but three: that he was the son of Oceanus and Thetis; that a ship was his symbol; that he taught the culture of vineyards, and the art of husbandry. and was therefore described with a sickle, may well conceive these traditions had their original in Noah. Nor did this practice terminate in him, but was continued at least in many after; as (beside the Pythagoreans of old, and Banyans now in India, who, upon single opinions refrain the food of flesh). ancient records do hint or plainly deliver; although we descend not so low as that of Asclepiades delivered by Porphyrius,* that men began to feed on flesh in the reign of Pygmaleon, brother of Dido, who invented several torments to punish the eaters of flesh.

Nor did men only refrain from the flesh of beasts at first, but, as some will have it, beasts from one another. And if we should believe very grave conjectures, carnivorous animals now were not flesh devourers then, according to the expression of the divine provision for them; "To every beast of the earth, and to every fowl of the air, I have given every green herb for meat, and it was so." And is also collected from the store laid up in the ark, wherein there seems to have been no fleshy provision for carnivorous animals. every kind of unclean beast there went but two into the ark. and therefore no stock of flesh to sustain them many days, much less almost a year.

But whenever it be acknowledged that men began to feed on flesh, yet how they betook themselves after to particular kinds thereof, with rejection of many others, is a point not clearly determined. As for the distinction of clean and unclean beasts, the original is obscure, and salveth not our practice. For no animal is naturally unclean, or hath this character in nature, and therefore whether in this distinction there were not some mystical intention; whether Moses, after the distinction made of unclean beasts, did not name these so before the flood by anticipation; whether this distinction before the flood were not only in regard of sacrifices, as that delivered after was in regard of food (for many were

clean for food, which were unclean for sacrifice), or whether the denomination were but comparative, and of beasts less commodious for food, although not simply bad, is not yet resolved.

And as for the same distinction in the time of Moses, long after the flood, from thence we hold no restriction, as being no rule unto nations beside the Jews, in dietetical consideration or natural choice of diet, they being enjoined or prohibited certain foods upon remote and secret intentions. Especially thereby to avoid community with the Gentiles upon promiscuous commensality, or to divert them from the idolatry of Egypt, whence they came, they were enjoined to eat the gods of Egypt in the food of sheep and oxen. Withal in this distinction of animals the consideration was hieroglyphical, in the bosom and inward sense implying an abstinence from certain vices symbolically intimated from the nature of those animals, as may be well made out in the prohibited meat of swine, cony, owl, and many more.

At least the intention was not medical, or such as might oblige unto conformity, or imitation: for some we refrain which that law alloweth, as locusts and many others; and some it prohibiteth, which are accounted good meat in strict and medical censure, as (beside many fishes which have not fins and scales) the swine, cony, and hare, a dainty dish with the ancients; as is delivered by Galen, testified by Martial, as the popular opinion implied that men grew fair by the flesh thereof, by the diet of Cato, that is, hare and cabbage, and the jus nigrum,* or black broth of the Spartans, which was made with the blood and bowels of an hare.

And if we take a view of other nations we shall discover that they refrained many meats upon like considerations. For in some the abstinence was symbolical: so Pythagoras enjoined abstinence from fish, that is, luxurious and dainty dishes; so, according to Herodotus, some Egyptians refrained swine's flesh, as an impure and sordid animal, which whoever but touched was fain to wash himself.

Some abstained superstitiously or upon religious considerations: so the Syrians refrained fish and pigeons; the

^{*} Inter quadrupedes mattya prima lepus.

Egyptians of old, dogs, eels, and crocodiles, though Leo Africanus delivers that many of late do eat them with good gust; and Herodotus also affirmeth that the Egyptians of Elephantina (unto whom they were not sacred) did eat thereof in elder times; and writers testify that they are eaten at this day in India and America. And so, as Cæsar reports,* unto the ancient Britains it was piaculous² to taste a goose, which dish at present no table is without.

Unto some nations the abstinence was political, and for some civil advantage: so the Thessalians refrained storks, because they destroyed their serpents; and the like in

sundry animals is observable in other nations.

And under all these considerations were some animals refrained: so the Jews abstained from swine at first symbolically, as an emblem of impurity, and not fear of the leprosy, as Tacitus would put upon them. The Cretians superstitiously, upon tradition that Jupiter was suckled into that country by a sow. Some Egyptians politically, because they supplied the labour of plowing by rooting up the ground. And upon like considerations, perhaps, the Phœnicians and Syrians fed not on this animal; and, as Solinus reports, the Arabians also and Indians. A great part of mankind refraining one of the best foods, and such as Pythagorus himself would eat; who, as Aristoxenus records, refused not to feed on pigs.

Moreover, while we single out several dishes, and reject others, the selection seems but arbitrary, or upon opinion; for many are commended and cried up in one age, which are decried and nauseated in another. Thus, in the days of Mæcenas, no flesh was preferred before young asses; which notwithstanding became abominable unto succeeding appetites. At the table of Heliogabalus the combs of cocks were an esteemed service; which country stomachs will not admit at ours. The sumen, or belly and dugs of swine with pig, and sometimes beaten and bruised unto death; the womb of the same animal, especially that was barren, or else had cast her young ones, though a tough and membranous part, was magnified by Roman palates; whereunto

^{*} Lib. v. De Bello Gall.

⁺ Aul. Gell. lib. iv.

² piaculous.] Requiring expiation.

nevertheless, we cannot persuade our stomachs. How alec, muria, and garum, would humour our gust I know not; but surely few there are that could delight in their cyceon, that is, the common draught of honey, cheese, parched barleyflower, oil, and wine; which notwithstanding was a commended mixture, and in high esteem among them. mortify ourselves with the diet of fish, and think we fare coarsely if we refrain from the flesh of other animals. But antiquity held another opinion hereof; when Pythagoras, in prevention of luxury, advised not so much as to taste of Since the Rhodians were wont to call them clowns that eat flesh; and since Plato, to evidence the temperance of the noble Greeks before Troy, observed, that it was not found they fed on fish, though they lay so long near the Hellespont, and it was only observed in the companions of Menelaus,* that, being almost starved, they betook them-

selves to fishing about Pharos.

Nor will (I fear) the attest or prescript of philosophers and physicians be a sufficient ground to confirm or warrant common practice, as is deducible from ancient writers, from Hippocrates, Galen, Simeon, Sethi, and the latter tracts of Nonnus† and Castellanus.‡ So Aristotle and Albertus commend the flesh of young hawks; Galen & the flesh of foxes about autumn, when they feed on grapes; but condemneth quails; and ranketh geese but with ostriches: which, notwithstanding, present practice and every table extolleth. Men think they have fared hardly, if in times of extremity they have descended so low as dogs: but Galen delivereth, || that young, fat, and gelded, they were the food of many nations: and Hippocrates Tranketh the flesh of whelps with that of birds, who also commends them against the spleen, and to promote conception. The opinion in Galen's time, which Pliny also followeth, deeply condemneth horse-flesh, and conceived the very blood thereof destructive: but no diet is more common among the Tartars, who also drink their blood. And though this may only seem an adventure of northern stomachs, yet as Herodotus tells us. in the hotter clime of Persia the same was a convivial dish.

iv. + Non. De Re Cibaria. ‡ Cast. De Esu Carnium. § Gal. Alim. sac. lib. iii. Gal. Simpl. fac. lib. iii. ¶ Hip. De Morbis de supersit.

and solemnly eaten at the feasts of their nativities; whereat they dressed whole horses, camels, and asses, contemning the poverty of Grecian feasts, as unfurnished of dishes

sufficient to fill the bellies of their guests.

Again, while we confine our diet in several places, all things almost are eaten, if we take in the whole earth; for that which is refused in one country is accepted in another, and in the collective judgment of the world, particular distinctions are overthrown. Thus were it not hard to show, that tigers, elephants, camels, mice, bats, and others, are the food of several countries; and Lerius, with others, delivers, that some Americans eat of all kinds, not refraining toads and serpents; and some have run so high, as not to spare the flesh of man; a practice inexcusable, nor to be drawn into example, a diet beyond the rule and largest indulgence of God.

As for the objection against beasts and birds of prey it acquitteth not our practice, who observe not this distinction in fishes, nor regard the same in our diet of pikes, perches, and eels; nor are we excused herein, if we examine the stomachs of mackerels, cods, and whitings. Nor is the foulness of food sufficient to justify our choice: for (beside that their natural heat is able to convert the same into laudable aliment) we refuse not many whose diet is more impure than some which we reject; as may be considered in hogs, ducks, puets, and many more.

³ all things almost are eaten, &c.] This chapter, which exhibits all the characteristic acuteness of our author, and has afforded opportunity for the display of his extensive and very curious reading, reminds me of a passage in Burchell's Southern Africa, vol. ii. p. 33, to which I refer the reader.

I remember an amusing illustration of the adage, that one man's food is another's poison, in an incident of which I was a witness. Some years ago, visiting France in company with a Scotch gentleman, we sat down to dinner, just after our landing, at a table d'hôte, at Dieppe. Among the dishes which had been provided to suit the nationality of British visitors, was some "ros bif;" a lean square lump of beef rossted to the consistence of mahogany, served up with thin sour gravy. My Scotch friend, after vainly endeavouring to feed on the French dishes, was introduced to the beef. Its toughness he might have endured; but the thin sour gravy was too much! He turned to me with a face of absolute despair, exclaiming, "I'll certainly be started in this country." Milk and eggs were the only food I could prevail on him to taste.

Thus we perceive the practice of diet doth hold no certain course nor solid rule of selection or confinement; some in an indistinct voracity eating almost any; others out of a timorous pre-opinion refraining very many. Wherein, indeed, necessity, reason, and physic, are the best determinators. Surely many animals may be fed on, like many plants; though not in alimental, yet medical considerations: whereas, having raised antipathies by pre-judgment or education, we often nauseate proper meats, and abhor that diet which disease or temper requireth.

Now, whether it were not best to conform unto the simple diet of our forefathers; whether pure and simple waters were not more healthful than fermented liquors; whether there be not an ample sufficiency without all flesh, in the food of honey, oil, and the several parts of milk; in the variety of grains, pulses, and all sorts of fruits, since either bread or beverage may be made almost of all; whether nations have rightly confined unto several meats; or whether the common food of one country be not more agreeable unto another; how industinctly all tempers apply unto the same, and how the diet of youth and old age is confounded; were considerations much concerning health, and might prolong our days, but not this discourse.

CHAPTER XXVI.4

Of the Spermaceti Whale.

What spermaceti is, men might justly doubt, since the learned Hofmannus, in his work of thirty years,* saith plainly, Nescio quid sit. And therefore need not wonder at the variety of opinions; while some conceived it to be flos maris; and many, a bituminous substance floating upon the sea.

That it was not the spawn of the whale, according to vulgar conceit or nominal appellation, philosophers have always doubted, not easily conceiving the seminal humour of animals should be inflammable or of a floating nature.

* De Medicamentis Officin.

⁴ Chap. xxvi.] This chapter was first added in 3rd edition. VOL. I. 2 A

That it proceedeth from the whale, beside the relation of Clusius and other learned observers, was indubitably determined, not many years since, by a spermaceti whale, cast on our coast of Norfolk;* which, to lead on further enquiry, we cannot omit to inform. It contained no less than sixty feet in length, the head somewhat peculiar, with a large prominency over the mouth; teeth only in the lower jaw, received into fleshy sockets in the upper. The weight of the largest about two pounds; no gristly substances in the mouth, commonly called whale-bones; only two short fins seated forwardly on the back; the eyes but small; the pizzle large and prominent. A lesser whale of this kind, above twenty years ago, was cast upon the same shore.†

The description of this whale seems omitted by Gesner, Rondeletius, and the first editions of Aldrovandus; but described in the Latin impression of Pareus, in the Exoticks of Clusius, and the Natural History of Nirembergius; but

more amply in the icons and figures of Johnstonus.

Mariners (who are not the best nomenclators) called it a jubartas, or rather gibbartas. Of the same appellation we meet with one in Rondeletius, called by the French, gibbar, from its round and gibbous back. The name, gibbarta, we find also given unto one kind of Greenland whales; but this of ours seemed not to answer the whale of that denomination, but was more agreeable unto the trumpo or spermaceti whale, 5 according to the account of our Greenland describers in Purchas; and maketh the third among the eight remarkable whales of that coast.

Out of the head of this whale, having been dead divers days and under putrefaction, flowed streams of oil and spermaceti, which was carefully taken up and preserved by the coasters. But upon breaking up, the magazine of spermaceti was found in the head, lying in folds and courses, in the bigness of goose-eggs, encompassed with large flaky substances,

* Near Wells.

+ Near Hunstanton.

⁵ trumpo or spermaceti whale.] The cachalot macrocephalus. The upper part of its enormous head, as here described, is filled with an oil, called (very absurdly) spermaceti, which fixes when it cools, assuming a consistence like that of the pulp of a water-melon, and when completely concrete, it is crystallized and brilliant,

as large as a man's head, in form of honeycombs, very white and full of oil.

Some resemblance or trace hereof there seems to be in the physiter or capidolio of Rondeletius; while he delivers, that a fatness, more liquid than oil, runs from the brain of that animal; which being out, the relicks are like the scales of Sardinos pressed into a mass; which melting with heat, are again concreted by cold. And this many conceive to have been the fish which swallowed Jonas; although, for the largeness of the mouth, and frequency in those seas, it may possibly be the lamia.

Some part of the *spermaceti* found on the shore was pure, and needed little depuration; a great part mixed with fætid oil, needing good preparation, and frequent expression, to bring it to a flaky consistency. And not only the head, but other parts contained it. For the carnous parts being roasted the oil dropped out, an axungious and thicker part subsiding; the oil itself contained also much in it, and still

after many years some is obtained from it.

Greenland enquirers seldom meet with a whale of this kind; and therefore it is but a contingent commodity, not reparable from any other. It flameth white and candent like camphor, but dissolveth not in aqua fortis like it. Some lumps containing about two ounces, kept ever since in water, afford a fresh and flosculous smell. Well prepared and separated from the oil, it is of a substance unlikely to decay, and may outlast the oil required in the composition of Matthiolus.

Of the large quantity of oil, what first came forth by expression from the *spermaceti* grew very white and clear, like that of almonds or *ben*. What came by decoction was red. It was found to spend much in the vessels which contained it; it freezeth or coagulateth quickly with cold, and the newer soonest. It seems different from the oil of any other animal, and very much frustrated the expectation of our soap-boilers, as not incorporating or mingling with their lyes. But it mixeth well with painting colours, though hardly drieth at all. Combers of wool made use hereof, and country people for cuts, aches, and hard tumours. It may prove of good

⁶ axungious.] Fatty. From axungia. 2 A 2.

medical use, and serve for a ground in compounded oils and balsams. Distilled, it affords a strong oil, with a quick and piercing water. Upon evaporation it gives a balsam, which is better performed with turpentine distilled with spermaceti.

Had the abominable scent permitted, enquiry had been made into that strange composure of the head, and hillock of flesh about it. Since the workmen affirmed they met with spermaceti before they came to the bone, and the head yet preserved seems to confirm the same. The sphincters inserving unto the fistula or spout, might have been examined, since they are so notably contrived in other cetaceous animals; as also the larynx or throttle, whether answerable unto that of dolphins and porpoises in the strange composure and figure which it maketh. What figure the stomach maintained in this animal of one jaw of teeth, since in porpoises, which abound in both, the ventricle is trebly divided, and since in that formerly taken nothing was found but weeds and a loligo. The heart, lungs, and kidneys, had not escaped; wherein are remarkable differences from animals of the land: likewise what humour the bladder contained, but especially the seminal parts, which might have determined the difference of that humour from this which beareth its name.

In vain it was to rake for ambergriese 7 in the paunch of this leviathan, as Greenland discoverers, and attests of experience dictate that they sometimes swallow great lumps thereof in the sea; insufferable feetor denying that enquiry: and yet if, as Paracelsus encourageth, ordure makes the best musk, and from the most feetid substances may be drawn the most odoriferous essences; all that had not Vespasian's nose * might boldly swear here was a subject fit for such extractions

* Cui odor lucri ex re qualibet.

⁷ ambergriese.] This substance is excrement hardened by disease, and mixed with undigested aliment: found in lumps in the intestines.

CHAPTER XXVII.

Compendiously of the musical note of Swans before their death; that the flesh of Peucocks corrupteth not; that they are ashamed of their legs; that Storks will only live in republicks and free states; of the noise of a Bittern by putting the bill in a reed; that Whelps are blind nine days; of the antipathy between a Toad and a Spider, a Lion and a Cock; that an Eurory hath no wings; of Worms; that Flies make that humming noise by their mouths or wings; of the Tainct or small Red Spider; of the Glow-worm; of the providence of Pismires in biting off the ends of corn.

1. And first, from great antiquity, and before the melody of Syrens, the musical note of swans hath been commended, and that they sing most sweetly before their death: for thus we read in Plato, that from the opinion of *Metempsychosis*, or transmigration of the souls of men into the bodies of beasts most suitable unto their human condition, after his death Orpheus the musician became a swan; thus was it the bird of Apollo, the god of music, by the Greeks; and an hieroglyphick of music among the Egyptians, from whom the Greeks derived the conception;—hath been the affirmation of many Latins, and hath not wanted assertors almost from every nation.

All which notwithstanding, we find this relation doubtfully received by Ælian, as an hearsay account by Bellonius, as a false one by Pliny, expressly refuted by Myndius in Athenæus, and severely rejected by Scaliger; whose words unto Cardan are these: De cygno verò cantu suavissimo quem cum parente mendaciorum Græcia jactare ausus es, ad Luciani tribunal apud quem novi aliquid dicas, statuo. Authors also that countenance it, speak not satisfactorily of it: some affirming they sing not till they die; some that they sing, yet die not. Some speak generally, as though this note were in all; some but particularly, as though it were only in some; some in places remote, and where we can have no trial of it; others in places where every experience can refute it; as

^{*} an hieroglyphick, &c.] In Horapollo. Neither Dr. Young nor Champollion speaks of it, though the latter mentions, as represented in hieroglyphicks, "many web-footed birds." Br.

Aldrovandus upon relation delivered concerning the music of the swans on the river of Thames, near London.

Now that which countenanceth and probably confirmeth this opinion, is the strange and unusual conformation of the windpipe, or vocal organ⁹ in this animal; observed first by Aldrovandus, and conceived by some contrived for this intention. For in its length it far exceedeth the gullet, and hath in the chest a sinuous revolution, that is, when it ariseth from the lungs it ascendeth not directly unto the throat, but de-

⁹ conformation of the windpipe, &c.] The vast variety which exists, in quality and extent of tone, as well as in diversity of modulation in the cry and song of birds, arises from a corresponding variety in the structure of their organs of voice. This curious subject has been investigated with much diligence and ingenuity by various ornithologists; especially by Dr. Latham some years ago, and more recently by Mr. Yarrell. Their papers, in the Linnaan Transactions, vols. iv. xv. and xvi., will afford much gratification to those readers who feel an interest in the subject. From the examination of these naturalists, it appears, that much of the strength, as well as perfection, of the song of birds, is attributable to the number and size of the muscles of the larynx. Those of the singing birds are the most numerous of any; and in the nightingale are stronger than in any other bird of the same size. The power and depth of tone in some birds will be found to increase with the elongation of the tube. On which principle it is, that the difference of the vocal powers of the mute swan and hooper, or wild swan, must be explained. The more complicated the structure of the tube, the more disagreeable the sound of the voice; the simple forms belonging to the most delightful of our singing birds. Again, shrill notes are produced by short tubes (as in the case of the singing birds), and deep tones by long tubes (as in the waders and swimmers). The substance of the tube itself is also to be considered: birds possessing strong and broad cartilages, or bony rings, have monotonous and loud voices, while the more slender rings allow a corresponding variety in the scale of tone. Mr. Yarrell concludes his second paper with the following observation: -" It will perhaps be objected, that the utmost extent of motion which birds appear to possess the power of exercising, over the various parts of their organ of voice, seems insufficient to account for the effects produced; but it may in answer be urged, that the closest examination or most scientific demonstration of the chordæ vocales and muscles in man, with all the auxiliary appendages, afford but an imperfect illustration of the varied and extraordinary powers of the human voice." It need scarcely be observed, that the peculiarity noticed by our author in the tracheæ of the wild swan, has nothing to do with any extraordinary powers of submersion: but is the occasion of the shrill, piercing, and harsh note which has obtained from him the name of the whistler or hooper; an appellation far more applicable than that of the "musical" swan, for which he is indebted to fabulous antiquity.

scending first into a capsulary reception of the breast-bone, by a serpentine and trumpet recurvation it ascendeth again into the neck, and so by the length thereof a great quantity of air is received, and by the figure thereof a musical modulation effected. But to speak indifferently, this formation of the weazand is not peculiar unto the swan, but common also unto the platea or shovelard, a bird of no musical throat; and, as Aldrovandus confesseth, may thus be contrived in the swan to contain a larger stock of air, whereby being to feed on weeds at the bottom, they might the longer space detain their heads under water. But were this formation peculiar, or had they unto this effect an advantage from this part, yet have they a known and open disadvantage from another, that is, a flat bill. For no latirostrous 2 animal (whereof nevertheless there are no slender numbers), were ever commended for their note, or accounted among those animals which have been instructed to speak.

When therefore, we consider the dissension of authors, the falsity of relations, the indisposition of the organs, and the immusical note of all we ever beheld or heard of, if generally taken, and comprehending all swans, or of all places, we cannot assent thereto. Surely he that is bit with a tarantula, shall never be cured by this music; and with the same hopes we expect to hear the harmony of the spheres.

2. That there is a special propriety in the flesh of peacocks roasted or boiled, to preserve a long time incorrupted, hath been the assertion of many; stands yet confirmed by Austin, De civitate Dei; by Gygas Sempronius in Aldrovandus; and the same experiment we can confirm ourselves, in the brawn or fleshy parts of peacocks so hanged up with thread, that they touch no place whereby to contract a moisture: and hereof we have made trial both in summer and winter. The reason, some, I perceive, attempt to make out from the siccity and dryness of its flesh, and some are content to rest in a secret propriety hereof. As for the siccity³ of the flesh, it is more remarkable in other animals; as

weazand.] Winde-pipe.—Wr.
 latirostrous.] Broad or shovel-beaked.—Wr.

³ siccity.] There is a siccity which is joynd more with raritye: and another which approaches nearer to solidity; and of this kind are these 5 mentioned, especially 1, 3, 5. But the siccity of the peacock

eagles, hawks, and birds of prey. That it is a propriety or agreeable unto none other, we cannot, with reason, admit; for the same preservation, or rather incorruption, we have observed in the flesh of turkeys, capons, hares, partridges, venison, suspended freely in the air, and after a year and a half, dogs have not refused to eat them.⁴

As for the other conceit, that a peacock is ashamed when he looks on his legs, as is commonly held, and also delivered by Cardan: beside what hath been said against it by Scaliger; let them believe that hold specifical deformities, or that any part can seem unhandsome to their eyes, which hath appeared good and beautiful unto their Maker's. occasion of this conceit might first arise from a common observation, that when they are in their pride, that is advance their train, if they decline their neck to the ground, they presently demit, and let fall the same: which indeed they cannot otherwise do; for contracting their body, and being forced to draw in their fore-parts, to establish their hinder in the elevation of their train, if the fore-parts depart and incline to the ground, the hinder grow too weak, and suffer the train to fall. And the same in some degree is also observable in turkeys.

3. That storks are to be found, and will only live in republicks or free states, is a petty conceit to advance the opinion of popular policies, and from antipathies in nature to disparage monarchical government. But how far agreeable unto truth, let them consider who read in Pliny, that among the Thessalians, who were governed by kings, and much abounded with serpents, it was no less than capital to kill a

is accompanyed with an unwonted rarity, as appeares by his fethers, the largest and lightest of any other bird under heaven, which argues the drines of his natural temper, in extremo siccitatis; to which you may joyne the beauty of his colors, the whitenes, softnes, and tendernes of the pith in his wing and tayle fethers, proceeding (at a yard length) out of a quil, not an inche long, and soe thin and tender, that for want of substance and strength, are not so useful as a crowe's quil.—Wr.

the same preservation, &c.] "My pendent pantry, made of deal and fine fly wire, and suspended in the great walnut tree, proves an incomparable preservative for meat against flesh-flies. The flesh, by hanging in a brisk current of air, becomes dry on the surface, and keeps till it is tender without tainting."—Rev. G. White's MSS. Jesse's 2nd Gleanings, p. 171.

stork; that the ancient Egyptians honoured them, whose government was from all times monarchical; that Bellonius affirmeth men make them nests in France; that relations make them common in Persia, and the dominions of the great Turk; and lastly, how Jeremy the prophet delivered himself unto his countrymen, whose government was at that time monarchical;—"the stork in the heaven knoweth her appointed times; the turtle, crane, and swallow, observe the time of their coming; but my people know not the judgments of the Lord;"—wherein, to exprobrate their stupidity, he induceth the providence of storks. Now if the bird had been unknown, the illustration had been obscure, and the exprobration not so proper.

4. That a bittern maketh that mugient noise, or as we term it, bumping, by putting its bill into a reed, as most believe, or as Bellonius and Aldrovandus conceive, by putting the same in mud or water, and after awhile retaining the air by suddenly excluding it again, is not so easily made out. For my own part, though after diligent enquiry, I could never behold them in this motion. Notwithstanding, by others whose observations we have expressly requested, we are informed that some have beheld them making this noise on the shore, their bills being far enough removed from reed or water; that is, first strongly attracting the air, and unto a manifest distention of the neck, and presently after with great contention and violence excluding the same again. As for what others affirm, of putting their bill in water or mud, it is also hard to make out. For what may be observed from any that walketh the fens, there is little intermission, nor any observable pause, between the drawing in and sending forth of their breath. And the expiration or breathing forth doth not only produce a noise, but the inspiration or haling

* Jer. viii. 7.

6 mugient.] Bellowing, or rather braying, like an asse: for soe his compound name (in the Greeke) signifies ονοκρόταλος, i.e. the harrishe noyse of an asse.— Wr.

⁵ men make them nests, &c.] "There is a rich hospital at Fez, in Morocco, for the purpose of assisting and nursing sick cranes and storks, and of burying them when dead. They hold that storks are human beings in that form, from some distant islands."—Queen Bee, iii. 18.—Jeff.

in of the air, affordeth a sound that may be heard almost a

flight-shot.7

Now the reason of this strange and peculiar noise, is deduced from the conformation of the windpipe, which in this bird is different from other volatiles. For at the upper extreme it hath no fit larynx or throttle to qualify the sound, and at the other end, by two branches deriveth itself into the lungs. Which division consisteth only of semicircular fibres, and such as attain but half way round the part: by which formation they are dilatable into larger capacities, and are able to contain a fuller proportion of air; which being with violence sent up the weazand, and finding no resistance by the larynx, it issueth forth in a sound like that from caverns, and such as sometimes subterraneous eruptions from hollow rocks afford. As Aristotle observeth in a problem: * and

* Sect. xv.

7 but the inspiration, &c.] The screaming of parrots is said to be

effected by inspiration as well as expiration.

* Now the reason, &c.] See note on the organs of voice in birds, p. 358. The same contradiction of the common notion is given (from personal experience) by Rev. S. Fovargue, in his New Catalogue of Vulgar Errors, pp. 19, 20, 21. He gives, at the same time, a pleasant account of the cunning with which the bittern attempts to deceive his pursuer, when escape is impossible—after relating that he had heard a bittern utter this peculiar cry, and on repairing to the spot whence the sound proceeded, found that it was covered with coarse grass, where there were no reeds—he proceeds thus:—

"When the aforesaid bittern rose up, I shot, and wounded him slightly, and marked him down again in the same kind of grass or short mowed flags. As the grass was not higher than one's shoes, and it was wounded, I was in hopes of having the pleasure of seeing him lie on the ground very plain. However, I let my pointer go first, knowing that he would stand at the place. Accordingly he made a dead point at it. I came up as silent as possible, to take a view of it, but to my

great surprise, nothing was to be seen.

"There was indeed something which appeared long, like two green weeds lying among the grass, and there was something like a large spot

of dried grass or flags a little before them.

"While I was looking at the place, the dog, being out of patience, seized hold of this phænomenon, which proved to be no other than the bittern itself. Those things which seemed to be green weeds, were its legs extended at the full length, behind the bird, as it lay quite flat upon its belly; and that broad spot of brown or dried grass, was the body, with the wings extended to their full stretch, quite flat upon the ground, which, I believe, formed as complete a deceptic visus as any thing in nature."

being with violence, &c. Yf you observe the geese in their lowd

is observable in pitchers, bottles, and that instrument which Aponensis upon that problem describeth, wherewith in Aristotle's time gardeners affrighted birds.

Whether the large perforations of the extremities of the weazand, in the abdomen, admitting large quantity of air within the cavity of its membranes, as it doth in frogs, may not much assist this mugiency or boation, may also be considered. For such as have beheld them making this noise out of the water, observe a large distention in their bodies:

and their ordinary note is but like that of a raven.

5. That whelps are blind nine days, and then begin to see, is the common opinion of all, and some will be apt enough to descend upon oaths upon it. But this I find not answerable unto experience, for upon a strict observation of many, I have scarce found any that see the ninth day, few before the twelfth, and the eyes of some not open before the fourteenth day. And this is agreeable unto the determination of Aristotle, who computeth the time of their anopsy or non-vision by that, of their gestation. For some, saith he, do go with their young the sixth part of a year, two days over or under, that is, about sixty days or nine weeks; and the whelps of these see not till twelve days. Some go the fifth part of a year, that is seventy-one days; and these, saith he, see not before the fourteenth day. Others do go the fourth part of the year, that is, three whole months;2 and these, saith he, are without sight no less than seventeen days.3 Wherein although the accounts be different, yet doth the least thereof exceed the term of nine days, which is so generally received. And this compute of Aristotle doth generally overthrow the common cause alleged for this effect.

call (which is hearde very far) you shall observe a stronge commotion of

² three whole months.] i. e. 91 dayes.—Wr.

their lungs, rising to the bottom of the neck.—Wr.

1 begin to see.] Itt is probable, in hot, they saw after 9 dayes; in our clymate perhaps not till 12.-Wr.

³ seventeen days.] 'Tis observable that the soonest bred see soonest: and the reason is naturall. The acceleration of the birthe and sighte from one and the same cause; viz. the activity of the spirits in the braine, which in some kinde of dogs is seen much more than in others: and in all the lesser kinds more then the greater: in these, the spirits (of the whelps) being drowned in a loade of fat and fleshe, which afterwards growing dryer, gives them leave to put forthe the spirits to an highth of strength, though not of such nimbleness as in the lesser kindes. - Wr.

that is, a precipitation or over-hasty exclusion before the birth be perfect, according unto the vulgar adage, Festinans canis cacos parit catulos: for herein the whelps of longest gestation are also the latest in vision. The manner hereof is this: at the first littering their eyes are fastly closed, that is, by coalition or joining together of the eyelids, and so continue until about the twelfth day; at which time they begin to separate, and may be easily divelled4 or parted asunder; they open at the inward canthus or greater angle of the eye, and so by degrees dilate themselves quite open: an effect very strange, and the cause of much obscurity, wherein as yet men's enquiries are blind, and satisfaction not easily acquirable. Whatever it be, thus much we may observe, those animals are only excluded without sight which are multiparous and multifidous, that is, which have many at a litter, and have also their feet divided into many portions. For the swine, although multiparous, yet being bisulcous, and only cloven-hoofed, is not excluded in this manner, but farrowed with open eyes as other bisulcous animals.

6. The antipathy between a toad and a spider, and that they poisonously destroy each other, is very famous, and solemn stories have been written of their combats, wherein most commonly the victory is given unto the spider. Of what toads and spiders it is to be understood would be considered. For the phalangium and deadly spiders are different from those we generally behold in England. However, the verity hereof, as also of many others, we cannot but desire; for hereby we might be surely provided of proper antidotes in cases which require them. But what we have observed herein, we cannot in reason conceal; who having in a glass included a toad with several spiders, we beheld the spiders, without resistance to sit upon his head and pass over all his body; which at last upon advantage he swallowed down, and that in few hours, unto the number of seven.

⁴ divelled.] Pulled asunder.

⁵ seven.] This is a remarkable experiment, whereon wee maye conclude against the old deception.—Wr.

Erasmus tells a ridiculous story of a monk found asleep on his back, with a toad squatted upon his mouth. The brethren carefully conveying the body, placed it immediately under the web of a spider, who instantly descended upon, and at length slew the toad, and delivered the monk from an ugly death.

And in the like manner will toads also serve bees, and are accounted enemies unto their hives.6

- 7. Whether a lion be also afraid of a cock, as is related by many, and believed by most, were very easy in some places to make trial. Although how far they stand in fear of that animal we may sufficiently understand from what is delivered by Camerarius, whose words in his Symbola are these: Nostris temporibus in aula serenissimi Principis Bavariæ, unus ex leonibus miris saltibus in vicinam cujusdam domus aream sese dimisit, ubi gallinaceorum cantum aut clamores nihil reformidans, ipsos, una cum pluribus gallinis devoravit. That is, "in our time in the court of the Prince of Bayaria, one of the lions leaped down into a neighbour's yard, where, nothing regarding the crowing or noise of the cocks, he eat them up with many other hens." And therefore a very unsafe defensative it is against the fury of this animal (and surely no better than virginity or blood royal), which Pliny* doth place in cock broth: for herein, saith he, whoever is anointed (especially if garlick be boiled therein), no lion or panther will touch him. But of an higher nature it were, and more exalted antipathy, if that were certain which Proclus delivers, that solary demons, and such as appear in the shape of lions, will disappear and vanish if a cock be presented upon them.8
- 8. It is generally conceived an earwig hath no wings, and is reckoned amongst impennous insects by many: but he that

* De sacrificiis et magia.

6 hives. Which the bees (who of all creatures have the most accurate smell) soone perceive, and are poisoned with itt. That they never gather of more then one and the same flower in kinde, is manifest ad oculum: that by only flying swift by over many they discerne that one kind, are arguments of their exquisite smell .- Wr.

nothing regarding, &c.] The learned and reverend Bishop Andrewes was desirous to try this upon a young lyon, to whome hee cast in a younge cock, whom (as he was crowing) the lyon seized on (as a cat on a mouse) and tare him and eate him up. Hee related this to mee for information against the fabulous conceyte, anno 1620, at his own

table. — Wr.

Ross, rather than give up the old belief, accounts for the story told of the Prince of Bavaria's lion, by supposing it must have been mad! The bishop did not probably dream of such a solution.

8 But of an higher nature, &c.] This sentence first added in 2nd edit.

9 impennous.] Wingless.

shall narrowly observe them, or shall with a needle put aside the short and sheathy cases on their back, may extend and draw forth two wings of a proportionable length for flight, and larger than in many flies. The experiment of Pennius is yet more perfect, who with a brush or bristle so pricked them as to make them fly.

9. That worms are exanguinous animals, and such as have no blood at all, is the determination of philosophy, the general opinion of scholars, and I know not well how to dissent from thence myself. If so, surely we want a proper term whereby to express that humour in them which so strictly resembleth blood; and we refer it unto the discernment of others what to determine of that red and sanguinous humour, found more plentifully about the torquis or carneous circle of great worms in the spring, affording in linen or paper an indiscernible tincture from blood. Or wherein that differeth from a vein, which in an apparent blue runneth along the body, and if dextrously pricked with a lance emitteth a red drop, which pricked on either side, it will not readily afford.

In the upper parts of worms, there are likewise found certain white and oval glandulosities, which authors term eggs, and in magnifying glasses they also represent them; how properly, may also be enquired; since, if in them there be distinctions of sexes, these eggs are to be found in both. For in that which is presumed to be their coition, that is, their usual complication, or lateral adhesion above the ground, dividing suddenly ² with two knives the adhering parts of both, I have found these eggs in either.

10. That flies, bees, &c. do make that noise or humming sound by their mouth, or as many believe, with their wings only, would be more warily asserted, if we consulted the

The dean's remark proves him unacquainted with the mode of propagation in the worm. See Sir E. Home's 13th lecture.

¹ That worms are, &c.] They are not so. Sir Everard Home, in his 13th lecture on Comparative Anatomy, illustrated by the exquisite pencil of Bauer, shows that the earth-worm is provided with a central artery, with six bags or cells on each side, filled with red blood; thereby proving the accuracy of Sir Thomas's own examination.

² dividing.] Itt seemes to have been in the very instant of coition, when the male emptyes himself of them, and was imparted before the full impletion of the female.—Wr.

determination of Aristotle, who as in sundry other places, so more expressly in his book of respiration, affirmeth this sound to be made by the illision of an inward spirit upon a pellicle or little membrane about the precinct or pectoral division of their body. If we also consider that a bee or fly, so it be able to move the body, will buzz, though its head be off; that it will do the like if deprived of wings, 3 reserving the head, whereby the body may be the better moved; and that some also which are big and lively will hum without either head or wing.

Nor is it only the beating upon this little membrane by the inward and connatural spirit, as Aristotle determines, or the outward air, as Scaliger conceiveth, which affordeth this humming noise, but most of the other parts may also concur hereto: as will be manifest, if while they hum we lay our finger on the back or other parts, for thereupon will be felt a serrous or jarring motion, like that which happeneth while we blow on the teeth of a comb through paper; and so, if the head or other parts of the trunk be touched with oil, the sound will be much impaired, if not destroyed; for those being also dry and membranous parts, by attrition of the spirit do help to advance the noise. And therefore also the sound is strongest in dry weather, and very weak in rainy seasons, and towards winter; for then the air is moist, and the inward spirit growing weak, makes a languid and dumb allision upon the parts.

11. There is found in the summer a kind of spider called a tainct, of a red colour, and so little of body that ten of the largest will hardly outweigh a grain; this by country people is accounted a deadly poison unto cows and horses; who, if they suddenly die, and swell thereon, ascribe their death hereto, and will commonly say, they have licked a tainct. Now to satisfy the doubts of men, we have called this tradition unto experiment; we have given hereof unto dogs, chickens, calves, and horses, and not in the singular number; yet never could find the least disturbance ensue. There must be therefore other causes enquired of the sudden death

³ that it will do the like, &c.] This is not accurate. Dr. Geer tried it and found the sound continued, when the stumps of the wings remained, whose vibration occasioned the sound: but it ceased when he perfected the experiment by entirely removing the wings.

and swelling of cattle; and perhaps this insect is mistaken, and unjustly accused for some other. For some there are which from elder times have been observed pernicious unto cattle, as the buprestis, or burstow, the pityocampe or eruca pinuum, by Dioscorides, Galen, and Ætius, the staphilinus described by Aristotle and others, or those red phalangious spiders like cantharides, mentioned by Muffetus. Now, although the animal may be mistaken, and the opinion also false, yet in the ground and reason which makes men most to doubt the verity hereof, there may be truth enough, that is, the inconsiderable quantity of this insect. For that a poison cannot destroy in so small a bulk, we have no reason to affirm. For if, as Leo Africanus reporteth, the tenth part of a grain of the poison of nubia* will dispatch a man in two hours; if the bite of a viper and sting of a scorpion is not conceived to impart so much; if the bite of an asp will kill within an hour, yet the impression scarce visible, and the poison communicated not ponderable; we cannot as impossible reject this way of destruction, or deny the power of death in so narrow a circumscription.

12. Wondrous things are promised from the glow-worm;⁴ from thence perpetual lights are pretended, and waters said to be distilled which afford a lustre in the night; and this is asserted by Cardan, Albertus, Gaudentinus, Mizaldus, and many more. But hereto we cannot with reason assent; for the light made by this animal depends much upon its life. For when they are dead they shine not, nor always while they live; but are obscure or light, according to the protrusion of their luminous parts, as observation will instruct us. For this flammeous light is not over all the body, but only visible on the inward side, in a small white part near the tail. When this is full and seemeth protruded, there ariseth a flame of a circular figure and emerald green colour; which is discernible in any dark place in the day; but when it falleth and seemeth contracted, the light disappeareth, and the colour of

* Granum Nubiæ.

The male glow-worm is winged.

^{&#}x27; glow-worm.] There is a glow-fly as well as a glow-worm. One of them flew about my face as I sate in my chamber at Bletchington, Oxon. Junio ineunte, 1650. See the particular narration in my notes on the Lorde Verulam's Naturall Historye, p. 180.—Wr.

the part only remaineth. Now this light, as it appeareth and disappeareth in their life, so doth it go quite out at their death; as we have observed in some, which preserved in fresh grass have lived and shined eighteen days: but as they declined, and the luminous humour dried, their light grew languid, and at last went out with their lives. Thus also the torpedo, which, alive, hath a power to stupify at a distance, hath none upon contraction being dead, as Galen and Ron-deletius particularly experimented. And this hath also disappointed the mischief of those intentions, which study the advancement of poisons; and fancy destructive compositions from asp's or viper's teeth, from scorpion's or hornet's stings. For these amit their efficacy in the death of the individual,

⁵ And this hath also disappointed, &c.] The sting being secured from the bodye of a waspe as itt hung on the finger, turnd itt selfe and rann (up to the roots) into the finger, and caused a very dolorous and greate impostume. And one was bit by the head of a snake, after 6 hours' amputation whereof hee was never totally cured to his death: me teste oculato. Whether there may be destructive compositions made of those parts is uncertain: thus far itt is improbable; bycause the teeth of vipers and stings of scorpions are but the outward instrumentall partes through which the poysonous spirit of those venemous creatures is ejaculated by them while they live: but being dead, there is no such active quality in those parts more then anye other, and that the poyson consistes in the vital spirits is manifest, for that wee see the vipers drownd in a sack butt, infuse their spirit into the wine, making itt become an excellent antedote: the great quantitye of wine overcoming the small quantitye of the poyson which comes from them. The like may bee sayde of the vertue which together with the spirits of the scorpion, drownd in oyle, is imprinted on the oyle, makinge itt the only cure of the scorpion's stinge: whereof the reason is manifest. Oyle by nature, abates, and duls, and retundes the fiercenes and spreadinge of poyson injected into us by venemous creatures, where we may come to apply itt: but being dull of itt selfe, and not able to follow the swift spreading of the scorpions poyson, thro soe small a puncture, as soone as itt is felt, followes the poyson injected by the same waye; and soe making way for the oyle, wherein itt is caryed, caryes the balme that kils and deades the killing poyson before itt can seise on our vitall spirits to destroy them. And noe doubt but the oyle, wherein hornets are drowned, would cure their punctures alsoe; a thing worthe the tryall.—Wr.

It is not the case that the poison of serpents is only fatal when infused by the living reptile.—As is proved by the well-known fact that several individuals successively met their death by wearing a boot into the inside of which it was afterwards found the fang of a rattlesnake had stuck fast, so as to wound the leg when drawn on.

and act but dependently on their forms. And thus far also those philosophers concur with us, which held the sun and stars were living creatures, for they conceived their lustre depended on their lives, but if they ever died, their light must also perish.

It were a notable piece of art to translate the light from the Bononian stone into another body; he that would attempt to make a shining water from glow-worms, must make trial when the splendent part is fresh and turgid. For even from the great American glow-worms, and flaming flies, the light declineth as the luminous humour drieth.

Now whether the light of animals, which do not occasionally shine from contingent causes, be not of kin unto the light of heaven; whether the invisible flame of life received in a convenient matter, may not become visible, and the diffused æthereal light make little stars by conglobation in idoneous parts of the compositum; whether also it may not have some original in the seed and spirit analogous unto the element of stars, whereof some glimpse is observable on the little refulgent humour, at the first attempts of formation; philosophy may yet enquire.

True it is, that a glow-worm will afford a faint light, almost a day's space, when many will conceive it dead; but this is a mistake in the compute of death, and term of disanimation; for indeed, it is not then dead, but if it be distended will slowly contract itself again, which when it cannot do, it ceaseth to shine any more. And to speak strictly, it is no easy matter to determine the point of death in insects and creatures who have not their vitalities radically confined unto one part; for they are not dead when they cease to move or afford the visible evidences of life; as may be observed in flies, who when they appear even desperate and quite forsaken of their forms, by virtue of the sun or warm ashes will be revoked unto life, and perform its functions again.

Now whether this lustre, awhile remaining after death, dependeth not still upon the first impression, and light communicated or raised from an inward spirit, subsisting awhile in a moist and apt recipient, nor long continuing in this, or

⁶ It were a notable piece, &c.] This paragraph was first added in 6th edition.

⁷ Now whether, &c.] This paragraph was first added in 3rd edition.

the more remarkable Indian glow-worm; or whether it be of another nature, and proceedeth from different causes of illumination; yet since it confessedly subsisteth so little a while after their lives, how to make perpetual lights, and sublunary moons thereof as is pretended, we rationally doubt, though not so sharply deny, with Scaliger and Muffetus.⁸

13. The wisdom of the pismire is magnified by all, and in the panegyricks of their providence we always meet with this. That to prevent the growth of corn which they store up, they bite off the end thereof; and some have conceived that from hence they have their name in Hebrew; from

* Namalh à Namal circumcidit.

⁸ Now whether this lustre, &c.] This paragraph was first added in 3rd edition.

9 they bite off the end, &c. A more satisfactory and interesting solution of this question cannot be given, than is contained in the following quotation from one of the most interesting works on natural history in our language. "When we find the writers of all nations and ages unite in affirming, that, having deprived it of the power of vegetating, ants store up grain in their nests, we feel disposed to give larger credit to an assertion, which at first sight seems to savour more of fact than of fable. and does not attribute more sagacity and foresight to these insects than in other instances they are found to possess. Writers in general, therefore, who have considered this subject, and some even of very late date. have taken it for granted that the ancients were correct in this notion. But when observers of nature began to examine the manners and economy of these creatures more narrowly, it was found, at least with respect to the European species of ants, that no such hoards of grain were made by them, and, in fact, that they had no magazines in their nests in which provisions of any kind were stored up. It was therefore surmised that the ancients, observing them carry about their pupe, which in shape, size, and colour, not a little resemble a grain of corn. and the ends of which they sometimes pull open to let out the inclosed insect, mistook the one for the other, and this action for depriving the grain of the corculum. Solomon's lesson to the sluggard has been generally adduced as a strong confirmation of the ancient opinion: it can, however, only relate to the species of a warm climate, the habits of which are probably different from those of a cold one; -so that his words, as commonly interpreted, may be perfectly correct and consistent with nature, and yet be not at all applicable to the species that are indigenous to Europe. But I think, if Solomon's words are properly considered, it will be found that this interpretation has been fathered upon them, rather than fairly deduced from them. He does not affirm that the ant which he proposes to his sluggard as an example, laid up in her magazines stores of grain: 'Go to the ant, thou sluggard, consider her ways and be wise; which having neither captain, overseer, or ruler, prepares her bread in the summer, and gathers her food in the

whence ariseth a conceit that corn will not grow if the extremes be cut or broken. But herein we find no security to prevent its germination; as having made trials in grains, whose ends cut off have notwithstanding suddenly sprouted, and according to the law of their kinds; that is, the roots of barley and oats at contrary ends, of wheat and rye at the same. And therefore some have delivered that after rainy weather they dry these grains in the sun: which if effectual, we must conceive to be made in a high degree and above the progression of malt; for that malt will grow, this year hath informed us, and that unto a perfect ear.

And if that be true which is delivered by many, and we shall further experiment, that a decoction of toad-stools if poured upon earth, will produce the same again; if sowthistles will abound in places manured with dung of hogs, which feed much upon that plant; if horse-dung reproduceth oats; if winds and rains will transport the seminals of plants; it will not be easy to determine where the power of generation ceaseth. The forms of things may lie deeper than we conceive them; seminal principles may not be dead in the divided atoms of plants; but wandering in the ocean of nature, when they hit upon proportionable materials, may unite and return to their visible selves again.

But the prudence of this animal is by gnawing, piercing, or otherwise, to destroy the little nib or principle of germination. Which, notwithstanding is not easily discoverable; it being no ready business to meet with such grains in ant-hills; and he must dig deep, that will seek them in the winter.¹

harvest.' These words may very well be interpreted simply to mean, that the ant, with commendable prudence and foresight, makes use of the proper seasons to collect a supply of provisions sufficient for her purposes. There is not a word in them implying that she stores up grain or other provision. She prepares her bread and gathers her food,—namely, such food as is suited to her—in summer and harvest,—that is, when it is most plentiful,—and thus shows her wisdom and prudence by using the advantages offered to her. The words thus interpreted, which they may be without any violence, will apply to our European species as well as to those that are not indigenous."—Kirby and Spence, Introd. to Entomology, vol. ii. p. 45—47.

And if that be true, &c.] These two concluding paragraphs were first added in 3rd edition.

CHAPTER XXVIII.2

That the Chicken is made out of the yolk of the Egg; that Snakes sting; of the Tarantula; the Lamb of Tartary; the swiftness of Tigers; with sundry queries.

That a chicken is formed out of the yolk of the egg, was the opinion of some ancient philosophers. Whether it be not the nutriment of the pullet may also be considered; since umbilical vessels are carried unto it; since much of the yolk remaineth after the chicken is formed; since in a chicken newly hatched the stomach is tinged yellow, and the belly full of yolk, which is drawn in at the navel or vessels towards the vent, as may be discerned in chickens within a day or two before exclusion.

Whether the chicken be made out of the white, or that be not also its aliment, is likewise very questionable; since an umbilical vessel is derived unto it; since after the formation and perfect shape of the chicken, much of the white remaineth.

Whether it be not made out of the grando, gallature, germ or tred of the egg, as Aquapendente informeth us, seemeth to many of doubt: for at the blunter end it is not discovered after the chicken is formed; by this also the yolk and white are continued, whereby it may continually receive its nutriment from them both.

Now, that from such slender materials nature should effect this production, it is no more than is observed in other animals; and, even in grains, and kernels, the greatest part is but the nutriment of that generative particle, so disproportionable unto it.

A greater difficulty, in the doctrine of eggs, is, how the sperm of the cock prolificates and makes the oval conception fruitful, or how it attaineth unto every egg, since the vitellary or place of the yolk is very high; since the ovary or part where the white involveth it, is in the second region of the matrix, which is somewhat long and inverted; since also a cock will in one day fertilate the whole racemation

² Chap. xxviii.] This chapter was added in 2nd edition, except two paragraphs, one added in 3rd and the other in the 6th edition.

or cluster of eggs, which are not excluded in many weeks after.

But these at last, and how in the cicatricula or little pale circle, formation first beginneth, how the grando or tredle are but the poles and establishing particles of the tender membranes, firmly conserving the floating parts in their proper places, with many other observables, that ocular philosopher and singular discloser of truth, Dr. Harvey, hath discovered in that excellent discourse of generation, so strongly erected upon the two great pillars of truth, experience and solid reason.³

That the sex is discernible from the figure of eggs, or that cocks or hens proceed from long or round ones, as

many contend, experiment will easily frustrate.

The Egyptians observed a better way, to hatch their eggs in ovens, than the Babylonians, to roast them at the bottom of a sling, by swinging them round about till heat from motion had concocted them; for that confuseth all parts without any such effect.

Though slight distinction be made between boiled and roasted eggs, yet is there no slender difference, for the one is much drier than the other; the egg expiring less in the elixation or boiling; whereas in the assation or roasting it will sometimes abate a drachm, that is, threescore grains in weight. So a new-laid egg will not so easily be boiled

³ But these at last, &c.] The great principle of Harvey, "omnia ex ovo," has received splendid confirmation from the labours of Hunter, Malpighi, and Dutrochet, but still more from the recent investigations and discoveries of Sir E. Home, who has given, in his 14th lecture, a detailed account of the progressive changes of the egg during incubation; illustrated by exquisite microscopical figures He has ascertained that the moleculi or cicatricula, exists both in mammalia and birds, and that in the latter it becomes, after impregnation, the embryo; which is nourished both by the yolk and the white. Sir Thomas seems, in one of his observations, to confound the grandines, or chalazæ (which are two knotty bodies, proceeding from the two ends of the yolk) with the molecule, a round milk-white spot on the surface of the yolk-bag, surrounded with white concentric circles. The fact which he notices of the whole cluster of eggs being fertilized at once, is a case somewhat analogous to that of quadrupeds which produce several young at a birth with one impregnation: the case of the aphides is still more remarkable, in which this is the fact not only with the eggs of the individual, but with those of its offspring to the ninth generation.

hard, because it contains a greater stock of humid parts, which must be evaporated before the heat can bring the inexhalable parts into consistence.⁴

Why the hen hatcheth not the egg in her belly, or maketh not at least some rudiment thereof within herself by the natural heat of inward parts, since the same is performed by incubation from an outward warmth after? Why the egg is thinner at one extreme? Why there is some cavity or emptiness at the blunter end? Why we open them at that part? Why the greater end is first excluded? Why some eggs are all red, as the kestrils; some only red at one end, as those of kites and buzzards? Why some eggs are not oval but round, as those of fishes, &c.—are problems whose decisions would too much enlarge this discourse.

That snakes and vipers do sting or transmit their mischief by the tail, is a common expression not easily to be justified, and a determination of their venoms unto a part, wherein we could never find it; the poison lying about the teeth, and communicated by bite, in such as are destructive. And therefore, when biting serpents are mentioned in the Scripture, they are not differentially set down from such as mischief by stings; nor can conclusions be made conformable to this opinion, because, when the rod of Moses was turned into a serpent, God determinatively commanded him to take up the same by the tail.

Nor are all snakes of such empoisoning qualities as common opinion presumeth; as is confirmable from the

⁴ So a new-laid egg, &c.] This is not the received theory of the coagulation of albumen. "Cohesive attraction is the real cause of the phænemenon. In proportion as the temperature rises, the particles of water and albumen recede from each other, their affinity diminishes, and then the albumen precipitates. However, by uniting albumen with a large quantity of water, we diminish its coagulating property to such a degree, that heat renders the solution merely opalescent. A new-laid egg yields a soft coagulum by boiling; but when, by keeping, a portion of the water has transuded so as to leave a void space within the shell, the concentrated albumen affords a firm coagulum."—Ure.

^{*} Why the hen, &c.] She does "make some rudiment," viz. the molecule, which, however, without impregnation, would not become a chick by the process of incubation.

Why there is some cavity, &c.] It contains air, by which, in the earlier stages, the blood of the chick is aerated.

ordinary green snake with us, from several histories of domestic snakes, from ophiophagous nations, and such as feed upon serpents.⁷

Surely the destructive delusion, of Satan in this shape, hath much enlarged the opinion of their mischief. Which, notwithstanding, was not so high with the heathens, in whom the devil had wrought a better opinion of this animal, it being sacred unto the Egyptians, Greeks, and Romans, and the common symbol of sanity. In the shape whereof, Æsculapius, the god of health, appeared unto the Romans, accompanied their embassadors to Rome from Epidaurus, and the same did stand in the Tiberine isle upon the temple of Æsculapius.

Some doubt many have of the tarantula, or poisonous spider of Calabria, and that magical cure of the bite thereof by music. But since we observe that many attest it from experience; since the learned Kircherus hath positively averred it, and set down the songs and tunes solemnly used for it; since some also affirm the tarantula itself will dance upon certain strokes, whereby they set their instruments against its poison, we shall not at all question it.8

Much wonder is made of the borametz,9 that strange

⁷ from ophiophagous, dc.] But the venomous serpents are eaten as well as the harmless —indeed the poison itself may be swallowed with impunity. Its fatality is evolved only on its entering into the circulation through a wound.

some doubt many hare, dc.] The effects ascribed to its wounds, and their wonderful cures have long been celebrated: but after all there seems to have been more of fraud than of truth in the business; and the whole evil appears to consist in swelling and inflammation. Dr. Clavitio submitted to be bitten by this animal, and no bad effects ensued; and the Count de Borch, a Polish nobleman, bribed a man to undergo the same experiment, in whom the only result was a swelling in the hand, attended by intolerable itching. The fellow's sole remedy was a bottle of wine, which charmed away all his pain, without the aid of "pipe and tabor."—K. and Sp. i. 128.

⁹ the borametz.] Polypodium borametz, L. Mirbel (in the 8vo. edition of Buffon, by Sonnini) calls it polyp. chinois. Jussieu gives the following account of it under the article, BAROMETZ.

[&]quot;Cette espèce de polypode de Tartarie, polypodium borametz, L., présente dans la disposition de ses parties une forme singulière. Sa tige, longue d'environ un pied et dans une direction horizontale, est portée sur quatre ou cinq racines qui la tiennent élevée hors de terre. Sa surface est couverte d'un duvet assez long, soyeux et d'une couleur

plant-animal or vegetable lamb of Tartary, which wolves delight to feed on, which hath the shape of a lamb, affordeth a bloody juice upon breaking, and liveth while the plants be consumed about it. And yet if all this be no more, than the shape of a lamb in the flower or seed, upon the top of the stalk, as we meet with the forms of bees, flies, and dogs in some others; he hath seen nothing that shall much wonder at it.

It may seem too hard to question the swiftness of tigers, which hath therefore given names unto horses, ships, and rivers, nor can we deny what all have thus affirmed; yet cannot but observe, that Jacobus Bontius, late physician at Java in the East Indies, as an ocular and frequent witness, is not afraid to deny it; to condemn Pliny who affirmeth it; and that indeed it is but a slow and tardigradous animal, preying upon advantage, and otherwise may be escaped.

Many more there are whose serious enquiries we must request of others, and shall only awake considerations, whether that common opinion that snakes do breed out of the back or spinal marrow of man, doth build upon any constant root or seed in nature; or did not arise from contingent generation, in some single bodies remembered by Pliny or others, and might be paralleled since in living corruptions of the guts and other parts; which regularly proceed not to putrefactions of that nature.

Whether the story of the remora be not unreasonably amplified; whether that of bernacles and goose-trees be not too much enlarged; whether the common history of bees

jaune dorée. Ainsi conformée, elle ressemble à la toison d'un agneau de Scythie, et on la trouve, ainsi citée dans les contes fabuleux imaginés sur quelques singularités du règne végétal."—Dictionnaire des Sciences Naturelles, vol. iv. p. 85.

Ross contends stoutly for the literal verity of this pleasant story; and utterly rejects the sceptical explanations proposed by Sir Thomas.

- amplified.] Alluding probably to the absurd story of a vessel in full sail being stopt by one of these singular little fishes adhering to it.
- ² too much enlarged.] The natural history of the lepas anatifera, or bernacle, is too well understood, to render it necessary to say a syllable in refutation of the old story of its producing geese. It may be allowed, however, to notice the fact (discovered by Sir E. Home, and illustrated by highly magnified figures in his Comparative Anatomy) that this is one of the self-impregnating animals.

will hold, as large accounts have delivered; whether the brains of cats be attended with such destructive malignities, as Dioscorides and others put upon them?

As also whether there be not some additional help of art, unto the numismatical and musical shells, which we sometimes meet with in conchylious collections among us?

Whether the fasting spittle of man be poison unto snakes and vipers, as experience hath made us doubt? Whether the nightingale's sitting with her breast against a thorn, be any more than that she placeth some prickles on the outside of her nest, or roosteth in thorny prickly places, where serpents may least approach her; whether mice³ may be bred by putrefaction as well as univocal production, as may be easily believed, if that receipt to make mice out of wheat will hold, which Helmont* hath delivered. Whether quails from any idiosyncracy or peculiarity of constitution, do innocuously feed upon hellebore, or rather sometime but medically use the same; because we perceive that stares, which are commonly said harmlessly to feed on hemlock, do not make good the tradition; and he that observes what vertigoes, cramps and convulsions follow thereon in these animals, will be of our belief.

* Helm. Imago Fermenti, &c.

³ Whether mice, dc.] Ross's note on this doubt cannot be omitted. "So he may doubt whether in cheese and timber, worms are generated; or if beetles and wasps in cow's dung; or if butter-flies, locusts, grass-hoppers, shell-fish, snails, eels, and such like, be procreated of putrified matters, which is apt to receive the form of that creature to which it is by formative power disposed. To question this, is to question reason, sense, and experience. If he doubts of this, let him go to Egypt, and there he will find the fields swarming with mice begot of the mud of Nylus, to the great calamity of the inhabitants. What will he say to those rats and mice, or little beasts resembling mice found generated in the belly of a woman dissected after her death, of which Lemnius is a witness? I have seen one whose belly, by drinking of puddle water, was swelled to a vast capacity, being full of small toads, frogs, evets [water-lizards] and such vermin, usually bredin putrified water."—P. 155

THE FOURTH BOOK:

THE PARTICULAR PART CONTINUED.

OF MANY POPULAR AND RECEIVED TENETS CONCERNING MAN.

CHAPTER L

That only Man hath an erect figure.

THAT only man hath an erect figure, and for to behold and look up toward heaven, according to that of the poet:1

Pronaque cum spectant animalia cætera terram, Os homini sublime dedit, cælumque tueri Jussit, et erectos ad sydera tollere vultus,

is a double assertion, whose first part may be true if we take erectness strictly, and so as Galen hath defined it, for they only, saith he, have an erect figure, whose spine and thighbone are carried in right lines, and so indeed, of any we yet know, man only is erect.² For the thighs of other animals do stand at angles with their spine, and have rectangular positions in birds, and perfect quadrupeds. Nor doth the

i the poet.] Ovid. Met. i. 84. See also Cicero, De Nat. Deor. ii. 56 man only is erect.] But itt is most evident that baboones and aper doe not only as a man, but goe as erect also.—Wr.

This is incorrect. Man alone, unquestionably, is constructed for an erect position. The apes, which resemble him in their conformation more closely than any other animals, are incapable of attaining a perfectly erect attitude, and though they occasionally assume a position nearly so, yet even this they cannot long retain. Their narrowness of pelvis, the configuration of their thighs and lower extremities, the situation of their flex or muscles, and the want of muscular calvebuttocks, constitute together an incapacity for perfect or continued verticity of attitude in the quadrimana.

frog, though stretched out, or swimming, attain the rectitude of man, or carry its thigh without all angularity. And thus is it also true, that man only sitteth, if we define sitting to be a firmation of the body upon the ischias; wherein, if the position be just and natural, the thigh-bone lieth at right angles to the spine, and the leg-bone or tibia to the thigh. For others, when they seem to sit, as dogs, cats, or lions, do make unto their spine acute angles with their thigh, and acute to the thigh with their shank. Thus is it likewise true, what Aristotle allegeth in that problem, why man alone suffereth pollutions in the night, because man only lieth upon his back,—if we define not the same by every supine position, but when the spine is in rectitude with the thigh. and both with the arms lie parallel to the horizon, so that a line through their navel will pass through the zenith and centre of the earth. And so cannot other animals lie upon their backs, for though the spine lie parallel with the horizon, yet will their legs incline, and lie at angles unto it. upon these three divers positions in man, wherein the spine can only be at right lines with the thigh, arise those remarkable postures, prone, supine, and erect, which are but differenced in situation, or angular postures upon the back, the belly, and the feet.

But if erectness be popularly taken, and as it is largely opposed unto proneness, or the posture of animals looking downwards, carrying their venters or opposite part of the spine directly towards the earth, it may admit of question. For though in serpents and lizards we may truly allow a proneness, yet Galen acknowledgeth that perfect quadrupeds. as horses, oxen, and camels, are but partly prone, and have some part of erectness; and birds, or flying animals, are so far from this kind of proneness, that they are almost erect; advancing the head and breast in their progression, and only prone in the act of volitation or flying; and if that be true which is delivered of the penguin or anser Magellanicus, often described in maps about those straits, that they go erect like men, and with their breast and belly do make one line perpendicular unto the axis of the earth, it will almost make up the exact erectness of man.* Nor will that insect come very

^{*} Observe also the Urias Bellonii and Mergus major.

short, which we have often beheld, that is, one kind of locust which stands not prone, or a little inclining upward, but in a large erectness, elevating always the two fore legs, and sustaining itself in the middle of the other four; by zoographers called *mantis*, and by the common people of Provence, Prega Dio, the prophet and praying locust, as being generally found in the posture of supplication, or such as resembleth ours, when we lift up our hands to heaven.

As for the end of this erection, to look up toward heaven, though confirmed by several testimonies, and the Greek etymology of man, it is not so readily to be admitted; and, as a popular and vain conceit, was anciently rejected by Galen, who in his third *De usu partium*, determines that man is erect, because he was made with hands, and was therewith to exercise all arts, which in any other figure he could not have performed, as he excellently declareth in that place, where he also proves that man could have been made neither quadruped nor centaur.³

And for the accomplishment of that intention, that is, to look up and behold the heavens, man hath a notable disadvantage in the eyelid, whereof the upper is far greater than the lower, which abridgeth the sight upwards contrary to those of birds, who herein have the advantage of man; insomuch that the learned Plempius* is bold to affirm, that if he had had the formation of the eyelids, he would have contrived them quite otherwise.

The ground and occasion of that conceit was a literal apprehension of a figurative expression in Plato, as Galen thus delivers: to opinion that man is erect to look up and behold heaven, is a conceit only fit for those that never saw the fish *uranoscopus*, that is, the beholder of heaven, which

^{*} Ophthalmographia.

* Ophthalmographia.

* man could have been, &c.] Why not as well as an ape, if that reason be good; for an ape uses his hand as well as man, and yett hee is quadrupes too.—Wr. Incorrect again. Apes cannot use their hands as well as man, because destitute of the facility which man possesses for the free use of his hands and arms, in the erect position, and because of the superior mechanical adaptation of the human hand to the exercise of the arts and occupations of life. The opinion quoted by our author that man could not become quadruped, is incontrovertible.

And for the accomplishment, &c. This paragraph first added in 2nd edition.

[&]quot;to opinion, dc.] This is a poore cavil, for the end of mans lookinge

hath its eyes so placed, that it looks up directly to heaven, which man doth not, except he recline, or bend his head backward; and thus to look up to heaven agreeth not only unto man but asses; to omit birds with long necks, which look not only upward, but round about at pleasure; and therefore men of this opinion understood not Plato when he saith, that man doth sursum aspicere; for thereby was not meant to gape, or look upward with the eye, but to have his thoughts sublime, and not only to behold, but speculate their nature with the eye of the understanding.⁶

Now although Galen in this place makes instance but in one, yet are there other fishes whose eyes regard the heavens, as plane and cartilaginous fishes, as pectinals, or such as have their bones made literally like a comb, for when they apply themselves to sleep or rest upon the white side, their eyes on the other side look upward toward heaven. For birds, they generally carry their heads erected like a man, and have advantage in their upper eyelid, and many that have long necks, and bear their heads somewhat backward, behold far more of the heavens, and seem to look above the equinoctial circle; and so also in many quadrupeds, although their progression be partly prone, yet is the sight of their eye direct, not respecting the earth but heaven, and makes an higher arch of latitude than our own. The position of a frog with his head above water exceedeth these; for therein he seems to behold a large part of the heavens, and the acies of his eye to ascend as high as the tropic; but he that hath beheld the posture of a bittern will not deny that it beholds almost the very zenith.7

upward is not the same with uranoscopus, to which the same is equivocal, bycause this posture, being always at the botom, hee lookes alwayes upwards, not to heaven, but as watching for his foode flooting over his head; the question then is, not whether any other creatures have the head erect as man, but whether to the same ende.—Wr.

⁶ understood not Plato, &c.] This is too pedanticall and captious: for Plato sayd plainlye, Astronomiæ causa datos esse homini oculos, but not to other creatures, though they have their heads more erect than hee, and far better sight.—Wr.

7 the posture of a bittern, &c.] Which proceeds from his timorous and jealous nature, holding his head at hight, for discovery, not enduring any man to come neere: his neck is stretch out, but his bill stands like the cranes, hernshawes, &c.—Wr.

CHAPTER II.

That the Heart is on the left side.

That the heart of man is seated in the left side is an asseveration, which, strictly taken, is refutable by inspection, whereby it appears the base and centre thereof is in the midst of the chest; true it is, that the mucro or point thereof inclineth unto the left, for by this position it giveth way unto the ascension of the midriff, and by reason of the hollow vein could not commodiously deflect unto the right. From which diversion, nevertheless, we cannot so properly say 'tis placed in the left, as that it consisteth in the middle, that is, where its centre riseth; for so do we usually say a gnomon⁸ or needle is in the middle of a dial, although the extremes may respect the north or south, and approach the circumference thereof.

The ground of this mistake is a general observation from the pulse or motion of the heart, which is more sensible on this side; but the reason hereof is not to be drawn from the situation of the heart, but the site of the left ventricle wherein the vital spirits are laboured, and also the great artery that conveyeth them out, both which are situated on the left. Upon this reason epithems or cordial applications are justly applied unto the left breast, and the wounds under the fifth rib may be more suddenly destructive, if made on the sinister side, and the spear of the soldier that pierced our Saviour is not improperly described, when painters direct it a little towards the left.

The other ground is more particular and upon inspection; for in dead bodies, especially lying upon the spine, the heart doth seem to incline upon the left; which happeneth not from its proper site, but besides its sinistrous gravity, is drawn that way by the great artery, which then subsideth and haleth the heart unto it; and thereof strictly taken, the heart is seated in the middle of the chest, but after a care-

⁸ gnomon.] There is not the same reason of a gnomon and a needle. This is ever in the midst, but a gnomon stands on the substilar line, which declines east or west, as the place does, wherein 'tis drawne,—Wr.

less and inconsiderate inspection, or according to the readiest sense of pulsation, we shall not quarrel if any affirm it is seated towards the left. And in these considerations must Aristotle be salved, when he affirmeth the heart of man is placed in the left side, and thus in a popular acception may we receive the periphrasis of Persius, when he taketh the part under the left pap for the heart,* and if rightly apprehended, it concerneth not this controversy, when it is said in Ecclesiastes, the heart of a wise man is in the right side, but that of a fool in the left; for thereby may be implied, that the heart of a wise man delighteth in the right way, or in the path of virtue; that of a fool in the left, or road of vice, according to the mystery of the letter of Pythagoras, or that expression in Jonah, concerning sixscore thousand, that could not discern between their right hand and their left, or knew not good from evil.9

That assertion also that man proportionally hath the largest brain, I did I confess somewhat doubt, and conceived it might have failed in birds, especially such as having little bodies, have yet large cranies, and seem to contain much brain, as snipes, woodcocks, &c. But upon trial I find it very true. The brains of a man, Archangelus and Bauhinus observe to weigh four pounds, and sometimes five and a half. If therefore a man weigh one hundred and forty pounds, and his brain but five, his weight is twenty seven times as much as his brain, deducting the weight of that five pounds which is allowed for it. Now in a snipe, which weighed four ounces two drachms, I find the brains to weigh but half a drachm, so that the weight of the body, allowing for the brain, exceeded the weight of the brain sixty-seven times and a half.

More controvertible it seemeth in the brains of sparrows, whose cranies are rounder, and so of larger capacity; and most of all in the heads of birds, upon the first formation in the egg, wherein the head seems larger than all the body,

^{*} Læva in parte mamillæ.

⁹ for thereby, &c.] This concluding part of the sentence was first added in 2nd edition.

[&]quot;man hath, &c.] This is most especially true when spoken of "the hemispheres of the brain," that is, of that part of this organ which serves as the principal instrument of the intellectual operations.—See Cuvier, by Griffith, i. 86.

the fifth.2

and the very eyes almost as big as either. A sparrow in the total we found to weigh seven drachms and four and twenty grains, whereof the head a drachm, but the brain not fifteen grains, which answereth not fully the proportion of the brain of man; and therefore it is to be taken of the whole head with the brains, when Scaliger* objected that the head of a man is the fifteenth part of his body, that of a sparrow scarce

CHAPTER III.

That Pleurisies are only on the left side.

That pleurisies are only on the left side, is a popular tenet not only absurd but dangerous: from the misapprehension hereof men omitting the opportunity of remedies, which otherwise they would not neglect. Chiefly occasioned by the ignorance of anatomy, and the extent of the part affected, which in an exquisite pleurisy is determined to be the skin or membrane which investeth the ribs, for so it is defined, inflammatio membranæ costas succingentis; an inflammation, either simple, consisting only of an hot and sanguineous affluxion, or else denominable from other humours, according to the predominancy of melancholy, phlegm, or choler. The membrane thus inflamed, is properly called pleura, from whence the disease hath its name; and this investeth not only one side, but over-spreadeth the cavity of the chest, and affordeth a common coat unto the parts contained therein.

Now therefore the pleura being common unto both sides, it is not reasonable to confine the inflammation unto one, nor strictly to determine it is always in the side; but sometimes before and behind, that is, inclining to the spine or breast-bone, for thither this coat extendeth, and therefore with equal propriety we may affirm that ulcers of the lungs, or apostems of the brain, do happen only in the left side, or that ruptures are confinable unto one side; whereas the peritonæum or rim of the belly may be broke, or its perforations relaxed in either.

* Histor. Animal. lib. i.

² More controvertible, &c.] This paragraph first added in 2nd edition. VOL. I. 2 C

CHAPTER IV.

Of the Ring-finger.

An opinion there is, which magnifies the fourth finger of the left hand; presuming therein a cordial relation, that a particular vessel, nerve, vein, or artery, is conferred thereto from the heart, and therefore that especially hath the honour to bear our rings. Which was not only the Christian practice in nuptial contracts, but observed by heathers, as Alexander ab Alexandro, Gellius, Macrobius and Pierius have delivered, as Levinus Lemnius hath confirmed, who affirms this peculiar vessel to be an artery, and not a nerve, as antiquity hath conceived it; adding moreover that rings hereon peculiarly affect the heart; that in lipothymies or swoonings he used the frication of this finger with saffron and gold; that the ancient physicians mixed up their medicines herewith: that this is seldom or last of all affected with the gout, and when that becometh nodous, men continue not long after. Notwithstanding all which, we remain unsatisfied, nor can we think the reasons alleged sufficiently establish the preeminency of this finger.

For first, concerning the practice of antiquity, the custom was not general to wear their rings either on this hand or finger; for it is said, and that emphatically in Jeremiah, si fuerit Jeconias filius Joachim regis Judæ annulus in manu dextrâ meâ, inde evellam eum: "though Coniah the son of Joachim king of Judah, were the signet on my right hand, yet would I pluck thee thence." So is it observed by Pliny, that in the portraits of their gods, the rings were worn on the finger next the thumb; that the Romans wore them also upon their little finger, as Nero is described in Petronius: some wore them on the middle finger, as the ancient Gauls and Britons; and some upon the forefinger, as is deducible from Julius Pollux, who names that ring, corianos.

³ finger next the thumb.] Rings were formerly worn upon the thumb; as appears from the portraits of some of our English monarchs. Nieuhoff mentions that the old viceroy of Canton wore an ivory ring on his thumb, "as an emblem signifying the undaunted courage of the Tartar people."—Embassy to China, p. 45.

Again, that the practice of the ancients had any such respect of cordiality or reference unto the heart, will much be doubted, if we consider their rings were made of iron; 4 such was that of Prometheus, who is conceived the first that brought them in use. So, as Pliny affirmeth, for many years the senators of Rome did not wear any rings of gold, 5 but the slaves wore generally iron rings until their manumission or preferment to some dignity. That the Lacedemonians continued their iron rings unto his days, Pliny also delivereth, and surely they used few of gold; for beside that Lycurgus prohibited that metal, we read in Athenæus, that,

' will much be doubted, &c.] Yet Pliny says, etiam nunc sponsæ annulus ferreus mittitur, isque sine gemmâ.—Nat. Hist. 1. xxxiii. cap. 1.

At Silchester in Hampshire (the Vindonum of the Romans), was found an iron ring, with a singular-shaped key attached to it; now in the possession of Mrs. Keep, at the farm-house, where I saw it,

June 26, 1811.—Jeff.

5 the senators, dc. Juvenal, comparing the extravagance of his own times with those of the old Romans, has annulus in digito non ferreus.—
Sat. xi. 129. Kennet observes that the Roman knights were allowed a gold ring, and a horse at the public charge, hence eques auratus.—
Roman Antiquities. Tacitus says, De Mor. German. s. 31:—Fortissimus quisque (Cattorum) ferreum insuper annulum (ignominiosum id genti) velut vinculum gestat, donec se cæde hostis absolvet." Among the Eastern nations also was the ring worn as a badge of slavery.—See Loveth, note on Isa. xlix. 23.—Jeff.

We may add that rings were frequently used by medical practitioners, as charms and talismans, against all sorts of calamities inflicted by all kinds of beings:—Hippocrates and Galen both enjoin on physicians the use of rings. See a curious paper on this subject in the Archeologia, vol. xxi. p. 119.

Patriotism has, in our own days, induced the exchange of gold for iron rings. The women of Prussia. in 1813, offered up their weddingrings upon the alters of their country, and the government, in exchange, distributed iron rings with this inscription, "I exchange gold for iron."

Rings however have not only been deemed badges of slavery, but very anciently and far more generally they denoted authority and government. Pharaoh in committing that of Egypt to Joseph gave him his ring—so Ahasuerus to Mordecai. With great probability has it been conjectured, that, in conformity with the Scriptural examples of this ancient usage, the Christian church afterwards adopted the ring in marriage, as a symbol of the authority which the husband gave the wife over his household, and over the "worldly goods" with which he endowed her; accompanying it, in many of the early Catholic rituals, with the betrothing or earnest penny, which was deposited either in the bride's right hand, or in a purse brought by her for the purpose.

having a desire to gild the face of Apollo, they enquired of the oracle where they might purchase so much gold; and were directed unto Crœsus King of Lydia.

Moreover, whether the ancients had any such intention, the grounds which they conceived in vein, nerve or artery, are not to be justified, nor will inspection confirm a peculiar vessel in this finger. For as anatomy informeth, the basilica vein dividing into two branches below the cubit, the outward sendeth two surcles unto the thumb, two unto the forefinger, and one unto the middle finger in the inward side; the other branch of the basilica sendeth one surcle unto the outside of the middle finger, two unto the ring, and as many unto the little fingers; so that they all proceed from the basilica, and are in equal numbers derived unto every one. In the same manner are the branches of the axillary artery distributed into the hand: for below the cubit it divideth into two parts, the one running along the radius, and, passing by the wrist or pulse, is at the fingers subdivided into three branches; whereof the first conveyeth two surcles unto the thumb, the second as many to the forefinger, and the third one unto the middle finger, and the other or lower division of the artery descendeth by the ulna, and furnisheth the other fingers; that is the middle with one surcle, and the ring and little fingers with two. As for the nerves, they are disposed much after the same manner, and have their original from the brain, and not the heart, as many of the ancients conceived,6 which is so far from affording nerves unto other parts, that it receiveth very few itself from the sixth conjugation, or pair of nerves in the brain.

Lastly, these propagations being communicated unto both hands, we have no greater reason to wear our rings on the left, than on the right; nor are there cordial considerations in the one, more than the other. And therefore when Forestus for the stanching of blood makes use of medical applications unto the fourth finger, he confines not that practice unto the left, but varieth the side according to the nostril bleeding. So in fevers, where the heart primarily suffereth, we apply medicines unto the wrists of either arms; so we touch the pulse of both, and judge of the affections of the

⁶ as many of the ancients conceived.] With whom Ross, as usual, is disposed to agree.—See Arcana Microcosmi, p. 35.

heart by the one as well as the other. And although in dispositions of liver or spleen, considerations are made in phlebotomy respectively to their situation; yet when the heart is affected, men have thought it as effectual to bleed on the right as the left; and although also it may be thought a nearer respect is to be had of the left, because the great artery proceeds from the left ventricle, and so is nearer that arm, it admits not that consideration. For under the channel-bones the artery divideth into two great branches, from which trunk or point of division, the distance unto either hand is equal, and the consideration also answerable.

All which with many respective niceties, in order unto parts, sides, and veins, are now become of less consideration, by the new and noble doctrine of the circulation of the blood.7

And therefore Macrobius, discussing the point, hath alleged another reason; affirming that the gestation of rings upon this hand and finger, might rather be used for their conveniency and preservation, than any cordial relation. For at first (saith he) it was both free and usual to wear rings on either hand; but after that luxury increased, when precious gems and rich insculptures were added, the custom of wearing them on the right hand was translated unto the left; for, that hand being less employed, thereby they were best preserved. And for the same reason, they placed them on this finger: for the thumb was too active a finger, and is commonly employed with either of the rest; the index or forefinger was too naked whereto to commit their precosities, and hath the tuition of the thumb scarce unto the second joint: the middle and little finger they rejected as extremes, and too big or too little for their rings, and of all choose out the fourth, as being least used of any, as being guarded on either side, and having in most this peculiar condition, that it cannot be extended alone and by itself, but will be accompanied by some finger on either side.8 And to this opinion assenteth Alexander ab Alexandro, annulum nuptialem prior ætas in sinistra ferebat, crediderim nè attereretur.

⁷ All which, &c. First added in 6th edition. ⁸ and having, &c. This is not true.—Wr.

But indeed, Mr. Dean, it is true. The annularis is the only finger in the human hand, not possessed of the power of separate movement.

Now that which begat or promoted the common opinion, was the common conceit that the heart was seated on the left side; but how far this is verified, we have before declared. The Egyptian practice hath much advanced the same, who unto this finger derived a nerve from the heart; and therefore the priest anointed the same with precious oils before the altar. But how weak anatomists they were, which were so good embalmers, we have already showed. And though this reason took most place, yet had they another which more commended that practice: and that was the number whereof this finger was an hieroglyphick. For by holding down the fourth finger of the left hand, while the rest were extended, they signified the perfect and magnified number of six. For as Pierius hath graphically declared, antiquity expresed numbers by the fingers of either hand: on the left they accounted their digits and articulate numbers unto an hundred; on the right hand hundreds and thousands; the depressing this finger, which in the left hand implied but six, in the right indigitated six hundred. In this way of numeration, may we construe that of Juvenal concerning Nestor.

> • Qui pertot sæcula mortem Distulit, atque suos jam dextrâ computat annos.

And however it were intended, in this sense it will be very elegant what is delivered of wisdom, Prov. iii. "Length of days in her right hand, and in her left hand riches and honour."

As for the observation of Lemnius, an eminent physician, concerning the gout, however it happened in his country, we may observe it otherwise in ours; that is, chiragrical persons do suffer in this finger as well as in the rest, and sometimes first of all, and sometimes nowhere else. And for the mixing up medicines herewith, it is rather an argument of opinion than any considerable effect; and we as highly conceive of the practice in diapalma; that is, in the making of that plaster to stir it with the stick of a palm.

CHAPTER V.

Of the Right and Left Hand.

It is also suspicious, and not with that certainty to be received, what is generally believed concerning the right and left hand; that men naturally make use of the right,9 and that the use of the other is a digression or aberration from that way which nature generally intendeth. We do not deny that almost all nations have used this hand, and ascribed a pre-eminence thereto: hereof a remarkable passage there is in Genesis: "And Joseph took them both, Ephraim in his right hand towards Israel's left hand, and Manasses in his left hand towards Israel's right hand. And Israel stretched out his right hand and laid it upon Ephraim's head, who was the younger, and his left hand upon Manasses' head, guiding his hand wittingly, for Manasses was the first-born. And when Joseph saw that his father laid his right hand upon the head of Ephraim, it displeased him, and he held up his father's hand to remove it from Ephraim's head unto Manasses' head; and Joseph said, Not so my father, for this is the first-born: put thy right hand upon his head." The like appeareth from the ordinance of Moses in the consecration of their priests: "Then shalt thou kill the ram, and take of his blood, and put it upon the tip of the right ear of Aaron, and upon the tip of the right ear of his sons, and upon the thumb of the right hand, and upon the great toe of the right foot, and sprinkle the blood on the altar round about." That the Persians were wont herewith to plight their faith, is testified by Diodorus; that the Greeks and Romans made use hereof, beside the testimony of divers authors, is evident from their custom of discumbency at their meals, which was upon their left side, for so their right was free, and ready for all service. As also from the conjunction of the right hands and not the left, observable in the Roman medals of

⁹ men naturally, &c. Cann this be denyed? or yf there be some exceptions, i. e. aberrations from the generall rule, doe they not the more confirme itt? Omnis exceptio stabilit regulam in non exceptis, is an axiome invincible.—Wr.

concord. Nor was this only in use with divers nations of men, but was the custom of whole nations of women; as is deducible from the Amazons in the amputation of their right breast, whereby they had the freer use of their bow. All which do seem to declare a natural preferment of the one unto motion before the other; wherein notwithstanding, in submission to future information, we are unsatisfied unto great dubitation.

For first, if there were a determinate prepotency in the right, and such as ariseth from a constant root in nature, we might expect the same in other animals, whose parts are also differenced by dextrality: wherein notwithstanding we cannot discover a distinct and complying account; for we find not that horses, bulls, or mules, are generally stronger on this side. As for animals whose forelegs more sensibly supply the use of arms, they hold, if not an equality in both, a prevalency ofttimes in the other, as squirrels, apes, and monkeys; the same is also discernible in parrots, who feed themselves more commonly by the left leg; and men observe that the eye of a tumbler is biggest, not constantly in one, but in the bearing side.

That there is also in men a natural prepotency in the right, we cannot with constancy affirm,² if we make observation in children; who, permitted the freedom of both, do ofttimes confine unto the left,³ and are not without great difficulty restrained from it. And therefore this prevalency

¹ natural preferment.] Ed. 1646 has "natural preheminency and preferment." — On which Dean Wren says, "Granting this natural preeminencye, confirmed by Scripture soe evidentlye, all the rest is but velitation: for that which God and nature call right, must in reason bee soe cald; and whatsoever varys from thence is an aberration from them bothe."

² That there is, &c.] Alex. Ross asserts roundly, that Scripture, general consent, experience, and reason, unite in ascribing superior dignity, agility, and strength, to the right side; "because" (says he), "on the right side is the liver, the cistern of blood," &c. &c. — Arcana, p. 153.

³ do ofttimes, &c.] This vitiosity proceeds from the maner of gestation: servants and nurses usually carry them on their left arme, soe that the child cannot use its right, and being accustomed to the left, becomes left-handed. But among the Irishe, who cary their children astride their neckes, you shall rarely see one left-handed of either sex.—Wr.

is either uncertainly placed in the laterality, or custom determines its indifferency. Which is the resolution of Aristotle, in that problem which enquires why the right side, being better than the left, is equal in the senses; because, saith he, the right and left do differ by use and custom, which have no place in the senses. For right and left, as parts inservient unto the motive faculty, are differenced by degrees from use and assuefaction, according whereto the one grows stronger and ofttimes bigger than the other. But in the senses it is otherwise; for they acquire not their perfection by use or custom, but at the first we equally hear, and see with one eye, as well as with another. And therefore, were this indifferency permitted, or did not constitution, but nature, determine dextrality, there would be many more Scevolas than are delivered in story; nor needed we to draw examples of the left from the sons of the right hand, as we read of seven thousand in the army of the Benjamites.* True it is, that although there be an indifferency in either, or a prevalency indifferent in one, yet is it most reasonable for uniformity and sundry respective uses, that men should apply themselves to the constant use of one; 4 for there will otherwise arise anomalous disturbances in manual actions, not only in civil and artificial, but also in military affairs, and the several actions of war.

Secondly, the grounds and reason alleged for the right are not satisfactory, and afford no rest in their decision. Scaliger, finding a defect in the reason of Aristotle, introduceth one of no less deficiency himself; ratio materialis (saith he) sanguinis crassitudo simul et multitudo, that is, the reason of the vigour of this side is the crassitude and plenty of blood; but this is not sufficient; for the crassi-

+ Some omission or error here.

^{*} Benjamin Filius Dextræ.

⁴ the constant, &c.] Wise men count them unlucky that use the left hand, as going contrary to the generall course of nature in all places of the world and all times since the creation. And although the heathen drew a superstitious conceyte from don+ first on the left side rather then the right, yet that sprang from an apprehension of disorder in soe doing, and consequently (as they thought) unlucky, as in that of Augustus, Lavum sibi prodicit cultrum propostere indutum quo die militari tumultu afflictus.—Wr.

tude or thickness of blood affordeth no reason why one arm should be enabled before the other, and the plenty thereof, why both not enabled equally. Fallopius is of another conceit, deducing the reason from the azygos, or vena sine pari, a large and considerable vein arising out of the cava or hollow vein, before it enters the right ventricle of the heart, and placed only in the right side. But neither is this persuasory; for the azygos communicates no branches unto the arms or legs on either side, but disperseth into the ribs on both, and in its descent doth furnish the left emulgent with one vein, and the first vein of the loins on the right side with another; which manner of derivation doth not confer a peculiar addition unto either. Cælius Rhodiginus, undertaking to give a reason of ambidexters and left-handed men, delivereth a third opinion: men, saith he, are ambidexters, and use both hands alike, when the heat of the heart doth plentifully disperse into the left side, and that of the liver into the right, and the spleen be also much dilated; but men are left-handed whenever it happeneth that the heart and liver are seated on the left side, or when the liver is on the right side, yet so obducted and covered with thick skins that it cannot diffuse its virtue into the right. Which reasons are no way satisfactory, for herein the spleen is unjustly introduced to invigorate the sinister side, which being dilated it would rather infirm and debilitate. As for any tunicles or skins which should hinder the liver from enabling the dextral parts, we must not conceive it diffuseth its virtue by mere irradiation, but by its veins and proper vessels, which common skins and teguments cannot impede. And for the seat of the heart and liver in one side, whereby men become left-handed, it happeneth too rarely to countenance an effect so common; for the seat of the liver on the left side is monstrous, and rarely to be met with in the observations of physicians. Others, not considering ambidexters and left-handed men, do totally submit unto the efficacy of the liver; which, though seated on the right side, yet by the subclavian division doth equidistantly communicate its activity unto either arm; nor will it salve the doubts of observation; for many are right-handed whose livers are weakly constituted, and many use the left in whom that part is strongest; and we observe

in apes and other animals, whose liver is in the right, no regular prevalence therein.

And therefore the brain, especially the spinal marrow, which is but the brain prolonged, hath a fairer plea hereto; for these are the principles of motion, wherein dextrality consists, and are divided within and without the crany. By which division transmitting nerves respectively unto either side, according to the indifferency or original and native prepotency, there ariseth an equality in both, or prevalency in either side. And so may it be made out, what many may wonder at, why some most actively use the contrary arm and leg; for the vigour of the one dependeth upon the upper

part of the spine, but the other upon the lower.

And therefore many things are philosophically delivered concerning right and left, which admit of some suspension. That a woman upon a masculine conception advanceth her right leg,5 will not be found to answer strict observation. That males are conceived in the right side of the womb. females in the left, though generally delivered, and supported by ancient testimony, will make no infallible account; it happening offtimes that males and females do lie upon both sides, and hermaphrodites, for aught we know, on either. It is also suspicious what is delivered concerning the right and left testicle, that males are begotten from the one and females from the other.6 For though the left seminal vein proceedeth from the emulgent, and is therefore conceived to carry down a serous and feminine matter; yet the seminal arteries which send forth the active materials, are both derived from the great artery. Beside, this original of the left vein was thus contrived to avoid the pulsation of the great artery, over which it must have passed to attain unto the testicle. Nor can we easily infer such different effects from the diverse situation of parts which have one end and office; for in the kidneys, which have one office, the right is seated lower than the left, whereby it lieth free, and giveth way unto the liver. And therefore also that way which is

That a woman, &c.] This instance is most true, as I have often tryed upon wager, whereas they sodenlye rise from their seate, yf both feete be free. -- Wr.

⁶ That males, &c.] All this while hee does not disprove this: and the reason is as good as 'tis manifest. - Wr.

delivered for masculine generation, to make a strait ligature about the left testicle, thereby to intercept the evacuation of that part, deserveth consideration. For one sufficeth unto generation, as hath been observed in semicastration, and ofttimes in carnous ruptures. Beside, the seminal ejaculation proceeds not immediately from the testicle, but from the spermatick glandules; and therefore Aristotle affirms (and reason cannot deny) that although there be nothing diffused from the testicles, an horse or bull may generate after castration; that is, from the stock and remainder of seminal matter, already prepared and stored up in the prostates or glandules of generation.

Thirdly, although we should concede a right and left in nature, yet in this common and received account we may err from the proper acception: mistaking one side for another; 7 calling that in man and other animals the right which is the left, and that the left which is the right, and that in some

things right and left, which is not properly either.

For first, the right and left are not defined by philosophers according to common acception, that is, respectively from one man unto another, or any constant site in each: as though that should be the right in one, which upon confront or facing, stands athwart or diagonally unto the other, but were distinguished according to the activity and predominant locomotion upon either side. Thus Aristotle, in his excellent tract, De Incessu Animalium, ascribeth six positions unto animals, answering the three dimensions, which he determineth not by site or position unto the heavens, but by the faculties and functions; and these are imum summum, ante retro, dextra et sinistra; that is the superior part, where the aliment is received, that the lower extreme, where it is last expelled; so he termeth a man a plant inverted; for he supposeth the root of a tree the head or upper part thereof, whereby it receiveth its aliment, although therewith it respects the centre of the earth, but with the other the

⁷ mistaking one side, dc.] Wee take that to be right and lefte which God and nature call soe: and all other reasons are frivolous. Vide Luke i. 11; Gal. ii. 9. Let itt be noted that God cals the left hand the side hand, i. e. beside the right hand, to which he gives in that very place, the name of δέξιω, ut Ps. xc. v. 7, ἐκ τοῦ κλίτους σου χιλιάς, καὶ μυριάς ἐκ δέξιων σου. κλίτος autem, ut norunt eruditi, proprie significat declinationem a recto, et hic. a rectâ.— Wr.

zenith; and this position is answerable unto longitude. Those parts are anterior and measure profundity, where the senses, especially the eyes, are placed, and those posterior which are opposite hereunto. The dextrous and sinistrous parts of the body make up the latitude, and are not certain and inalterable like the other; for that, saith he, is the right side, from whence the motion of the body beginneth, that is the active or moving side; but that the sinister which is the weaker or more quiescent part. Of the same determination were the Platonicks and Pythagoreans before him; who, conceiving the heavens an animated body, named the east the right or dextrous part, from whence began their motion; and thus the Greeks, from whence the Latins have borrowed their appellations, have named this hand δέξια, denominating it not from the site, but office, from εέχομαι capio. that is, the hand which receiveth, or is usually employed in that action.

Now upon these grounds we are most commonly mistaken. defining that by situation which they determined by motion: and giving the term of right hand to that which doth not properly admit it. For first, many in their infancy are sinistrously disposed, and divers continue all their life 'Aριστεροί, that is, left-handed, and have but weak and imperfect use of the right: now unto these, that hand is properly the right, and not the other esteemed so by situation.8 Thus may Aristotle be made out, when he affirmeth the right claw of crabs and lobsters is biggest, if we take the right for the most vigorous side, and not regard the relative situation: for the one is generally bigger than the other, yet not always upon the same side. So may it be verified, what is delivered by Scaliger in his Comment, that palsies do oftenest happen upon the left side, if understood in this sense; the most vigorous part protecting itself, and protruding the matter upon the weaker and less resistive side. And

^{*} that hand is properly, dc.] This exception is see far from destroying the generall rule, that itt rather confirms itt. For the most parte of all men in all nations of the world are right-handed, and in those that use the lefte hand, the righte hand keepes the name; how should hee else bee distinguished from all men that are right-handed. And thoughe the left hand bee as useful to some as the right to all others, yet itt is still their left hand; and by that name they are distinguisht, and call left-handed men.— Wr.

thus the law of commonwealths, that cut off the right hand of malefactors, if philosophically executed, is impartial; otherwise the amputation not equally punisheth all.

Some are 'Aμριδίζιοι, that is, ambidextrous or right-handed on both sides; which happeneth only unto strong and athletical bodies, whose heat and spirits are able to afford an ability unto both. And therefore Hippocrates saith, that women are not ambidextrous, that is, not so often as men; for some are found which indifferently make use of both. And so may Aristotle say, that only men are ambidextrous; of this constitution was Asteropæus in Homer, and Parthenopeus, the Theban captain, in Statius: and of the same do some conceive our father Adam to have been, as being perfectly framed, and in a constitution admitting least defect. Now in these men the right hand is on both sides, and that is not the left which is opposite unto the right, according to common acception.

Again, some are 'Αμφαριστεροὶ, as Galen hath expressed it; that is, ambilevous or left-handed on both sides; such as with agility and vigour have not the use of either; who are not gymnastically composed, nor actively use those parts. Now in these there is no right hand: of this constitution are many women, and some men, who, though they accustom themselves unto either hand, do dextrously make use of neither. And therefore, although the political advice of Aristotle be very good, that men should accustom themselves to the command of either hand; yet cannot the execution or performance thereof be general: for though there be many found that can use both, yet will there divers remain that can strenuously make use of neither.

Lastly, these lateralities in man are not only fallible, if relatively determined unto each other, but made in reference unto the heavens and quarters of the globe: for those parts

⁹ Again, &c.] In the use of string instruments both hands are dextrously used, yet the easiest and slowest parte is alwayes put on the lefte side; bycause all men use it soe: and excepting the harpe, there is scarce any string instrument to fit both hands, or the virginals, harpsicords, organs, which have all their ground from the harpe, layd along as it were in those instruments and supplied with keys (as that by the fingers) by which they are mediately made to speake as the harpe by the fingers immediately.— Wr.

are not capable of these conditions in themselves, nor with any certainty respectively derived from us, nor from them to us again. And first, in regard of their proper nature, the heavens admit not these sinister and dexter respects, there being in them no diversity or difference, but a simplicity of parts and equiformity in motion continually succeeding each other; so that from what point soever we compute, the account will be common unto the whole circularity. And therefore though it be plausible, it is not of consequence hereto what is delivered by Solinus; that man was therefore a microcosm or little world, because the dimensions of his positions were answerable unto the greater. For as in the heavens the distance of the north and southern pole, which are esteemed the superior and inferior points, is equal unto the space between the east and west, accounted the dextrous and sinistrous parts thereof, so is it also in man; for the extent of his fathom or distance betwixt the extremity of the fingers of either hand upon expansion, is equal unto the space between the sole of the foot and the crown. doth but petitionarily infer a dextrality in the heavens, and we may as reasonably conclude a right and left laterality in the ark or naval edifice of Noah. For the length thereof was thirty cubits, the breadth fifty, and the height or profundity thirty: which well agreeth unto the proportion of man; whose length, that is, a perpendicular from the vertex unto the sole of the foot, is sextuple unto his breadth, or a right line drawn from the ribs of one side to another, and decuple unto his profundity, that is, a direct line between the breast-bone and the spine.

Again, they receive not these conditions with any assufance or stability from ourselves. For the relative foundations, and points of denomination, are not fixed and certain, but variously designed according to imagination. The philosopher accounts that east from whence the heavens begin their motion. The astronomer, regarding the south and meridian sun, calls that the dextrous part of heaven which respecteth his right hand; and that is the west. Poets, respecting the west, assign the name of right unto the north which regardeth their right hand; and so must that of Ovid be explained, utque, due dextrá zone, totidémque sinistra.

But augurs, or soothsayers, turning their face to the east, did make the right in the south; which was also observed by the Hebrews and Chaldeans.* Now if we name the quarters of heaven respectively unto our sides, it will be no certain or invariable denomination. For, if we call that the right side of heaven which is seated easterly unto us when we regard the meridian sun, the inhabitants beyond the equator and southern tropick, when they face us, regarding the meridian, will contrarily define it; for unto them, the opposite part of heaven will respect the left, and the sun arise to their right.

And thus have we at large declared, that although the right be most commonly used, yet hath it no regular or certain root in nature. Since it is not confirmable from other animals: since in children it seems either indifferent or more favourable in the other; but more reasonable for uniformity in action, that men accustom unto one: since the grounds and reasons urged for it do not sufficiently support it; since, if there be a right and stronger side in nature, yet may we mistake in its denomination; calling that the right which is the left, and the left which is the right. Since some have one right, some both, some neither. And lastly, since these affections in man are not only fallible in relation unto one another, but made also in reference unto the heavens, they being not capable of these conditions in them-

* Psalm lxxxix. 13.

¹ But augurs, &c.] But Pomponius Lætus (in De Auguribus) sayes, if the augur versus orientem sedebat, tenens dextrâ lituum, i. e., curvum baculum, quo in cœlo regiones dividit et quæ auguria conveniunt prædicit: si læra fuerint, fælicia pronunciat; not bycause what comes to our left hand comes from the right hand of the gods, as some would say, but, sayes he, quia a læva parte septentrio est ; pars n. illa orbis, quia altior est prospera putatur; et a dextra parte meridies, quia depressior infelix. And this reason is not particular, but generall, and such as prevailes all the other of philosophers, astronomers, or poets which respect their owne artes more then the nobler scite of the world. Whose longitude, that is the greatest distance, is accounted from east to west, which are every where round the world. But the latitude, which is the least distance, is counted from the sequator to each pole. And bycause the northerne in all respects of habitation, religion, learning, artes, government, wealth, honor, and all relations to heaven is infinitely more noble, and withall the higher parte of the world: therefore, 'tis justly cald the right side of the world .- Wr.

selves, nor with any certainty from us, nor we from them

And therefore what admission we owe unto many conceptions concerning right and left, requireth circumspection. That is, how far we ought to rely upon the remedy in Kiranides, that is, the left eye of an hedgehog fried in oil to procure sleep, and the right foot of a frog in a deer's skin for the gout; or, that to dream of the loss of right or left tooth presageth the death of male or female kindred, according to the doctrine of Artemidorus. What verity there is in that numeral conceit in the lateral division of man by even and odd, ascribing the odd unto the right side, and even unto the left; and so, by parity or imparity of letters in men's names to determine misfortunes on either side of their bodies: by which account in Greek numeration, Hephæstus or Vulcan was lame in the right foot, and Annibal lost his right eye. And lastly, what substance there is in that auspicial principle, and fundamental doctrine of ariolation, that the left hand is ominous, and that good things do pass sinistrously upon us, because the left hand of man respected the right hand of the gods, which handed their favours unto us.2

2 unto us.] This chapter is very characteristic of our author. It displays remarkably the great pains he frequently bestows on the elucidation of lesser points, and the quaint and varied illustration which his extensive and curious reading enabled him to supply. The closing paragraph may serve to exemplify this latter remark; while the former is justified, not only by individual passages in the chapter, but by its great length, and by the care and argumentative precision with which he successively examines the various opinions, more or less absurd, which have been expressed on this most momentous topic, — summing up at the close, by a detail of the several reasons for his conclusion thereon.

Brande's Journal notices (vol. ii. page 423) a discourse by Signor Zecchinelli, on the reason of the prevalent custom of using the right in preference to the left hand. His theory is, first, that it was obviously necessary,—in order to avoid (what our author more felicitously terms) "anomalous discordances in manual actions,"—that one hand should obtain a general preference to the other. The next question was,—which to prefer? The Signor decides that mankind must have discovered that the left hand, from its anatomical connection with the most vital and important parts of the animal economy, could not be the one preferred. "For it must have been observed, that when the left arm is long used, or violently exercised, the left side also of the chest is put more or less in motion, and a consequent and corresponding obstacle produced not only to the free emission of the blood from the heart, but

CHAPTER VI.

On Swimming and Floating.

THAT men swim naturally, if not disturbed by fear; that men being drowned and sunk do float the ninth day, when their gall breaketh; that women drowned swim prone, but men supine, or upon their backs, are popular affirmations whereto we cannot assent. And first that man should swim naturally. because we observe it is no lesson unto other animals, we are not forward to conclude; for other animals swim in the same manner as they go, and need no other way of motion for natation in the water, than for progression upon the land. And this is true, whether they move per latera, that is, two legs of one side together, which is tolutation or ambling, or per diametrum, lifting one foot before, and the cross foot behind, which is succussation or trotting; or whether, per frontem, or quadratum, as Scaliger terms it, upon a square base, the legs of both sides moving together, as frogs and salient animals, which is properly called leaping. For by these motions they are able to support and impel themselves in the water, without alteration in the stroke of their legs, or position of their bodies.

But with man it is performed otherwise: for in regard of site he alters his natural posture and swimmeth prone, whereas he walketh erect.³ Again, in progression, the arms move parallel to the legs, and the arms and legs unto each other; but in natation they intersect and make all sorts of angles.

also to its progress through the aorta and its ramifications." The editor goes on to observe, that the prevalence of the arterial system in the left side of the body renders this opinion quite plausible: and the painful sensations we experience, when we agitate greatly the left arm, or attempt to run while carrying a weight in the left hand, proves in a certain manner the truth of Signor Z.'s assertion.

Dr. A. Clarke, on Gen. xlviii. 18, remarks, that "the right hand of God," in the heavens, expresses the place of the most exalted dignity. But among the Turks, and in the north of China, the left hand is most honourable.

³ he alters, dc.] "This is no reason," says Ross; "for man alters his natural posture when he crawls; will it follow, therefore, that this motion is not natural to man?"—See Arcana. p. 155.

And lastly, in progressive motion, the arms and legs do move successively, but in natation both together; all which aptly to perform, and so as to support and advance the body, is a point of art, and such as some in their young and docile years could never attain. But although swimming be acquired by art, yet is there somewhat more of nature in it than we observe in other habits, nor will it strictly fall under that definition; for once obtained, it is not to be removed; nor is there any who from disuse did ever yet forget it.

Secondly, that persons drowned arise and float the ninth day, when their gall breaketh, is a questionable determination both in the time and cause. For the time of floating, it is uncertain, according to the time of putrefaction, which shall retard or accelerate according to the subject and season of the year; for as we observed, cats and mice will arise unequally, and at different times, though drowned at the same. Such as are fat do commonly float soonest, for their bodies soonest ferment, and that substance approacheth nearest unto air: and this is one of Aristotle's reasons why dead eels will not float, because saith he, they have but slender bellies and little fat.

As for the cause, it is not so reasonably imputed unto the breaking of the gall as the putrefaction or corruptive fermentation of the body, whereby the unnatural heat prevailing, the putrefying parts do suffer a turgescence and inflation and becoming aery and spumous affect to approach the air, and ascend unto the surface of the water; and this is also evidenced in eggs, whereof the sound ones sink, and such as are addled swim, as do also those which are termed hypenemia or wind eggs, and this is also a way to separate seeds, whereof such as are corrupted and sterile swim, and this agreeth not only unto the seeds of plants locked up and capsulated in their husks, but also unto the sperm and seminal humour of man, for such a passage hath Aristotle upon the inquisition and test of its fertility.

That the breaking of the gall is not the cause hereof, experience hath informed us. For opening the abdomen, and taking out the gall in cats and mice, they did notwith standing arise. And because we had read in Rhodiginus of a tyrant, who to prevent the emergency of murdered bodies, did use to cut off their lungs, and found men's minds possessed with this reason, we committed some unto the water without lungs, which notwithstanding floated with the others; and to complete the experiment, although we took out the guts and bladder, and also perforated the cranium, yet would they arise, though in a longer time. From these observations in other animals, it may not be unreasonable to conclude the same in man, who is too noble a subject on whom to make them expressly, and the casual opportunity too rare almost to make any. Now if any shall ground this effect from gall or choler, because it is the highest humour, and will be above the rest, or being the fiery humour, will readiest surmount the water, we must confess in the common putrescence it may promote elevation, which the breaking of the bladder of gall, so small a part in man, cannot considerably advantage.

Lastly, that women drowned float prone, that is, with their bellies downward, but men supine or upward, is an assertion wherein the öre or point itself is dubious, and, were it true, the reason alleged for it is of no validity. The reason yet current was first expressed by Pliny, veluti pudori defunctorum parcente natura, nature modestly ordaining this position to conceal the shame of the dead, which hath been taken up by Solinus, Rhodiginus, and many more. This indeed (as Scaliger termeth it) is ratio civilis non philosophica, strong enough for morality or rhetoricks, not for philosophy or physicks. For first, in nature the concealment of secret parts is the same in both sexes, and the shame of their reveal equal: so Adam upon the taste of the fruit was ashamed of his nakedness as well as Eve. And so likewise in America and countries unacquainted with habits, where modesty conceals these parts in one sex, it doth it also in the other, and therefore had this been the intention of nature, not only women but men also had swimmed downwards; the posture in reason being common unto both, where the intent is also common.

Again, while herein we commend the modesty, we condemn the wisdom of nature: for that prone position we make her contrive unto the women, were best agreeable unto the man, in whom the secret parts are very anterior and more discoverable in a supine and upward posture; and therefore Scaliger declining this reason, hath recurred unto another from the difference of parts in both sexes; Quod ventre vasto sunt mulieres plenoque intestinis, itaque minus impletur et subsidet, inanior maribus quibus nates præponderant; if so, then men with great bellies will float downward, and only Callipygæ, and women largely composed behind, upward. But anatomists observe, that to make the larger cavity for the infant, the haunch-bones in women, and consequently the parts appendent, are more protuberant than they are in men. They who ascribe the cause unto the breasts of women, take not away the doubt, for they resolve not why children float downward, who are included in that sex, though not in the reason alleged. But hereof we cease to discourse, lest we undertake to afford a reason of the golden tooth,* that is, to invent or assign a cause, when we remain unsatisfied or unassured of the effect.

That a mare will sooner drown than a horse, though commonly opinioned, is not I fear experienced; nor is the same observed in the drowning of whelps and kitlings. But that a man cannot shut or open his eyes under water, easy experiment may convict. Whether cripples and mutilated persons, who have lost the greatest part of their thighs, will not sink but float, their lungs being abler to waft up their bodies, which are in others overpoised by the hinder legs; we have not made experiment. Thus much we observe, that animals drown downwards, and the same is observable in frogs, when the hinder legs are cut off; but in the air most seem to perish headlong from high places: however Vulcan thrown from heaven be made to fall on his feet.⁴

CHAPTER VII.

That Men weigh hearier dead than alive, and before meat than after.

That men weigh heavier dead than alive, if experiment hath not failed us, we cannot reasonably grant.⁵ For though

^{*} Of the cause whereof much dispute was made, and at last proved an imposture.

⁴ That a mare, sec.] This paragraph added in 2nd edition.

⁵ That men weigh heavier, &c.] What shall be said of the man who can use such an argument as the following:—"Why doth a man fall down in his sleep, who stood upright when he was awake, if he be

the trial hereof cannot so well be made on the body of man, nor will the difference be sensible in the debate of scruples or drachms, yet can we not confirm the same in lesser animals, from whence the inference is good, and the affirmative of Pliny saith, that it is true in all. For exactly weighing and strangling a chicken in the scales, upon an immediate ponderation, we could discover no sensible difference in weight, but suffering it to lie eight or ten hours, until it grew perfectly cold, it weighed most sensibly lighter; the like we attempted and verified in mice, and performed their trials in scales that would turn upon the eighth or tenth part of a grain.

Now whereas some allege that spirits are lighter substances, and naturally ascending, do elevate and waft the body upward, whereof dead bodies being destitute contract a greater gravity; although we concede that spirits are light, comparatively unto the body, yet that they are absolutely so, or have no weight at all, we cannot readily allow. For since philosophy affirmeth that spirits are middle substances between the soul and body, they must admit of some corporeity, which supposeth weight or gravity. Beside in carcasses warm, and bodies newly disanimated, while transpiration remaineth, there do exhale and breathe out vaporous and fluid parts, which carry away some power of gravitation. Which though we allow we do not make answerable unto living expiration, and therefore the chicken or mice were not

not heavier than he was?"—Ross, Arcana, p. 100. Truly we may say, "Every man is not a proper champion for truth, nor fit to take up the gauntlet in the cause of verity!"—Rel. Med. p. 9.

The result of modern investigation seems to confirm the opinion so preposterously advocated by Ross; at least it shows that the specific gravity of the human body is in reality greater after death than it was while living. Dalton, in an interesting paper on the Effects of Atmospheric Pressure on the Animal Frame, published in the 10th vol. of the Manchester Memoirs, thus sums up: "Upon the whole I am inclined to believe the true explanation of the difficulty will be found in this, that the whole substance of the body is pervious to air, and that a considerable portion of it constantly exists in the body during life subject to increase and diminution according to the pressure of the atmosphere, in the same manner as it exists in water, and further, that when life is extinct, this air in some degree escapes, and renders the parts specifically heavier than when the vital functions were in a state of activity."

so light being dead, as they would have been after ten hours kept alive, for in that space a man abateth many ounces; nor if it had slept, for in that space of sleep, a man will sometimes abate forty ounces: nor if it had been in the middle of summer, for then a man weigheth some pounds less than in the height of winter, according to experience, and the statick aphorisms of Sanctorius.

Again, whereas men affirm they perceive an addition of ponderosity in dead bodies, comparing them usually unto blocks and stones, whensoever they lift or carry them; this accessional preponderancy is rather in appearance than reality. For being destitute of any motion, they confer no relief unto the agents or elevators, which make us meet with the same complaints of gravity in animated and living bodies, where the nerves subside, and the faculty locomotive seems abolished, as may be observed in the lifting or supporting of persons inebriated, apoplectical, or in lipothymies and swoonings.

Many are also of opinion, and some learned men maintain, that men are lighter after meals than before, and that by a supply and addition of spirits obscuring the gross ponderosity of the aliment ingested; but the contrary hereof we have found in the trial of sundry persons in different sex and ages. And we conceive men may mistake, if they distinguish not the sense of levity unto themselves, and in regard of the scale, or decision of trutination.⁶ For after a draught of wine, a man may seem lighter in himself from sudden reflection, although he be heavier in the balance, from a corporal and ponderous addition; but a man in the morning is lighter in the scale, because in sleep some pounds have perspired; and is also lighter unto himself, because he is refected.

And to speak strictly, a man that holds his breath is weightier while his lungs are full, than upon expiration. For a bladder blown is weightier than one empty; and if it contain a quart, expressed and emptied it will abate about a quarter of a grain. And therefore we somewhat mistrust the experiment of a pumice-stone taken up by Montanus, in his comment upon Avicenna, where declaring how the rarity of parts, and numerosity of pores, occasioneth a lightness in

⁶ trutination.] The act of weighing in scales; from trutina.

bodies, he affirms that a pumice-stone powdered is lighter than one entire; which is an experiment beyond our satisfaction; for, beside that abatement can hardly be avoided in the trituration, if a bladder of good capacity will scarce include a grain of air, a pumice of three or four drachms, cannot be presumed to contain the hundredth part thereof; which will not be sensible upon the exactest beams we use. Nor is it to be taken strictly, what is delivered by the learned Lord Verulam, and referred unto further experiment; that a dissolution of iron in aqua fortis, will bear as good weight as their bodies did before, notwithstanding a great deal of waste by a thick vapour that issueth during the working; for we cannot find it to hold either in iron or copper, which is dissolved with less ebullition; and hereof we made trial in scales of good exactness; wherein if there be a defect, or such as will not turn upon quarter grains, there may be frequent mistakes in experiments of this nature. may be considered which is delivered by Hamerus Poppius. that antimony calcined or reduced to ashes by a burning glass, although it emit a gross and ponderous exhalation, doth rather exceed than abate its former gravity.7 Nevertheless, strange it is, how very little and almost insensible abatement there will be sometimes in such operations, or rather some increase, as in the refining of metals, in the test of bone-ashes, according to experience: and in a burnt brick, as Monsieur de Calve,* affirmeth. Mistake may be made in this way of trial; when the antimony is not weighed immediately upon the calcination, but permitted the air, it imbibeth the humidity thereof, and so repaireth its gravity.

CHAPTER VIII.

That there are several passages for Meat and Drink.

That there are different passages for meat and drink, the meat or dry aliment descending by the one, the drink or

Des Pierres.

that antimony, &c.] This is like that other refuted before, that a pumice powdered weighs heavier then before.—Wr.

moistening vehicle by the other, is a popular tenet in our days, but was the assertion of learned men of old. same was affirmed by Plato, maintained by Eustathius in Macrobius, and is deducible from Eratosthenes, Eupolis and Euripides. Now herein men contradict experience, not well understanding anatomy, and the use of parts. For at the throat there are two cavities or conducting parts; the one the asophagus or gullet, seated next the spine, a part official unto nutrition, and whereby the aliment both wet and dry is conveyed unto the stomach; the other (by which 'tis conceived the drink doth pass) is the weazand, rough artery, or wind-pipe, a part inservient to voice and respiration; for thereby the air descendeth into the lungs, and is communicated unto the heart. And therefore, all animals that breathe or have lungs, have also the weazand; but many have the gullet or feeding channel, which have no lungs or windpipe; as fishes which have gills, whereby the heart is refrigerated; for such thereof as have lungs and respiration, are not without the weazand, as whales and cetaceous animals.

Again, beside these parts destined to divers offices, there is a peculiar provision for the wind-pipe, that is, a cartilagineous flap upon the opening of the larynx or throttle, which hath an open cavity for the admission of the air; but lest thereby either meat or drink should descend, Providence hath placed the epiglottis, liquid, or flap like an ivy leaf, which always closeth when we swallow, or when the meat and drink passeth over it into the gullet. Which part although all have not that breathe, as all cetaceous and oviparous animals, yet is the weazand secured some other way; and therefore in whales that breathe, lest the water should get into the lungs, an ejection thereof is contrived by a fistula or spout at the And therefore also, though birds have no epiglottis, yet can they so contract the rim or chink of their larynx, as to prevent the admission of wet or dry ingested; either whereof getting in, occasioneth a cough, until it be ejected. And this is the reason why a man cannot drink and breathe at the same time; why, if we laugh while we drink, the drink flies out at the nostrils; why, when the water enters the weazand, men are suddenly drowned; and thus must it

be understood, when we read of one that died by the seed of

a grape,* and another by an hair in milk.8

Now if any shall affirm, that some truth there is in the assertion, upon the experiment of Hippocrates, who, killing an hog after a red potion, found the tincture thereof in the larynx; if any will urge the same from medical practice, because in affections both of lungs and weazand, physicians make use of syrups, and lambitive medicines; we are not averse to acknowledge, that some may distil and insinuate into the wind-pipe, and medicines may creep down, as well as the rheum before them: yet to conclude from hence, that air and water have both one common passage, were to state the question upon the weaker side of the distinction, and from a partial or guttulous irrigation to conclude a total descension.

CHAPTER IX.

Of Saluting upon Sneezing.

Concerning Sternutation or Sneezing, and the custom of saluting or blessing upon that motion, it is pretended, and generally believed, to derive its original from a disease, wherein sternutation proved mortal, and such as sneezed, died. And this may seem to be proved from Carolus Sigonius, who in his History of Italy, makes mention of a pestilence in the time of Gregory the Great, that proved pernicious and deadly to those that sneezed. Which notwithstanding will not sufficiently determine the grounds hereof, that custom having an elder era than this chronology affordeth.

* Anacreon the Poet, if the story be taken literally.

⁶ by an hair in milk.] And a woman in Knowle, Wiltes, by a piece of the great tendon in a neck of veale (which is commonly cald the Halifax) which getting sodenly within the larinx chokt her.—Wr. See

my note relating the death of Lord Boringdon, at p. 168.

y syrups.] In a dangerous catharr, the end of giving syrupes is, that sliding downe with the rheumes, they may both abate and correct the cold crude salt corroding qualityes of rheumes and withall by the heat of the ingredients, and the balmy benigne quality of sugar, att once arme and warme the lungs, and withall thicken the rheum that fals, that itt may bee more easily expectorated.—Wr.

For although the age of Gregory extend above a thousand. vet is this custom mentioned by Apuleius, in the fable of the fuller's wife, who lived three hundred years before; by Pliny in that problem of his, cur sternutantes salutantur; and there are also reports that Tiberius the emperor, otherwise a very sour man, would perform this rite most punctually unto others, and expect the same from others unto himself. Petronius Arbiter, who lived before them both, and was proconsul of Bithynia in the reign of Nero, hath mentioned it in these words, Gyton collectione spiritus plenus, ter continuò ità sternutavit, ut grabatum concuteret, ad quem motum Eumolpus conversus, Salvere Gytona jubet. Celius Rhodiginus hath an example hereof among the Greeks far ancienter than these, that is, in the time of Cyrus the younger, when consulting about their retreat, it chanced that one among them sneezed, at the noise whereof the rest of the soldiers called upon Jupiter Soter. There is also in the Greek Anthology a remarkable mention hereof in an epigram, upon one Proclus; the Latin whereof we shall deliver, as we find it often translated.

> Non potis est Proclus digitis emungere nasum, Namq; est pro nasi mole pusilla manus: Non vocat ille Jovem sternutans, quippe nec audit Sternutamentum, tam procul aure sonat.

Proclus with his hand his nose can never wipe,
His hand too little is his nose to gripe;
He sneezing calls not *Jove*, for why! he hears
Himself not sneeze, the sound's so far from's ears.

Nor was this only an ancient custom among the Greeks and Romans, and is still in force with us, but is received at this day in remotest parts of Africa.\(^1\) For so we read in Codignus,\(^*\) that upon a sneeze of the Emperor of Monomotapa, there passed acclamations successive through the city; and as remarkable an example there is of the same custom, in the remotest parts of the East, recorded in the travels of Pinto.

But the history will run much higher, if we should take in the rabbinical account hereof, that sneezing was a mortal

^{*} De rebus Abassinorum.

Africa.] And in Otaheite. -- Jeff.

sign even from the first man, until it was take noff by the special supplication of Jacob. From whence, as a thankful acknowledgment, this salutation first began, and was after continued by the expression of *Tobim Chaiim*, or vita bona,

by standers by, upon all occasion of sneezing.2

Now the ground of this ancient custom was probably the opinion the ancients held of sternutation. which they generally conceived to be a good sign or a bad, and so upon this motion accordingly used a salve or Zεῦ σῶσον, as a gratulation for the one, and a deprecation for the other. Now of the ways whereby they enquired and determined its signality; the first was natural, arising from physical causes, and consequences oftentimes naturally succeeding this motion, and so it might be justly esteemed a good sign; for sneezing being properly a motion of the brain, suddenly expelling through the nostrils what is offensive unto it, it cannot but afford some evidence of its vigour, and therefore, saith Aristotle,* they that hear it, προσκυνοῦσιν ὡς ἴερον, "honour it as somewhat sacred," and a sign of sanity in the diviner part, and this he illustrates from the practice of physicians, who in persons near death, do use sternutatories, or such medicines as provoke unto sneezing, when if the faculty awaketh, and sternutation ensueth, they conceive hopes of life, and with gratulation receive the signs of safety. † And so is it also of good signality, according to that of Hippocrates, that sneezing cureth the hiccough, and is profitable unto women in hard labour, and so is it good in lethargies, apoplexies, catalepsies, and comas. And in this natural way is it sometime likewise of bad effects or signs, and may give hints of deprecation; as in diseases of the chest, for therein Hippocrates condemneth it as too much exagitating; in the beginning of catarrhs, according unto Avicenna, as hindering concoction; in new and tender conceptions, as Pliny observeth, for then it endangers abortion.

The second way was superstitious and augurial, as Cœlius

^{*} Problems, sect. 33.

^{+ 2} Kings iv. 35.

² And as remarkable, &c.] This sentence and the following paragraph were added in 3rd edition.

³ sternutation.] Physitians generally define itt to be the trumpet of nature upon the ejection of a noxious vapour from the braine, and therefore saye rightly itt is bonum signum malæ causæ, sc. depulsæ.—Wr.

Rhodiginus hath illustrated in testimonies as ancient as Theoeritus and Homer; as appears from the Athenian master, who would have retired because a boatman sneezed; and the testimony of Austin, that the ancients were wont to go to bed again if they sneezed while they put on their shoe. And in this way it was also of good and bad signification; so Aristotle hath a problem, why sneezing from noon unto midnight was good, but from night to noon unlucky. So Eustathius upon Homer observes, that sneezing to the left hand was unlucky, but prosperous unto the right; so, as Plutarch relateth, when Themistocles sacrificed in his galley before the battle of Xerxes, and one of the assistants upon the right hand sneezed, Euphrantides, the soothsayer, presaged the victory of the Greeks, and the overthrow of the Persians.

Thus we may perceive the custom is more ancient than commonly conceived, and these opinions hereof in all ages, not any one disease, to have been the occasion of this salute and deprecation. Arising at first from this vehement and affrighting motion of the brain, inevitably observable unto the standers by; from whence some finding dependent effects to; ensue, others ascribing hereto as a cause what perhaps but casually or inconnexedly succeeded, they might proceed unto forms of speeches, felicitating the good, or deprecating the evil to follow.

CHAPTER X.

That Jews Stink.

That Jews stink⁴ naturally, that is, that in their race and nation there is an evil savour, is a received opinion we know

* That Jews stink.] The Jews anxiously observing the prohibited eating of blood keepe their flesh covered with onyons and garleek till it putrifie, and contracte as bad a smell as that of rottenes from the strong sawces; and soe by continual use thereof emit a loathsom savou as Mr. Fulham experimented in Italye at a Jewish meeting, with the hazard of life, till he removed into the fresh air. Teste ipso fide dignissimo.—Wr.

Howell, in a letter written to Lord Clifford, in reply to his enquiries respecting the Jews, does not hesitate to adopt the common opinion as one so well known as to need no proof. "As they are," says he, the

not how to admit, although we concede many questionable points, and dispute not the verity of sundry opinions which are of affinity hereto. We will acknowledge that certain odours attend on animals, no less than certain colours; that pleasant smells are not confined unto vegetables, but found in divers animals, and some more richly than in plants; and though the problem of Aristotle enquires why no animal smells sweet beside the pard, yet later discoveries add divers sorts of monkeys, the civet cat and gazela, from which our musk proceedeth. We confess that beside the smell of the species there may be individual odours, and every man may have a proper and peculiar savour, which although not perceptible unto man, who hath this sense but weak is vet sensible unto dogs, who hereby can single out their masters in the dark. We will not deny that particular men have sent forth a pleasant savour, as Theophrastus and Plutarch report of Alexander the Great, and Tzetzes and Cardan do testify of themselves. That some may also emit an unsavoury odour, we have no reason to deny; for this may happen from the quality of what they have taken, the fœtor whereof may discover itself by sweat and urine, as being unmasterable by the natural heat of man, not to be dulcified by concoction beyond an unsavoury condition; the like may come to pass from putrid humours, as is often discoverable in putrid and malignant fevers; and sometime also in gross and humid bodies even in the latitude of sanity, the natural heat of the parts being insufficient for a perfect and thorough digestion, and the errors of one concoction not rectifiable by another. But that an unsavoury odour is gentilitious or national unto the Jews, if rightly understood, we cannot well concede, nor will the information of reason or sense induce it.

For first, upon consult of reason, there will be found no easy assurance to fasten a material or temperamental propriety upon any nation; there being scarce any condition (but what depends upon clime) which is not exhausted or obscured from the commixture of introvenient nations either by commerce or conquest; much more will it be difficult to

most contemptible people, and have a kind of fulsome scent, no better than a stink, that distinguisheth them from others, so they are the most timorous people on earth, &c."—Familiar Letters, book i. § 6, letter xv. p. 252.

make out this affection in the Jews; whose race however pretended to be pure, must needs have suffered inseparable commixtures with nations of all sorts; not only in regard of their proselytes, but their universal dispersion; some being posted from several parts of the earth, others quite lost, and swallowed up in those nations where they planted. For the tribes of Reuben, Gad, part of Manasses and Naphthali, which were taken by Assur, and the rest at the sacking of Samaria, which were led away by Salmanasser into Assyria, and after a year and a half arrived at Arsereth, as is delivered in Esdras; these I say never returned,5 and are by the Jews as vainly expected as their Messias. Of those of the tribe of Judah and Benjamin, which were led captive into Babylon by Nebuchadnezzar, many returned under Zorobabel; the rest remained, and from thence long after, upon invasion of the Saracens, fled as far as India; where yet they are said to remain, but with little difference from the Gentiles.

⁵ For the tribes, &c.] The subsequent history of the ten tribes, who were carried into captivity at the fall of Samaria, has ever remained and must remain a matter of conjecture.—It is, however, most probable that our author's supposition is correct. Dr. Claudius Buchanan is satisfied "that the greater part of the ten tribes, which now exist, are to be found in the countries of their first captivity." In support of which opinion he cites the following passage from a speech of King Agrippa to the Jews, in the reign of Vespasian; -"What, do you stretch your hopes beyond the river Euphrates ?- Do any of you think that your fellow-tribes will come to your aid out of Adiabene? Besides, if they would come, the Parthian will not permit it."-Joseph. de Bell. lib. ii. c. 28,—a proof, as the Dr. remarks, that the ten tribes were still in captivity, in Media, under the Persian princes, during the 1st century of the Christian era, 700 years after their transplantation. Again he adduces a passage from Jerome, written in the 5th century, in his notes on Hosea; -- "unto this day the ten tribes are subject to the kings of the Persians, nor has their captivity ever been loosed." He says also. "the ten tribes inhabit at this day the cities and mountains of the Medes," tom. vi. p. 80. To this day, continues Dr. B., no family, Jew or Christian, is permitted to leave the Persian territories without the king's permission.—See Dr. Claudius Buchanan's Christian Researches in Asia, p. 239.

The Samaritan traditions, however, might lead to the opinion that a considerable remnant of the Israelites avoided captivity, and were left on the soil of Palestine. The singular fact that they have preserved the Mosaic law in the ruder and more ancient character, strongly confirms this hypothesis, which derives additional support also from various other considerations.—See *History of the Jews (Fam. Lib.)*, ii. 10.

The tribes that returned to Judea, were afterward widely dispersed; for beside sixteen thousand which Titus sent to Rome under the triumph of his father Vespasian, he sold no less than an hundred thousand for slaves. Not many years after. Adrian the emperor, who ruined the whole country. transplanted many thousands into Spain, from whence they dispersed into divers countries, as into France and England, but were banished after from both. From Spain they dispersed into Africa, Italy, Constantinople, and the dominions of the Turk, where they remain as yet in very great num-And if (according to good relations), where they may freely speak it, they forbear not to boast that there are at present many thousand Jews in Spain, France, and England, and some dispensed withal even to the degree of priesthood; it is a matter very considerable, and could they be smelled out, would much advantage, not only the church of Christ, but also the coffers of princes.6

Now having thus lived in several countries, and always in subjection, they must needs have suffered many commixtures; and we are sure they are not exempted from the common contagion of venery contracted first from Christians. Nor are fornications unfrequent between them both; there commonly passing opinions of invitement, that their women desire copulation with them rather than their own nation, and affect Christian carnality above circumcised venery. It being therefore acknowledged that some are lost, evident that others are mixed, and not assured that any are distinct, it will be hard to establish this quality upon the Jews, unless we also transfer the same unto those whose generations are mixed, whose genealogies are Jewish, and naturally derived from them.

Again, if we concede a national unsavouriness in any people, yet shall we find the Jews less subject hereto than any, and that in those regards which most powerfully concur to such effects, that is, their diet and generation. As for their diet, whether in obedience unto the precepts of reason, or the injunctions of parsimony, therein they are very tem-

⁶ The tribes, dc.] The subject of this paragraph is fully treated in the course of the History of the Jews, referred to in the preceding note: the last chapter of which gives a very elaborate and careful estimate of the present number of Jews in various countries.

perate, seldom, offending in ebriety or excess of drink, nor erring in gulosity or superfluity of meats; whereby they prevent indigestion and crudities,7 and consequently putrescence of humours. They have in abomination all flesh maimed, or the inwards any way vitiated, and therefore eat no meat but of their own killing. They observe not only fasts at certain times, but are restrained unto very few dishes at all times; so few, that whereas S. Peter's sheet will hardly cover our tables, their law doth scarce permit them to set forth a lordly feast; nor any way to answer the luxury of our times, or those of our forefathers. For of flesh their law restrains them many sorts, and such as complete our feasts; that animal, propter convivia natum,* they touch not, nor any of its preparations or parts, so much in respect at Roman tables, nor admit they unto their board, hares, conies, herons, plovers, or swans. Of fishes they only taste of such as have both fins and scales, which are comparatively but few in number; such only, saith Aristotle, whose egg or spawn is arenaceous: whereby are excluded all cetaceous and cartilagineous fishes; many pectinal, whose ribs are rectilineal; many costal, which have their ribs embowed; all spinal, or such as have no ribs, but only a backbone, or somewhat analogous thereto, as eels, congers, lampreys; all that are testaceous, as oysters, cockles, wilks, scollops, muscles; and likewise all crustaceous, as crabs, shrimps, and lobsters. So that observing a spare and simple diet, whereby they prevent the generation of crudities; and fasting often, whereby they might also digest them; they must be less inclinable unto this infirmity than any other nation, whose proceedings are not so reasonable to avoid it.

As for their generations and conceptions (which are the purer from good diet), they become more pure and perfect by the strict observation of their law; upon the injunctions whereof, they severely observe the times of purification, and avoid all copulation, either in the uncleanness of themselves,

^{*} Quanti est gula, quæ sibi totos ponit apros! Animal propter convivia natum.

 $^{^7}$ indigestion and 6 crudities.] This cruditye of indigestion is see clearly discernable in the breath of children; that hee who comes fasting into a great schoole shall soone perceave itt, to his smell, most odious.—Wr.

VOL. 1. 2 E

or impurity of their women. A rule, I fear, not so well observed by Christians; whereby not only conceptions are prevented, but if they proceed, so vitiated and defiled, that durable inquinations remain upon the birth. Which, when the conception meets with these impurities, must needs be very potent; since in the purest and most fair conceptions, learned men derive the cause of pox and meazles, from principles of that nature; that is, the menstruous impurities in the mother's blood, and virulent tinctures contracted by the infant, in the nutriment of the womb.

Lastly, experience will convict it; for this offensive odour is no way discoverable in their synagogues where many are,8 and by reason of their number could not be concealed: nor is the same discernible in commerce or conversation with such as are cleanly in apparel, and decent in their houses. Surely the Viziers and Turkish bashas are not of this opinion; who, as Sir Henry Blunt informeth, do generally keep a Jew of their private council. And were this true, the Jews themselves do not strictly make out the intention of their law, for in vain do they scruple to approach the dead, who livingly are cadaverous, or fear any outward pollution, whose temper pollutes themselves. And lastly, were this true, yet our opinion is not impartial; for unto converted Jews who are of the same seed, no man imputeth this unsayoury odour; as though aromatized by their conversion, they lost their scent with their religion, and smelt no longer than they savoured of the Jew.

Now the ground that begat or propagated this assertion, might be the distasteful averseness of the Christian from the Jew, upon the villany of that fact, which made them abominable and stink in the nostrils of all men. Which real practice and metaphorical expression did after proceed into a literal construction; but was a fraudulent illation; for such an evil savour their father Jacob acknowledged in himself, when he said his sons had made him stink in the land, that is, to be abominable unto the inhabitants thereof.* Now how dangerous it is in sensible things to

^{*} Gen. xxxiv.

^{*}many are.] See the evidence hereof, p. 413, undeniably proceed. $\longrightarrow Wr$.

use metaphorical expressions unto the people, and what absurd conceits they will swallow in their literals, an impatient9 example we have in our own profession; who having called an eating ulcer by the name of a wolf, common apprehension conceives a reality therein, and against ourselves ocular affirmations are pretended to confirm it.

The nastiness of that nation, and sluttish course of life, hath much promoted the opinion, occasioned by their servile condition at first, and inferior ways of parsimony ever since; as is delivered by Mr. Sandys; they are generally fat, saith he, and rank of the savours which attend upon sluttish corpulency.1 The epithets assigned them by ancient times, have also advanced the same; for Ammianus Marcellinus describeth them in such language, and Martial more ancient, in such a relative expression sets forth unsavoury Bassa.

> Quod jejunia sabbatariorum Mallem, quam quod oles, olere, Bassa.

From whence, notwithstanding, we cannot infer an inward imperfection in the temper of that nation; it being but an effect in the breath from outward observation, in their strict and tedious fasting; and was a common effect in the breaths of other nations, became a proverb among the Greeks* and the reason thereof begot a problem in Aristotle.+

Lastly, if all were true, and were this savour conceded. yet are the reasons alleged for it no way satisfactory. Hucherius, † and after him Alsarius Crucius, † imputes this effect unto their abstinence from salt or salt meats;2 which how to make good in the present diet of the Jews, we know not; nor shall we conceive it was observed of old, if we consider they seasoned every sacrifice and all oblations whatsoever; whereof we cannot deny a great part was eaten by the priests. And if the offering were of flesh, it

^{*} Nηστείας όζειν. Jejunia olere. + De Sterilitate. I Cruc. Med. Epist.

impatient.] Lege insufferable.—Wr.
rank, &c.] Which Mr. Fulham confirmd as above, p. 413. This is enoughe, leaving the cause to further inquisition. - Wr.

salt meats.] Which they supply with onyons and garlick, ut supra. -Wr.

was salted no less than thrice, that is, once in the common chamber of salt, at the footstep of the altar, and upon the top thereof, as is at large delivered by Maimonides. Nor, if they refrained all salt, is the illation very urgent: for many there are not noted for ill odours, which eat no salt at all; as all carnivorous animals, most children, many whole nations, and probably our fathers after the creation; there being indeed, in every thing we eat, a natural and concealed salt, which is separated by digestions, as doth appear in our tears, sweat, and urines, although we refrain all salt, or what doth seem to contain it.

Another cause is urged by Campegius, and much received by Christians; that this ill savour is a curse derived upon them by Christ, and stands as a badge or brand of a generation that crucified their Salvator. But this is a conceit without all warrant, and an easy way to take off dispute in what point of obscurity soever. A method of many writers, which much depreciates the esteem and value of miracles; that is, therewith to salve not only real verities, but also non-existencies. Thus have elder times not only ascribed the immunity of Ireland from any venomous beast unto the staff or rod of Patrick, but the long tails of Kent unto the malediction of Austin.⁵

Thus therefore, although we concede that many opinions are true which hold some conformity unto this, yet in assenting hereto many difficulties must arise; it being a

 $^{^{3}}$ not noted, &c.] This is contraryed by experience. Supra, p. 413.— Wr.

^{*} salt.] The earthy being separated, leaves the other sweet, not salt. But the many circulations of them acquiring saltnes from the naturall heate, send out that unnecessary saltnes in sweat, and teares, and urine, and generally in salivation.—Wr.

s long tails of Kent. Bailey gives the following notice of these gentry:—"The Kentish men are said to have had long tails for some generations; by way of punishment, as some say, for the Kentish Pagans abusing Austin the monk and his associates, by beating them, and opprobriously tying fish-tails to their backsides; in revenge of which, such appendants grew to the hind parts of all that generation. But the scene of this lying wonder was not in Kent, but in Carne, in Dorsetshire, many miles off. Others again say it was for cutting off the tail of St. Thomas of Canterbury's horse, who, being out of favour with King Henry II. riding towards Canterbury upon a poor sorry horse, was so served by the common people.

dangerous point to annex a constant property unto any nation, and much more this unto the Jew; since their quality is not verified by observation; 6 since the grounds are feeble that should establish it; and lastly, since if all were true, yet are the reasons alleged for it of no sufficiency to maintain it.

CHAPTER XI.

Of Pigmies.

By pigmies we understand a dwarfish race of people, or lowest diminution of mankind, comprehended in one cubit. or as some will have it, in two foot or three spans; not taking them single, but nationally considering them, and as they make up an aggregated habitation. Whereof, although affirmations be many, and testimonies more frequent than in any other point which wise men have cast into the list of fables, yet that there is, or ever was such a race or nation, upon exact and confirmed testimonies, our strictest enquiry receives no satisfaction.7

I say "exact testimony," first, in regard of the authors from whom we derive the account; for, though we meet herewith in Herodotus, Philostratus, Mela, Pliny, Solinus, and many more, yet were they derivative relators, and the primitive author was Homer; who, using often similes, as well to delight the ear, as to illustrate his matter, in the third of his Iliads, compareth the Trojans unto cranes, when they descend against the pigmies; which was more largely set out by Oppian, Juvenal, Mantuan, and many poets since, and being only a pleasant figment in the fountain, became a solemn story in the stream, and current still among us.

[•] not verifiable, &c.] It is, ut supra, p. 413.—Wr.

7 By pigmies, &c.] Ross contends,—as he almost invariably does—for the truth of the old saying. He argues that "it stands with reason there should be such, that God's wisdom might be seen in all sorts of magnitudes; for if there have been giants, why not also pigmies, nature being as propense to the least, as to the greatest magnitude." He adduces the testimony of Buchanan, who, speaking of the isles of Scotland, amongst the rest sets down the Isle of Pigmies.

Again,⁸ many professed enquirers have rejected it. Strabo, an exact and judicious geographer, hath largely condemned it as a fabulous story. Julius Scaliger, a diligent enquirer, accounts thereof but as a poetical fiction. Ulysses Aldrovandus, a most exact zoographer, in an express discourse hereon, concludes the story fabulous, and a poetical account of Homer; and the same was formerly conceived by Eustathius, his excellent commentator. Albertus Magnus, a man ofttimes too credulous, herein was more than dubious; for he affirmeth if any such dwarfs were ever extant, they were surely some kind of apes; which is a conceit allowed by Cardan,⁹ and not esteemed

improbable by many others.

There are, I confess, two testimonies, which from their authority, admit of consideration. The first of Aristotle,* whose words are these, ἔστι δὲ ὁ τόπος, &c. That is, Hic locus est quem incolunt pygmæi, non enim id fabula est, sed pusillum genus ut aiunt. Wherein indeed Aristotle plays the Aristotle, that is, the wary and evading assertor; for though with non est fabula he seems at first to confirm it. yet at the last he claps in ut aiunt, and shakes the bélief he put before upon it. And therefore, I observe Scaliger hath not translated the first; perhaps supposing it surreptitious or unworthy so great an assertor. And truly for those books of animals, or work of eight hundred talents, as Atheneus terms it, although ever to be admired, as containing most excellent truths, yet are many things therein delivered upon relation, and some repugnant unto the history of our senses; as we are able to make out in some, and Scaliger hath observed in many more, as he hath freely declared in his comment upon that piece.

The second testimony is deduced from Holy Scripture, thus rendered in vulgar translation: Sed et Pygmæi qui erant in turribus tuis, pharetras suas suspenderunt in muris

⁴ Again.] This paragraph is taken almost verbatim from Cardan in the place cited below.—Wr.

^{*} Hist. Animal. lib. viii.

⁺ Ezek. xxvii. 12.

⁹ Cardan.] Rightly does he quote Cardan, who in the 8th book, De Varietate, cap. xl. p. 527, approves of Strabo's judgement of Homer's fistion: and concludes they were mistaken, being noe other them apes.—Wr.

tuis per gyrum; from whence notwithstanding we cannot infer this assertion. For, first, the translators accord not, and the Hebrew word gammadim is very variously ren-Though Aquila, Vatablus, and Lyra will have it pyqmei, yet in the Septuagint it is no more than watchmen, and so in the Arabic and High Dutch. In the Chaldee, Cappadocians; in Symmachus, Medes; and in the French, those of Gamad. Theodotion of old, and Tremellius of late, have retained the textuary word, and so have the Italian, Low Dutch, and English translators; that is, the men of Arvad were upon thy walls round about, and the Gammadims were in thy towers. Nor do men only dissent in the translation of the word, but in the exposition of the sense and meaning hereof; for some by Gammadims understand a people of Syria, so called from the city Gamala; * some hereby understand the Cappadocians, many the Medes; and hereof Forerius hath a singular exposition, conceiving the watchmen of Tyre might well be called pigmies, the towers of that city being so high, that unto men below they appeared in a cubital stature. Others expounded it quite contrary to common acception. that is, not men of the least, but of the largest size; so doth Cornelius construe pygmæi, or viri cubitales, that is, not men of a cubit high, but of the largest stature, whose height like that of giants, is rather to be taken by the cubit than the foot; in which phrase we read the measure of Goliah, whose height is said to be six cubits and a span. Of affinity hereto is also the exposition of Jerom; not taking pigmies for dwarfs, but stout and valiant champions; not taking the sense of $\pi \nu \gamma \mu \dot{\eta}$, which signifies the cubit measure, but that which expresseth pugils, that is, men fit for combat and the exercise of the fist. Thus can there be no satisfying illation from this text, the diversity or rather contrariety of expositions and interpretations. distracting more than confirming the truth of the story.1

Again, I say, exact testimonies, in reference unto cir-

^{*} See Mr. Fuller's excellent description of Palestine.

¹ story.] The least I suppose that ever was seen and lived long, was Lucius Augustus his dwarfe, who was bypedali minor, librarum septendecim, sed vocis immensa.—Suetonius in Octavio, § 53. Certainly few apes come under this hight.

cumstantial relations so diversely or contrarily delivered. Thus the relation of Aristotle placeth them above Egypt towards the head of the Nile in Africa. Philostratus affirms they are about Ganges in Asia, and Pliny in a third place, that is, Gerania in Scythia; some write they fight with cranes, but Menecles, in Athenæus, affirms they fight with partridges; some say they ride on partridges, and some on the backs of rams.

Lastly, I say, confirmed testimonies; for though Paulus Jovius delivers there are pigmies beyond Japan, Pigafeta, about the Moluccas, and Olaus Magnus placeth them in Greenland, yet wanting frequent confirmation in a matter so confirmable, their affirmation carrieth but slow persuasion, and wise men may think there is as much reality in the pigmies of Paracelsus,* that is, his non-adamical men,

or middle natures betwixt men and spirits.

There being thus no sufficient confirmation of their verity, some doubt may arise concerning their possibility, wherein, since it is not defined in what dimensions the soul may exercise her faculties, we shall not conclude impossibility, or that there might not be a race of pigmies, as there is sometimes of giants. So may we take in the opinion of Austin, and his comment Ludovicus. But to believe they should be in the stature of a foot or span, requires the preaspection of such a one as Philetas, the poet, in Athenæus, who was fain to fasten lead unto his feet, lest the wind should blow him away; or that other in the same author, who was so little ut ad obolum accederet; a story so strange, that we might herein excuse the printer, did not the account of Ælian accord unto it, as Casaubon hath observed in his learned animadversions.

Lastly, if any such nation there were, yet it is ridiculous what men have delivered of them; that they fight with cranes upon the backs of rams or partridges; or what is delivered by Ctesias, that they are negroes in the midst of India, whereof the king of that country entertaineth three

^{*} By pigmies intending fairies and other spirits about the earth; as by nymphs and salamanders, spirits of fire and water.—*Lib. de Pygmæis, Nymphis, &c.

² Ludovicus.] Lud. Vives.

thousand archers for his guard, which is a relation below the tale of Oberon; nor could they better defend him than the emblem saith, they offended Hercules whilst he slept, that is, to wound him no deeper than to awake him.

CHAPTER XII.

Of the Great Climacterical Year, that is, Sixty-three.

· Concerning the eyes of the understanding, and those of the sense, are differently deceived in their greatest objects. The sense apprehending them in lesser magnitudes than their dimensions require: so it beholdeth the sun, the stars, and the earth itself. But the understanding quite otherwise; for that ascribeth unto many things far larger horizons than their due circumscriptions require, and receiveth them with amplifications which their reality will not admit. Thus hath it fared with many heroes and most worthy persons, who, being sufficiently commendable from true and unquestionable merits, have received advancement from falsehood and the fruitful stock of fables. Thus hath it happened unto the stars, and luminaries of heaven; who, being sufficiently admirable in themselves, have been set out by effects, no way dependent on their efficiencies, and advanced by amplifications to the questioning of their true endowments. Thus is it not improbable it hath also fared with number, which though wonderful in itself, and sufficiently magnifiable from its demonstrable affections, hath yet received adjections from the multiplying conceits of men, and stands laden with additions which its equity will not admit.

And so perhaps hath it happened unto the numbers seven and nine, which multiplied into themselves do make up sixty-three, commonly esteemed the great climacterical of our lives. For the days of men are usually cast up by septenaries, and every seventh year conceived to carry some altering character with it, either in the temper of body, ming, or both. But among all other, three are most remarkable, that is, seven times seven, or forty-nine; nine times nine or eighty-one; and seven times nine, or the year of sixty-three, which is conceived to carry with it the most considerable

fatality, and consisting of both the other numbers, was apprehended to comprise the virtue of either, is therefore expected and entertained with fear, and esteemed a favour of fate to pass it over; which, notwithstanding, many suspect to be but a panic terror, and men to fear they justly know not what, and to speak indifferently I find no satisfaction, nor any sufficiency in the received grounds to establish a rational fear.

Now herein to omit astrological considerations (which are but rarely introduced), the popular foundation whereby it hath continued, is first, the extraordinary power and secret virtue conceived to attend these numbers, whereof we must confess there have not wanted, not only especial commendations, but very singular conceptions. Among philosophers, Pythagoras seems to have played the leading part, which was long after continued by his disciples, and the Italick school. The philosophy of Plato, and most of the Platonists, abounds in numeral considerations. Above all, Philo, the learned Jew, hath acted this part even to superstition, bestowing divers pages in summing up every thing, which might advantage this number. Which, notwithstanding, when a serious reader shall perpend, he will hardly find any thing that may convince his judgment, or any further persuade than the lenity of his belief, or prejudgment of reason inclineth.3

For first, not only the numbers seven and nine, from considerations abstruse have been extolled by most, but all or most of the other digits have been as mystically applauded. For the numbers one and three have not been only admired by the heathens, but from adorable grounds, the unity of God, and mystery of the Trinity admired by many Christians. The number four stands much admired, not only in the quaternity of the elements (which are the principles of bodies), but in the letters of the name of God (which in the Greek, Arabian, Persian, Hebrew, and Egyptian, consisteth of that number), and was so venerable among the Pytha-

which, notwithstanding, &c.] The excellent Bishop Hall sums up in the following brief and pious exclamation:—"Away with all niceties of Pythagorean calculations; all numbers are alike to me, save those which God himself hath chalked out to us!"—Bp. Hall's Works, p. 510.

goreans, that they swore by the number four.4 That of six hath found many leaves in its favour; not only for the days of the creation, but its natural consideration, as being a perfect number, and the first that is completed by its parts. that is the sixth, the half, and the third, 1, 2, 3, which drawn into a sum make six. The number of ten hath been as highly extolled, as containing even, odd, long, plain, quadrate, and cubical numbers; and Aristotle observed with admiration, that Barbarians, as well as Greeks, did use a numeration unto ten, which being so general was not to be judged casual, but to have a foundation in nature. So that not only seven and nine, but all the rest have had their eulogies, as may be observed at large in Rhodiginus, and in several writers since; every one extolling number, according to his subject, and as it advantaged the present discourse in hand.

Again, they have been commended, not only from pretended grounds in nature, but from artificial, casual, or fabulous foundations: so have some endeavoured to advance their admiration, from the nine muses, from the seven wonders of the world, from the seven gates of Thebes; in that seven cities contended for Homer, in that there are seven stars in Ursa minor, and seven in Charles's wain, or Plaustrum of Ursa major. Wherein indeed, although the ground be natural, yet, either from constellations or their remarkable parts, there is the like occasion to commend any other number; the number five from the stars in Sagitta, three from the girdle of Orion, and four from Equiculus, Crusero, or the feet of the Centaur; yet are such as these clapped in by very good authors, and some not omitted by Philo.

Nor are they only extolled from arbitrary and poetical grounds, but from foundations and principles, false or dubious. That women are menstruant and men pubescent at the year of twice seven is accounted a punctual truth; which period nevertheless we dare not precisely determine, as having observed a variation and latitude in most, agreeable unto the

^{*} four.] 5: for the dimensions of man, dilated into a pentalpha. — Wr. It is not a little singular that, in this enumeration, the author of the Quincunx should have omitted the number five.

heat of clime or temper; men arising variously unto virility, according to the activity of causes that promote it. Sanguis menstruosus ad diem, ut plurimum, septimum durat, saith Philo: which notwithstanding is repugnant unto experience, and the doctrine of Hippocrates; who in his book, de diæta, plainly affirmeth, it is thus but with few women, and only such as abound with pituitous and watery humours.

It is further conceived to receive addition, in that there are seven heads of Nile; but we have made manifest elsewhere,5 that by the description of geographers, they have been sometime more,6 and are at present fewer; in that there were seven wise men of Greece; which though generally received, yet having enquired into the verity thereof we cannot so readily determine it: for in the life of Thales, who was accounted in that number, Diogenes Laertius plainly saith. Magna de eorum numero discordia est, some holding but four, some ten, others twelve, and none agreeing in their names, though according in their number. In that there are just seven' planets or errant stars in the lower orbs of heaven; but it is now demonstrable unto sense, that there are many more, as Galileo* hath declared; that is, two more in the orb of Saturn, and no less than four or more in the sphere of Jupiter. And the like may be said of the Pleiades or seven stars, which are also introduced to magnify this number; for whereas, scarce discerning six, we account them seven, by his relation, there are no less than forty.8

That the heavens are encompassed with seven circles, is also the allegation of Philo; which are, in his account, the

⁵ elsewhere.] See book vi. c. 8.

⁶ more.] Honterus reckoned of old, noe fewer then 16; whereof now the slime of Nilus (since itt was banked in divers places) hath obstructed eleven.—Wr.

⁷ seven.] Yf the sun be sett in the center of the universe fixte and immoveable, as the Copernicans contend, then there are but 5 primarye planets as they call them. For the moon they say is a secondary planet, and the earthe another.—Wr. We must suspect an error in this note.

forty.] Discernable by a good telescope. - Wr.

^{**}seven circles.] The 2 pole circles are in effect but as one, to this intention: likewise the 2 tropicks: let the æquator bee a thirde: the zodiack, a fourth: the horison a fifth; the colure of solstice (i. e. the meridian) a sixte: and the æquinoctial colure a seventhe.—Wr.

arctick, antarctick, the summer and winter tropicks, the equator, zodiack, and the milky circle; whereas by astronomers they are received in greater number. For though we leave out the lacteous circle (which Aratus, Geminus (and Proclus, out of him), hath numbered among the rest), yet are there more by four than Philo mentions; that is, the horizon, meridian, and both the colures; circles very considerable, and generally delivered, not only by Ptolemy, and the astronomers since his time, but such as flourished long before, as Hipparchus and Eudoxus. So that, for ought I know, if it make for our purpose, or advance the theme in hand, with equal liberty we may affirm there were seven sibyls, or but seven signs in the zodiack circle of heaven.

That verse in Virgil, translated out of Homer,* O térque quatérque beati (that is, as men will have it, seven times happy), hath much advanced this number in critical apprehensions. Yet is not this construction so indubitably to be received, as not at all to be questioned: for, though Rhodiginus, Beroaldus, and others, from the authority of Macrobius, so interpret it, yet Servius, his ancient commentator, conceives no more thereby than a finite number for indefinite, and that no more is implied than often happy. Strabo, the ancientest of them all, conceives no more, by this in Homer, than a full and excessive expression; whereas, in common phrase and received language, he should have termed them thrice happy, herein, exceeding that number, he called them four times happy, that is, more than thrice. And this he illustrates by the like expression of Homer, in the speech of Circe, who, to express the dread and terror of the ocean. sticks not unto the common form of speech in the strict account of its reciprocations, but largely speaking, saith, it ebbs and flows no less than thrice a day, terque die revomit fluctus, iterúmque resorbet. And so when 'tis said by Horace, felices ter et amplius, the exposition is sufficient, if we conceive no more than the letter fairly beareth, that is, four times, or indefinitely more than thrice.

But the main considerations, which most set off this number, are observations drawn from the motions of the moon supposed to be measured by sevens; and the critical

^{*} Τρίς μάκαρες Δαναοί και τετράκις.

or decretory days dependent on that number. As for the motion of the moon, though we grant it to be measured by sevens, yet will not this advance the same before its fellow numbers; for hereby the motion of other stars are not measured, the fixed stars by many thousand years; the sun by 365 days, the superior planets by more, the inferior by somewhat less. And if we consider the revolution of the first moveable, and the daily motion from east to west common unto all the orbs, we shall find it measured by another number, for being performed in four and twenty hours, it is made up of four times six: and this is the measure and standard of other parts of time, of months, of years, olympiads, lustres, indictions of cycles, jubilees, &c.

Again, months are not only lunary, and measured by the moon, but also solary, and determined by the motion of the sun; that is the space wherein the sun doth pass thirty degrees of the ecliptick. By this month Hippocrates* computed the time of the infant's gestation in the womb; for nine times thirty, that is, 270 days, or complete nine months, make up forty weeks, the common compute of women. And this is to be understood, when he saith, two days make the fifteenth, and three the tenth part of the month. This was the month of the ancient Hebrews, before their departure out of Egypt;² and hereby the compute will fall out right, and the account concur, when in one place it is said, the

* De Octomestri Partu.

Egypt.] For they used the Ægyptian yeare of months, cald annual canicalaris, from the sun's revolution to the rising of the dogg-

star .- Wr.

I decretory days.] Dayes of 24 houres are properly the measure to which wee reduce months and yeares. The rest are not reduced to dayes but years: saving, that in the compute of the æquincetial procession caused by the Julian excess, wee accompt the thirty-third bissextile daye supernumerary, and to bee rejected. Likewise in the decennovall cycles. The true cycle of the moon is 6939 dayes, 16 houres, 1845 moments. The Dionysian Paschal cycle of 19 years, cald the golden number, is 6939 dayes, 18 hours: the difference is 1 hour, and 485 moments, which in 16 cycles, or every 304 yeares makes almost a day of the moones anticipation. Of these dayes, since the Nicene council, we must accompt noe less then 4; and of the 5th a 3rd parte: by which the vernall full moone, cald the Terminus Paschalis, does now anticipate in the Julian kalender. And this is that which the great Scaliger cals, προήγησεν σηληνιακήν.—Wr.

waters of the flood prevailed an hundred and fifty days, and in another it is delivered, that they prevailed from the seventeenth day of the second month, unto the seventeenth day of the seventh. As for hebdomadal periods or weeks, although in regard of their sabbaths they were observed by the Hebrews, yet it is not apparent the ancient Greeks or Romans used any; but had another division of their months into ides, nones, and calends.

Moreover, months, howsoever taken, are not exactly divisible into septenaries or weeks, which fully contain seven days; whereof four times do make completely twenty-eight. For, beside the usual or calendary month, there are but four considerable:3 the month of peragration, of apparition, of consecution, and the medical or decretorial month; whereof some come short, others exceed this account. A month of peragration is the time of the moon's revolution from any part of the zodiack unto the same again, and this containeth but twenty-seven days, and about eight hours: which cometh short to complete the septenary account. The month of consecution, or as some will term it, of progression, is the space between one conjunction of the moon with the sun unto another; and this containeth twenty-nine days and an half; for the moon returning unto the same point wherein it was kindled by the sun, and not finding it there again (for in the meantime, by its proper motion it hath passed through two signs⁴), it followeth after, and attains the sun in the space of two days and four hours more, which added unto the account of peragration, make twenty-nine days and an half; so that this month exceedeth the latitude of septenaries, and the fourth part comprehendeth more than seven days. month of apparition is the space wherein the moon appeareth (deducting three days wherein it commonly disappeareth, and, being in combustion with the sun, is presumed of less activity), and this containeth but twenty-six days and twelve The medical month not much exceedeth this, consisting of twenty-six days and twenty-two hours, and is made up out of all the other months. For if, out of twenty-nine

³ considerable.] Considerable lunar months.—Wr.

^{*}signe.] This was a mistake in the learned author; for the moon goes but one signe in 2 dayes and a half. And how could the sun get through a whole signe in 27 days 8 hours?—Wr.

and an half, the month of consecution, we deduct three days of disappearance, there will remain the month of apparition twenty-six days and twelve hours: whereto if we add twenty-seven days and eight hours, the month of peragration, there will arise fifty-three days and ten hours, which divided by two, makes twenty-six days and twenty-two hours; called by physicians the medical month; introduced by Galen against Archigenes for the better compute of decretory or critical days.

As for the critical days (such I mean wherein upon a decertation between the disease and nature, there ensueth a sensible alteration, either to life or death), the reasons thereof are rather deduced from astrology than arithmetic: for, accounting from the beginning of the disease, and reckoning on unto the seventh day, the moon will be in a tetragonal or quadrate aspect, that is, four signs removed from that wherein the disease began; in the fourteenth day it will be in an opposite aspect; and at the end of the third septenary, tetragonal again; as will most graphically appear in the figures of astrologers, especially Lucas Gauricus, De diebus decretoriis.

Again (beside that, computing by the medical month, the first hebdomade or septenary consists of six days, seventeen hours and an half, the second happeneth in thirteen days and eleven hours, and the third but in the twentieth natural day),—what Galen first, and Abenezra since observed, in his tract of *Critical Days*, in regard of eccentricity and the epicycle or lesser orb wherein it moveth,—the motion of the moon is various and unequal, whereby the critical account

^{*} aspect.] Aspect is a certaine distance of the planets wherein they are supposed to hinder or promote the effects which they usually produce in the signes, and in the bodily parts subject to them; according to which acception, conjunction cannot bee properly cald an aspect, though of all other postures in heaven to us it bee the strongest, bycause the planets, however distant in altitude immensely, yet conveye their force conjoyntlye with greater power. Of other aspects, some are cald happye, as the Trigon: first, bycause when planets are 4 signes distant, they are in signs of like nature, agreeinge in the same active and passive qualityes. Next, Sextile, which is of signes agreeing in one qualitye, and disagreeing in another. But quadrate and opposite are in signes of contrarye qualityes, and by their jarringe beames infest each other, and are therefore cald (not without great reason in nature) malefic.—Wr.

must also vary. For though its middle motion be equal, and of thirteen degrees, yet in the other it moveth sometimes fifteen, sometimes less than twelve. For, moving in the upper part of its orb, it performeth its motion more slowly than in the lower; insomuch that, being at the height, it arriveth at the tetragonal and opposite sign sooner, and the critical day will be in six and thirteen; and being at the lowest, the critical account will be out of the latitude of seven, nor happen before the eighth or ninth day. Which are considerations not to be neglected in the compute of decretory days, and manifestly declare that other numbers must have

a respect herein as well as seven and fourteen.

Lastly, some things to this intent are deduced from Holy Scripture; thus is the year of jubilee introduced to magnify this number, as being a year made out of seven times seven; wherein notwithstanding there may be a misapprehension; for this ariseth not from seven times seven, that is, forty-nine, but was observed the fiftieth year, as is expressed, "And you shall hallow the fiftieth year, a jubilee shall that fiftieth year be unto you." Answerable whereto is the exposition of the Jews themselves, as is delivered by Ben-Maimon; that is, the year of jubilee cometh not into the account of the years of seven, but the forty-ninth is the release, and the fiftieth the year of jubilee. Thus is it also esteemed no small advancement unto this number, that the genealogy of our Saviour is summed up by fourteen, that is, this number doubled, according as is expressed, Matt. i. So all the generations, from Abraham to David, are fourteen generations; and from David unto the carrying away into Babylon, are fourteen generations; and from the carrying away into Babylon unto Christ, are fourteen generations. Which nevertheless must not be strictly understood as numeral relations require: for from David unto Jeconiah are accounted by Matthew but fourteen generations; whereas according to the exact account in the History of Kings, there were at least seventeen; and three in this account, that is, Ahazias, Joas, and Amazias, are left out. For so it is delivered by the evangelist,—"And Joram begat Ozias:" whereas in the regal genealogy there are three successions between: for Ozias or Uzziah was the son of Amazias, Amazias of Joas, Joas of Azariah, and Azariah of Joram; so that in strict VOL. I. 2 F

account, Joram was the abavus or grandfather twice removed, and not the father of Ozias. And these two omitted descents made a very considerable measure of time in the royal chronology of Judah; for though Azariah reigned but one year, yet Joas reigned forty, and Amazias no less than nine and twenty. However therefore these were delivered by the evangelist, and carry (no doubt) an incontrollable conformity unto the intention of his delivery; by et are they not appliable unto precise numerality, nor strictly to be drawn unto the rigid test of numbers.

Lastly, though many things have been delivered by

6 However, therefore, &c.] Whether this omission originated with the Evangelist, or existed in the Jewish registers, from which he copied, must ever remain the subject of conjecture; as well as the probable motive of the omission, in either case. That such publicly recognised tables of descent existed, even to the time of Jesus Christ, we know from Josephus, De Vita Sua, p. 998, D.; and that Matthew would use them, cannot be deemed unlikely. The most probable ground for supposing the omission of these three kings in the public tables, is the curse denounced, on account of Ahab's awful idolatry, against his family (into which Joram married), even to the third or fourth generation. If however it be thought improbable that such hiatus existed in the public genealogies, it must then be attributed to the Evangelist himself. Nor will this perhaps be deemed an inadmissible hypothesis, if we fully consider the circumstances. The sole object which he had in view in giving such a genealogy, was to prove that Jesus Christ, whota he was about to proclaim to the Jews as their Messiah, was indeed descended from the stock of David, answering - in this important respect—the prophetic description of him; a proof which the omission of several names would in no degree affect. Now, as Matthew was addressing Jews, it is very likely that he would resort to a method usually adopted among them (probably for the facility of recollection which it afforded); viz. that of dividing the genealogy into classes, it possible of equal extent. The threefold state of the Jews, first, under patriarchs, prophets, and judges, then under kings, and lastly under princes and priests, rendered such a classification additionally proper. The reign of David, and the Babylonish captivity, presented the most obvious points of division: but when thus divided, the classes were of unequal extent; the second containing too many names for the narrator's purpose. In order to make it equal to the others, he may therefore be supposed to have adopted the direct expedient of omitting the three names in question. Of which practice he had several examples, to justify him, in the Jewish Scriptures, particularly in Egra vii. 2; where six generations are omitted at once. Nor does the literal incorrectness of the phrase "Joram begat Ozias," afford a valid objection: this term being applied not only to immediate, but to more remote, descendants. See Jer. xxxix.

authors concerning number, and they transferred unto the advantage of their nature, yet are they ofttimes otherwise to be understood than as they are vulgarly received in active and casual considerations; they being many times delivered hieroglyphically, metaphorically, illustratively, and not with reference unto action or causality. True it is, that God made all things in number, weight, and measure, yet nothing by them or through the efficacy of either. Indeed our days, actions, and motions being measured by time (which is but motion measured), whatever is observable in any falls under the account of some number; which notwithstanding cannot be denominated the cause of those events. So do we unjustly assign the power of action even unto time itself, nor do they speak properly who say that time consumeth all things; for time is not effective, nor are bodies destroyed by it, but from the action and passion of their elements in it; whose account it only affordeth, and measuring out their motion informs us in the periods and terms of their duration, rather than effecteth or physically produceth the same.

A second consideration, which promoteth this opinion, are confirmations drawn from writers who have made observations, or set down favourable reasons for this climacterical year; so have Henricus Ranzovius,* Baptista Codronchus,† and Levinus Lemnius; much confirmed the same, but above all, that memorable letter of Augustus sent unto his nephew Caius, wherein he encourageth him to celebrate his nativity, for he had now escaped sixty-three, the great climacterical and dangerous year unto man. Which notwithstanding, rightly perpended, it can be no singularity to question it, nor any new paradox to deny it.

For first, it is implicitly, and upon consequence denied by Aristotle in his Politicks, in that discourse against Plato, who measured the vicissitude and mutation of states, by a periodical fatality of number. Ptolemy, that famous mathematician, plainly saith, he will not deliver his doctrines by parts and numbers, which are ineffectual, and have not the nature of causes. Now by these numbers, saith Rhodiginus and Mirandula, he implieth climacterical years, that is, septenaries and novenaries set down by the bare observation of

^{*} De Annis Climactericis. + De Occultis Natura Miraculis.

1 Bel. lib. v.

Censorinus, an author of great authority and sufficient antiquity, speaks yet more amply in his book, De Die Natali, wherein, expressly treating of climacterical days, he thus delivereth himself:--" Some maintain that seven times seven, that is forty-nine, is most dangerous of any other, and this is the most general opinion: others unto seven times seven add nine times nine, that is, the year of eighty-one, both which, consisting of square and quadrate numbers, were thought by Plato and others to be of great consideration: as for this year of sixty-three, or seven times nine, though some esteem it of most danger, yet do I conceive it less dangerous than the other; for though it containeth both numbers above named, that is, seven and nine, yet neither of them square or quadrate; and as it is different from them both, so is it not potent in either." Nor is this year remarkable in the death of many famous men. I find indeed, that Aristotle died this year; but he, by the vigour of his mind, a long time sustained a natural infirmity of stomach; so that it was a greater wonder he attained unto sixty-three, than that he lived no longer. The psalm of Moses hath mentioned a year of danger differing from all these; and that is, ten times seven or seventy; for so it is said, the days of man are threescore and ten. And the very same is affirmed by Solon, as Herodotus relates in a speech of his unto Cresus, Ego annis septuaginta humanæ vitæ modum definio: and surely that year must be of greatest danger which is the period of all the rest; and fewest safely pass through that which is set as a bound for few or none to pass. And therefore, the consent of elder times settling their conceits upon climacters, not only differing from this of ours, but one another, though several nations and ages do fancy unto themselves different years of danger, yet every one expects the same event, and constant verity in each.

Again, though Varro divided the days of man into five portions, Hippocrates into seven,8 and Solon into ten, yet

The psalm of Moses, &c.] Psalm xc.
 Hippocrates into seven.] Proclus also divided them into seven ages, each supposed to be under distinct planetary influence. The first four years he called the age of infancy; the second childhood, to 14; third, adolescence or youthhood, to 22; fourth, young manhood, to 42; fifth, mature manhood, to 56; sixth, old age, to 68; seventh, decrepit age, to 88. All beyond that age he considers to be a second infancy.

probably their divisions were to be received with latitude, and their considerations not strictly to be confined unto their last unities. So when Varro extendeth Pueritia unto fifteen. Adolescentia unto thirty, Juventus unto thirty-five, there is a latitude between the terms or periods of compute, and the verity holds good in the accidents of any years between them. So when Hippocrates divideth our life into seven degrees or stages, and maketh the end of the first seven, of the second fourteen, of the third twenty-eight, of the fourth thirty-five, of the fifth forty-seven, of the sixth fifty-six, and of the seventh, the last year, whenever it happeneth; herein we may observe, he maketh not his divisions precisely by seven and nine, and omits the great climacterical: beside there is between every one at least the latitude of seven years, in which space or interval, that is either in the third or fourth year, whatever falleth out is equally verified of the whole degree, as though it had happened in the seventh. divided it into ten septenaries, because in every one thereof. a man received some sensible mutation; in the first is dedentition or falling of teeth, in the second pubescence, in the third the beard groweth, in the fourth strength prevails, in the fifth maturity for issue, in the sixth moderation of appetite, in the seventh prudence, &c. Now herein there is a tolerable latitude, and though the division proceed by seven, yet is not the total verity to be restrained unto the last year, nor constantly to be expected the beard should be complete at twenty-one, or wisdom acquired just in forty-nine; and thus also, though seven times nine contain one of those septenaries, and doth also happen in our declining years, yet might the events thereof be imputed unto the whole septenary, and be more reasonably entertained with some latitude, than strictly reduced unto the last number, or all the accidents from fifty-six imputed unto sixty-three.

Thirdly, although this opinion may seem confirmed by observation, and men may say it hath been so observed, yet we speak also upon experience, and do believe that men from observation will collect no satisfaction. That other years may be taken against it, especially if they have the advantage to precede it, as sixty against sixty-three, and sixty-three against sixty-six. For fewer attain to the latter than the former, and so surely in the first septenary do most die, and

probably also in the very first year, for all that ever lived were in the account of that year, beside the infirmities that attend it are so many, and the body that receives them so tender and inconfirmed, we scarce count any alive that is not past it.

Fabritius Paduanius, # discoursing of the great climacterical, attempts a numeration of eminent men who died in that vear, but in so small a number as not sufficient to make a considerable induction. He mentioneth but four, Diogenes Cynicus, Dionysius Heracleoticus, Xenocrates Platonicus. As for Dionysius, as Censorinus witnesseth, he famished himself in the eighty-second year of his life; Xenocrates, by the testimony of Laertius, fell into a cauldron, and died the same year, and Diogenes the cynick, by the same testimony, lived almost unto ninety. The date of Plato's death is not exactly agreed on, but all dissent from this which he determineth. Neanthes, in Lacrtius, extendeth his days unto eighty-four, Suidas unto eighty-two, but Hermippus defineth his death in eighty-one; and this account seemeth most exact, for if, as he delivereth, Plato was born in the eightyeighth olympiad, and died in the first year of the 108th, the account will not surpass the year of eighty-one, and so in his death he verified the opinion of his life, and of the life of man, whose period, as Censorinus recordeth, he placeth in the quadrate of nine, or nine times nine, that is, eighty-one; and therefore, as Seneca delivereth, the magicians, at Athens, did sacrifice unto him, as declaring in his death somewhat above humanity, because he died in the day of his nativity, and without deduction justly accomplished the year of eighty-Bodin, I confess, delivers a larger list of men that died in this year: Moriuntur innumerabiles anno sexagesimo tertio, Aristotles, Chrysippus, Bocatius, Bernardus, Erasmus, Lutherus, Melancthon, Sylvius, Alexander, Jacobus Sturmius, Nicolaus Cusanus, Thomas Linacer, codem anno Cicero casus est. Wherein, beside that it were not difficult to make a larger catalogue of memorable persons that died in other years, we cannot but doubt the verity of his induction. for Sylvins and Alexander, which of that name he meaneth I know not, but for Chrysippus, by the testimony of Lacrtius,

^{*} De catona temporis. .

he died in the 73rd year, Bocatius in the 62nd, Linacer the 64th, and Erasmus exceeded 70, as Paulus Jovius hath delivered in his elegy of learned men; and as for Cicero, as Plutarch in his life affirmeth, he was slain in the year of 64, and therefore sure the question is hard set, and we have no easy 9 reason to doubt, when great and entire authors shall introduce injustifiable examples, and authorize their assertions by what is not authentical.

Fourthly, they which proceed upon strict numerations, and will by such regular and determined ways measure out the lives of men, and periodically define the alterations of their tempers, conceive a regularity in mutations, with an equality in constitutions, and forget that variety which physicians therein discover; for seeing we affirm that women do naturally grow old before men, that the cholerick fall short in longevity of the sanguine, that there is senium ante senectum. and many grow old before they arrive at age, we cannot affix unto them all one common point of danger, but should rather assign a respective fatality unto each; which is concordant unto the doctrine of the numerist, and such as maintain this opinion, for they affirm that one number respecteth men, another women; as Bodin, explaining that of Seneca. Septimus quisque annus ætati signum imprimit, subjoins, hoc de maribus dictum oportuit, hoc primum intueri licet. perfectum numerum, id est, sextum fæminas, septenarium mares immutare.

Fifthly, since we esteem this opinion to have some ground in nature, and that nine times seven revolutions of the sun imprint a dangerous character on such as arrive unto it, it will leave some doubt behind, in what subjection hereunto were the lives of our forefathers presently after the flood, and more especially before it, who, attaining unto 8 or 900 years, had not their climacters computable by digits, or as we do account them, for the great climacterical was past unto them before they begat children, or gave any testimony of their virility, for we read not that any begat children before the age of sixty-five. And this may also afford a

⁹ easy.] Small.—Wr.

1 not that any, &c.] This is true of all the patriarchs before the flood, whose long life needed noe hastening of progenye; the delay whereof might be a concurrent cause of their longswitye. For,

hint to enquire what are the climacters of other animated creatures, whereof the life of some attains not so far as this of ours, and that of others extends a considerable space

beyond it.

Lastly, the imperfect accounts that men have kept of time, and the difference thereof, both in the same and diverse commonwealths, will much distract the certainty of this assertion. For though there were a fatality in this year, yet divers were, and others might be, out in their account, aberring several ways from the true and just compute; and calling

that one year which perhaps might be another.

For first, they might be out in the commencement or beginning of their account; for every man is many months elder than he computeth. For although we begin the same from our nativity, and conceive that no arbitrary, but natural term of compute, yet for the duration of life or existence, we are liable in the womb unto the usual distinctions of time, and are not to be exempted from the account of age and life, where we are subject to diseases, and often suffer death. And therefore Pythagoras, Hippocrates, Diocles, Avicenna, and others, have set upon us numeral relations and temporal considerations in the womb; not only affirming the birth of the seventh month to be vital, that of the eighth mortal, but the progression thereto to be measured by rule, and to hold a proportion unto motion and formation. As what receiveth motion in the seventh, to be perfected in triplicities; that is, the time of conformation unto motion is double, and that from motion unto the birth, treble; so what is formed the thirty-fifth day, is moved the seventieth, and born the two

doubtless such as was their longævitye, such in proportion wee must think their strengthe, and such the degrees by which they grew unto itt. To the forbearance from mariage we may add their detestation of polygamye, to which doubtless our Saviour gives that testimony.—Matth. xx. 8. From the beginninge itt was not soe, that is, no one of the patriarchs used polygamy till Lamech, the 9th from Adam, almost 900 years after the creation, thereby justly reproaching the incontinency of after ages, not only for their præcipitation, but the lustful desire of change without sufficient cause, viz., the adultery of the wife, whose life being taking off by the law, lefte the man free to marrye againe. That therefore we read not of the antideluvian fathers begetting children before 65 is true offall; for Lamech begat not Noah till his 182nd yeare. But after the flood, to repeople the world, all the patriarchs till Terah begat children before 35, which is but halfe of the former time of 65 yeares.—Wr.

hundred and tenth day. And therefore if any invisible causality there be, that after so many years doth evidence itself at sixty-three, it will be questionable whether its activity only set out at our nativity, and begin not rather in the womb, wherein we place the like considerations. Which doth not only entangle this assertion, but hath already embroiled the endeavours of astrology in the erection of schemes, and the judgment of death or diseases; for being not incontrollably determined at what time to begin, whether at conception, animation, or exclusion (it being indifferent unto the influence of heaven to begin at either), they have invented another way, that is, to begin ab hora quæstionis, as Haly, Messahallach, Ganivetus, and Guido Bonatus, have delivered.

Again, in regard of the measure of time by months and vears, there will be no small difficulty; and if we shall strictly consider it, many have been and still may be, mistaken. For neither the motion of the moon, whereby months are computed, nor of the sun, whereby years are accounted, consisteth of whole numbers, but admits of fractions and broken parts, as we have already declared concerning the moon. That of the sun consisteth of three hundred and sixty-five days, and almost six hours, that is, wanting eleven minutes; which six hours, omitted, or not taken notice of, will, in process of time, largely deprave the compute; and this is the occasion of the bissextile or leap-year, which was not observed in all times, nor punctually in all commonwealths; so that in sixty-three years there may be lost almost eighteen days, omitting the intercalation of one day every fourth year, allowed for this quadrant, or six hours supernumerary. And though the same were observed, yet to speak strictly, a man may be somewhat out in the account of his age at sixtythree; for although every fourth year we insert one day, and so fetch up the quadrant, yet those eleven minutes whereby the year comes short of perfect six hours will, in the circuit of those years, arise unto certain hours, and in a larger progression of time unto certain days. Whereof at present we find experience in the calendar we observe. For the Julian year of three hundred and sixty-five days being eleven minutes larger than the annual revolution of the sun, there will arise an anticipation in the equinoxes; and as Junctinus

computeth,*2 in every 136th year they will anticipate almost one day. And therefore those ancient men and Nestors of old times, which yearly observed their nativities, might be mistaken in the day; nor is that to be construed without a grain of salt, which is delivered by Moses; 3 "At the end of four hundred years, even the self-same day, all the host of Israel went out of the land of Egypt." For in that space of time the equinoxes had anticipated, and the eleven minutes had amounted far above a day. And this compute rightly considered will fall fouler on them who cast up the lives of

* Comment, in Sphæram Job. de Sacro Bosco.

² as Junctinus computeth.] See a short but an exact discussion of this in calce libri, and Junctinus his error.—Wr.

The following is the "discussion" at the end of the dean's copy, but

it seems more appropriate to place it here. - Ed.

Quantitas | Maxima 365d. 5h. 56' 57" nunquam assurgit ad 57'.
| Minima 365 5 44 38 nunquam deficit ad 44'
| Media, seu | 365 5 49 0 alii addunt 15' 46"

Cum igitur annus Julianus supponatur, superaddere quotannis 10' 48", necesse est, ut quolibet bissexto, æquinoctia retrocedant in diebus Julianis 43' et 12" adeo ut in 134 annis, rotrocedant 24h. 6' 52" et in 1644 (post Christum) annis 12d. 7h. 52' 22". Ita a correcto kalendario (44 annis ante c. n.), ad annum presentem, 1652, retrocesserunt 12d, 17h. 18' 22". Supine igitur numeravit author è Junctino : in annis 136, retrocedere equinoctia, diem integrum fere, cum præter integrum diem. colligantur totidem annis 1h. 26' 24". Alphonsini dicunt in 400 annis sequinoctia retrocedere 3 dies fere, quod proxime accedit ad priorem calculum, si num addas (ad annos Christi elapsos sc. 1652), annos a correcto kalendario ad Christum natum, sc. 44, fiunt anni 1696: in quibus labemus quater 3 dies, et quæ excurrunt 96 dierum minuta : sc. 17' et 26". Per utrumque calculum, si 33us quilibet bissextus abjiciatur, manebunt æquinoctia in sedibus suis in futurum. Sed 12 dies qui ex eo excessu creverunt, optime et sine tumultu eximentur e mensibus dierum (31) duplus annis sequentibus; sc. ex Martio, Maio, Julio, Augusto, Octobri et Decembri ; et sic duæ anni medictates facient paris fere. Nam communibus annis currunt ab æquinoctio verno ad autumnale 186d. 8h. 8', ab autumnali ad vernum 178d. 21h. 47'.—Wr.

which is delivered by Moses.] Moses accounted by the old Ægyptian yeare, wherein he was most skilfull: and the Ægyptian yeare was a yeare of days without any intercalation. Soe that the head of the yeare was vagrant, but the accompt of dayes most exact, insomuch that the best astronomers to this day use that yeare in their accompts: by which they measure the Julian yeares. Soe then, his mention of the Julian excesse of 11 minutes yearlye is ἀπροσδιόνυσου* For Moses did not use the Julian yeare, which had its original from the Ægyptian

yeares 1454 yeares after .-- Wr.

kingdoms, and sum up their duration by particular numbers; as Plato first began, and some have endeavoured since by perfect and spherical numbers, by the square and cube of seven, and nine, and twelve, the great number of Plato. Wherein indeed Bodin* hath attempted a particular enumeration; but (beside the mistakes committable in the solary compute of years), the difference of chronology disturbs the satisfaction and quiet of his computes; some adding, others detracting, and few punctually according in any one year; whereby indeed such accounts should be made up, for the variation in an unit destroys the total illation.

Thirdly, the compute may be unjust, not only in a strict acception, of few days or hours, but in the latitude also of some years; and this may happen from the different compute of years in divers nations, and even such as did maintain the most probable way of account: their year being not only different from one another, but the civil and common account disagreeing much from the natural year, whereon the consideration is founded. Thus from the testimony of Herodotus, Censorinus, and others, the Greeks observed the lunary year, that is, twelve revolutions of the moon, 354 days: but the Egyptians, and many others, adhered unto the solary account, that is, 365 days, that is, eleven days longer. Now hereby the account of the one would very much exceed the other: a man in the one would account himself sixty-three. when one in the other would think himself but sixty-one; and so, although their nativities were under the same hour, yet did they at different years believe the verity of that which both esteemed affixed and certain unto one. like mistake there is in a tradition of our days; men conceiving a peculiar danger in the beginning days of May, set out as a fatal period unto consumptions and chronical diseases; wherein, notwithstanding, we compute by calendars not only different from our ancestors but one another, the compute of the one anticipating that of the other; so that while we are in April, others begin May, and the danger is past unto one, while it beginneth with another.

Fourthly, men were not only out in the number of some days, the latitude of a few years, but might be wide by

whole olympiads and divers decads of years. For as Censorinus relateth, the ancient Arcadians observed a year of three months, the Carians of six, the Iberians of four; and as Diodorus and Xenophon de Æquivocis allege, the ancient Egyptians have used a year of three, two, and one month: so that the climacterical was not only different unto those nations, but unreasonably distant from ours; for sixty-three will pass in their account, before they arrive so high as ten in ours.

Nor, if we survey the account of Rome itself, may we doubt they were mistaken, and if they feared climacterical years, might err in their numeration. For the civil year, whereof the people took notice, did sometimes come short. and sometimes exceed the natural. For according to Varro, Suetonius, and Censorinus, their year consisted first of ten months; which comprehend but 304 days, that is, sixty-one less than ours containeth; after by Numa or Tarquin, from a superstitious conceit of imparity, were added fifty-one days. which made 355, one day more than twelve revolutions of the moon. And thus a long time it continued, the civil compute exceeding the natural; the correction whereof, and the due ordering of the leap-year was referred unto the Pontifices; who either upon favour or malice, that some might continue their offices a longer or shorter time, or from the magnitude of the year, that men might be advantaged, or endamaged in their contracts, by arbitrary intercalations, deprayed the whole account. Of this abuse Cicero accused Verres, which at last proceeded so far, that when Julius Cæsar came unto that office, before the redress hereof he was fain to insert two intercalary months unto November and December, when he had already inserted twenty-three days unto February; so that the year consisted of 445 days; a quarter of a year longer than that we observed; and though at the last the year was reformed, yet in the mean time they might be out wherein they summed up climacterical observations.

Lastly, one way more there may be of mistake, and that not unusual among us, grounded upon a double compute of the year; the one beginning from the 25th of March, the other from the day of our birth, unto the same again, which is the natural account. Now hereupon many men frequently

miscast their days; for in their age they deduce the account not from the day of their birth, but the year of our Lord, wherein they were born. So a man that was born in January, 1582, if he live to fall sick in the latter end of March, 1645, will sum up his age, and say I am now sixty-three, and in my climacterical and dangerous year; for I was born in the year 1582, and now it is 1645, whereas indeed he wanteth many months of that year, considering the true and natural account unto his birth; and accounted two months for a year: and though the length of time and accumulation of years do render the mistake insensible; yet is it all one, as if one born in January, 1644, should be accounted a year old the 25th of March, 1645.4

All which perpended, it may be easily perceived with what insecurity of truth we adhere unto this opinion; ascribing not only effects depending on the natural period of time, unto arbitrary calculations, and such as vary at pleasure; but confirming our tenets by the uncertain account of others and ourselves, there being no positive or indisputable ground where to begin our compute. That if there were, men have been several ways mistaken; the best in some latitude, others in greater, according to the different compute of divers states, the short and irreconcilable years of some, the exceeding error in the natural frame of others, and the lapses and false deductions of ordinary accountants in most.

Which duly considered, together with a strict account and critical examen of reason, will also distract the witty determinations of astrology. That Saturn, the enemy of life, comes almost every seventh year, unto the quadrate or malevolent place; that as the moon about every seventh day arriveth unto a contrary sign, so Saturn, which remaineth about as many years as the moon doth days in one sign, and holdeth the same consideration in years as the moon in days, doth cause these periculous periods. Which together with other planets, and profection of the horoscope, unto the seventh house, or opposite signs every seventh year, oppresseth

^{*} should be accounted a year old, &c.] Whereas, if born on the first of January, 1644, he would be only 85 days old on the 25th of March, that being the first day of the year 1645: still more strange does it sound, to assert that on the 24th of March, 1645, he would be a year older than on the 25th March of the same year.

living natures, and causeth observable mutations in the state

of sublunary things.

Further satisfaction may yet be had from the learned discourse of Salmasius* lately published, if any desire to be informed how different the present observations are from those of the ancients; how every one hath different climactericals; with many other observables, impugning the present opinion.⁵

CHAPTER XIII.

Of the Canicular or Dog-days.

WHEREOF to speak distinctly.—Among the southern constellations, two there are which bear the name of the dog; the one in sixteen degrees of latitude, containing on the left thigh a star of the first magnitude, usually called Procyon or Anticanis, because say some it riseth before the other; which if truly understood, must be restrained unto those habitations, who have elevation of pole above thirty-two degrees. Mention thereof there is in Horace, who seems to mistake or confound the one with the other; and after him in Galen, who is willing the remarkablest star of the other should be called by this name; because it is the first that ariseth in the constellation; which notwithstanding, to speak strictly, it is not; unless we except one of the third magnitude in the right paw, in his own and our elevation, and two more on his head in and beyond the degree of sixty. A second and more considerable one there is, and neighbour unto the other, in forty degrees of latitude, containing eighteen stars, whereof that in his mouth, of the first magnitude, the Greeks

^{*} De Annis Climactericis.

⁺ Jam Procyon fuerit et stella vesani Leonis.

[•] Which duly, etc.] The two concluding paragraphs were added in and edition.

I subjoin several references here transcribed from a copy belonging to my late friend Rev. Jos. Jefferson; which may be useful to others, though I have not had opportunity to avail myself of them. See Places, i. 266.—Vid. J. F. Ringelbergii Lucubrationes & Annis Climatteriots, p. 548.—Concerning an "odd number," see Stopford's Pagano-Papiemus, p. 262.—Jeff.

call Zeipioc, the Latins canis major, and we emphatically the

dog-star.

Now from the rising of this star, not cosmically, that is, with the sun, but heliacally, that is, its emersion from the rays of the sun, the ancients computed their canicular days; concerning which, there generally passeth an opinion, that during those days all medication or use of physick is to be declined, and the cure committed unto nature. And therefore as though there were any feriation in nature or justitiums imaginable in professions, whose subject is natural, and under no intermissive, but constant way of mutation, this season is commonly termed the physician's vacation, and stands so received by most men. Which conceit, however general, is not only erroneous but unnatural, and subsisting upon foundations either false, uncertain, mistaken, or misapplied, deserves not of mankind that indubitable assent it findeth.

For first, which seems to be the ground of this assertion, and not to be drawn into question, that is, the magnified quality of this star, conceived to cause or intend the heat of this season, whereby these days become more observable than the rest, we find that wiser antiquity was not of this opinion. For, seventeen hundred years ago it was a vulgar error rejected by Geminus, a learned mathematician, in his Elements of Astronomy, wherein he plainly affirmeth, that common opinion made that a cause, which was at first observed but as a sign; the rising and setting both of this star and others being observed by the ancients, to denote and testify certain points of mutation, rather than conceived to induce or effect the same. For our fore-fathers, saith he, observing the course of the sun, and marking certain muta-

^{*} feriation.] Vacations.

* justitiums.] Probably, statute laws.

* there generally passeth, &c.] In the present day, it is difficult to believe that so absurd a position could have obtained general credence, even among the ignorant, much more that it could have exercised any influence on medical science. Yet that Sir Thomas knew it to have that influence in his day, is evident not only from the present, but especially from the concluding paragraph of this chapter. Nor is his estimate of the evil resulting from such a "vulgar error in practice" less forcibly proved by the pains, ingenuity, and labour, with which he attacks it, and from the great length to which his very judicious investigation of the subject is here carried.

tions to happen in his progress through particular parts of the zodiack, they registered and set them down in their parapegmes, or astronomical canons; and being not able to design these times by days, months, or years (the compute thereof, and the beginning of the year being different, according unto different nations), they thought best to settle a general account unto all, and to determine these alterations by some known and invariable signs; and such did they conceive the rising and setting of the fixed stars; not ascribing thereto any part of causality, but notice and signification. And thus much seems implied in that expression of Homer, when speaking of the dog-star he concludeth, κακόν δέ τε σημα τέτυκται, Malum autem signum est; the same, as Petavius observeth, is implied in the word of Ptolemy, and the ancients, $\pi \epsilon \rho i \epsilon \pi i \sigma \eta \mu \omega \sigma i \tilde{\omega} \nu$, that is, of the signification of The term of Scripture also favours it; as that of Isaiah. Nolite timere à signis cæli, and that in Genesis, ut sint in signa et tempora, let there be lights in the firmament, and let them be for signs and for seasons.

The primitive and leading magnifiers of this star were the Egyptians, the great admirers of dogs in earth and heaven; wherein they worshipped Anubis or Mercurius, the scribe of Saturn, and counsellor of Osyris, the great inventor of their religious rites, and promoter of good unto Egypt, who was therefore translated into this star; by the Egyptians called Sothis, and Siris by the Ethiopians, from whence that Sirius or the dog-star had its name is by some conjectured.⁹

And this they looked upon, not with reference unto heat, but celestial influence upon the faculties of man, in order to religion and all sagacious invention, and from hence derived the abundance and great fertility of Egypt, the overflow of Nilus happening about the ascent hereof; and therefore, in hieroglyphical monuments, Anubis is described with a dog's head, with a crocodile between his legs, with a sphere in his hand, with two stars, and a water-pot standing by him, implying thereby the rising and setting of the dog-star, and the inundation of the river Nilus.

But if all were silent, Galen hath explained this point

⁹ The primitive, &c.] This paragraph was added in 2nd edition; the next paragraph was added in the 3rd edition.

unto the life; who expounding the reason why Hippocrates declared the affections of the year by the rising and setting of stars: it was, saith he, because he would proceed on signs and principles best known unto all nations; and upon his words in the first of the epidemicks, In Thaso autumno circa equinoctium et sub virgilias pluviæ erant multæ, he thus enlargeth. If, saith he, the same compute of times and months were observed by all nations, Hippocrates had never made any mention either of arcturus, pleiades, or the dogstar, but would have plainly said, in Macedonia, in the month Dion, thus or thus was the air disposed. But for as much as the month Dion is only known unto the Macedonians, but obscure unto the Athenians and other nations, he found more general distinctions of time, and instead of naming months, would usually say, at the equinox, the rising of the pleiades. or the dog-star; and by this way did the ancients divide the seasons of the year, the autumn, winter, spring, and summer. By the rising of the pleiades denoting the beginning of summer, and by that of the dog-star the declination thereof. By this way Aristotle, through all his books of animals, distinguisheth their times of generation, latitancy, migration, sanity, and venation; and this were an allowable way of compute, and still to be retained, were the site of the stars as inalterable, and their ascents as invariable, as primitive astronomy conceived them; and therefore though Aristotle frequently mentioneth this star, and particularly affirmeth that fishes in the Bosphorus are best catched from the arise of the dog-star, we must not conceive the same a mere effect thereof; nor though Scaliger from hence be willing to infer the efficacy of this star, are we induced hereto, except (because the same philosopher affirmeth, that tunny is fat about the rising of the pleiades, and departs upon arcturus, or that most insects are latent from the setting of the seven stars), except, I say, he give us also leave to infer that these particular effects and alterations proceed from those stars. which were indeed but designations of such quarters and portions of the year, wherein the same were observed. Now what Pliny affirmeth of the orix, that it seemeth to adore this star, and taketh notice thereof by voice and sternuta-

¹ Dion.] Itt is Dius, not Dion.—Wr.

tion, until we be better assured of its verity, we shall not salve the sympathy.

Secondly, what slender opinion the ancients held of the efficacy of this star, is declarable from their compute; for as Geminus affirmeth, and Petavius, his learned commentator, proveth, they began their account from its heliacal emersion. and not its cosmical ascent. The cosmical ascension of a star we term that, when it ariseth together with the sun, or the same degree of the ecliptick wherein the sun abideth: and that the heliacal, when a star which before for the vicinity of the sun was not visible, being further removed, beginneth to appear. For the annual motion of the sun from west to east being far swifter than that of the fixed stars, he must of necessity leave them on the east while he hasteneth forward. and obscureth others to the west, and so the moon which performs its motion swifter than the sun (as may be observed in their conjunctions and eclipses), gets eastward out of his rays, and appears when the sun is set.2 If therefore the dog-star had this effectual heat which is ascribed unto it, it would afford best evidence thereof, and the season would be most fervent, when it ariseth in the probablest place of its activity, that is, the cosmical ascent; for therein it ariseth with the sun, and is included in the same irradiation. But the time observed by the ancients was long after this ascent, and in the heliacal emersion, when it becomes at greatest distance from the sun, neither rising with it nor near it; and therefore had they conceived any more than a bare signality in this star, or ascribed the heat of the season thereunto, they would not have computed from its heliacal ascent, which was of inferior efficacy; nor imputed the vehemency of heat unto those points wherein it was more remiss, and where with less probability they might make out its action.

Thirdly, although we derive the authority of these days from observations of the ancients, yet are our computes very different, and such as confirm not each other. For whereas

² the moon, dc.] This is obscurely sayde. Nor though the moon gets eastward of the sonne, i.e., to speak properly, appears on the east from the new to the full, yet from the full to the new shee appears west of him, which is nothing else but that going throughe the twelve times for his once, she must of necessity seeme sometimes eastward of him, and sometimes west, according to the diurnal motion.—Wr.

they observed it heliacally, we seem to observe it cosmically. for before it ariseth heliacally, unto our latitude, the summer is even at an end. Again, we compute not only from different ascents, but also from diverse stars; they from the greater dog-star, we from the lesser; 3 they from Orion's, we from Cephalus's dog; they from Sirius, we from Crocyon; for the beginning of the dog-days with us is set down the 19th of July, about which time the lesser dog-star ariseth with the sun, whereas the star of the greater dog ascendeth not until after that month. And this mistake will vet be larger, if the compute be made stricter, and as Dr. Bainbrigge,* late professor of astronomy in Oxford, hath set it down, who in the year 1629 computed, that in the horizon of Oxford, the dog-star arose not before the fifteenth day of August, when in our almanack accounts those days are almost ended. So that the common and received time not answering the true compute, it frustrates the observations of ourselves; and being also different from the calculations of the ancients, their observations confirm not ours, nor ours theirs, but rather confute each other.

Nor will the computes of the ancients be so authentic unto those who shall take notice how commonly they applied the celestial descriptions of other climes unto their own, wherein the learned Bainbrigius justly reprehendeth Manilius, who transferred the Egyptian descriptions unto the Roman account, confounding the observation of the Greek and Barbarick spheres.⁴

Fourthly (which is the argument of Geminus), were there any such effectual heat in this star, yet could it but weakly

* Bainb. Canicularis.

³ the lesser, &c.] The observation of the dog-star's rising came from the Ægyptians at Alexandria, lying under 30 degrees, where when the sun comes to the tropicks in the [...] degree of Cancer, both the dog-stars rise with him together, begin to increase the heate, which afterwards the sun coming towards Leo doubles, soe that they esteeme not of that heate from the dog-star's rise alone, but from their conjoynt rising with the sun in Leo. But the principall observation of the dog-star rising was from the course of their yeare, which they therefore cald Eroc course. As beginning always from the first cosmical rising of the dog-star.

⁴ And this mistake, &c.] The conclusion of this paragraph, with the next, were first added in 3rd edition.

evidence the same in summer, it being about 40 degrees distance from the sun, and should rather manifest its warming power in the winter, when it remains conjoined with the sun in its hybernal conversion. For about the 29th of October, and in the 16th of Scorpius, and so again in January, the sun performs his revolution in the same parallel with the dog-star. Again, if we should impute the heat of this season unto the co-operation of any stars with the sun, it seems more favourable for our times to ascribe the same unto the constellation of Leo. Where besides that the sun is in his proper house, it is conjoined with many stars, whereof two of the first magnitude, and in the 8th of August is corporally conjoined with Basiliscus, a star of eminent name in astrology, and seated almost in the ecliptick.

Fifthly, if all were granted, that observation and reason were also for it, and were it an undeniable truth that an effectual fervour proceedeth from this star, yet would not the same determine the opinion now in question, it necessarily suffering such restrictions as to take off general illations. For first, in regard of different latitudes, unto some the canicular days are in the winter; as unto such as have no latitude, but live in a right sphere, that is, under the equinoctial line, for unto them it ariseth when the sun is about the tropick of Cancer, which season unto them is winter, and the sun remotest from them. Nor hath the same position in the summer, that is, in the equinoctial points, any advantage from it, for in the one point the sun is at the meridian before the dog-star ariseth; in the other the star is at the meridian before the sun ascendeth.

Some latitudes have no canicular days at all; as namely all those which have more than seventy-three degrees of northern elevation; as the territory of Nova Zembla, part of Greenland, and Tartary, for unto that habitation the dog-star is invisible, and appeareth not above the horizon.

Unto such latitudes wherein it ariseth, it carrieth a various and very different respect: unto some it ascendeth when summer is over, whether we compute heliacally or cosmi-

s winter.] They have two winters, viz. when the sonne is in either tropick, in which respect yf there be any difference in the temper, it is when the sonne enters the midst of $\gamma \beta$, and by his eccentricity is nearer to the earth there then when he is in Cancer.—Wr.

cally; for, though unto Alexandria it ariseth in Cancer, yet it ariseth not unto Biarmia cosmically before it be in Virgo, and heliacally about the autumnal equinox. Even unto the latitude of fifty-two, the efficacy thereof is not much considerable, whether we consider its ascent, meridian, altitude, or abode above the horizon. For it ariseth very late in the year, about the eighteenth of Leo, that is, the 31st of July. Of meridian altitude it hath but 23 degrees, so that it plays but obliquely upon us, and as the sun doth about the 23rd of January. And lastly, his abode above the horizon is not great; for in the eighteenth of Leo, the 31st of July, although they arise together, yet doth it set above five hours before the sun, that is, before two o'clock, after which time we are more sensible of heat than all the day before.

Secondly, in regard of the variation of the longitude of the stars, we are to consider (what the ancients observed not). that the site of the fixed stars is alterable, and that since elder times they have suffered a large and considerable variation of their longitudes. The longitude of a star, to speak plainly, is its distance from the first point of numeration toward the east; which first point unto the ancients was the vernal equinox. Now by reason of their motion from west to east, they have very much varied from this point. first star of Aries, in the time of Meton, the Athenian, was placed in the very intersection, which is now elongated and removed eastward twenty-eight degrees; insomuch that now the sign of Aries possesseth the place of Taurus, and Taurus that of Gemini. Which variation of longitude must very much distract the opinion of the dog-star; 6 not only in our days, but in times before and after; for since the world began it hath arisen in Taurus, and if the world last, may have its ascent in Virgo; so that we must place the canicular days, that is, the hottest time of the year, in the spring in the first age, and in the autumn in ages to come.

Thirdly, the stars have not only varied their longitudes,

⁶ of the dog-star.] Not only of the dogg-star, but of all the imaginary houses of the astrologers, and consequently all that heathenish structure of the fortitude, detriments, aspects, triciplicityes, and such ridiculous stuff, utterly dasht, and confounded, and condemned of late by all the learned astronomers: Tycho, pluries; Kepler, expresly in Cometæ anni 1618; and Longomontany whique.—Wr.

whereby their ascents have altered, but have also changed their declinations, whereby their rising at all, that is their appearing, hath varied. The declination of a star we call its distance from the equator. Now though the poles of the world and the equator be immoveable, yet because the stars in their proper motions from west to east do move upon the poles of the ecliptick, distant twenty-three degrees and an half from the poles of the equator, and describe circles parallel not unto the equator, but the ecliptick: they must be, therefore, sometimes nearer, sometimes removed further from the equator. All stars that have their distance from the ecliptick northward not more than twenty-three degrees and an half (which is the greatest distance of the ecliptick from the equator) may in progression of time have declination southward, and move beyond the equator; but if any star hath just this distance of twenty-three and an half (as hath Capella on the back of Ericthonius) it may hereafter move under the equinoctial; and the same will happen respectively unto stars which have declination southward. fore many stars may be visible in our hemisphere which are not so at present; and many which are at present, shall take leave of our horizon, and appear unto southern habitations. And therefore the time may come that the dog-star may not be visible in our horizon, and the time hath been when it hath not showed itself unto our neighbour latitudes. that canicular days there have been none, nor shall be; yet certainly in all times some season of the year more notably hot than other.

Lastly, we multiply causes in vain; and for the reason hereof we need not have recourse unto any star but the sun, and continuity of its action. For the sun ascending into the northern signs, begetteth first a temperate heat in the air; which by his approach unto the solstice he intendeth, and by continuation increaseth the same even upon declination. For running over⁸ the same degrees again, that is, in *Leo*, which he hath done in *Taurus*, in July which he did in May; he augmenteth the heat in the latter which he began in the first; and easily intendeth the same by continuation which

fequator.] Equinoctial.
For running over.] In those four signes, Taurus, Gemini, Cancer, Leo, they have a continual summer, hottest in extremis.—Wr.

was well promoted before. So is it observed, that they which dwell between the tropicks and the equator have their second summer hotter and more maturative of fruits than the former.

So we observe in the day⁹ (which is a short year¹), the greatest heat about two in the afternoon, when the sun is past the meridian (which is his diurnal solstice), and the same is evident from the thermometer or observations of the weatherglass. So are the colds of the night sharper in the summer about two or three after midnight, and the frosts in winter stronger about those hours. So likewise in the year we observe the cold to augment, when the days begin to increase, though the sun be then ascensive and returning from the winter tropick. And therefore if we rest not in this reason for the heat in the declining part of summer, we must discover freezing stars that may resolve the latter colds of winter; which whoever desires to invent, let him study the stars of Andromeda, or the nearer constellation of Pegasus, which are about that time ascendant.

It cannot therefore seem strange, or savour of singularity, that we have examined this point, since the same hath been already denied by some; since the authority and observations of the ancients, rightly understood, do not confirm it; since our present computes are different from those of the ancients, whereon notwithstanding they depend; since there is reason against it, and if all were granted, yet must it be maintained with manifold restraints, far otherwise than is received. And lastly, since from plain and natural principles the doubt may

 $^{^9}$ day.] Every day is an emblem of the yeare; and therein the sun hath his declination, or distance from the meridian, as from the æquator, his solstice in itt, as in the tropicks; and his different altitudes or azimuths every moment.—Wr.

I short year. Tis seemingly strange, but most true, that they who lye betweene the æquator and the tropic, have a hotter summer than they that lye under the æquator; suppose under 12 degrees north or south: bycause with them sommer is twice doubled in 3 months; having the sonn twice over their heads in that space: whereas they under the æquator have him twice, but in 6 months distance, and 2 winters between. For the distance of the son from the center in his auge at summer as 1210 semidiameters of the earth: but his nearest distance is never above 1122, every semidiameter containing 71594 of our miles.—Wr.

be fairly salved, and not clapt up from petitionary foundations and principles unestablished.

But that which chiefly promoted the consideration of these days, and medically advanced the same, was the doctrine of Hippocrates, a physician of such repute that he received a testimony from a Christian that might have been given unto The first in his book, De aere, aguis, et locis, Christ.* syderum ortu, &c. That is, we are to observe the rising of stars, especially the dog-star, arcturus, and the setting of the pleiades, or seven stars. From whence notwithstanding we cannot infer the general efficacy of these stars, or co-efficacy particular in medications. Probably expressing no more hereby than if he should have plainly said, especial notice we are to take of the hottest time in summer, of the beginning of autumn and winter; for by the rising and setting of those stars were these times and seasons defined. And therefore subjoins this reason, quoniam his temporibus morbi finiuntur, because at these times diseases have their ends, as physicians well know, and he elsewhere affirmeth, that seasons determine diseases, beginning in their contraries; as the spring the diseases of autumn, and the summer those of winter. Now (what is very remarkable) whereas in the same place he adviseth to observe the times of notable mutations, as the equinoxes and the solstices, and to decline medication ten days before and after; how precisely soever canicular cautions be considered, this is not observed by physicians, nor taken notice of by the people. And indeed should we blindly obey the restraints both of physicians and astrologers, we should contract the liberty of our prescriptions, and confine the utility of physic unto a very few days. For, observing the dog-days, and as is expressed, some days before, likewise ten days before, and after the equinoctial and solstitial points, by this observation alone are exempted an hundred days. Whereunto if we add the two Egyptian days in every month,2 the interlunary and plenilunary exemptions, the eclipses of sun and moon, conjunctions and oppositions planetical, the houses of planets, and the site of the luminaries under the signs (wherein some would induce a

^{*} Qui nec fallere potest nec falli.

² the two Ægyptian days, &c.] Futitissimæ observationes.—Wr.

restraint of purgation or phlebotomy), there would arise above an hundred more; so that of the whole year the use of physic would not be secure much above a quarter. Now as we do not strictly observe these days, so need we not the other; and although consideration be made hereof, yet must we prefer the nearer indication before those which are drawn from the time of the year, or other celestial relations.

The second testimony is taken out of the last piece of his age, and after the experience⁴ (as some think) of no less than an hundred years, that is, his Book of Aphorisms, or short and definitive determinations in physick. The aphorism alleged is this, Sub Cane et ante Canem difficiles sunt purgationes. Sub Cane et Anticane, say some, including both the dog-stars, but that cannot consist with the Greek, $\alpha \pi \delta \kappa \nu \nu a \kappa \alpha i \pi \rho \delta \kappa \nu \nu \delta c$, nor had that criticism been ever omitted by Galen. Now how true this sentence was in the mouth of Hippocrates, and with what restraint it must be understood by us, will readily appear from the difference between us both in circumstantial relations.

And first, concerning his time and chronology; he lived in the reign of Artaxerxes Longimanus, about the 82nd olympiad, 450 years before Christ, and from our times above two thousand. Now since that time, as we have already declared, the stars have varied their longitudes, and having made large progressions from west to east, the time of the dog-star's ascent must also very much alter; for it ariseth later now in the year than it formerly did in the same latitude, and far later unto us who have a greater elevation, for in the days of Hippocrates this star ascended in Cancer, which now ariseth in Leo, and will in progression of time arise in Virgo; and therefore, in regard of the time wherein he lived, the aphorism was more considerable in his days than in ours, and in times far past than present, and in his country than ours.

The place of his nativity was Coos, an island in the Myrtoan sea, not far from Rhodes, described in maps by the name of Lango, and called by the Turks, who are masters

 $^{4 \}text{ experience.}$ Experience of 100 years infers he lived at least 120 in all.—Wr.

thereof, Stancora, according unto Ptolemy, of northern latitude, 36 degrees. That he lived and writ in these parts is not improbably collected from the epistles that passed betwixt him and Artaxerxes, as also between the citizens of Abdera and Coos, in the behalf of Democritus; which place being seated, from our latitude of 52, 16 degrees southward, there will arise a different consideration, and we may much deceive ourselves, if we conform the ascent of stars in one place unto another, or conceive they arise the same day of the month in Coos and in England; for, as Petavius computes, in the first Julian year, at Alexandria, of latitude 31, the star arose cosmically in the twelfth degree of Cancer, heliacally the 26th; by the compute of Geminus, about this time at Rhodes, of latitude 37, it ascended cosmically the 16th of Cancer, heliacally the first of Leo; and about that time at Rome, of latitude 42, cosmically the 22nd of Cancer. and heliacally the first of Leo; for unto places of greater latitude it ariseth ever later, so that in some latitudes the cosmical ascent happeneth not before the twentieth degree of Virgo, ten days before the autumnal equinox, and if they compute heliacally, after it in Libra.

Again, should we allow all, and only compute unto the latitude of Coos, yet would it not impose a total omission of physick: for if in the hottest season of that clime, all physick were to be declined, then surely in many other none were to be used at any time whatsoever; for unto many parts, not only in the spring and autumn, but also in the winter, the sun is nearer than unto the clime of Coos in the summer.

The third consideration concerneth purging medicines, which are at present far different from those implied in this aphorism, and such as were commonly used by Hippocrates. For three degrees we make of purgative medicines; the first thereof is very benign, not far removed from the nature of aliment, into which, upon defect of working, it is ofttimes converted, and in this form do we account manna, cassia, tamarinds, and many more, whereof we find no mention in Hippocrates. The second is also gentle, having a familiarity with some humour, into which it is but converted if it fail of its operation; of this sort are aloe, rhubarh search, and whereof also few or none were known unto Hippocrates. The third is of a violent and venomous quality, which, frus-

trate of its action, assumes as it were the nature of poison, such as scammoneum, colocynthis, elaterium, euphorbium, tithymallus, laureola, peplum, &c. Of this sort Hippocrates made use even in fevers, pleurisies, and quinsies; and that composition is very remarkable which is ascribed unto Diogenes in Ætius,* that is, of pepper, sal-ammoniac, euphorbium, of each an ounce, the doses whereof four scruples and an half, which whosoever should take, would find in his bowels more than a canicular heat, though in the depth of winter. Many of the like nature may be observed in Ætius, or in the book De Dinamidiis, ascribed unto Galen, which is the same verbatim with the other.

Now in regard of the second, and especially the first degree of purgatives, the aphorism⁶ is not of force, but we may safely use them, they being benign and of innoxious qualities; and therefore Lucas Gauricus, who hath endeavoured with many testimonies to advance this consideration, at length concedeth that lenative physick may be used, especially when the moon is well affected in Cancer, or in the watery signs. But in regard of the third degree, the aphorism is considerable; purgations may be dangerous, and a

* Tetrab. lib. i. Serm. 3.

⁵ canicular.] Such as is the heate of the dog-dayes in the hottest countreyes, where the dog-star sheweth his force most.—Wr.

6 aphorism.] Aphorisme is a general rule grounded upon reason, ratified by experience; but in this place he gives this name to that received opinion, that during the dog-dayes all physicke is to be declined; not bycause itt was grounded upon truthe, but bycause itt was generally supposed to bee soe; the ground whereof relating to those countreyes onlye which lye under the torrid zone, hee refutes in this chapter most judiciouslye, and determines the state of the question most excellentlye in the two following periods in four propositions or conclusions. First, that in preventinge there is no use of that rule, for that noe wise man will defer the physick till the dog-dayes, having fitter times in the spring, and the fall, wherein to take such physick with greater advantage. Second, that the heate of the dog-dayes in our clymates is not see greate as that of the torrid zone in their spring. Third, that in chronical diseases physick may safely bee deferred till those dayes bee over. Fourth, that the strength of the aphorisme is grounded cheefly upon a point of wisdom; that itt must needs bee received to adde fire to fire, i. e. when the bodye is overheated in the dog-dayes to adde the heat and acrimony of purging medicines, but yet where the case is desperate, as in sharpe fits, wisdom must give way to necessity; better purge than dye.- Wr.

memorable example there is in the medical epistles of Crucius, of a Roman prince that died upon an ounce of diaphænicon taken in this season; from the use whereof we refrain not only in hot seasons, but warily exhibit it at all times in hot diseases; which when necessity requires, we can perform more safely than the ancients, as having better ways of preparation and correction, that is, not only by addition of other bodies, but separation of noxious parts from their own.

But besides these differences between Hippocrates and us, the physicians of these times and those of antiquity, the condition of the disease and the intention of the physician hold a main consideration in what time and place soever. For physick is either curative or preventive; preventive we call that which by purging noxious humours, and the causes of diseases, preventeth sickness in the healthy, or the recourse⁷ thereof in the valitudinary; this is of common use at the spring and fall, and we commend not the same at this season.8 Therapeutick or curative physick we term that which restoreth the patient unto sanity, and taketh away diseases actually affecting. Now of diseases some are chronical and of long duration, as quartan agues, scurvy, &c., wherein, because they admit of delay, we defer the cure to more advantageous seasons; others we term acute, that is, of short duration and danger, as fevers, pleurisies, &c., in which, because delay is dangerous, and they arise unto their state before the dog-days determine, we apply present remedies according unto indications, respecting rather the acuteness⁹ of the disease, and precipitancy¹ of occasion, than the rising or setting of the stars, the effects of the one being disputable, of the other assured and inevitable.

And although astrology may here put in, and plead the secret influence of this star; yet Galen in his comment makes

⁷ recourse.] Recurrence.

⁶ at this season.] That is during the dog-days.—Wr.

⁹ acuteness.] i. e., the sharp and fierce condition of the disease,

admitting noe delay of any requisite helpe in physic.—Wr.

precipitancy.] Precipitancy is properly the swift motion of a man falling headlong, hence itt signifies the soden passings of occasions in diseases, which once let passe can never be redeemed, and by the means endanger the life of the patient, by suffering the disease (which might have been timely prevented) to get such a masterye as noe physick can quell.—Wr.

no such consideration, confirming the truth of the aphorism from the heat of the year, and the operation of medicines exhibited. In regard that bodies, being heated by the summer, cannot so well endure the acrimony of purging medicines and because upon purgations contrary motions ensue, the heat of the air attracting the humours outward, and the action of the medicine retracting the same inward. But these are readily salved in the distinctions before alleged, and particularly in the constitution of our climate, and divers others, wherein the air makes no such exhaustion of spirits, and in the benignity of our medicines, whereof some in their own nature, others well prepared, agitate not the humours, nor make a sensible perturbation.

Nor do we hereby reject or condemn a sober and regulated astrology; we hold there is more truth therein, than in astrologers; in some more than many allow, yet in none so much as some pretend. We deny not the influence of the stars, but often suspect the due application thereof; for though we should affirm that all things were in all things, that heaven were but earth celestified, and earth but heaven terrestrified, or that each part above had an influence upon its divided affinity below; yet how to single out these relations,* and duly to apply their actions, is a work ofttimes to be effected by some revelation, and Cabala from above, rather than any philosophy or speculation here below. What power soever they have upon our bodies, it is not requisite they should destroy our reasons, that is, to make us rely on the strength of nature, when she is least able to relieve us; and when we conceive the heaven against us. to refuse the assistance of the earth created for us. This were to suffer from the mouth of the dog above, what others do from the teeth of the dogs below; that is, to be afraid of their proper remedy, and refuse to approach any water,2 though that hath often proved a cure unto their disease.3 There is

^{*} Hic labor, hoc opus est.

² refuse to approach any water.] The horror of water in this disease, though a very general, is not an invariable symptom, even in the human

woman, twenty years old, who, labouring under symptoms of hydrophobia, was plunged into a tub of water, with a bushel of salt dissolved in it.

in wise men a power beyond the stars; and Ptolemy encourageth us, that by foreknowledge we may evade their actions; for, being but universal causes, they are determined by particular agents; which being inclined, not constrained, contain within themselves the casting act, and a power to command the conclusion.

Lastly, if all be conceded, and were there in this aphorism an unrestrained truth, yet were it not reasonable from a caution to infer a non-usance or abolition, from a thing to be used with discretion, not to be used at all. Because the apostle bids us beware of philosophy, heads of extremity will have none at all; an usual fallacy in vulgar and less distinctive brains, who having once overshot the mean, run violently on, and find no rest but in the extremes.⁴

and was harassed with repeated dippings till she became insensible, and was at the point of death, when she was still left in the tub sitting against its sides. In this state, we are told, she was at length fortunate enough to recover her senses: when, much to her own astonishment, as well as that of the bystanders, she found herself capable of looking at the water, and even of drinking it without choaking."—Good's Study of Medicine, iii. 362.

Dr. Good enumerates a variety of modes of treatment which have been adopted, and medicines which have been prescribed, with most uncertain and only occasional success.

An American plant (Scutellaria lateriflora, or Virginian scullcap) has been used with great success by several American practitioners: and so powerful has been its influence, that it has been made the subject of a separate publication by Dr. Spalding, of New York, in 1819. It appears to have been discovered by a Dr. Lawrence Van Derveer, of New Jersey, who used it successfully in hydrophobia, as early as 1773. From him the remedy was communicated through his son to other practitioners: and was very extensively used at the date of Dr. Spalding's pamphlet. It is taken in a decoction of the dried plant; a tea-spoonful and a half to a quart of boiling water:—the patient taking half a pint of this infusion, morning and night.

Dr. S. states that the scutellaria has been given to more than 850 persons bitten by animals believed to be rabid, and that in only three instances had hydrophobic symptoms supervened, and in each of these cases the quantity of the plant actually taken had been very inconsiderable. It had also been given to more than 1,100 animals under similar circumstances, and with nearly equal success.

extremes.] This censure fitly reaches all clymats of the worlde all times for a prudent caution. For as in the state of contagnor nature, this fallacy is (more than epidemical, that is) universall: see (to the comforte of the worlde) being once swalowed, and put in practise, itt

Now hereon we have the longer insisted, because the error is material, and concerns ofttimes the life of man; an error, to be taken notice of by state, and provided against by princes who are of the opinion of Solomon, that their riches consist in the multitude of their subjects. An error worse than some reputed heresies; and of greater danger to the body, than they unto the soul; which whosoever is able to reclaim, he shall save more in one summer, than Themison* destroyed in any autumn; he shall introduce a new way of cure, preserving by theory, as well as practice, and men not only from death, but from destroying themselves.

* A physician. Quot Themison agros autumno occiderit uno.—Juvenal. never failes to pay the practisers in fine with their owne coigne, viz. destruction and ruin.—Wr.

END OF VOL. I.